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ABSTRACT – Metallized Teflon® FEP (fluorinated ethylene propylene), a common spacecraft thermal control material, from the exterior layer of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has become embrittled and suffers from extensive cracking. Teflon samples retrieved during Hubble servicing missions and from the Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF) indicate that there may be continued degradation in tensile properties over time. An investigation has been conducted to evaluate the effect of air and vacuum storage on the mechanical properties of x-ray exposed FEP. Aluminized-FEP (Al-FEP) tensile samples were irradiated with 15.3 kV Cu x-rays and stored in air or under vacuum for various time periods. Tensile data indicate that samples stored in air display larger decreases in tensile properties than for samples stored under vacuum. Air-stored samples developed a hazy appearance, which corresponded to a roughening of the aluminized surface. Optical property changes were also characterized. These findings indicate that air exposure plays a role in the degradation of irradiated FEP, therefore proper sample handling and storage is necessary with materials retrieved from space. 

1 – INTRODUCTION 

Metallized Teflon® FEP, a common thermal control material used on spacecraft, such as the Long Duration Exposure Facility and the Hubble Space Telescope, has been found to degrade in the low Earth orbit (LEO) space environment. Teflon® FEP is used as the outer layer of thermal control insulation because of its excellent optical properties (low solar absorptance and high thermal emittance). A metallized layer is applied to the backside of the FEP to reflect incident solar energy. The solar absorptance ($\alpha_s$) and thermal emittance ($\varepsilon$) of 127 µm (5 mil) Teflon with an aluminized backing is typically 0.13 and 0.81, respectively.¹ Solar radiation (ultraviolet radiation and x-rays from solar flares), electron and proton radiation (omni-directional particles trapped in the Van Allen belts), thermal exposure and thermal cycling, and atomic oxygen exposure are all possible LEO environmental factors which could contribute to the FEP degradation.
The LDEF spacecraft was retrieved on January 11, 1990 after 69 months in the space environment. The silvered-FEP (Ag-FEP) blankets from the trailing edge of LDEF, which received high solar fluences and very low atomic oxygen fluences, were found to be embrittled and developed surface cracking under tensile bending. The leading edge which received a high atomic oxygen fluence, and similar solar fluences, was found to be eroded but remained ductile.

The HST was launched on April 25, 1990 into low Earth orbit and is the first mission of NASA’s Great Observatories program. The HST was designed to be serviced on-orbit to upgrade scientific capabilities. The first servicing mission (SM1) occurred in December 1993, after 3.6 years in space. The second servicing mission (SM2) was in February 1997, after 6.8 years in space. The third servicing mission (SM-3A) was in December 1999, after almost 10 years in space. Servicing missions are also planned for mid 2001 and 2004.

Analyses of Al-FEP and Ag-FEP multilayer insulation (MLI) blankets retrieved during SM1 revealed that the 127 μm thick FEP exterior layer was embrittled on high solar exposure surfaces. Surfaces which received the highest solar exposures had microscopic through-thickness cracks in the FEP at stress locations. During SM2, severe cracking of the MLI outer layer material (127 μm thick Al-FEP) was observed on the light shield, forward shell and equipment bays of the telescope. Astronaut observations combined with photographic documentation of HST taken during SM2, revealed extensive cracking of the MLI in many locations around the telescope, with solar facing surfaces being particularly heavily damaged. Embrittlement of FEP on HST is believed to be caused by radiation exposure (primarily electron and proton radiation with contributions from x-rays from solar flares and UV radiation) combined with thermal cycling.

As part of the continued investigation of the damage mechanism of FEP in the space environment, a very small number of samples, due to limited available material, were tensile tested long after initial post-retrieval tests. These data are shown in Table 1 along with the original post-retrieval data. The results indicate that there might be continued degradation in the tensile properties of the space-exposed materials over time stored on the ground. Because of the limited amount of space-exposed material available, ground-based tests were conducted to determine if irradiated FEP continues to degrade over time. One possible explanation to continued degradation is the interaction of molecular oxygen with long-lived radicals that are formed in-space due to molecular bond breaking caused by irradiation exposure. An investigation has therefore been conducted to evaluate the effect of air and vacuum storage on the mechanical properties of irradiated FEP. X-rays were used for the source of irradiation because x-rays from solar flares are believed to contribute to the embrittlement of FEP on HST, and because previous ground tests have shown that solar flare x-ray energies are energetic enough to cause bulk embrittlement in 127 μm FEP. Also, the mechanism of embrittlement of FEP is believed to be the same for all forms of ionizing radiation, therefore x-ray exposure is a very useful technique for understanding radiation damage effects in Teflon.
Table 1. Tensile Data for HST and LDEF Samples Tested At Various Post-Retrieval Times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>Solar Exposure, AO Fluence (ESH, atoms/cm²)</th>
<th>% Elongation to Failure Relative to Pristine</th>
<th>UTS (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HST Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuby et al.⁷</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Pristine</td>
<td>MLI SM1 (11,339, &lt;3.0 E20)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks et al.¹⁰</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Pristine</td>
<td>MLI SM1 (11,339, &lt;3.0 E20)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDEF Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pippen⁶</td>
<td>&lt;1995</td>
<td>LDEF Ground Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LDEF D01 (7437, 2.9 E17)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>9.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LDEF F04 (10,458, 2.3 E5)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall &amp; Banks¹¹</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>LDEF Ground Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LDEF D01 (7437, 2.9 E17)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LDEF F04 (10,458, 2.3 E5)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 - MATERIALS & EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

2.1 Material (Pristine Al-FEP) Teflon® FEP is a perfluorinated copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and hexafluoropropylene (HFP). The Al-FEP material was 5 mil (127 µm) thick FEP coated on the backside with 1000 Å of vapor deposited Al (VDA) from Sheldahl, Inc.

2.2 X-Ray Exposure A modified X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) facility was used to irradiate the FEP side of the Al-FEP tensile samples. A copper target was irradiated with a 15.3 kV, 30 mA electron beam producing Cu x-rays. The tensile samples were located 30.5 mm from the target, and the Cu x-rays were filtered through a 2 µm Al window (part of the x-ray tube). A 25 mil (635 µm) thick beryllium filter was placed over the FEP samples to absorb the low energy Cu L components (930 eV), which would contribute significantly to damage of just the surface. The x-ray flux was 13.28 W/m². The choice of target material, electron beam energy, and filter was chosen to produce a high flux, uniform distribution of energy absorbed versus depth in the film. Figure 1 shows the energy deposition rate, or dose rate, versus depth below the surface for 127 µm FEP film for the exposure conditions used (Gy = Gray = 100 Rads = Joule/kg). The technique used to characterize the x-ray source and energy deposition within the FEP film is described in detail by Pepper and Wheeler in reference 12. Pepper et al. provide quantitative characterization of the Cu x-ray source and the absorbed energy deposition rate within a Teflon film in reference 13.
2.3 Air and Vacuum Storage  X-ray exposed tensile samples were stored in the following three different environments: fluoropolymer containers in ambient air, high vacuum, or low vacuum. High vacuum storage, $10^{-8}$ Torr, was in the actual facility used for x-ray exposure. The low vacuum (60-100 mTorr) was within a vacuum desiccator. Samples were quickly transferred from the research facility to the desiccator to make available the x-ray facility for additional irradiation exposures.

2.4 Tensile Properties  Samples for tensile testing were ‘dog bone’ shaped and die-cut using a tensile specimen die manufactured according to ASTM D638-95, type V. The tensile samples were 3.18 mm wide in the narrow section, with a 9.5 mm gauge length. All samples were cut from the same stock material, parallel to the roll direction. The samples were tested at a rate of 1.27 cm/min.

2.5 Optical Properties  Solar reflectance (total ($\rho_t$), diffuse ($\rho_d$) and specular ($\rho_s$)), solar absorptance, and room temperature emittance ($\varepsilon_{RT}$) were obtained on a sample (identified as sample FEPOP3) prepared for optical property characterization. The sample’s reflectance (total and diffuse) values were measured with a Perkin-Elmer $\lambda$-19 Spectrophotometer operated with a 150 mm integrating sphere within the range of 250 to 2500 nm. Data from the $\lambda$-19 was convoluted into the air mass zero solar spectrum to obtain $\rho_t$ and $\rho_d$. The value for $\rho_s$ is the difference between $\rho_t$ and $\rho_d$. The value for $\alpha_s$ is the difference between 1.0 and $\rho_t$. Room temperature emittance was obtained using a Gier Dunkel DB-100 infrared reflectometer, which provided an integrated reflectance value that was subtracted from 1 to get $\varepsilon_{RT}$.

2.6 Surface Characterization  Optical micrographs were taken of tensile and optical samples using an Olympus SZH Stereo-zoom microscope. The surface topography of both the FEP and Al sides of exposed and unexposed regions of air-stored x-ray exposed samples were examined using scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. Electron micrographs were taken using a JEOL 6100 scanning electron microscope (SEM) operated at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. A sample
(FEPCu42AB), originally irradiated and air-stored for tensile testing, was cut in half and coated with a thin conductive layer of Pd prior to examination. Atomic force microscope (AFM) images were obtained on an optical sample (FEPOP1) using a Park Scientific AutoProbe scanning probe microscope. Areas from 5 to 74 μm square were imaged. The images were flattened using identical techniques to remove background curvature introduced as a scanning artifact. The average root mean square (RMS) roughness was computed for each scan size.

3 – RESULTS & DISCUSSION

3.1 Preliminary X-Ray Tests A series of tests were conducted to find the optimal exposure conditions and maximum number of samples, for repeatable reduction in tensile properties. It was desired to have an initial reduction in the percent elongation to failure of ≈50% of the pristine material, prior to storage testing. The UTS and percent elongation to failure for 14 pristine samples was 18.6 ± 1.3 MPa and 214.5 ± 20.8%, respectively. It was determined that a 2-hour exposure would provide the desired reduction in tensile properties. The maximum number of samples that could be uniformly exposed at a time was two. The samples were centered in a holder that provided a 2.0 cm wide exposure area (the tensile sample gauge length is ≈1 cm). Figure 2 shows two tensile samples loaded in the sample holder, along with the sample labeling. The total energy absorbed per unit area integrated through the full thickness (the areal dose, D) of the 127 μm foil for the 2 hour exposure was 33.8 kJ/m².

![Fig. 2: Tensile samples mounted in the x-ray holder (without the Be filter).](image)

3.2 Comparison of Air-Stored and Vacuum-Stored X-Ray Exposed Samples Table 3 lists the tensile properties for samples irradiated with the same x-ray exposure conditions and then stored in air or vacuum. Uncertainties represent the standard deviation of the samples tested. The samples stored under vacuum up to 115.5 hours were under high vacuum until tensile testing. Whereas, those stored for 212 and 361 hours were initially stored under high vacuum, then transferred to low vacuum. The results indicate, as shown in Figures 3 and 4, that the samples stored in air have larger decreases in tensile properties than the samples stored under vacuum. Samples stored under vacuum (for up to 400 hours) show no further decrease in tensile properties over time, while samples stored in air (for up to 900 hours) appear to show continued decreases in percent elongation to failure over time. More data points are needed to verify this trend.
Table 3. Tensile Results for X-ray Exposed FEP Stored in Air and Under Vacuum.

### Air-Storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in Storage (hours)</th>
<th>UTS (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation at Failure (%)</th>
<th>Samples #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.2 +/- 0.8</td>
<td>135.7 +/- 19.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.5 +/- 0.6</td>
<td>166.4 +/- 17.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>12.8 +/- 0.3</td>
<td>153.6 +/- 11.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>13.2 +/- 0.4</td>
<td>142.2 +/- 17.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>12.5 +/- 0.5</td>
<td>132.8 +/- 26.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>13 +/- 0.2</td>
<td>112.3 +/- 33.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>907</td>
<td>13.1 +/- 0.2</td>
<td>82.0 +/- 6.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Vacuum-Storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in Storage (hours)</th>
<th>UTS (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation at Failure (%)</th>
<th>Samples #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.7 +/- 0.5</td>
<td>173.3 +/- 19.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>14.5 +/- 0.3</td>
<td>181.6 +/- 7.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.3 +/- 0.6</td>
<td>185.1 +/- 7.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>13.8 +/- 0.8</td>
<td>152.0 +/- 23.5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>14.7 +/- 0.5</td>
<td>190.5 +/- 8.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115.5</td>
<td>14.2 +/- 0.5</td>
<td>192.0 +/- 6.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>14.5 +/- 0.5</td>
<td>177.4 +/- 10.7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>14.3 +/- 0.2</td>
<td>179.7 +/- 5.4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Percent elongation at failure as a function of time stored in air or under vacuum.
The x-ray-exposed samples that were stored in air developed a hazy/white appearance in the irradiated area over time, as shown in Figure 5. This hazy appearance did not develop on the samples that were stored under vacuum.

Optical samples (2.54 cm square) were prepared and irradiated under the same x-ray exposure conditions as the tensile samples so that changes in optical properties could be measured and corresponded to the hazy-white appearance. Table 4 lists solar reflectance, solar absorptance, and thermal emittance data for an optical sample. The changes in optical properties over time for this x-ray exposed and air-stored sample can be seen in Figure 6. The hazy appearance primarily increases the diffuse reflectance, but small solar absorptance increases occur also.
The source of the haziness was evaluated using scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. An irradiated tensile sample was cut in half and mounted on a SEM sample holder with both FEP and Al sides up for SEM analysis (instead of tensile testing). Unexposed and exposed areas were imaged at 0° and 45° tilt angles and compared. As can be seen in Figure 7, the unexposed FEP and Al surfaces look very similar with a slight texture observed at 2,500X magnification. The x-ray exposed FEP surface looked similar to the unexposed surfaces, but the exposed Al surface appeared rougher with the apparent development of very small surface particles.

Table 4. Optical Properties of X-Ray Exposed Sample FEPOP3 after Various Air-Storage Times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air-Storage Time (Hours)</th>
<th>Total Reflectance (ρ₀)</th>
<th>Diffuse Reflectance (ρₐ)</th>
<th>Specular Reflectance (ρₛ)</th>
<th>Solar Absorptance (αₛ)</th>
<th>Thermal Emittance (ε₉RT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Exposure</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.723</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308.5</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 6:** Optical properties of irradiated sample FEPOP3 after various air-storage durations.
Fig. 7: SEM micrographs of unexposed and exposed areas of the FEP and Al sides of a x-ray exposed tensile sample at a 45° tilt angle.

The AFM average RMS surface roughness values for various size scan areas of a x-ray exposed, air-stored sample are listed in Table 5. The RMS roughness for the unexposed surfaces, and the exposed FEP surface are all very similar, while the exposed Al surface is more than 3 times as rough. This can be seen in the bar chart in Figure 8, where the RMS roughness for the 10 x 10 and 25 x 25 µm scan areas for the four different surfaces are compared. Figure 9 shows AFM 3-D topography images of the unexposed and x-ray exposed Al surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scan Area (µm x µm)</th>
<th>FEP Unexposed</th>
<th>FEP Exposed</th>
<th>Al Unexposed</th>
<th>Al Exposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 x 5</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 x 10</td>
<td>82.7</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 x 25</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 x 50</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 x 72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. 8:** RMS Roughness for 10 x 10 and 25 x 25 micron square scan areas for unexposed and irradiated areas of both Al and FEP surfaces.

The haziness was found to correspond to a roughening of the aluminized-side of the sample. The exact nature of the surface roughening, and the development of small bumps on the aluminized surface of the irradiated Al-FEP is not known. A tape peel test was conducted on irradiated and non-irradiated regions of the sample used for SEM examination. Irradiation was speculated to produce outgas molecules and contribute to the surface roughening and possibly weakening of the FEP-Al interface adhesion force. The aluminum was found to peel away from the FEP in the irradiated region, and not in the non-irradiated region. This distinction can be seen in Figure 10. It would be of interest to conduct x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses to get a better understanding of the chemical changes at these surfaces.
It is not known if atomic oxygen in the space environment plays a similar role in contributing to increased degradation of tensile properties of irradiated FEP such as molecular oxygen does in these ground-tests. The competing roles of erosion versus contribution to embrittlement from atomic oxygen would be of interest to study. Variations in atomic oxygen fluence versus irradiation exposure (for equivalent sun hours exposure) have been shown to have an effect on FEP embrittlement in the space environment. Controlled synergistic atomic oxygen and x-ray exposure tests of FEP would be interesting to conduct with respect to tensile properties.

4 – SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

Based on a limited number of test data from space-exposed FEP, which showed a trend for continued degradation over time, an investigation was conducted to evaluate the effect of air and vacuum storage on the mechanical properties of x-ray exposed FEP. Aluminized-FEP (127 μm thick) tensile samples were x-ray exposed with 15.3 kV Cu x-rays for 2 hours. X-ray exposed samples were stored in air or under vacuum for various time periods prior to tensile testing. Tensile results indicate that the samples stored in air have larger decreases in tensile properties than for the samples stored under vacuum. Samples stored under vacuum (for up to 400 hours) show no further decrease in tensile properties over time, while samples stored in air (for up to 900 hours) appear to show a trend for continued decrease in percent elongation to failure over time. Irradiated samples stored in air developed a hazy appearance in the x-ray-exposed area. The source of the haziness was evaluated using scanning electron microscopy and atomic force microscopy. The haziness was found to reside at the Al/FEP interface as witnessed by increased surface roughness of the aluminized side of the material, and dramatic decrease in the adhesion between the Al and FEP. Optical properties of air-stored irradiated samples show an increase in the diffuse reflectance, which is consistent with the observed roughening, characterized by AFM. These findings indicate that air exposure plays a role in the degradation of x-ray irradiated FEP. These results indicate that proper sample handling and storage is necessary with space retrieved materials.

Future studies will include testing of x-ray exposed samples stored in air and under vacuum for longer durations than reported here, and increasing the number of data points at storage times reported. It appears that the majority of degradation due to air-exposure occurs relatively quickly, so a more careful
analysis of degradation of air-stored samples, stored up to 50 hours, is planned. Also, based on these results, Al-FEP material recently retrieved from the HST is being analyzed over time. Tensile samples have been prepared and are being stored under vacuum, and in air, and will be tested over a period of a year. Lastly, it is not known if atomic oxygen in the space environment plays a similar role in contributing to increased degradation of tensile properties of irradiated FEP, but the competing roles of erosion versus increased degradation would be of interest to study in controlled experiments.
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Metallized Teflon® FEP (fluorinated ethylene propylene), a common spacecraft thermal control material, from the exterior layer of the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has become embrittled and suffers from extensive cracking. Teflon samples retrieved during Hubble servicing missions and from the Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF) indicate that there may be continued degradation in tensile properties over time. An investigation has been conducted to evaluate the effect of air and vacuum storage on the mechanical properties of x-ray exposed FEP. Aluminized-FEP (Al-FEP) tensile samples were irradiated with 15.3 kV Cu x-rays and stored in air or under vacuum for various time periods. Tensile data indicate that samples stored in air display larger decreases in tensile properties than for samples stored under vacuum. Air-stored samples developed a hazy appearance, which corresponded to a roughening of the aluminized surface. Optical property changes were also characterized. These findings indicate that air exposure plays a role in the degradation of irradiated FEP, therefore proper sample handling and storage is necessary with materials retrieved from space.