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Abstract

Observations regarding rotating cavitation and cavitation surge experienced during the development of the Fastrac engine turbopump are discussed. Detailed observations acquired from the analysis of both water flow and liquid oxygen test data are offered in this paper. Scaling and general comparison of rotating cavitation between water flow and liquid oxygen testing are discussed. Complex data features linking the localized rotating cavitation mechanism of the inducer to system surge components are described in detail. Finally a description of a lumped-parameter hydraulic system model developed to better understand observed data is given.

Nomenclature

V: synchronous oscillation
R: rotating cavitation oscillation
C: dimensional pump compliance
K: dimensionless pump compliance
M*: dimensionless mass flow gain factor
N*: dimensionless mass flow gain factor
ns: suction specific speed
eta: inlet tip flow coefficient
C_i: inlet cavitation number
eta_i: inducer tip cavitation number
Pi: stage head coefficient

Introduction

During the development of the high speed turbopump for the 60,000 pound thrust Fastrac rocket engine, complex unsteady flow and shaft vibration due to rotating cavitation have been observed. The bipropellant pump (Figure 1) with both a single-stage LOX (shown with axial inlet) and RP inducer-impeller sharing the same drive shaft exhibits independent cavitation induced super-synchronous oscillations concurrently during nominal operation.

Figure 1. Fastrac Turbopump Assembly

This paper attempts to summarize major observations regarding the Fastrac LOX turbopump rotating cavitation anomaly and relay them to a turbomachinery community that must frequently contend with hydraulic system instabilities induced by cavitating pumps. Observations have been derived from LOX pump super-scale model water flow testing and turbopump component level hotfire test. Characterization and abatement of the cavitation instability continue to be critical issues in the development and certification of the Fastrac engine due to leading edge blade deformations found in both the initial aluminum water flow test article and subsequently in a component level test inducer. Figures 2 and 3 show the damaged leading edge regions in the water flow aluminum and the LOX K-Monel inducers respectively.
latitude offered by the water rig testing has been crucial to the characterization of the oscillation.

Figure 2. Failed Aluminum Inducer

Figure 3. LOX Inducer with Slight Leading Edge Deformation

Unsteady flow analysis of the Fastrac engine LOX turbopump has benefited from multiple sources of experimental test data of the complex instability. Water flow rig testing of the LOX pump, initiated to experimentally verify suction performance, has provided valuable insight into the anomaly and produced the first evidence of the severity of the rotating cavitation with the failure of the original aluminum superscale LOX inducer-impeller. Figure 4 is a joint time-frequency mapping of the oscillation taken from water flow test of the LOX inducer at the rated flow condition \( \omega = 0.135 \) and a shaft speed of 5000 RPM.

The inducer inlet fluctuating pressure data shows the development of the rotating cavitation oscillation, denoted as "rc" in the figure, during an inlet pressure ramp test (suction pressure decrease going into page) at constant flow-to-speed ratio. In-depth discussion of the spectral trending will be offered in subsequent sections of this overview. The experimental

Several of the features of the Fastrac turbopump rotating cavitation have been documented by many researchers involved in the development of other high speed rocket engine turbomachinery. \(^{2,3}\) Tsujimoto, et al., provided an excellent overview of inducer rotating disturbances encountered during the development of the LE-7 LOX turbopump. A majority of the spectral components shown in Figure 4 have counterparts described in the LE-7 cavitation oscillation overview.

Rotating cavitation has proven to be more than just a nuisance to the Fastrac engine development program. Along with deforming inducer leading edges, pump cavitation has hampered characterization of the turbopump vibration environment due to saturation of accelerometers and signal conditioning. Excitation of both LOX and RP feed-system hydraulic modes by the active inducers has also complicated engine calibration efforts by hindering accurate propellant flow measurement.

II. Rotating Cavitation and Cavitation Surge Data Observations

Rotating Cavitation

As noted previously, water flow testing offered several experimental opportunities not available in
the LOX environment. This included the accessibility of high frequency instrumentation locations and the ability to easily manipulate pump inlet hydrodynamic condition, i.e. Nss (suction specific speed) and \( \omega \), in the water flow loop (Figure 5). Flush mounted fluctuating pressures at the inducer inlet plane approximately 0.3 inducer diameters upstream of the blade leading edge (instrumentation plane 1000, Figure 5) were used to establish the rotational character of the oscillations shown in the joint time-frequency plot of Figure 4. Hannover plotting of inducer inlet fluctuating pressure relative phase information was used in determining cell number and propagation direction of the major spectral components.

As expected, the peaks denoted as '3N' and 'N', are three and single cell disturbances, respectively. Cross-channel phasing at the inducer inlet plane confirm their lock-in (in a rotational sense) to the three-bladed inducer. The peak denoted as rc in Figure 4, is a one-celled disturbance propagating in a forward direction, i.e. in the same direction as the rotor, at a slightly super-synchronous rate. No significant loss in pumping efficiency was noted in water flow suction performance mappings until the appearance of the synchronous oscillation, N, where stage head coefficient, \( \Psi \), dropped approximately 2 percent from the non-cavitated (< 15000 Nss) water flow value of 0.40.

The peak denoted as '11' in Figure 4 is thought to be a rotating disturbance of high cell count related to backflow vortices. Phasing suggests that the low amplitude oscillation is an eight-cell disturbance with a propagation velocity of approximately 0.32N. The cell train propagation rate and high cell count compare well to rotating backflow disturbances characterized in Reference 3. However, inlet plane phase mapping was not of high enough fidelity to confirm propagation direction and the high cell count of 11.

Figure 6 shows both normalized frequency and amplitude of 3N, rc, and N versus Nss at the 90% rated flow condition from both water flow and LOX testing. Comparison at this slightly off-nominal flow condition was selected since a majority of the LOX test data was acquired at the 90% rated flow. In the figure, closed symbols denote normalized water data while the larger open symbols represent LOX. The frequency of the rotating cavitation component, rc, is normalized by synchronous frequency while all amplitudes are scaled by impeller tip dynamic pressure. Normalized frequency for rc ranges from 1.1 to 1.25 and the decreasing trend with Nss compares well between LOX and water test. Likewise, there is good comparison between normalized amplitudes of the rc and N components as well as the critical Nss at which rc transitions into the synchronous response. A normalized 3N amplitude is not shown for LOX since the turbopump acquired no appreciable steady-state operation at low Nss, i.e. less than 12 kNss.

Figure 5. MSFC Inducer Test Loop and Fastrac LOX Inducer Model
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Figure 6. Normalized Frequency and Amplitude of Major Fastrac LOX Inducer Oscillations from Both LOX and Water Testing

Cavitation Surge

During water flow testing of the superscale Fastrac LOX inducer model, strong pump-facility coupling was experienced. Figure 7 is a waterfall plot of an upstream (approximately 1.5 inlet diameters from inducer) unsteady pressure. Amplitude scale is equivalent to that of the inducer inlet waterfall plot of Figure 4. The dominant oscillations registered at this upstream location are 3(rc - N) and the component denoted as "12". Further analysis into phasing and the high frequency content of measured fluctuating pressure both at the inducer inlet plane and elsewhere in the water flow loop revealed interesting attributes of the 3(rc - N) component.

While the rotation-induced delay of the higher frequency rotating cavitation wavelet is easy to discern between the two inlet pressures, the underlying 3(rc - N) wave appears to be in phase. The inducer inlet plane transfer function of Figure 9 confirms this unique phasing at the frequency of 3(rc - N). Relative phase at the oscillation frequency is zero with a coherence of nearly unity. Similar phasing and coherence for 3(rc - N) were shown with the alternate inducer inlet cross-channel pair.

Before discussing possible physical implications of the complex component 3(rc - N), some observations on the transient envelope of the monitored fluctuating pressures are now offered. Figure 10 displays a high-pass filtered version of Figure 8. The data were high-pass filtered at 2 kHz to safely exclude rc and synchronous activity. Of interest in this series of filtered waveforms is the obvious synchronization of wideband bursts at the rate of 3(rc - N) across all fluctuating pressures and monitored pump vibration. Envelope spectral analysis of these waveforms has confirmed this synchronization.
The unique phasing is thought to reflect the complex physical relationship between the localized inducer rotating cavitation disturbance and the more global cavitation surge instability. A component similar to the $3(\pi - \phi)$ has been documented by Tsujimoto and fellow researchers. In their effort, a cavitation surge mode was identified at a frequency corresponding to $3(\pi - \phi)$. The frequency variation of their cavitation surge at times lost correlation with those of the $\pi$ and $\phi$ components. In Fastrac LOX inducer water flow testing, correlation of the cavitation surge mode at $3(\pi - \phi)$ with the rotating cavitation and synchronous components was maintained.
with the overlay are displayed the variations (normalized by mean frequency over the entire record) of the rc and N components used in the construction of the composite 3(rc - N). Generalized Hypercoherence processing was used to develop the micro-frequency variations shown in Figure 11. The commercially-available signal processing package PC Signal, offered by AI Signal Research, provided the powerful diagnostic technique. The convincing match of the actual and reconstructed instantaneous frequencies of the cavitation surge oscillation indicates a high degree of synchronization between the rotating cavitation and the cavitation surge evident in the water flow circuit.

Instantaneous frequency analysis was not necessary in making this same assessment in the LOX environment. Figure 12 is a waterfall plot of inducer inlet pressure taken from the last hotfire of the slightly deformed hardware shown in Figure 3. The frequency axis has been scaled to facilitate comparison of water flow data with that of the LOX. During the first portion of the test, the LOX pump experienced an excursion into rotating cavitation (start +52 through 55 seconds). The LOX pump was operating at 88% of rated flow during the transient and traversed the critical Nss region of the rotating - synchronous cavitation boundary (Figure 6, -16 kNss). If the subsynchronous component were locked into a frequency variation corresponding to 3(rc - N), it would be a mirror image of the (3N - rc) component about the rotating cavitation frequency trace. The subsynchronous component does not follow this variation during this excursion. However, this frequency correlation between the subsynchronous component and the synchronous and rotating cavitation disturbances increases toward the end of the transient. This varying degree of correlation, similar to that documented in the LE-7 experimental effort, is thought to represent a reduced synchronization between the localized inducer disturbance (rotating cavitation) and the overall hydraulic system (via the suspected cavitation surge mode). Envelope analysis of both inducer inlet unsteady pressure and pump housing vibration from LOX testing also exhibited a well-defined periodicity at the subsynchronous frequency.

![Figure 12. Water Plot of Inlet Fluctuating Pressure from Fastrac Turbopump Hotfire](image)

The spectral component labelled as f2 in Figure 4 showed little dependence on Nss and e in water flow test. The frequency decreased slightly from 4 Hz with increasing Nss. While the 3(rc - N) mode appeared to be most active in the vicinity of the inducer, the f2 mode was much more distributed across the water flow loop.

III. Hydraulic System Stability Analysis

Analytic Model Overview

In an attempt to better understand observed "system couplings" between the water flow LOX inducer and test facility, a lumped parameter model of the MSFC Inducer Test Loop was developed. The analytic model is purely hydraulic in that no structural participation is considered. The model is composed of simple inerance, resistance, and compliance elements as well as additional terms specific to the pump component. These terms include the mass storage term due to variation in o, or mass flow gain factor, and a pump pressure gain factor. The pump was modeled with two characteristic equations consistent with the method of Oppenhein and Rubin used in their Pogo stability analysis of liquid rocket engines. Even though the selected pump representation could be viewed as limited in light of the four-terminal pump dynamic transfer function experimental efforts performed at the California Institute of Technology, the treatment was felt sufficient for a first-order analysis of water flow system stability.
The completed model consisted of 60 elements, which included lines, purely resistive losses (e.g., valves), accumulators, and the pump. The modeling of the hydraulic lines was consistent with that of Reference 7. Wave speed reduction due to fluid air content and pipe wall compliance were considered and incorporated into the line elements. Pump gain and resistance were developed from steady pump performance characterizations of the inducer-impeller in water, while pump inertance was estimated using an empirical equation from Fenwick.

A strategy for setting pump compliance and mass flow gain factor in the analytic model was developed prior to anchoring the model to test data. First, dimensionless mass flow gain factor, M, was set by inlet cavitation number, σ, and an assumed dimensionless pump compliance, K, using the recently published onset condition for both cavitation surge and rotating cavitation developed by Tsujimoto, Kamijo, and Brennen. M and K were converted to their dimensional forms, M' and C, respectively, in a manner consistent with scaling employed by California Institute of Technology researchers in their experimental determination of four-terminal pump dynamic transfer functions.

An initial estimate of K made using a mapping of an estimated K and M versus inducer tip cavitation number, σ, published by Brennen and Acosta for the Rocketdyne J2 LOX turbopump. Even though the parameters were anchored to inlet perturbation testing where fluctuating mass flows were neglected, the normalized mass storage terms were deemed valuable because the J2 LOX turbopump was known to exhibit a strong supersynchronous oscillation thought to be rotating cavitation. The current shrouded version of the J2 LOX turbopump integrated with the Rocketdyne XRS-2000 Aerospike engine also exhibits rotating cavitation along with (rc - N).

The experimental data attribute which drove analytic model adjustment of K (and subsequently M) was variation of the 3(rc – N) frequency observed with water flow suction pressure ramping. Figure 13 shows the reference frequency tracking taken from water flow test along with the last iteration of K(σ) and M(σ).

**System Stability Model Results**

A characteristic root of the system model with the pressure mode shape shown in Figure 14 was chosen to anchor K and M selection since it best matched observed amplitude distribution of the cavitation surge. Test data and audible observation showed the cavitation surge to be strongest in the vicinity of the inducer. The pressure mode, normalized by pump inlet pressure response, is plotted versus model element with flow direction going from left to right. Predicted critical damping for the mode ranged from -0.20 at 12 kNss to -0.52 at 26 kNss. Figure 13 also shows the frequency variation of the predicted mode versus σ. Interestingly, the most unstable modes predicted by the model consistently showed a mode shape similar to that of Figure 14, i.e. peak fluctuating pressure (and flow) at the inducer leading edge.

![Figure 13. K and M Estimates versus σ, Based on Observed Frequency Variation of 3(rc – N)](image)

![Figure 14. Predicted Mode Shape – Inducer Localized Instability](image)
IV. Concluding Remarks

Fastrac LOX inducer rotating cavitation shares many of the complex features observed in other rocket engine turbomachines which have exhibited the same phenomenon.

The successful scaling of the oscillation between the water and LOX environments is encouraging.

The unique interaction between rotating cavitation and cavitation surge at the inducer inlet warrants further investigation. A better understanding of the flow features which induce such complex dynamic signatures will most likely enable the design of very high suction performance rocket turbomachines in the future.

The abundance of pump element assumptions made in the stability modeling attempt reflects the need for continuation of four-terminal, experimentally-derived, pump dynamic transfer functions as pioneered by California Institute of Technology researchers in the 1970's. The severity of the cavitation-surge related damage to the Fastrac LOX inducer reinforces this need.
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