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THE DEPENDENCE OF ATOMIC OXYGEN UNDERCUTTING OF PROTECTED POLYIMIDE KAPTON® H UPON DEFECT SIZE

Aaron Snyder and Kim K. de Groh
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

ABSTRACT—Understanding the behavior of polymeric materials when exposed to the low-Earth-orbit (LEO) environment is important in predicting performance characteristics such as in-space durability. Atomic oxygen (AO) present in LEO is known to be the principal agent in causing undercutting erosion of SiO$_x$ protected polyimide Kapton® H film, which serves as a mechanically stable blanket material in solar arrays. The rate of undercutting is dependent on the rate of arrival, directionality and energy of the AO with respect to the film surface. The erosion rate also depends on the distribution of the size of defects existing in the protective coating. This paper presents results of experimental ground testing using low energy, isotropic AO flux together with numerical modeling to determine the dependence of undercutting erosion upon defect size.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thin protective films, such as SiO$_x$ where 1.9$<x<2.0$, are commonly used to protect polymers from erosion by energetic (4.5 eV) AO in LEO. However, defects in the coatings exist which allow the base polymer to be attacked causing erosion of the polymer substrate with subsequent gradual loss in its mechanical integrity. The durability of protected polymeric materials is predominantly dependent on the extent of AO undercutting at defect sites in the coating. Defect sites are typically manifested as pin windows, scratches, or cracks in the coating. In this paper the AO durability of the polyimide Kapton® H, which serves as a mechanically stable blanket material in solar arrays, is examined by investigating undercutting erosion below pin-window defects. Evaluating the in-space protective quality of coatings based on ground-test results using an AO plasma (~0.04 eV) is not simple due to differences between the two environments in AO energy, flux directionality and energy distribution. To provide better evaluation, more faithful characterizations of AO reactions with polymers are needed. Advanced modeling requires additional understanding of the mechanisms controlling undercutting at defect sites. Important aspects of cavity evolution can be studied without knowing the detailed nature of the erosion process. Prior investigations [1-4] have yielded valuable information on cavity shape, size, and distribution. This information has guided revision of the computational models used to predict undercutting.

Recently, cavity evolution occurring in protected Kapton®, exposed to an effective fluence of about 2×10$^{22}$ atoms/cm$^2$ in a plasma asher, was investigated and results reported [5]. This fluence level produced numerous cavities several times wider than the film thickness, providing a broad range of cavities to be studied. For recent information focusing on smaller cavities, including novel rendering techniques, see [6].
Because much of the present work is an extension of [5], its primary findings are briefly reviewed here. Numerous cavities occurring beneath pin-window defects in the exposed upper protective coating were monitored using optical microscopy. Growth was documented by recording variation of cavity diameter with AO fluence. Three basic geometric models were used to estimate cavity volume. The models estimate volumes based on cavity diameters measured adjacent to the upper protective coating and the lower coating. It was found that the volumes of the smaller cavities increased less than linearly, whereas the volumes of larger-volume sites increased greater than linearly with AO fluence. It was determined for a particular cavity that its growth is adequately modeled as a simple power function of fluence; better modeling is achieved by taking into account the variation occurring in key geometrical ratios once the lower protective coating is reached. In addition, it was observed by scanning electron microscope (SEM) examination of some of the above defect sites that defect size is a factor in determining cavity shape. In general, and as expected, preliminary results indicated that larger defects produce larger cavities.

Although other factors influence cavity evolution, the parameter perceived to play the greatest role in site-to-site variation in cavity evolution is the defect size; it is important to have a clear understanding of how cavity evolution is influenced by defect size. The goal of this study is to correlate the variation in cavity growth with defect size. To supplement the information obtained from sites studied at successive fluence intervals, additional undercutting sites were identified and optically measured to determine cavity volume at final fluence. SEM measurements were made of the defects at many of these sites. Volume was calculated assuming a linear-arc cavity wall profile. The ratio of the volume erosion at each defect to the volume erosion due to an equivalent fluence of AO at an identical area of unprotected Kapton® is assessed in terms of the cavity defect size. This normalized erosion is denoted the gain, and it establishes a convenient metric for undercutting erosion. Numerical calculations of cavity growth were also made using an axisymmetric computational model to simulate undercutting erosion. In the results and discussion section, experimental and numerically calculated erosion gains are compared. Given knowledge of the gain for different defects sizes, and provided the approximate distribution of defects by size over a typical area of film is known [7], overall erosion rates of protected material can be estimated. It is hoped this information can be used in the future to predict in-space AO durability as based on ground-laboratory data.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The study used a 13.56-MHz SPI Plasma Prep-II operated with air. This type of asher produces plasma by the use of a low pressure, radio-frequency induced, gaseous discharge. The optical measurements were made using an Olympus SZH microscope. Coordinates of specific cavity sites after each fluence increment were obtained using a xy-positioner. High magnification (up to 20K x) micrographs of defect sites in the glass protective coating were made using a JEOL JMS-6100 scanning electron microscope, after sputter coating the sample with a thin (~7.5 nm) layer of Pd to prevent excessive accumulation of electrostatic charge. The areas of site defects recorded on the SEM micrographs were measured using a mechanical planimeter.

The Kapton® sample was cut from a sheet of 0.0254-mm thickness Sheldahl-701664, covered on both faces with 0.13 microns (1300 Å) of SiOx where 1.9<x<2.0. Prior to ashing, the sample was
mounted on a 2.5 by 7.5-cm slide and trimmed to this size. It was fastened by double adhesive tape along its periphery, designed to permit exposure to only the upper surface. During eachashing session, the sample was accompanied by a fresh 0.125-mm thick by 25-mm diameter Kapton® witness coupon to monitor mass loss and provide fluence history.

Undercutting sites were selected with emphasis on shape circularity. Cavity diameters were determined by measuring the silhouette produced by transmitted light from below. Figure 1 shows a image produced from an optical microscope photograph that reveals the cavity of site 9. The black annular area, concentric with the site’s center, corresponds to the sloped wall of the undercut cavity. Thus, the white inner portion represents the exposed silhouette of the lower protective coating. Similarly, the outer boundary of the black annular ring corresponds to the silhouette of the exposed upper coating containing the defect. This defect is shown in figure 2. Although it is composed of several irregular openings, it yields a fairly symmetric cavity. At this final stage of development shown in figure 1, the cavity’s upper diameter is approximately forty times as wide as the defect aperture. Cavity volume is calculated based on fitting a conical cavity profile to the lower and upper diameters, denoted as \(d\) and \(D\), respectively.

![Site 9](image1.png)  ![Site 9](image2.png)

Fig. 1: Neighborhood of undercut cavity, site 9.  
Fig. 2: Defect aperture at site 9.

3. NUMERICAL SIMULATION

In order to provide a comparison to the asher data, a quasi three-dimensional computational model was developed and coded to simulate AO undercutting at defect sites in protective coatings. The impact of AO collisions with the cavity wall is treated using an iterative process. For a given time step, the erosion yield for a unit of AO flux is calculated based on the current specified probabilities and cavity geometry. The yield is calculated by summing the contributions of successive reactive collisions until the flux is effectively extinguished either by recombination and reactive collisions at the cavity surface or by efflux at the defect. The cavity volume is updated based on the computed yield, and the iteration proceeds to the next time step by introducing a new unit of flux.
The modeling is simplified by assuming that isotropic AO flux incident on a circular defect etches a conical cavity, consistent with the experimental model for volume estimation. It is emphasized that volume erosion by undercutting is basically a surface activity that proceeds incrementally, similar to successively removing thin layers from an onion-half, starting at the inner ring. For the case of low energy plasma asher AO, the average "successful" AO atom undergoes hundreds or even thousands of collisions with the cavity interior before chemically reacting. To increase the computational efficiency of the model, the cavity surface is treated as having a homogeneous composition. This is a very good approximation because collisions randomize the AO distribution, and the frequency that different cavity surface elements are struck by AO is essentially in direct proportion to their relative surface areas. Thus, in each computational collision, the AO atoms are considered to collide collectively with an ideal surface having the surface-averaged properties representative of the actual glass coating area, polyimide Kapton® area, and defect area. Additional simplification is obtained by using effective average values to account for complex physical phenomena, as in the case of AO reaction with the polymer molecules. The AO reaction probability at Kapton®, Pr, probability of AO recombination at glass surfaces resulting in O₂, Pcg, and recombination at the polymer surface, Pck, are prescribed and handled as stationary parameters. These parameters are specified along with the sample thickness, h_k, defect radius, r_def, and AO fluence, F.

4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

SEM measurements of defect size were obtained at 30 protective coating sites on the same sample as studied in [5]. The total ashing time for the sample was 1306 hr, which was carried out over a period of two months consisting of 21 separate ashing sessions. The effective AO fluence, as determined by the witness-coupon mass lost through etching over the ashing period of 1306 hr, is equivalent to a fluence of 1.96x10²² atom/cm².

Until the cavity expands to reach the lower coating, the only measurable variable is the upper diameter, D. It is assumed that the cavity depth, h, equals the upper cavity radius while it is growing, up to the instant it reaches the lower protective coating. This assumption is convenient and reasonably consistent with the data. The thickness of the Kapton® film is denoted by h_k. Because the defects are irregular in shape, the radius of a circle with the same area as the defect area is used as the effective radius of the defect. The effective radii in this report are presented in terms of h_k and range between approximately 0.005 and 0.2 h_k.

The volume, V, of revolution corresponding to the assumed linear-arc cavity profile is given by equation (1). The expression is for a truncated cone of height h with base diameters d and D, and reduces to a cone for d = 0.

\[
V = \frac{\pi h}{12} \left( D^3 + Dd + d^3 \right) 
\]  

(1)

In the numerical simulation of erosion (d = 0, D < 2 h_k), finite d is given in terms of D by the following equation

\[
d = D - 2h_k \left( \frac{D}{2h_k} \right)^{-0.324}, \quad D \geq 2h_k.
\]

(2)
This relationship between the diameters was determined by fitting the experimental data for the sites studied. The variation in \( d \) with respect to \( D \) is given in figure 3 where \textit{dimensions are given in units of the Kapton® sample thickness, \( h_k \) = 0.0254 mm}. The dotted curve in this figure represents the limiting condition \( d = D \). Inspection of the data trend reveals that the difference between \( D \) and \( d \) decreases slowly for increasing \( D \). As explained in the numerical simulation section, the amount of volume erosion is determined by the yield per unit of AO flux at a given time step and this is determined numerically in terms of the parameters listed in that section. Similarly, the increase in volume over a time step obviously results in an associated increase in \( D \), and perhaps \( d \). The fractional composition of the cavity surface, composed of polymer area, glass area and defect area, is easily updated as functions of newly computed diameters.

The following material compares the principal experimental and numerical findings. SEM measurements were made of the defects at eight sites that had been monitored over the entire ashing sequence. For these cavity sites the volume erosion gain at three fluence levels is presented in figure 4 as a function of defect radius (given in units of Kapton® film thickness, \( h_k \)). Erosion gain, \( G \), is computed by dividing the volume of erosion, \( V \), produced by undercutting below a given defect area, \( A_{def} \), by the volume, \( V_w \), of erosion resulting from exposing an equivalent area of unprotected witness material to identical fluence. For witness area, \( A_w \), not equal to \( A_{def} \), gain is calculated as given by the equation

\[
G = \frac{V}{V_w} \cdot \frac{A_w}{A_{def}}
\]

The results shown in figure 4 reveal that this undercutting erosion proceeds at significantly greater rates than unprotected erosion. The gain accelerates with decreasing defect size, with gains of a few hundred achievable for \( r_{def} < 0.02 \ h_k \) at final fluence. It is emphasized that the gain increases for all sites upon increasing AO fluence, with a greater percentage arising from the earlier fluence stage.

Fig. 3: Variation of \( d \) with \( D \).

Fig. 4: Erosion gain versus defect radius.
To supplement the sites that had been studied at regular fluence intervals, SEM measurements were taken of additional sites after the final ashing session. The volumes of these sites were calculated only for final fluence. The dependence of erosion gain at the final fluence upon defect size is presented in figure 5 for all the resolvable defects. The combined results substantiate that the efficiency of undercutting accelerates with reduction of defect size.

One factor for the increase in erosion compared to unprotected Kapton® is geometric in nature. The undercut cavity serves as a trap for AO atoms that do not react initially. Given a low enough probability for recombination, the AO is afforded many more collisions to chemically react before exiting the cavity. Smaller defects provide cavities that are geometrically more efficient at trapping AO because effluence is lower. As the size of the defect area becomes large compared to \( h_k \), the gain approaches unity. The exact variation of gain with defect size is complicated and depends on the rates of reaction and recombination. The experimental variation in gain is compared with numerical simulation results using the same \( h_k \) and similar \( r_{\text{def}} \).

Because the actual values of the probabilities \( P_r \), \( P_{ck} \), and \( P_{cg} \) are unknown, they must be specified somewhat arbitrarily. To reduce the number of independent variables, results are presented only for \( P_{ck} = P_{cg} = P_c \). The numerical specification of probabilities starts by first selecting a desired value of \( G \), say, to match an experimental \( G \) for a given fluence, \( F \), and \( r_{\text{def}} \). Next, with \( P_c \) set to zero, an estimated value for \( P_r \) is used, resulting in a cavity giving approximately the desired gain. By trial and error, \( P_r \) is adjusted until the desired value of \( G \) is obtained. The process is repeated for nonzero values of \( P_c \), resulting in new values of \( P_r \). Table I shows an array of values for \( P_r \) and \( P_c \) that maintains \( G = 145 \) for \( F = 1.96 \times 10^{22} \) atom/cm\(^2\) and \( r_{\text{def}} = 0.03 \) \( h_k \). This value of \( G \) was chosen by fitting to the experimental data (near the knee of the curve) shown in figure 5. Also listed in this table are values of \( G \) for \( r_{\text{def}} = 0.005 \) \( h_k \) and \( r_{\text{def}} = 0.2 \) \( h_k \), selected so as to span the experimental range of defect size. By examining the table, it can

![Fig. 5: Gain versus defect radius at final fluence, 1.96×10^22 atom/cm².](image-url)
be seen that decreasing $P_r$ results in an increase in $G$ for $r_{def} = 0.005\ h_k$ and a decrease in $G$ for $r_{def} = 0.2\ h_k$. The reason for this dissimilar variation in gain with defect size can be explained in terms of relative influence of $P_r$ and $P_c$ upon $G$. For constant defect size, an increase in either $P_r$ or $P_c$ decreases $G$, and thus, to maintain a constant $G$, if one probability is increased then the other must be decreased. In terms of the tabulated results for the case $r_{def} = 0.005\ h_k$, the increased gain achieved at lower values of $P_r$ evidently more than offsets the decrease in gain due to higher values of $P_c$. This is not true for the case $r_{def} = 0.2\ h_k$. This explains the tabulated “see-saw” variation of $G$ with $r_{def}$ about the maintained value of 145 at $r_{def} = 0.03\ h_k$. Little change in $G$ occurs for $P_r < 0.0001$. The variation in $G$ over the range of $r_{def}$ is about a factor of three.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability of Reaction, $P_r$</th>
<th>Probability of Recombination, $P_c$</th>
<th>$r_{def} = 0.005\ h_k$</th>
<th>$r_{def} = 0.03\ h_k$</th>
<th>$r_{def} = 0.2\ h_k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.006089</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>158.1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>84.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00287</td>
<td>163.1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.00337</td>
<td>164.4</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>50.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.00342</td>
<td>164.5</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To compare the results, gain values in Table I for $P_r = 0.001$ ($P_c = 0.00287$) are presented with the experimental values in figure 6. The scale of each axis is logarithmic. The experimental data vary approximately linearly on this scale, whereas the simulated results reveal a concave trend in the curve for smaller $r_{def}$.

To illustrate the dynamic variation of $G$ with $F$, experimental values and simulated results are shown in figure 7 for three common values of $r_{def}$. $G$ increases, in general, at a more gradual rate for the experimental data as compared to the simulated data. While suitable choices of $P_r$ and $P_c$ can be made to produce a simulated gain that is in exact agreement with the experimental data for a given fluence and defect size, considerable departure from the experimental data exists elsewhere. Variations of approximately a factor of two in gain are observed but not unexpected. It should be noted that the capability of specifying unequal combinations of $P_c$ and $P_r$ results in an additional parameter beyond that used here to explore simulated results. By varying the ratio of $P_{cg}$ to $P_{ck}$, simulated results can be obtained that are more “in line” with the experimental. The explanation for this added capability to “tune” simulated results is that the fractional cavity area composed of glass surface increases with cavity size. For higher ratios of $P_{cg}$ to $P_{ck}$, the larger the cavity the more its gain will be reduced below the level obtained when using equal recombination probabilities, $P_{cg} = P_{ck}$, because a larger cavity has a surface composition having a higher fractional glass area, resulting in higher AO loss through recombination.

Factors other than defect size influence cavity shape and evolution. These factors may act independently or in concert in a complex fashion. For example, the shape or size of a cavity may regulate reaction rates or chemical concentrations, leading to a non-uniform or nonlinear growth process. This may be the result of geometry, chemistry, transport phenomena or other physical phenomena. Nevertheless, the extent of agreement between the experimental and simulated results suggest that the increased erosion rates of undercutting erosion over open erosion can be explained to a great extent on the basis of geometric trapping.
Fig. 6: Comparison of experimental and simulated gain for various defect sizes.

Fig. 7: Experimental (solid) and simulated (open) gain versus AO fluence for several defect sizes.
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Undercutting erosion below pin widow defect sites in the SiO$_2$ protective coating of polyimide Kapton® H thin film (0.0254 cm) was studied. The upper surface of the protective coating was subjected to the low energy isotropic AO flux produced in a plasma asher for a period equivalent to an effective in-space AO fluence of 1.96×10$^{22}$ atoms/cm$^2$. Numerous cavity sites were examined during a sequence of twenty-one ashing sessions. Cavity diameters were measured and conical cavity volumes were calculated based on fitting linear profiles. SEM measurements were made to determine defect size. A quasi three-dimensional numerical code, which characterizes AO collisions with the wall in a collective manner, was also used to calculate undercutting erosion.

Volume erosion gain was calculated for undercut cavities based on erosion of unprotected material for both the experimental data and numerically simulated data. It was found that the gain increases significantly as a cavity develops; a larger fluence results in a larger cavity that better "traps" the AO atoms, producing a greater volume erosion yield per atom. For both sets of data, gain increases with decreasing defect size but is more pronounced in the experimental data. For the simulated data, the increase in gain for the smaller defects moderates with continued reduction in size. In general, for small AO fluence (small cavities) and large defects erosion gain is close to one, but for larger fluence and smaller defects, erosion gains of a few hundred are obtained. Based on this study, erosion gain is a dynamic quantity that depends primarily on defect size and AO fluence and is explained to a good degree in terms of the geometric trapping of AO.
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Understanding the behavior of polymeric materials when exposed to the low-Earth-orbit (LEO) environment is important in predicting performance characteristics such as in-space durability. Atomic oxygen (AO) present in LEO is known to be the principal agent in causing undercutting erosion of SiOx protected polyimide Kapton® H film, which serves as a mechanically stable blanket material in solar arrays. The rate of undercutting is dependent on the rate of arrival, directionality and energy of the AO with respect to the film surface. The erosion rate also depends on the distribution of the size of defects existing in the protective coating. This paper presents results of experimental ground testing using low energy, isotropic AO flux together with numerical modeling to determine the dependence of undercutting erosion upon defect size.