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1 Introduction

Magnetorheological (MR) fluids are colloidal suspensions of paramagnetic particles in a non-magnetic fluid. These fluids, along with their electrical analogues, electrorheological (ER) fluids, have been proposed as controllable fluids, for use in electromechanical devices such as dampers, clutches, and brakes. [1] When exposed to an external magnetic field, the particles acquire dipole moments and aggregate to form chains in the field direction. The fluid structure depends on the volume fraction; dilute suspensions form weakly-interacting single-particle chains, while more concentrated, the particle chains cross-link laterally into a dense network. The latter structure is responsible for the unique rheological properties of MR fluids: the quick formation of a network in response to an external field creates a rapid liquid-to-solid transition. Aside from their practical applications, MR fluids are of fundamental interest because they allow us to probe the structure and dynamics of a suspension of particles interacting via a "tunable" anisotropic interaction.

Recently, we have studied microscopic properties, such as the kinetics of chain growth and low-energy structures [2, 3]. The suspension dynamics [4, 5] are of interest since it has been proposed that Landau-Peierls thermal fluctuations of dipolar chains could be responsible for long-range attractions between chains [6]. Rigid dipolar chains produce a transverse magnetic field that decreases approximately as \( e^{-(\rho/a)} \), where \( a \) is the distance between the chain and \( a \), the dipolar particle radius. Thus, chains separated by a distance greater than one diameter should interact weakly. In contrast, taking into account thermal fluctuations produces a mean-squared field that decreases as a power law: \( \sqrt{\langle H^2 \rangle} \sim \sqrt{kT a}/\rho^2 \). Thus, fluctuations may be responsible for the long-range lateral interactions in dipolar chains that greatly affect their response and final structures.

We report our initial studies of the dynamics of MR fluid suspensions using diffusing-wave spectroscopy (DWS). The application of DWS to study the dynamics of MR fluids has several advantages: first, it allows us to probe suspensions capable of forming long chains. Second, the highly multiply-scattered light probes smaller length and time scales than those accessible through single scattering experiments or microscopic observation, complementing those studies. Finally, we can probe thick samples to minimize interfacial effects on our results.

2 Experimental

We synthesized MR emulsion droplets following a method due to Bibette [7]. A ferrofluid (Rhone-Poulenc), composed of mono-domain iron oxide particles suspended in octane, is emulsified into water using sodium dodecyl sulfate, SDS (Sigma, cmc = 2.351 g/ml). The rough emulsion is fractionated by seven successive depletion aggregations with SDS micelles. We vary the particle density by manipulating the amount of octane in the ferrofluid; the particles in this study have a density of approximately 1.1 g/ml. We resuspend the particles in a \( D_2O-SDS \) solution (\( \rho = 1.10 \text{ g/ml} \)) at the SDS cmc to minimize sedimentation effects in our light scattering experiments. In this study we use particles of approximately 240 nm diameter, at a volume fraction, \( \phi \) of 0.005 and an estimated magnetic susceptibility \( \chi \) of 1.2.

When placed in an external magnetic field, the particles interact via an anisotropic dipolar potential

\[
U(r, \theta) = \left( \frac{4\pi \mu^2}{\mu_0} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3\cos^2(\theta)}{r^3} \right)
\]

where \( \theta \) is the angle the particle centers form with the field direction, \( r \) is the distance between particle centers, and \( \mu = \frac{4}{3} \pi a^3 \mu_0 \chi H \) is the induced dipole for a particle of radius \( a \) in a field of magnitude \( H \). We characterize the dipole strength with [8]:

\[
\lambda = \frac{U_{\text{max}}}{kT} = \frac{\pi \mu_0 a^3 \chi^2 H^2}{9kT}
\]

Our experiments are conducted over a range of dipole strengths: \( \lambda = 0, 1, 2 \), and 16.

The dynamics of our MR fluid are studied using a transmission geometry [9]. The MR fluid is placed in a spectrophotometer cuvette (Spectrocell) with a pathlength \( L = 10 \text{ mm} \). The cuvette is centered in a uniform magnetic field generated by Helmholtz coils. Linearly polarized light from a 35 mW HeNe (Spectraphysics) at 632.8 nm is expanded to a plane wave with a 10X laser collimator and illuminates the face of the sample perpendicular to the field direction. The transmitted diffuse light is collected by two pinholes coupled to a multimode fiber optic. A cross-polarizer transmits only depolarized, highly multiply-scattered light. The intensity fluctuations are measured using a photomultiplier (Thorn EMF) with a built-in amplifier-descriminator. We use a commercial correlator (Brookhaven Instruments, BI-9000AT) to calculate the intensity autocorrelation, \( g^{(2)}(t) \). The electric field autocorrelation \( g^{(1)}(t) \), is found using the Siegert relation, \( g^{(2)}(t) = |g^{(1)}(t)|^2 \).
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When the magnetic field is applied, the dipolar particles rapidly aggregate to form chains. On longer time scales, the chains experience lateral interactions and coalesce to form thicker columns. Our experimental protocols attempt to produce conditions where the fluctuations of individual chains dominate the system dynamics. First, by minimizing the density difference between our droplets and the suspending fluid, we reduce the sedimentation-induced aggregation of chains. During our dynamic studies, the chains are grown at \( \lambda = 16 \) and after 12 seconds, the desired field strength is applied. This provides a consistent starting point for measurements at each dipole strength in terms of the suspension structure, and avoids the initial ballistic motion when the field is turned on. Starting at 15 seconds, we collect autocorrelation data for 60 seconds. To assess the effect of the starting time, we repeat the experiments switching the field at 85 seconds and starting the autocorrelation at 90 seconds.

In the diffusion limit of light transport in turbid media, a photon executes a random walk with an average step length of \( l^* \), characterizing the distance over which its direction is randomized. Since structure and interactions affect the value of \( l^* \) \[9, 10, 11, 12\], we measure the diffuse transmittance of an expanded laser beam to monitor the change in light transport properties during an experiment. Changes in \( l^* \) are taken into account in our analysis. The initial value of \( l^* \) is found from by fitting the field-off data. The characteristic photon absorption length \[13\] \( l_a = \sqrt{l^*/3\alpha} \), where \( \alpha \) is the absorption coefficient, is found independently by varying the pathlength and measuring the diffuse transmittance through a sample without the magnetic field.

Light transport properties are also measured by removing the collimator and focusing the incident beam to a point source on the sample cuvette face. The transmitted diffuse light is collected on a ccd array and recorded on videotape at a rate of 30Hz. Images are captured from tape by averaging 20 video frames and processed to assess the degree and evolution of anisotropic light transport in our samples after the field is applied and the magnetic chains begin growing.

3 Results and Discussion

Effects of anisotropic light transport. In diffusing wave spectroscopy, the electric-field autocorrelation is a weighted sum

\[
g^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int_0^\infty d\tau P(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \exp\left[ -(c/3l^*)k_0^2(\Delta r^2(t))\tau \right] \tag{3}
\]

where \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \) is the mean-squared displacement of the scatterers and \( c \) is the speed of light in the medium, and the probability \( P(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \) that a diffusing photon will arrive at position \( \mathbf{r} \) and time \( \tau \), is determined by solving the diffusion equation for the spatially dependent light energy density \( U \) is anisotropic

\[
\frac{\partial U(\mathbf{r}, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = \left( D_\parallel \nabla^2 + D_\perp \nabla^2 \right) U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) + 1/\mu_a \tag{4}
\]

where \( \mu_a \) is the characteristic time for photon absorption and \( D_\parallel \) and \( D_\perp \) are the light diffusion constants in the direction parallel and perpendicular to the orientation of the chains, respectively. The diffusive probability is found from

\[
P(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = U(\mathbf{r}, \tau)/\int_0^\infty d\tau U(\mathbf{r}, \tau). \tag{5}
\]

The anisotropic light diffusion problem may be reduced to the familiar isotropic form by rescaling the axes, such that for our geometry we have \[14\]

\[
\left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta^2} - \frac{1}{\mu_a} \right] U(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = 0 \tag{5}
\]

where \( D \) is the average diffusivity and \( \zeta = \sqrt{3/D_\parallel} \). This equation is valid as long as the plane-wave conditions are met experimentally; that is, the component of \( l^* \) along the anisotropy is much less than the width of our expanded beam. Eq. (5) is solved using zero net-flux boundary conditions discussed elsewhere \[9\].

We note that Eq. (3) assumes the dynamic scattering cross-section can be approximated with its form for a dilute colloidal suspension \[15\]. Recently, an anisotropic multiple light scattering formalism has been developed and applied to light scattering in nematic liquid crystals \[16\]; however, the nature of light scattering in nematics differs from that in colloidal systems due to the smaller length-scale structures. In the future, adaption of this formalism to anisotropic colloidal suspensions may improve the analysis.

The magnitude of the light transport anisotropy is established through the steady-state transmission of a point-source through the sample. Fig. (1) shows images of the diffuse depolarized intensity collected by our ccd camera for 45 and 150 seconds.

Figure 1: Images showing depolarized diffuse intensity from a point source transmitted through a 10mm sample at \( \lambda = 16 \). (a) Field on 45 seconds. (b) Field on 150 seconds.
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

seconds after the field is applied at \( \lambda = 16 \). The ratio of the major and minor axes increases rapidly to 1.6 at 15 seconds as the chains are initially formed. At 45 seconds, the ratio is 1.8 and increases slowly to 2.2 at 150 seconds; this relatively small anisotropy validates the use of Eq. (5). The ratios are insensitive to switching the field strength to lower values, and the images return immediately to the isotropic values when the field is turned off.

**Estimation of \( l^* \) and \( l_a \).** The absorption length \( l_a \) is 1.12 \pm 0.08\, \mu m. Using this value and the calculated particle self-diffusion coefficient \( D_s \), we fit the field-off autocorrelation to find \( l^* = 790 \pm 10\, \mu m \) as shown in Fig. (2). The relatively large value of \( l^* \) compared with systems such as polystyrene spheres [10] can be attributed to the lower contrast of our droplets.

As mentioned previously, changes in the spatial arrangement and interactions of scatterers alter the diffuse transmittance through the sample. In the case of dense or interacting suspensions, \( l^* \) is proportional to the weighted average over the particle form factor \( P(q) \) and structure factor \( S(q) \) [9, 10, 11, 12]:

\[
l^{-1} \propto 2 k_0^{-2} \int q^2 P(q) S(q) d\Omega.
\] (6)

To assess the effect of the dipolar interactions and chain structures on \( l^* \), we monitor the diffuse transmittance through our sample and measure the normalized increase of the scattering length \( \Delta l^*(t)/l^*(0) \). For \( L > l_a \), the diffuse transmittance may be written as [13]:

\[
T(L) = \frac{\Delta l^*(t)}{3l_a} \exp(-L/l_a).
\] (7)

Expanding Eq. (7) to three terms and dividing by \( T(0) \), we find an expression for the normalized change in the diffuse transmittance \( \Delta T(t)/T(0) \) as a function of \( \Delta l^*(t)/l^*(0) \) [17].

During the experiments, \( \Delta l^*(t)/l^*(0) \) increases rapidly to 0.20 ten seconds after applying the field, then increases linearly to 0.24 at 150 seconds. Thus, \( l^* \) varies by less than approximately 2\% during our autocorrelation measurements and does not change when the field is stepped to lower values; it does return immediately to zero when the field is turned off. This suggests that structure dominates \( l^* \) and there is a minimal amount of structural change when the field is reduced for our dynamic measurements.

**DWS results.** As shown in Fig. (2), the autocorrelation \( g^{(1)}(t) \) for the field-off data is well-described by the isotropic solution of Eq. (3) by fitting \( l^* \) using our measured value for \( l_a \) and calculated value for \( D_s \). The next three curves show \( g^{(1)}(t) \) measured 90 seconds after applying the field. The autocorrelations now show a shift to longer decay times, increasing with higher values of \( \lambda \). Unlike the isotropic case, the autocorrelations for the dipolar systems cannot be fit using a single characteristic decay time such as \((D_s k_0^2)^{-1}\). Instead, we calculate the mean-squared displacement with time \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \) from the solution of Eq. (3).

Fig. (3) shows \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \) scaled by the particle diameter \( d \) for each dipole strength as a function of scaled time \( tD_s d^{-2} \). The straight solid line in each plot represents self-diffusion, which the field-off data follows very well. When the field is applied, the initial mean-squared displacements follow a similar slope which is less than that of free three-dimensional (3D) diffusion, but consistent with two-dimensional (2D) diffusion (the dashed, straight lines in Fig. (3)) irrespective of dipole strength. As the time increases, \( \langle \Delta r^2(t) \rangle \) diverges further from the free diffusion limit, exhibiting a constrained, sub-diffusive motion. The magnitude of deviation from the solid line increases as we increase the dipole strength, suggesting that the DWS experiment is sensitive to the small-wavelength motions of the dipolar chains. The chains should exhibit a spectrum of modes of motion, including short-time individual "vibrations" of each particle, collective chain motion at intermediate time-scales, and eventually the long-time diffusion of the entire chain. Since the scattering data exhibits the same initial slope, our experiments appear to measure the Brownian motion of the particles comprising the dipolar chains. Particle diffusion is hindered by constraint to the chain through the dipolar interaction with its neighbors. Movement perpendicular-
4 CONCLUSIONS

Brownian dynamics simulations. To test our interpretation of the DWS experiments, we conduct Brownian dynamics simulations of magnetic chains over the time scales observed in our experiments [18, 19]. Briefly, the simulations solve the equation of motion of the \textsuperscript{\nu}th particle taking into account three forces acting on it: a dipolar force between neighboring particles \( F_{i}^{D,\nu} \), a stochastic Brownian force \( F_{i}^{Br,\nu} \), and an excluded volume interaction \( F_{i}^{BV,\nu} \). Again scaling displacement with the particle diameter \( d \) and time with the characteristic time a free particle diffuses its diameter \( D_{d}d^{-2} \), the dimensionless equation of motion, neglecting inertia, is written as:

\[ q_{i}^{\nu} = \lambda F_{i}^{D,\nu} + F_{i}^{Br,\nu} + c F_{i}^{BV,\nu} \]

where \( \lambda \) is the dipole strength. The simulations are conducted for \( \lambda = 5, 10, 15, 20, \) and 26 using ensembles of 500 chains for proper averaging. We compute the mean-squared deviation of each particle, \( \langle \Delta r_{i}^{2}(t) \rangle \) averaged over all particles in the simulation for chains of 20 and 100 particles.

Since our experiments are most sensitive to lateral fluctuations in the chain, we extract the mean-squared displacement projected onto a plane orthogonal to the chain direction. We compare the 100 particle simulation results to the DWS data in Fig. (3). The differences in \( \langle \Delta r_{i}^{2}(t) \rangle \) using 20 particles showed no consistent trend and did not exceed the expected accuracy. The simulations capture the qualitative aspects of the experimental results nicely; however, there are quantitative discrepancies for both the 15 and 90 second data. For instance, the simulations require a higher dipole strength to generate similar displacements with time. This could reflect the fact that the simulation neglects the local field created from the formation of the dipolar chains as well as higher moment interactions [20]. At this time we should not expect exact quantitative agreement for a variety of reasons including neglect of hydrodynamic interactions and magnetic interactions between chains.

4 Conclusions

As a class of tunable fluids, MR systems are interesting due to their fast rheological response and possible applications in mechanical systems such as brakes and clutches. In this paper, we presented initial studies of the dynamics of MR fluids using diffusing-wave spectroscopy. By accounting for changes in the light transport properties of the system, we found dynamical differences which depend on the interaction strength between the fluid particles. The DWS experiments offer the capability to measure the dynamics of the MR fluid systems on time- and length-scales that capture the short-wavelength chain motions. Brownian dynamics simulations of dipolar chains support our experimental results. Both experiments and simulations show initial particle displacements that are independent of field strength; however, at longer times, we see a constrained sub-diffusive movement that increases with the dipolar interactions. We plan to investigate the quantitative agreement between simulations and experiments by incorporating hydrodynamic interactions and chaining effects into our simulations in the near future.
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