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ABSTRACT

A self-tuning impact damper is investigated analytically and experimentally as a device to inhibit vibration and increase the fatigue life of rotating components in turbomachinery. High centrifugal loads in rotors can inactivate traditional impact dampers because of friction or misalignment of the damper in the g-field. Giving an impact damper characteristics of an acceleration tuned-mass damper enables the resulting device to maintain damper mass motion and effectiveness during high-g loading. Experimental results presented here verify that this self-tuning impact damper can be designed to follow an engine order line, damping rotor component resonance crossings.

NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>Centrifugal acceleration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nondimensional amplitude – ratio of primary mass displacement amplitude to cavity clearance (C/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Primary mass displacement amplitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>Clearance in the damper cavity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_1</td>
<td>Modal mass of the turbomachinery component (primary mass)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_2</td>
<td>Mass of the self-tuning impact damper (damper mass)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Engine order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>Ball radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Trough radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_o</td>
<td>Distance of damper from center of rotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\chi_1(t)</td>
<td>Displacement of the primary mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\chi_2(t)</td>
<td>Displacement of the damper mass relative to the primary mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\epsilon</td>
<td>Coefficient of restitution between primary and damper masses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\zeta_1</td>
<td>Effective damping coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\Delta\zeta</td>
<td>Damping added to the system by the damper (\zeta-\zeta_1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\zeta_2</td>
<td>Damping coefficient of the damper mass when the primary mass is stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\mu</td>
<td>Ratio of damper mass to primary mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\omega_1</td>
<td>Angular frequency of the primary mass in the absence of the damper mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\omega_2</td>
<td>Angular frequency of the damper mass when the primary mass is stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\omega_R</td>
<td>Angular velocity of the rotor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BACKGROUND

Engineers now design turbomachinery blades without shrouds, or as blisks, causing blade damping to decrease significantly because mechanical damping from shroud and blade joints is eliminated. New damping concepts are required to provide the necessary damping to avoid the problems caused by high cycle fatigue (HCF) such as cracks or even catastrophic failure. However, the engine environment precludes many existing dampers because of high temperatures and large acceleration fields. The self-tuning impact damper described here is an attempt to address the HCF problem in blades or other rotating turbomachinery components.
Self-tuning vibration absorbers have been used in the past to attenuate torsional vibrations of rotating shafts. These dampers take the form of centrifugal pendulums that act as tuned-mass dampers. When the resonance frequency of the tuned mass equals the forcing frequency, the vibrations of the shaft are reduced. The self-tuning aspect of these dampers arises from the fact that their resonance frequencies are directly proportional to the rotational speed. This makes them ideal for engine blades because excitation frequencies are generally engine order, or proportional to rotor speed. Hollkamp et. al. explored the use of the centrifugal pendulum for turbomachinery blades. However, adapting these dampers for blades can be problematic. First, the pendulum length may be too long for practical use in blades. Second, the thickness of a turbomachinery blade may be too small for full range of motion of a tuned-mass pendulum device.

The self-tuning impact damper was designed specifically for use in turbomachinery blades, but may also find use in other rotating components. Here, the tuned mass is a ball rolling in a spherical trough under a centrifugal load. Its natural frequency is proportional to the rotor speed, thus it has the dynamic properties of a pendulum without its length. In addition, the damper mass is expected to strike the walls of the cavity in the blade as a means of dissipating additional energy.

The self-tuning impact damper combines the characteristics of a traditional impact damper and a vibration absorber tuned by the centrifugal acceleration created by the spinning rotor. A traditional impact damper functions by dissipating energy each time its mass strikes the walls of a cavity within a harmonic oscillator, as shown in Figure 1. In a high g-field, misalignment or friction can immobilize the impactor mass. The tuned-mass damper shown in Figure 2 functions by absorbing kinetic energy from the oscillator into the tuned mass, which in turn sheds its kinetic energy through some damping mechanism.

When driven at resonance, the tuned-mass damper produces maximum mass excursions within the cavity. This causes it to strike the cavity walls, thus making it an impact damper.

The self-tuning damper frequency can follow engine order lines, damping blade resonance crossings for multiple modes. For example, Figure 3 shows resonance crossings for the first bending (1B) and first torsional (1T) modes. An example of the engine-order self-tuning damper is the ball-in-trough damper shown in Figure 4. The radii of the ball and spherical trough, as well as the centrifugal acceleration, tune the damper’s resonance to the frequency of excitation encountered on a speed line. The ball resonance frequency is directly proportional to the rotor speed.

Brown and North showed that the non-tuned impact damper effectiveness is a function of damper mass, coefficient of restitution, and displacement amplitude. In the current study, computer simulations of the self-tuning impact-damped harmonic oscillator were performed to determine the additional effects of damper mass frequency and viscous damping between the engine component and damper mass. For a simple tuned-mass damper, there is an optimum value of viscous damping that minimizes the resonance peak height. This study shows that an optimum damping also exists for the tuned impact damper.
The damped turbomachinery component is modeled as a two-degree-of-freedom system, as shown in Figure 4. The mass-spring-damper system represents a vibration mode of the component (primary mass). A self-tuning impact damper is placed in a cavity within the primary mass.

The analysis technique follows that done by Brown and North. The derivation of the equations of motion is divided into three parts. The first part describes the motion of the primary mass and damper mass between impacts. In the second part, the behavior of the system at the time of impact is characterized; impact affects the velocities of both the primary and damper masses. Finally, the damper mass can "bounce-down" against the cavity wall during a primary mass oscillation. This occurs when the damper mass makes successively smaller bounces during a primary mass half-cycle, finally coming to rest against the cavity wall. Rather than spending computational time on infinitesimally small bounces, it is assumed that the damper mass becomes stuck on the wall when the time between bounces becomes very small. The equations of motion during this time represent the primary mass and damper mass moving as one object.

**MOTION BETWEEN IMPACTS**

In Figure 4, the primary mass \( m_1 \) represents the modal mass of the engine component. The parameters \( \zeta \) and \( \omega_0 \) are the damping coefficient and resonance frequency of that mode in the absence of the damper mass.

The damper mass \( m_2 \) is a ball of radius \( r \) that rolls without slip inside a spherical trough, or bowl, of radius \( R \). The acceleration \( a \) is the radial acceleration caused by rotation. This acceleration is \( a = R_0 \omega_k^2 \), where \( R_0 \) is the distance of the ball from the center of rotation of the rotor, and \( \omega_k \) is the rotor angular velocity. The primary mass displacement is \( x_1(t) \), and the displacement of the ball relative to the bowl in the \( x \)-direction is \( x_2(t) \).

The natural frequency of a ball rolling without slip in a trough under rotation is

\[
\omega_n = \omega_k \sqrt{\frac{5R_0}{7(R - r)}},
\]

assuming small displacements. Since the ball frequency is directly proportional to the rotational frequency, the ball frequency can be designed to follow an engine order \( N \) such that

\[
N = \frac{\sqrt{5R_0}}{7(R - r)}.
\]

The equations of motion for the primary mass and the ball rolling in the bowl are

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + \frac{\mu}{2}) \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2\zeta \omega_0 & \omega_0 \\ \omega_0 & \omega_0^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} & = \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu \zeta \omega_0 \omega_2 \\ 2(1 + \mu) \zeta \omega_0 \omega_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} \\
& + \begin{bmatrix} \omega_0^2 & -\mu \omega_2 \omega_0^2 \\ -\frac{\mu}{\omega_0^2} & (1 + \mu) \omega_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where the mass ratio is \( \mu = m_2/m_1 \). Here there is no external force on the system. It is assumed that there is some viscous damping between the primary mass and the damper mass, which is denoted by \( \zeta_2 \). These equations differ slightly from the simple pendulum equations since the damper mass rolling effects are included.

**BEHAVIOR DURING IMPACT**

As the ball motion increases, it can strike the wall of the cavity. The impact behavior is modeled assuming a simple coefficient of restitution \( \varepsilon \), and neglecting rotational inertia. Brown and North show that the equations governing the behavior at impact are

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1^- \\ \dot{x}_2^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\mu(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\mu} \\ 0 & -\varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^- \\ x_2^- \end{bmatrix},
\]

where the superscripts "-" and "+" denote the properties immediately before and after impact, respectively.

**STUCK DAMPER MASS**

When the ball bounces down against the cavity wall during an oscillation, excessive computational time can be spent on infinitesimally small bounces. Thus, it is assumed that the ball becomes stuck on the wall when the time between bounces becomes very
small. The damper mass and primary mass then move as a single object. The equations describing this situation are

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x}_1 + \frac{2\zeta_0 \omega_0}{1 + \mu} \dot{x}_1 + \frac{\omega_0^2}{1 + \mu} x_1 &= 0, \\
\ddot{x}_2 &= 0, \quad \dot{x}_2 = 0, \quad x_2 = \pm \frac{d}{2},
\end{align*}
\]

where \(d\) is the clearance in the cavity through which the damper mass can move. These equations are invoked when the time between successive impacts falls below a specified number.

**NUMERICAL ANALYSIS**

Both the tuned and the impact nature of this damper were studied numerically for this simple two-degree-of-freedom system. The frequency ratio \(\omega_0/\omega_1\) and the tuned mass damping \(\zeta\) were varied to study the effect on the effective damping coefficient \(\zeta'\), which will be defined in the following section. The primary mass damping \(\zeta\) was set at 0.002 to agree with previous experimental data.\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\). The mass ratio \(\mu\) was set at 0.003, and the coefficient of restitution \(e\) was assumed to be 0.6.

**METHOD**

The equations of motion governing the tuned-impact-damped system are nonlinear. Therefore, the equations were solved numerically with a FORTRAN program using an adaptable step size Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg routine.

Since the damping is a nonlinear function of primary mass amplitude, the damping was calculated from a simple free decay curve. Here, the primary mass was simulated as being released from rest from an initial displacement. The free decay displacement of the primary mass was then recorded. Figure 5 shows the free decay curve of the nondimensional displacement amplitude \(\Delta A\) as a function of time. The amplitude \(\Delta A\) is the ratio of primary mass displacement amplitude \(C\) to gap clearance \(d\), or \(\Delta A = C/d\).

A curve of the damping coefficient \(\zeta\) versus the nondimensional displacement amplitude \(\Delta A\) was generated for each run, as shown in Figure 6. This was done by fitting an exponential curve \(A e^{-\zeta_0/2}\) to 25 cycles of the free decay envelope, and calculating \(\zeta\). In each case, an added damping, denoted \(\Delta \zeta\), was calculated by subtracting the primary mass damping \(\zeta\) from the effective damping \(\zeta\). The \(\Delta \zeta\) curve generally has a maximum at some displacement \(A_{\text{max}}\). This maximum \(\Delta \zeta_{\text{max}}\) is known as the peak added damping.

**NUMERICAL RESULTS**

Figures 7 and 8 show some numerical results for \(\Delta \zeta\) for the simple tuned (non-impact) damper and \(\Delta \zeta_{\text{max}}\) for the tuned impact damper, respectively. Above \(\omega_0/\omega_1 = 1\), the tuned damper and the tuned impact damper perform similarly. However, when the tuned mass resonance frequency is less than the primary mass resonance frequency, or \(\omega_0/\omega_1 < 1\), there is significantly more damping in the optimized tuned impact damper system. The dependence of \(\Delta \zeta\) on amplitude for the self-tuning impact damper will be explored later.

Several values of \(\zeta\) were evaluated for both the tuned-mass and tuned-impact damped systems. Both the highest damping value and the frequency ratio at which it occurs vary with \(\zeta\). Figure 9 shows that for the self-tuning impact damper there is an optimum value of \(\zeta\) near 0.03; above and below this value the damping decreases. The frequency ratio at the highest damping approaches \(\omega_0/\omega_1 = 1\) as \(\zeta\) increases.
Note that in Figure 9, when $\zeta_2 = 0.0$ the highest damping occurs near $\omega_2/\omega_1 = 0.8$. The ball frequency does not coincide with the primary mass frequency at that point. The engineer needs to account for the expected $\zeta_2$ when designing the damper.

Figure 7 – Tuned-Mass Damper
Added Damping vs Frequency Ratio

Figure 8 – Self-Tuning Impact Damper
Added Damping vs Frequency Ratio

Figure 9 – Optimum Damping and Frequency Ratio vs Damping Coefficient $\zeta_2$

Figure 10 shows that for the self-tuning impact damper, the nondimensional amplitude $A_{n,m}$ at which the peak added damping $\Delta \zeta_{max}$ occurs also varies with frequency ratio. The amplitude $A_{n,m}$ corresponding to the highest damping is very small. This is also illustrated in Figure 11. Here the added damping is shown as a function of frequency ratio for various values of $A$.

In order to design a damper for a specific application, the engineer needs to consult a diagram such as Figure 11. The engineer should know the expected blade displacement amplitude at the damper location, and the frequency or frequency range of interest. If large damping over a small frequency range is desired, then $d$ should be chosen to give a very small $A$, in this case around 0.05. If damping over a larger frequency range is desired, then $d$ should be chosen to give a moderate value of $A$, in this case around 0.10.
EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

The theoretical results for free decay behavior have been validated by experiments in the Dynamic Spin Facility at NASA Glenn Research Center\textsuperscript{2,3,4}.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

In the spin facility shown in Figure 12, a pair of plates rotates in vacuum at up to 20,000 rpm. The rotor shaft is suspended vertically by a ball bearing at the top and a magnetic bearing at the bottom. This magnetic bearing provides excitation to the shaft causing the plates to vibrate. At a given rpm, the magnetic bearing provides a sinusoidal excitation at the plate first bending frequency (1B). The excitation is then removed and the plate vibration allowed to decay. This free decay is repeated for up to five runs at each rpm.

Figure 12 also shows the instrumentation on the plates. There are four strain gages on each plate, two on each face at the base of the unclamped region. These gages form a bridge to give data on bending motion. There are also two accelerometers per plate, one on each inboard face of the boss. Finally, there is also a temperature gage on each plate that was not used in these tests.

Figure 13 - Dynamic Spin Facility at NASA Glenn Research Center

Test plates are shown in Figure 13. In various experiments, two pairs of aluminum plates were tested. The plates are 3.0 inches wide, 0.063 inches thick, and either 4.0 or 6.0 inches long beyond the clamped region. They are clamped 180° apart on the rotor. There is a 0.75 inch wide by 0.75 inch long by 0.50 inch thick boss at the end of each plate that holds a damper capsule. The threaded damper capsule is 0.50 inches in diameter and 0.50 inches thick, and screws into the end of each plate. Dampers are located within the damper capsules.

In Test #1, six self-tuning impact dampers, as well as one non-tuned impact damper, were tested in two pairs of rotating cantilever plates at up to 3000 rpm, or about 3100 g's. Figure 14 shows a schematic of these ball-in-trough dampers. Table 1 details the test configurations. For each configuration, a 1/8-inch diameter chrome steel ball was placed in a damping capsule. Each capsule had a hardened stainless steel bowl of a specified diameter. Each plate pair contained identical dampers for each test run. The self-tuning damper resonance frequencies followed engine order lines of $N = 3, 4,$ and 5. The crossing speeds shown in Table 1 are the expected crossing speeds based on Equations 1 and 2. Figure 3 shows the Campbell diagram for the longer test plates. Test runs were also performed with undamped plates (empty damper capsules).

In Test #2, two additional ball-in-trough self-tuning impact dampers were tested in a single plate pair. The engine order of both of these dampers was near $N = 2$. The purpose of this test was to show that damping still occurs at high speeds (high centrifugal accelerations). Table 2 shows the configurations for Test #2. Again, baseline test runs were also done with undamped plates.

Note that in configuration 2-A, the engine order is non-integer ($N = 2.05$). The test system is capable of
providing excitation at any desired frequency, even a non-integer engine order.

![Diagram of Plate Motion](image)

**Figure 14 – Ball-in-Trough Dampers**

- **Table 1 – Test #1 Configurations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>Engine Order</th>
<th>Crossing Speed</th>
<th>G's at Crossing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-A</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Not Tuned</td>
<td>Not Tuned</td>
<td>Not Tuned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-B</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>510 rpm</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-C</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>655 rpm</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-D</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>900 rpm</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-E</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>960 rpm</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-F</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1375 rpm</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-G</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1980 rpm</td>
<td>1142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Table 2 – Test #2 Configurations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Plate</th>
<th>Engine Order</th>
<th>Crossing Speed</th>
<th>G's at Crossing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-A</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>4250 rpm</td>
<td>5230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-B</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4890 rpm</td>
<td>6940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

Figures 15 and 16 show the peak added damping $\Delta \xi_{\text{max}}$ as a function of rotor speed for Test #1. Expected crossing speeds are indicated on the graphs, and are quite near to the maximum damping speeds. Again, $\Delta \xi$ is defined as the undamped plate baseline $\xi$, subtracted from the damped plate $\xi$. Typically $\xi$ was approximately 0.002. Here the damping of the first bending mode was measured. The non-tuned impact dampers (configuration 1-A) were moderately successful at damping vibrations at low g’s; however, their effectiveness decreased with increasing rotational speed, completely dying out by around 2000 rpm, or 1400 g’s. This failure is attributed to friction and/or misalignment of the damper in the g-field. The self-tuning dampers were significantly better than the non-tuned dampers, especially near the speed line crossings when the damper resonance frequency equals the blade resonance frequency. These results are similar to the theoretical results in Figure 8.

![Graph of Damping vs Rotor Speed](image)

**Figure 15 – Damping vs Rotor Speed Configurations 1-A - 1-D**

![Graph of Damping vs Rotor Speed](image)

**Figure 16 – Damping vs Rotor Speed Configurations 1-E - 1-G**

Figure 17 shows the results from Test #2. For configuration 2-A, the peak damping did not occur near the expected crossing speed; the speed was higher than anticipated. The cause of this is currently unknown. However, significant damping was obtained at about 7250 g’s, which approaches the g-levels experienced by aircraft turbomachinery blades.

The experimental results verify the preliminary theoretical results; the self-tuning impact damper is effective in reducing resonant blade response at or below speed line crossings. The results also show that the velocity of the ball near resonance overcomes friction and misalignment, causing the damper to retain effectiveness at higher g-levels.
CONCLUSIONS

Theoretical results show that the self-tuning impact damper is a more robust design than the simple tuned damper. The tuned damper requires that the tuned mass and blade resonance frequencies be nearly identical. The self-tuning impact damper works best near the tuning frequency, but can function at a reduced level when the tuned mass resonance frequency is less than the blade resonance frequency.

Experimental results show that the self-tuning impact damper also works better than the simple impact damper in rotating blades. It has fewer problems with friction or misalignment than the non-tuned impact damper because of the spherical trough design.

Finally, both numerical and experimental results have been obtained for the unforced, free-decay case only. Since the damper is nonlinear, it is possible to have multiple solutions for a given configuration. A forced response analysis and/or test could give additional insight into how the impact damper will function in various applications.
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