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National Combustion Code (NCC)

• Code Description
  – Integrated system of codes for the design & analysis of combustion systems
  – Advanced features to meet designers' requirements for model accuracy and turn-around time
  – Industry/government development team
  – Primary flow solver is CORSAIR-CCD

• Fundamental Features at Inception
  – Unstructured mesh
  – Parallel processing
**NCC Performance Improvement Effort**

- Achieve a 15-hour turnaround time with NCC on a large-scale, fully reacting combustor simulation by September 1998.
- The current goal is to achieve a 3-hour turnaround of a full combustor simulation (1.3 million elements) using CORSAIR-CCD by September 2001. This will represent a 1000:1 reduction in turnaround time relative to 1992.

**Benchmark Test Cases**

- Lean direct-injection / multiple Venturi swirler (LDI-MVS) combustor
  - ~444,000 computational elements
  - Finite-rate chemistry (12 species, 10 steps)
  - All turbulence, species and enthalpy equations turned on
  - Estimated converge at 10K iterations
- The benchmark geometry to satisfy the NPSS milestones should be in the range of 1.3 million elements.
- A second LDI-MVS test case is also available with ~971,000 elements.
Benchmark Hardware Platforms

Hardware Platform
- IBM SP-2
  - 144 RS6000/590s
- SGI Origin 2000
  - 64 & 256 250 MHz, R1000 processors

Baseline Performance

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (444K elements)
  - Finite-rate chemistry (12 species, 10 steps)
  - Platform: IBM SP-2
- Performance
  - 64 processors
  - 61.4 secs/iteration
- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations for 171 hours.
- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 512 hours.
Significant Performance Improvements

- Algorithm modifications
- Code streamlining
- Deadlock elimination
- Hardware upgrades
- IDLM kinetics module
- SGI FORTRAN I/O library
- Domain decomposition strategy

Algorithm Modifications

- CORSAIR-CCD uses a four-stage Runge-Kutta algorithm.
  - The convective, viscous and artificial dissipation terms were originally computed at each stage.
- The algorithm was modified:
  - The convective terms continue to be computed at each stage.
  - The viscous and artificial dissipation terms are computed at first stage and held constant for the remaining stages.
- This modification eliminated substantial computation and cut the required message passing in half.
Performance Following Algorithm Modifications

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (444K elements)
  - Finite-rate chemistry (12 species, 10 steps)
  - Platform: IBM SP-2

- Performance
  - 84 processors
  - 28.5 secs/iteration

- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations or 79 hours.
- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 238 hours.

Performance Profiling Results:
Code Streamlining

54% of time spent in two chemistry routines

- 40.1% chdiff (calculates viscosity and thermal conductivity of the gas mixture)
- 13.8% chprop (solves for gas-phase temperature and update gas-phase specific heat)
- 4.7% derivatives (calculate the 1st order derivatives)
- 4.4% chmsol (solves the linear systems of equation)
- 4.1% residual_smoothing
- 2.0% chmscc (calculates the coefficient matrix and B vector)
Code Streamlining (continued)

- Streamlined finite-rate chemistry operations
  - Replaced “a**0.25” with “sqrt(sqrt(a))”.
  - Eliminated unnecessary indexing of temporary variables.
  - Relocated some operations to an initialization routine.
  - Several divisions operations were replaced by their multiplicative inverse.

Performance Following Code Streamlining

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (444K elements)
  - Finite-rate chemistry (12 species, 10 steps)
  - Platform: IBM SP-2

- Performance
  - 84 processors
  - 14.8 secs/iteration

- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations or 41 hours.
- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 123 hours.
Deadlock Elimination

- The existing communication scheme was sufficient with a simple process topology.

- Deadlock was encountered when the process topology became more complex.

- A new communication scheme was developed to handle any arbitrary configuration of processes.
- This modification allowed increasing the number of processors used from 84 to 96.

Performance Following Deadlock Elimination

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (444K elements)
  - Finite-rate chemistry (12 species, 10 steps)
  - Platform: IBM SP-2

- Performance
  - 96 processors
  - 13.0 secs/iteration
- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations or 36 hours.
- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 108 hours.
Hardware Upgrade

- IBM SP-2
  - 96 processors
  - 13.0 secs/iteration
  - Speedup = ~80.4
  - Efficiency = ~84%

- SGI Origin 2000
  - 32 processors
  - 10.1 secs/iteration
  - Speedup = 26.3
  - Efficiency = 82%

A 1.3 x improvement in performance was realized by switching to the SGI Origin.

Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 84 hours.
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Hardware Upgrade

- IBM SP-2
  - 32 processors
  - 34.4 secs/iteration
  - Speedup = ~30.4
  - Efficiency = ~95%

- SGI Origin 2000
  - 32 processors
  - 10.1 secs/iteration
  - Speedup = 26.3
  - Efficiency = 82%

A 3.4 x improvement in performance was realized when comparing 32 processor results on the SGI Origin.
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ILDM Kinetics Module

- Intrinsic low-dimensional manifold (ILDM)
- Replaced the existing finite-rate chemistry module
  - Solve two scalar equations rather than 12 equations for species.
  - Species are obtained from the ILDM tables.
  - Properties such as density, viscosity, temperature can be obtained from ILDM tables.
  - Computation and message passing cost are reduced considerably.

Performance with the ILDM Kinetics Module

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (444K elements)
  - ILDM Kinetics Module
  - Platform: SGI Origin 2000
- Performance
  - 32 processors
  - 2.1 secs/iteration
- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations or 6 hours.
- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 18 hours.
**SGI FORTRAN I/O Library**

- Scaling improved by switching to SGI f90 compiler.
  - Performance did not change when using \(\leq 32\) processors.
  - Performance improved when using \(> 32\) processors.
  - Initialization time decreased dramatically.

- The SGI f90 I/O library handled multiple processes accessing the same file much more efficiently than the SGI f77 I/O library.
  - Each process was printing a residual to the standard output.

**Domain Decomposition Strategy**

- METIS* grid partitioning tool (Univ. of Minnesota) was used to provide an alternative domain decomposition strategy for NCC.
  - The interface between processes is minimized.
  - Each process communicates with more of its neighbors, but the size of each message is much smaller.

- Code scalability is greatly improved on the Origin 2000, allowing an increase in the number of processors being used efficiently.

* Metis is a Greek word meaning 'wisdom.'
Performance with the METIS Grid Partitioning Tool

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (444K elements)
  - ILDM kinetics module
  - Platform: SGI Origin 2000

- Performance
  - 96 processors
  - 0.69 secs/iteration

- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations or 1.9 hours.

- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 5.8 hours.
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Performance with the METIS Grid Partitioning Tool

- Test case
  - LDI-MVS combustor (971K elements)
  - ILDM kinetics module
  - Platform: SGI Origin 2000

- Performance
  - 96 processors
  - 1.37 secs/iteration

- Estimated convergence in 10,000 iterations or 3.8 hours.

- Estimated convergence for a 1.3 million element combustor is 5.1 hours.

2000 NPSS Review
National Combustor Code (NCC) Performance Timeline

- The current goal is to achieve a three-hour turnaround of a full combustor simulation (1.3 million elements) using CORSAIR-CCD by September 2001. This will represent a 1000:1 reduction in turnaround time relative to 1992.
- 1992: Estimated time to solution was 3,072 hours.
- 1995: Time to solution was 500 hours.
- 1999: Time to solution was 9 hours.
- 2000: Time to solution is 6 hours.
- Currently at 512:1 turnaround time.
Future Work Planned

- Investigate mixing message passing with shared memory programming to enable using additional processors more efficiently.
  - Continue to use MPI for existing domain-level, coarse-grained parallelism.
  - Investigate using OpenMP for loop-level parallelism.
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