Tracer-based determination of vortex descent
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Abstract

A detailed analysis of available in situ and remotely sensed N₂O and CH₄ data measured in the 1999-2000 winter Arctic vortex has been performed in order to quantify the temporal evolution of vortex descent. Differences in potential temperature (θ) among balloon and aircraft vertical profiles (an average of 19-23 K on a given N₂O or CH₄ isopleth) indicated significant vortex inhomogeneity in late fall as compared with late winter profiles. A composite fall vortex profile was constructed for November 26, 1999, whose error bars encompassed the observed variability. High-latitude, extravortex profiles measured in different years and seasons revealed substantial variability in N₂O and CH₄ on θ surfaces, but all were clearly distinguishable from the first vortex profiles measured in late fall 1999. From these extravortex-vortex differences, we inferred descent prior to November 26: 397±15 K (1σ) at 30 ppbv N₂O and 640 ppbv CH₄, and 28±13 K above 200 ppbv N₂O and 1280 ppbv CH₄. Changes in θ were determined on five N₂O and CH₄ isopleths from November 26 through March 12, and descent rates were calculated on each N₂O isopleth for several time intervals. The maximum descent rates were seen between November 26 and January 27: 0.82±0.20 K/day averaged over 50-250 ppbv N₂O. By late winter (February 26-March 12), the average rate had decreased to 0.10±0.25 K/day. Descent rates also decreased with increasing N₂O; the winter average (November 26-March 5) descent rate varied from 0.75±0.10 K/day at 50 ppbv to 0.40±0.11 K/day at 250 ppbv. Comparison of these results with observations and models of descent in prior years showed very good overall agreement. Two models of the 1999-2000 vortex descent, SLIMCAT and REPROBUS, despite θ offsets with respect to observed profiles of up to 20 K on most tracer isopleths, produced descent rates that agreed very favorably with the inferred rates from observation.
1. Introduction

Each fall, decreasing polar sunlight triggers a series of events resulting in increased circumpolar winds, the formation of an isolated region of stratospheric air known as the polar vortex, and the subsidence or diabatic descent of the vortex air column by several km resulting from radiative cooling [Schoeberl and Hartmann, 1991; McIntyre, 1992]. Quantifying vortex descent is necessary if one is to understand chemical processes, such as ozone loss, occurring within the vortex, as it is otherwise difficult to differentiate between chemical and dynamical changes in ozone.

Quantitative studies of vortex descent have been carried out in the past. Tracers such as N$_2$O, CH$_4$, HF, CO, HCN, and CCl$_2$F$_2$ have been used to tag similar air masses when comparing measurements made at different times and locations, and from these differences, descent has been inferred in the Arctic [Loewenstein et al., 1990; Mankin et al., 1990; Schmidt et al., 1991; Schoeberl et al., 1992; Toon et al., 1992; Bauer et al., 1994; Emmons et al., 1994; Traub et al., 1995; Abrams et al., 1996a; Müller et al., 1996, 1997; Hartmann et al., 1997; Randel et al., 1998; Manney et al., 1999] and Antarctic [Jaramillo et al., 1989; Loewenstein et al., 1989; Toon et al., 1989; Schoeberl et al., 1992, 1995; Russell et al., 1993a; Tuck et al., 1993; Crewell et al., 1995; Abrams et al., 1996b; Randel et al., 1998; Manney et al., 1999; Allen et al., 2000; Kawamoto and Shiotani, 2000]. A number of models have also been used to estimate descent [e.g., Schoeberl et al., 1989, 1990, 1992; Fisher et al., 1993; Manney et al., 1994, 1995, 1999; Rosenfield et al., 1994; Strahan et al., 1994, 1996; Bacmeister et al., 1995; Eluszkiewicz et al., 1995; Lucic et al., 1999]. Models have many advantages, including the ability to simulate the stratosphere in areas where measurements are unavailable. However, it is essential that such models be compared with observational results to validate their performance.
Satellite observations are extremely useful for this goal, as satellites offer near-continuous measurement and coverage over significant portions of the Earth. However, they also suffer from some major drawbacks, such as low horizontal (>100 km) and vertical (~2 km) resolution, and for almost all solar occultation-based instruments, limited coverage at high latitudes during the winter months. While the horizontal resolution is not particularly important for characterizing descent, which affects the vortex as a whole, the vertical resolution can be comparable to the amount of descent for short (<~1 month) time periods. The inability to view polar latitudes during winter also hampers observations inside the vortex, which is typically located poleward of 60°N or S. In the Arctic, stratospheric conditions sometimes displace the vortex away from the pole during portions of the winter, allowing plentiful observations inside the vortex [e.g., Müller et al., 1997; Manney et al., 1999]. However, these conditions are not necessarily frequent in each winter, as was the case during much of 1999-2000.

Field-based observations (consisting of aircraft- and balloon-based in situ and remote sensing measurements, as well as ground-based remote observations) offer the advantages over satellite observations of high spatial resolution, especially for in situ measurements, and greater vortex penetration in winter months. However, they also suffer from several limitations, namely a lack of temporal coverage (field campaigns are expensive, and weather limits both balloon and aircraft frequency), spatial coverage (there are a limited number of polar locations suitable for airborne research), and altitude (the ER-2 aircraft is limited to ~20 km, and balloons are limited to ~30 km). Thus, there is no instrument/platform combination that provides ideal vortex coverage.

In order to study descent within the Arctic vortex during the 1999-2000 winter season, we have combined tracer observations from ten different instruments deployed as part of the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment III (SAGE III) Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment (SOLVE) and the Third European Stratospheric Experiment on Ozone 2000 (THESEO2000)
Greenblatt et al.  Tracer-based determination of vortex descent

campaigns. These measurements represent a variety of techniques and covered large portions of
the vortex from late November 1999 through mid-March 2000. In addition, measurements from
three other instruments deployed in prior years were examined to help determine a vortex starting
profile. Our inferred descent rates were compared with those from previous studies of Arctic
descent. Lastly, our descent rates were compared with results from two stratospheric chemical
transport models that simulated vortex descent for 1999-2000.

2. Data and Methods

In this paper, we focus on the stratospheric tracers N$_2$O and CH$_4$, which were measured
by a large number of instruments during the SOLVE and THESEO2000 campaigns. These tracers
have long photochemical lifetimes (years to decades) in the middle and lower stratosphere and
thus are ideal for studies of polar descent within the stratosphere, where transport timescales are
on the order of months to years [Brasseur and Solomon, 1995].

Potential temperature ($\theta$) was chosen as the vertical coordinate because, unlike altitude or
pressure, it is conserved under adiabatic displacements. In the absence of mixing, changes in $\theta$ are
due exclusively to diabatic heating, which is the effect we seek to quantify. To calculate $\theta$,
measurements of temperature and pressure are needed. In general, both these quantities were
measured locally on the same platform as the tracer instrument; however, for some data products,
assimilated pressure and temperature fields were used to generate $\theta$.

2.1. Instruments

The instruments used in this study are listed in Table 1, along with platform, launch
location, utilized data (N$_2$O, CH$_4$, $\theta$), and flight dates.
The *in situ* balloon instruments will be discussed first. The Lightweight Airborne Chromatograph Experiment (LACE) determined the volume mixing ratios (VMRs) of eight gases including N$_2$O by gas chromatography (GC) with a time resolution of 70 s [Moore et al., 2001]. Pressure and temperature associated with the LACE flights were measured by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) Ozone instrument [Salawitch et al., 2001] on November 19, 1999, and by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Frost-Point Hygrometer [Vömel et al., 1995] on March 5, 2000. The J. W. Goethe-Universität cryosampler (BONBON) [Schmidt et al., 1987], which was located at the Forschungzentrum Jülich prior to 1996, obtained up to 16 air samples per flight; VMRs were determined via GC in the laboratory. Pressure and temperature associated with the BONBON flights were measured by sensors located on the balloon payload, and operated by the Centre National d'Études Spatiales (CNES) and J. W. Goethe-Universität/Forschungzentrum Jülich teams, respectively.

Data from the four *in situ* ER-2 instruments that measured N$_2$O were combined into a unified N$_2$O (uN$_2$O) data product, following a procedure described in Hurst et al. [2001]. This procedure produced a self-consistent, high time-resolution (3 s) N$_2$O dataset for each SOLVE flight, using an objective method to evaluate and reduce bias among the instruments, followed by weighted averaging. The Argus spectrometer [Jost and Loewenstein, 1999] measured the VMRs of N$_2$O and CH$_4$ using second harmonic detection of an infrared tunable diode laser (TDL) in a multipass absorption cell, with an average time resolution of 3.2 s. The Aircraft Laser Infrared Absorption Spectrometer (ALIAS) [Webster et al., 1994] is a TDL spectrometer that measured the VMRs of four gases, including N$_2$O and CH$_4$, with an average time resolution of 1.4 s. The Airborne Chromatograph for Atmospheric Trace Species IV (ACATS IV) [Elkins et al., 1996; Romashkin et al., 2001] determined the VMRs of eleven gases, including N$_2$O and CH$_4$, by GC with time resolution of 70 s. The Whole Air Sampler (WAS) [Schauffler et al., 1999] filled up to
32 canisters per flight with ambient air, which were analyzed in the laboratory for ~27 trace gases including N$_2$O and CH$_4$ via GC. Pressure and temperature were measured on the ER-2 by the Meteorological Measurement System (MMS) instrument [Scott et al., 1990].

Next we will discuss the remote sensing balloon instruments. The MkIV instrument is a Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectrometer, which measured solar occultation spectra over the wavelength range 1.77-15.4 $\mu$m. A large number of atmospheric constituents were measured, including N$_2$O and CH$_4$. Vertical resolution was 2 km, with profiles reported on a 1-km vertical grid [Toon, 1991]. Pressure and temperature profiles, respectively, were derived from spectral fits to temperature-insensitive and temperature-sensitive CO$_2$ lines, assuming the CO$_2$ profile measured by the Harvard CO$_2$ instrument on board the Observations from the Middle Stratosphere (OMS) in situ gondola launched on November 19, 1999.

The Submillimeterwave Limb Sounder (SLS) instrument recorded emission spectra of several gases; N$_2$O was measured near 600 GHz. The data presented here were flight averages of one or more limb scan emission spectra. Profiles were obtained from limb scan spectra using an iterative least-squares fitting procedure, with a nominal vertical resolution of 2.5 km. Temperature and pressure profiles were obtained from a balloon sonde launched on the day before the flight [Stachnik et al., 1992].

On board the DC-8 aircraft was the Airborne Submillimeter Radiometer (ASUR), which retrieved complete vertical profiles of several gases, including N$_2$O, every ~2000-6000 s from pressure-broadened emission lines at 652.833 GHz. The vertical resolution was 5-10 km, with profiles calculated on a 2-km vertical grid. Flight track data containing temperature and pressure for calculating $\theta$ were provided by the NASA Goddard Data Assimilation Office (DAO) [von König et al., 2000].

Data from two spaceborne instruments are used in this paper. The Halogen Occultation
Experiment (HALOE) instrument is located on the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite (UARS), and uses solar occultation to measure vertical profiles of several gases, including CH₄. The data used here were level 2, version 19. The instrument vertical resolution was 1.6 km at the Earth's limb, and the altitude range extended from 15 km to more than 60 km. Latitudinal coverage was from 80°S to 80°N over the course of one year. Because of the HALOE orbit and the Earth-Sun geometry during the Arctic winter months, the maximum northern coverage was limited to ~50°N from November 1999 through January 2000, and up to ~65°N in October 1999 and February-March 2000. As a result, data throughout most of the winter were limited to extravortex regions. Temperature and pressure were determined by spectral fitting of the 2.8 μm CO₂ band [Russell et al., 1993b] above 35 km (10 mbar); below this altitude, they were obtained via interpolation from the National Meteorological Center (NMC) assimilated data product.

The Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS) instrument is an FTIR spectrometer similar to MkIV, which has flown on several Space Shuttle missions. ATMOS measured the abundances of ~30 gases, including N₂O and CH₄, from 12-80 km altitude with a vertical resolution of 2-3 km. Version 3 data were used here. Northern latitude coverage ranged up to 69°N during the second Atmospheric Laboratory for Applications and Science (ATLAS-2) mission (April 8-16, 1993), and up to 49°N during the ATLAS-3 mission (November 3-12, 1994) [Gunson et al., 1996]. Pressure and temperature were determined by spectral fitting of the CO₂ absorption bands [Stiller et al., 1995].

2.2. Data selection

Data were screened prior to use to determine their quality, based on the following criteria: calibration against known standards such as the NOAA Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory (CMDL) reference gases, the existence of intercomparison with other instruments.
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[e.g., Chang et al., 1996; Herman et al., 1998; Michelsen et al., 1999; Toon et al., 1999; Moore et al., 2001], consistency of the N$_2$O:CH$_4$ correlation with other instruments when both measurements were available for a single flight, and consistency of the profiles themselves with those of other instruments. Because data from dissimilar instruments were being compared, we have used total errors, consisting of precision and accuracy estimates, as well as uncertainties of calibration gases and/or spectroscopic parameters, in our analyses.

Each data point of each flight was classified as vortex, extravortex, or mixed (and therefore excluded), using one of several categorization methods. For the balloon flights, each of which did not extend over large portions of the Arctic, hemispheric maps of modified Ertel’s potential vorticity (PV) [Lait, 1994], provided by the NASA Goddard DAO, were examined on several $\theta$ surfaces to determine vortex classification. To define the vortex edge, the Nash criterion [Nash et al., 1996] was used for flights from 1999-2000 and the Argus OMS in situ flight of June 30, 1997; for the BONBON flight of November 30, 1991, the vortex edge was defined by the maximum PV gradient [Bauer et al., 1994].

For the unified N$_2$O data, flights were either classified as entirely extravortex from PV maps, or they were filtered to retain only vortex segments using the technique recently described by Greenblatt et al. [2001]. This technique used N$_2$O vs. $\theta$ profiles measured inside the vortex to accurately determine the inner edge of the vortex boundary region; the inner edge was used to remove both large-scale flight segments of extravortex air, as well as small filaments of mixed composition.

For the ASUR data, PV was converted to equivalent latitude (EqL) [Butchart and Remsberg, 1986] and points between 70°N and 90°N EqL were assumed to be inside the vortex. A comparison of the different months during 1999-2000 when the ASUR instrument was deployed showed that the vortex edge was located between 50° N and 70° N EqL. Each flight
used consisted of one or more profiles measured inside the vortex, from which a single weighted-average profile was constructed.

HALOE data were filtered using a rotation/strain diagnostic called $Q$, which was integrated along rotational streamlines, with the vortex edge being defined as the rotational streamline with integrated $Q$ of zero [Fairlie et al., 1999; Pierce et al., 2001]. The data were further constrained to the high midlatitudes (> 45°N). Due to the small amount of data available at these latitudes, monthly average extravortex profiles were used for this paper. PV and $Q$ were obtained from the United Kingdom Meteorological Office (UKMO) UARS assimilated wind and temperature fields [Swinbank and O'Neil, 1994].

The ATMOS tracer data were sorted according to isentropic maps of PV and VMRs of CH$_4$, HNO$_3$, O$_3$, and N$_2$O. Averages included profiles with similar tracer distributions throughout the altitude range considered within selected geographic regions. Profiles displaying inversions (e.g., regions where $\theta$ was not single-valued with respect to tracer VMR) were excluded from the averages. Classifications (vortex and midlatitude extravortex) were confirmed by comparison with tracer:tracer correlations [Michelsen et al., 1998a, 1998b].

2.3. Binning and inversion

Filtered data were binned into 5 K $\theta$ intervals in order to facilitate comparison among data sets. The tracer VMR reported for each $\theta$ bin was calculated from the weighted mean of all data within the bin. Gaps in the data were filled in using linear interpolation.

For the purpose of comparing changes in $\theta$ on N$_2$O or CH$_4$ surfaces of constant VMR (isopleths), the data were “inverted” so that $\theta$ could be expressed as a function of tracer VMR. Inverted data were binned in intervals of 10 parts per billion by volume (ppbv) N$_2$O and 40 ppbv CH$_4$. The resulting tracer-binned data are more accurately described as “whisker” data,
since all data points whose VMR value ± 1 standard deviation (σ) fell within a given tracer bin were considered in the calculation for that bin. The minimum and maximum θ of all qualifying points were determined; θ for the tracer-binned data point was defined as the midpoint of this range, and the 1σ uncertainty in θ was defined as half the range. Tracer-binned data were constructed from θ-binned data, rather than raw data, primarily to overcome data sparseness for some balloon flights. Gaps in the resulting data were filled in using linear interpolation.

Figure 1 illustrates this idea, using a portion of the LACE N2O profile of November 19, 1999 as an example. The region from 150-210 ppbv illustrates the need to consider the N2O uncertainties in the calculation of θ for a given tracer bin, because N2O error bars in this region were much larger than the 10-ppbv bin size, and therefore single data points contributed to the calculation of θ in several bins. Within the 230 and 240 ppbv N2O bins, where the θ-binned data display an inversion with respect to N2O, and at 300 ppbv and above, where the θ-binned data became essentially vertical, the midpoint of the range of θ values within a bin served as the most useful definition of θ. The ±1σ envelopes of the N2O-binned data (dashed line) generally encompassed those of the θ-binned data (dotted line), which indicates that this approach provides a conservative estimate of the uncertainty relative to θ. The overestimate of the N2O-binned envelope with respect to the θ-binned envelope between 250-260 ppbv N2O was due to the lack of any θ-binned data points in these two N2O bins; the interpolation scheme smoothly filled in this region from surrounding data.

2.4. Models

SLIMCAT is a three-dimensional chemical transport model using horizontal winds and temperatures from the UKMO-UARS assimilation [Swinbank and O'Neill, 1994] to drive transport on an isentropic (θ-based) vertical coordinate. Vertical motion is diagnosed by
calculating heating rates from a radiation model. The abundances of chemical species in the stratosphere are calculated using a detailed chemistry scheme [Chipperfield, 1999]. The model simulates the distribution of all species involved in stratospheric ozone depletion, as well as some trace gases, such as N$_2$O. The SLIMCAT model run started on October 21, 1991 and was integrated at low resolution (7.5° × 7.5° × 18 θ levels) until November 1999. Output from this run was then used to initialize a higher resolution simulation from November 3, 1999 to March 31, 2000, on 24 θ levels ranging from 335-2726 K. It was assumed that an EqL greater than 70°N was inside the vortex for the entire winter, and data between 70-80° N EqL were used to produce vortex averages. The N$_2$O data were recorded daily, but for the current analysis the data were averaged over 10 day periods, and interpolated as described above in 5 K θ intervals. Data were also inverted into 10 ppbv N$_2$O bins. No error bars were calculated for the original data, so all data points were treated equally, and uncertainties in N$_2$O or θ represented the observed variation for a given θ or N$_2$O bin, respectively.

REPROBUS is a three-dimensional chemical transport model whose advection is driven by the 6-hourly European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasting (ECMWF) meteorological analysis [Lefèvre et al., 1994, 1998]. The model includes a comprehensive treatment of gas-phase and heterogeneous stratospheric chemistry, as well as a semi-Lagrangian transport scheme integrated with 2° × 2° horizontal resolution, using all three components of the wind field. Calculations were performed on 42 vertical levels from the ground up to 0.1 hPa. N$_2$O and CH$_4$ tracer fields were initialized from zonal mean climatologies on November 1, 1999, and the model was run until March 30, 2000, recording N$_2$O and CH$_4$ once every 10 days. As with the SLIMCAT model, data between 70-80°N EqL were used to produce vortex averages, except for the two dates following the vortex breakup (March 20 and 30) when data were averaged between 80-90°N EqL, in order to obtain enough points within the vortex for good averaging.
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Average tracer VMR values were calculated on 5 K θ intervals over the range 300-650 K. Data were inverted into 10 ppbv N₂O and 40 ppbv CH₄ intervals, the same as for the observational data. No error bars were originally calculated, so all data were treated equally, and uncertainties in θ represented the observed variation for a given N₂O or CH₄ bin.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Data from SOLVE/THESO2000

Figure 2 shows the balloon-borne data from 1999-2000. The data can be roughly divided into two groups: late fall, consisting of the November 19 LACE and December 3 MkIV and SLS profiles, and mid- to late winter, consisting of the January 27 and March 1 BONBON profiles and the March 5 LACE profile. The late fall profiles lie at higher θ levels than the winter profiles over almost the entire range of N₂O and CH₄ values, and is a result of descent. The late fall profiles also show a larger degree of variability than the later profiles, a point that will be addressed below. The March BONBON and LACE profiles, measured four days apart and launched from the same location (Esrange, Sweden), are in very good agreement with each other for both N₂O and CH₄, with the exception of a difference seen in N₂O, which falls significantly outside the 1σ error bars beginning at 478 K. The cause of this discrepancy is not understood, but may be linked to a difference in the N₂O:CH₄ correlations of these profiles below 40 ppbv N₂O (see below). The January 27 BONBON profile lies 9±6 K (1σ) above the two March profiles over 40-280 ppbv N₂O and 680-1600 ppbv CH₄; the difference is attributed to late winter descent.

Figure 3 shows the unified N₂O data measured on the ER-2 in two panels, with a balloon profile shown for reference on each panel. Data are shown after binning into 5 K θ intervals for
clarity. The profiles are very tight over the entire time period, with the ±1σ of N₂O within a given θ bin averaging 11.4 ppbv over the range 370-470 K, the approximate vortex altitude region sampled by the ER-2. A decrease in θ on a given N₂O level can clearly be seen over the 52-day period, and often from flight to flight.

Figure 4 shows ASUR profiles in three panels. A reference in situ balloon profile is also shown for each panel to indicate how closely the ASUR data agree with in situ measurements made at comparable times. A systematic overestimation of N₂O at low VMR is apparent, which is due to the inherent limited vertical resolution of ASUR (5-10 km) and the high curvature of the profile in that region. At higher N₂O values (e.g., above ~180 ppbv), where the change in slope is more gradual, the agreement with in situ measurements is much better. We have tried applying the ASUR averaging kernel to the balloon and unified N₂O data, producing profiles with the same vertical resolution as ASUR. The resulting smoothed data showed much better agreement with ASUR at all N₂O levels. Although using such an approach would allow comparison below 150 ppbv, it was desirable to retain the higher vertical resolution of the other instruments. Therefore, in the analyses which follow, only the ASUR data on the 200 and 250 ppbv N₂O isopleths have been used.

3.2. N₂O:CH₄ correlation

The N₂O:CH₄ correlations for balloon data from 1999-2000 are presented in Figure 5. The five datasets show very good agreement with each other, except in the region between ~10-40 ppbv N₂O, where the LACE flight of March 5 differs significantly from the other data, including the two BONBON flights. The difference in the LACE correlation may indicate real differences in the N₂O:CH₄ correlation curve, because the MkIV profile of March 15 (not shown) closely follows the LACE correlation in this region. However, N₂O values below 50
ppbv were not utilized in the work presented in this paper, so the disagreement does not impact our conclusions.

Also shown in the Figure are the ATMOS vortex and midlatitude correlations [Michelsen et al., 1998a], constructed from measurements made from 1992-1994. The data from 1999-2000 fall in between the two ATMOS correlations between ~30-150 ppbv N₂O, and fall at higher CH₄ values above ~170 ppbv N₂O. The secular trends in N₂O and CH₄ [IPCC, 1996] are responsible for most of the differences at high N₂O (i.e., lower altitudes), but at lower N₂O values the influence of tropospheric trends is expected to be smaller because of the shorter photochemical lifetimes N₂O and CH₄. The lack of sensitivity to such trends at these altitudes is supported by the excellent agreement between ATMOS correlations from 1994 and MkIV and ALIAS II correlations from 1997 [Hermann et al., 1998]. The differences shown in Figure 5 are consistent with differences between the ATMOS early fall developing vortex (or protovortex) and extravortex correlations reported by Manney et al. [2000]. Such a difference can be attributed to some descent followed by mixing of extravortex air into the vortex, which is expected during this time of year [Manney et al., 2000; Plumb et al., 2000]. The differences, however, are not as substantial as those between the extravortex correlation and the correlation observed in the spring vortex in 1993 (the ATMOS vortex curve in Figure 5). These differences have similarly been attributed to significant unmixed descent followed by mixing with extravortex air [Michelsen et al. [1998a, 1998b, 1999].

For the purposes of this paper, it was not necessary to understand why the N₂O:CH₄ correlation for the 1999-2000 winter differed from the ATMOS correlations, because the correlation was only needed to compare descent on N₂O and CH₄ isopleths. Thus, a piecewise, weighted least-squares fit was generated, based on the five balloon flights, between 700-1800 ppbv CH₄ (44-323 ppbv N₂O). This fit is shown in Figure 5, with its functional form listed in
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the caption. The fit was used to generate the CH$_4$ isopleths corresponding to the N$_2$O isopleths displayed in Figure 6.

3.3. Late fall vortex inhomogeneity

It is clear from examination of the three fall balloon profiles (Figure 2) and the fall ASUR data (Figure 4, panel (a)) that the vortex tracer profiles were quite variable in late fall. For instance, the mean MkIV-LACE difference was $23 \pm 3$ K between 110-200 ppbv N$_2$O, and $20 \pm 4$ K between 960-1200 ppbv CH$_4$. The mean SLS-LACE difference was about half as large, $11 \pm 1$ K between 110-150 ppbv N$_2$O. As MkIV and SLS were flown on the same balloon payload, the differences in their measurements were likely due to the fact that the instruments observed airmasses in different directions, corresponding to a horizontal separation of $\sim 800$ km.

The ASUR instrument sampled large regions of the vortex between November 30 and December 16. For this portion of the year, the data reveal a variability, indicated by $\theta \pm 1\sigma$, which was similar to the MkIV-LACE differences: 19 K at 200 ppbv N$_2$O and 25 K at 250 ppbv. For late winter ASUR profiles (February 27-March 15), the variability dropped to 17 K at 200 ppbv and 11 K at 250 ppbv (excluding the flight of March 8, which exhibited unusually high N$_2$O deviations; see magenta curve in Figure 4, panel (c)). By comparison, the unified N$_2$O variability in late winter (February 26-March 12) was 7.4 K at 200 ppbv and 9.8 K at 250 ppbv. Thus, though the noise in the ASUR data is certainly greater than for unified N$_2$O, particularly at 200 ppbv N$_2$O, the large decrease in the ASUR variability at 250 ppbv is indicative of a more homogenous vortex in late winter than late fall.

Further evidence for an inhomogenous vortex is given in a study by Ray et al. [2001]. They found that they could explain the differences in tracer-tracer relationships between the November and March LACE profiles with a simple differential diabatic descent model. In this
model, air parcels underwent different amounts of descent prior to the November 19 measurement, resulting in air with significantly different tracer VMRs on the same θ surfaces, which subsequently mixed [see e.g., Plumb et al., 2000]. Their model simultaneously fit six measured stratospheric tracers, including N$_2$O and CH$_4$, producing a self-consistent result with differential diabatic descent ranging from ~2 km at 15 km to ~6 km above 30 km. Thus, their analysis indicated that the vortex was inhomogenous in the fall but became well mixed later in the winter.

Manney et al. [2000] cited evidence for vortex inhomogeneity even earlier in the winter of 1994-1995. From November 3-12, 1994, the ATMOS instrument observed several laminae within the developing polar vortex whose N$_2$O:CH$_4$ correlations indicated both entrainment of tropical air, as well as midlatitude air that had undergone significant descent. The tracer vs. θ profiles indicated large deviations from the bulk correlation in these regions, resulting in a vortex with substantial inhomogeneity.

All of the above indicates that the vortex tends to be fairly inhomogenous in late fall. By early November, the vortex is typically well established only above 600 K [Waugh and Randel, 1999], and in 1999 had only recently formed in the lower stratosphere [Manney and Sabutis, 2000]. Thus, it is a reasonable conclusion that complete mixing had not yet occurred. The smaller variability in θ for a given tracer isopleth seen later in the winter supports the idea of a more uniformly mixed vortex.

3.4. Late fall average profile

For the purpose of estimating an average late fall vortex profile with realistic uncertainties, we have combined the LACE and MkIV balloon profiles in the following manner. (The SLS profile was not included because of its large error bars at low and high N$_2$O levels.)
However, the SLS measurements were bounded by the other two profiles, so their omission did not detract from the goal of creating a profile that spanned the range of observations. The ASUR data were not included due to their low vertical resolution). It was not appropriate to calculate error-weighted averages because the profiles did not look at the same air parcels. Thus, for each $\theta$ bin, the minimum and maximum tracer VMR $\pm 1\sigma$ errors were determined; the “average” VMR was defined as the midpoint of that range, and the $1\sigma$ uncertainty was defined as half the range. The procedure was applied independently to the N$_2$O and CH$_4$ data. The date assigned to each profile was the average date of the two datasets, November 26, 1999. The profiles are shown in Figure 7.

3.5. Time series

The balloon, unified N$_2$O and ASUR data have been combined and presented as a time series along several N$_2$O and CH$_4$ isopleths in Figure 6. Data shown here have been inverted as described in the previous section to generate $\theta$ as a function of N$_2$O or CH$_4$. The levels of 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 ppbv N$_2$O were chosen to span the useful range of the measurements: at levels below 50 ppbv, there is almost no unified N$_2$O data, and the balloon profiles become quite steep in $\theta$, making comparisons along isopleths difficult; much above 250 ppbv, the curves cluster on top of one another at all time periods, indicating marginal descent and probably significant mixing with midlatitude air on these isopleths [e.g., Abrams et al., 1996a]. CH$_4$ levels of 720, 920, 1080, 1280, and 1480 ppbv were chosen to correspond approximately (to within one 40-ppbv CH$_4$ bin) to these N$_2$O levels, based on the average N$_2$O:CH$_4$ correlation derived from the balloon measurements, shown in Figure 5. Simple fits through the data (linear least-squares fits in dense data regions (November-December, January-February, and February-March deployments), and connected points in between these regions) have been applied to the N$_2$O data.
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to indicate the general progress of the descent throughout the winter. These same fits have been reproduced on the CH4 panel for reference. The more limited CH4 data are very consistent with these fits throughout the winter.

The progress of descent is evident in detail in Figure 6. The rates of descent generally increased with decreasing tracer VMR, as indicated by the slope of the fits, and the greatest rates of descent occurred before January 1. Between the midwinter (January-February) and late winter (February-March) data segments, the descent rates slowed considerably. Data from all instruments were in excellent agreement with each other throughout the winter, with the exception of the LACE and MkIV late fall data, which lay outside each other’s error bars on the 150 ppbv N2O and 1080 ppbv CH4 isopleths because of the vortex inhomogeneity discussed earlier.

3.6. Vortex starting profile

Many studies of vortex descent have calculated the total descent over the winter season starting from profiles measured in late fall [e.g., Bauer et al., 1994; Strahan et al., 1994; Müller et al., 1996; Hartmann et al., 1997]. However, it is known that descent can begin quite early in the winter season. For instance, Michelsen et al. [1998b] and Manney et al. [1999] showed that profiles measured inside the Arctic vortex during November 3-12, 1994 had already descended several km relative to extravortex profiles. Abrams et al. [1996a] calculated from analysis of PV contours that descent had begun in the fall of 1992 in the Arctic between October 1 and November 12, with the earliest dates occurring at the highest altitudes (> 42 km). Rosenfield et al. [1994] chose a starting date of November 1 for model simulations of Arctic vortex descent in 1988-1989 and 1991-1992, with significant descent rates calculated from the first day of the simulations.
By November 19, 1999, the date of the first LACE profile, significant descent had occurred at all altitudes relative to extravortex profiles, as will be demonstrated shortly. Thus, using this profile (or the November 26, 1999 average fall profile) as the starting point for calculating descent would miss a significant amount of descent that occurred earlier in the fall. In order to determine the total vortex descent in 1999-2000, a reasonable starting profile had to be established. Prior to vortex formation in late fall, air in polar regions is constantly mixed over horizontal distances of several thousand km [Schoeberl and Hartmann, 1991]. Thus, the tracer vs. $\theta$ profile of polar air before vortex formation strongly resembles air of midlatitude composition. This has been confirmed observationally by a number of studies [Bauer et al., 1994; Abrams et al., 1996a; Herman et al., 1998; Jost et al., 1998; Michelsen et al., 1998a]. It has been recognized that the tracer vs. $\theta$ profiles exhibit small seasonal changes [Abrams et al., 1996a], and that tropical profiles appear significantly different due to their partial isolation from the midlatitudes [e.g., Jost et al., 1998; Herman et al., 1998; Michelsen et al., 1998a]. However, by limiting our examination to high-latitude, extravortex regions, we have assembled a selection of candidate vortex starting profiles.

These profiles are shown in Figure 7, along with the November 26, 1999 average vortex profile for reference (solid black line). The extravortex profile from the BONBON instrument, shown as a solid red line, was launched from Kiruna, Sweden on November 30, 1991 [Bauer et al., 1994]. Averaged extravortex profiles from the April 1993 and November 1994 ATMOS missions are shown as orange and green solid lines, respectively (H. A. Michelsen, private communication). The Argus profile, shown as a solid cyan line (for N$_2$O only), was measured in Fairbanks, Alaska on June 30, 1997 [Jost et al., 1998]. It exhibited several large excursions to low N$_2$O that have been interpreted as vortex remnant filaments, and were therefore omitted from Figure 7. The limited extravortex data from 1999-2000 included a unified N$_2$O profile from
March 16 (dark blue solid line, N$_2$O only), and monthly averaged HALOE profiles from December, January, and March poleward of 45°N (magenta, black, and red, respectively, with dotted lines; CH$_4$ only).

While there is variation among profiles of up to ~70 ppbv N$_2$O and ~400 ppbv CH$_4$ on certain $\theta$ levels, the overall pattern is consistent through multiple years and seasons, and is, most importantly, quite distinct from the November 26, 1999 average vortex profile. Therefore, we assert that any profile from the set presented here can be used as a proxy for the vortex starting condition for 1999-2000. The November 1994 ATMOS average was chosen, which when both N$_2$O and CH$_4$ were considered, occupied a roughly median value at all $\theta$ values. We denoted this profile as the “START” profile, and used it in all subsequent calculations.

3.7. Descent calculations

In order to determine the amount of descent between measurements made at different times, differences in $\theta$ between profiles have been calculated, with the results shown in Figure 8. Vertical bars indicate ±1$\sigma$ uncertainties, which were calculated as the quadrature sum of individual profile uncertainties. The diamonds/solid lines represent differences ($\Delta\theta$, expressed in K) between the START profile and the November 26, 1999 average; squares/dotted lines, between the November 26 average and the January 27, 2000 BONBON profile; and triangles/dashed lines, between the BONBON profile and the March 5, 2000 LACE profile. Differences are shown for both N$_2$O and CH$_4$ data in each case.

For the START-November 26 difference, $\Delta\theta$ ranged from 397±15 K (1$\sigma$) at the lowest VMR values (30 ppbv N$_2$O and 640 ppbv CH$_4$), to 28±13 K above 200 ppbv N$_2$O and 1280 ppbv CH$_4$. These differences were larger than those observed in any other profile pair, and indicated that a great amount of descent had occurred by late fall 1999. Such large descent was
also inferred for early November 1994, when the ATMOS instrument examined air both inside and outside the forming polar vortex [Michelsen et al., 1998b]. While that study expressed descent in terms of changes in $z$ only, differences in terms of $\theta$ have been calculated and found to be comparable to our results: 220-240 K at 30 ppbv N$_2$O and 640 ppbv CH$_4$, and 380 K or more below 10 ppbv N$_2$O and 390 ppbv CH$_4$ (H. A. Michelsen, private communication).

The November 26-January 27 differences were much smaller than the START-November 26 differences below 170 ppbv N$_2$O and 1160 ppbv CH$_4$, but were fairly comparable above these isopleths. From 40-280 ppbv N$_2$O and 680-1600 ppbv CH$_4$, which covered most of the tracers' dynamic ranges, $\Delta \theta$ was fairly constant at 54±10 K. Between January 27 and March 5, $\Delta \theta$ was smaller still, only 10±6 K over the same ranges. The differences between the START profile and the March 5 LACE profile (not shown Figure 8), as an indication of the total descent during 1999-2000, ranged from 501±21 K at 30 ppbv N$_2$O and 640 ppbv CH$_4$ to 49±23 K above 200 ppbv N$_2$O and 1280 ppbv CH$_4$.

It is important to emphasize that these descent results represent first-order estimates only, because the effects of mixing have not been accounted for. "Mixing" here indicates the process of vortex homogenization, incorporating air that has either become entrained from midlatitudes, or undergone differential diabatic descent within the vortex. In either situation, adjacent air masses will have undergone different amounts of descent, and tend to mix along $\theta$ surfaces. Both of these processes can be active during vortex formation in the fall, and can substantially alter tracer:tracer as well as tracer:$\theta$ relationships [Plumb et al., 2000]. The general effect is to reduce the apparent descent [Abrams et al., 1996b; Müller et al., 1996]. However, once the vortex has become isolated from the rest of the stratosphere, which is typically complete by late fall, the "no mixing" assumption is a fairly good one. For instance, in the study by Ray et al. [2001], which explicitly modeled mixing as a result of differential diabatic descent in
in the early fall, only small changes (< 20 K) in the calculated descent between the November 19, 1999 and March 5, 2000 LACE measurements were seen relative to the simple case where mixing was ignored.

Descent rates are shown in Figure 9, panels (a)-(e), as well as in Table 2. Rates are shown for all profile pairs shown in Figure 8, with the exception of the START-November 26 difference, since the effective 1999 date of the START profile was not known. Rates for several unified N2O profile pairs are also shown. Although the unified N2O-based rates display generally higher error bars than those based on the balloon data, the latter were included because their higher time density enabled a more detailed temporal reconstruction of the descent rates. There is a general decrease in the descent rate with time for all N2O isopleths shown, ranging from between 0.56±0.18 to 1.05±0.21 K/day in the earliest time interval (November 26-January 27), to -0.17±0.37 to 0.33±0.47 K/day in the latest interval (February 26-March 12). This is entirely expected, and is a reflection of increasing solar radiation slowly reducing the net radiative cooling as winter passes into spring. There is also a general decrease in the rate with increasing N2O isopleth: e.g., for the time interval January 27-March 5, the rate decreases from 0.39±0.15 K/day at 50 ppbv to 0.13±0.19 K/day at 250 ppbv. This N2O trend reflects the greater radiative cooling at higher altitudes, while the much lower descent by 250 ppbv is indicative of the increased mixing near the tropopause. However, a notable exception is the 200 ppbv isopleth, which has the highest descent rate in the earliest interval.

Weighted-average descent rates across N2O isopleths for each time interval are also shown in Figure 9 panel (f), as well as in Table 2, decreasing from 0.82±0.20 K/day between November 26-January 27 to 0.10±0.25 from February 26-March 12. Finally, a winter-average descent rate (from November 26-March 5; results for November 26-March 12 are very similar) is shown in Table 2 for each N2O isopleth, as well as a grand average. A decrease from 0.75±0.10
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K/day at 50 ppbv to 0.40±0.11 K/day at 250 ppbv is observed, with the average winter 1999-2000 descent rate across 50-250 ppbv N₂O at 0.61±0.13 K/day.

3.8. Comparison with other studies

Descent rates have been reported previously relative to θ [Schoeberl et al., 1992; Rosenfield et al., 1994; Strahan et al., 1994; Lucic et al., 1999], altitude (z) [Schoeberl et al., 1992; Rosenfield et al., 1994; Traub et al., 1995; Abrams et al., 1996a], and/or tracer isopleth [Bauer et al., 1994; Müller et al., 1996; Hartmann et al., 1997], and over a wide range of time periods. In order to compare our results with previously reported values, we have related values found in the literature to N₂O isopleths, as summarized in Table 3. The methods used for each study are explained in the footnotes to Table 3.

Descent rates originally reported relative to θ are generally consistent with our values (see Table 2). Strahan et al. [1994] and Müller et al. [1996] reported observation-based net winter (e.g., December-March) descent rates for 1991-1992 and 1991-1992 through 1995-1996, respectively, between 0.5-0.7 K/day over 100-230 ppbv N₂O. The 1991-1992 model study by Lucic et al. [1999] reported net winter descent rates of 0.55-0.9 K/day between 70-250 ppbv N₂O. All three studies were in line with the 1999-2000 winter averages from November 26-March 5 (see Table 2). Schoeberl et al. [1992] reported both observed and modeled descent rates for a ~1-month period starting in early January 1992. Their results, 0.09±0.16 to 0.36±0.05 K/day between 110-240 ppbv N₂O, were well within the scatter of calculated rates for the comparable period January 23-February 2, 2000. Strahan et al. [1994] reported modeled monthly descent rates from October through March (see Table 3); the rates at 150 ppbv N₂O from December through March (0.2-0.9 K/day) are in good agreement with the 1999-2000 results (0.33±0.47 to 0.69±0.25 K/day). Rosenfield et al. [1994] similarly reported modeled descent rates
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over most of the 1988-1989 and 1991-1992 winter seasons (November 1-March 21); they are reported for two time periods in Table 3. While the rates for November 1-January 22 across 50-250 ppbv N$_2$O (0.72-1.20 K/day) compare well with the 1999-2000 rates for November 26-January 27 (0.56±0.18 to 1.05±0.21 K/day), the rates for January 22-March 21 (0.60-0.95 K/day) are significantly higher than the similar 1999-2000 time period of January 27-March 5 (0.07±0.09 to 0.39±0.15 K/day).

Bauer et al. [1994] reported observation-based descent rates from October 31, 1991 to January 18, 1992 of 2.7-3.4 K/day at 50-100 ppbv N$_2$O, which are far higher than those calculated for the similar period November 26, 1999-January 27, 2000 (0.81±0.21 to 0.97±0.18 K/day). The difference may be partly due to the earlier starting time of the calculation, interannual variation in descent rates, or both. Strahan et al. [1994] reported a model descent rate for October 1991 of 1.2 K/day at 250 ppbv N$_2$O; although not reported in the study, the rate at 50-100 ppbv would probably be much larger, consistent with the trend in that paper of higher descent rates on smaller N$_2$O isopleths. However, Rosenfield et al. [1994], who modeled the descent for 1988-1989 and 1991-1992, began their simulation on November 1, almost coincident with the first Bauer et al. [1994] profile, and reported substantially smaller rates though January 22 (1.02-1.20 K/day at 50-100 ppbv N$_2$O). While no systematic, multi-year analysis of Arctic descent rate variability has been performed, a study of Antarctic HALOE data from 1992-1997 by Kawamoto and Shiotani [2000] revealed interannual changes in descent rates of ±20% (39-59 m/day at 600 ppbv CH$_4$). This amount of variability is not nearly enough to account for the differences from the 1999-2000 results, however.

It was hoped that by examining studies that reported descent rates in terms of both $\theta$ and $z$, a simple correspondence could be derived between the two sets of rates. However, the data showed little or no relationship between the two coordinate systems; for instance, Rosenfield et
al. [1994] reported a substantial increase in the z-based descent rates after January 22, whereas the θ-based rates in the same study decreased markedly. Another example is Bauer et al. [1994], whose z-based rates, while on the high end of the range reported by other studies, did not stand out nearly as much as the θ-based rates discussed earlier (see Table 3). Thus, the relationship between θ and z appears complex, and we did not attempt to make any comparison between studies that reported descent rates in terms of z and the θ-based 1999-2000 descent rates.

3.9. Model comparisons

Figure 10 shows the comparison between the REPROBUS model and fits of the observational data. The agreement differed considerably from N$_2$O to CH$_4$, with each tracer exhibiting better agreement on some isopleths and worse agreement on others. In terms of absolute differences, the N$_2$O model data agreed most favorably with the observational fits from 150-250 ppbv, while the CH$_4$ data agreed quite well for the 720 ppbv isopleth (equivalent to 50 ppbv N$_2$O), but had worse agreement for all other isopleths. Most of the differences observed may be due to poor model tracer initializations and/or inadequate model tracer photochemistry. However, while the differences between model and observation may be considerable for several isopleths, they did not change significantly with time (with the exception of the 50 ppbv N$_2$O and 1480 ppbv CH$_4$ isopleths, whose model-observation differences increased markedly with time). This relative agreement indicates that the model-derived descent rates were, in fact, fairly accurate throughout the winter. The disagreement at 50 ppbv N$_2$O may indicate that descent was not strong enough in the model at these altitude levels, while the disagreement at 1480 ppbv CH$_4$ was probably due to the close proximity to the bottom of the vortex, which is typically located near 400 K [e.g., Manney et al., 1994].

A comparison of the SLIMCAT N$_2$O results and fits of the observational data is shown
in Figure 11. Offsets between model and observation were of the same magnitude (~20 K) as the REPROBUS differences for the 100-250 ppbv isopleths, and the 50 ppbv isopleth agreed much better with the observed data than did the 50 ppbv N$_2$O REPROBUS isopleth. There was a slight change in the offsets between 100-200 ppbv around day 27, resulting in better agreement between model and observation, while the 250 ppbv isopleth offset increased slightly over time. The 50 ppbv isopleth, despite its larger error bars, appeared to track the observed data best, with the exception of days 37 and 87, where error bars were much higher; these increases were associated with a more distorted vortex, resulting in a significantly wider range of VMRs sampled in the 70-80° N EqL region. Despite these offsets, the good agreement in slopes between the SLIMCAT results and the observations indicated that the descent rates calculated were very similar to those derived from our study.

4. Summary

The descent of the 1999-2000 Arctic vortex has been quantified using N$_2$O and CH$_4$ tracer measurements from a number of instruments. Coverage spanned the last stages of vortex formation in late November 1999 through vortex breakup in mid-March 2000, with two large gaps (December 17-January 13, and February 4-25). Changes in $\theta$ were determined on five N$_2$O and CH$_4$ isopleths, and descent rates were calculated on each N$_2$O isopleth for several time intervals throughout the fall and winter. From November 26-March 5 the vortex descended 65±12 K when averaged over 40-280 ppbv N$_2$O and 680-1600 ppbv CH$_4$. The maximum descent rates occurred in the late fall/early winter phase: between November 26 and January 27, the calculated rate was 0.82±0.20 K/day averaged over 50-250 ppbv N$_2$O. By late winter (February 26-March 12), the average rate had decreased to 0.10±0.25 K/day. Descent rates also decreased
with increasing N$_2$O isopleth; for instance, the winter average (November 26-March 5) descent rate varied from 0.75±0.10 K/day at 50 ppbv to 0.40±0.11 K/day at 250 ppbv. The winter average rate over 50-250 ppbv N$_2$O was 0.61±0.13 K/day.

Larger variability in θ on tracer isopleths in late fall vs. winter indicated that the vortex was much less homogenous in the late fall. The degree of inhomogeneity, derived from several instruments (LACE, MkIV, SLS, and ASUR), was 19-23 K between 110-200 ppbv N$_2$O and 960-1200 ppbv CH$_4$. This inhomogeneity decreased significantly in the March datasets; the unified N$_2$O variability averaged only 7.4 K at 200 ppbv N$_2$O. Because of the vortex inhomogeneity in late fall, an average fall vortex profile was constructed from the November 19 LACE and December 3 MkIV measurements, which encompassed the observed variability of all the fall measurements. This profile, referred to as the November 26, 1999 vortex average, was used in subsequent calculations of vortex descent.

A comparison of high-latitude, extravortex profiles measured in different years and seasons, while revealing significant VMR differences on a given θ level, also showed that extravortex profiles were more similar to each other than to the early vortex profiles. Therefore, the median profile, an average extravortex profile measured by ATMOS in November 1994, was chosen as the vortex starting profile for 1999-2000 (denoted “START”) and was used to estimate the amount of descent prior to the first vortex measurements in November and December. The difference between the START profile and the November 26 average profile showed significant descent prior to the first profiles measured in fall 1999-2000, up to 397±15 K at the lowest N$_2$O and CH$_4$ levels (30 ppbv N$_2$O and 640 ppbv CH$_4$), and falling to 28±13 K for N$_2$O larger than 200 ppbv and CH$_4$ larger than 1280 ppbv. Such early descent has been inferred for prior years in the Arctic, e.g., 1988-1989 and 1991-1992 [Rosenfield et al., 1994], 1993-1994 [Abrams et al., 1996a] and 1994-1995 [Michelsen et al., 1998b]. While these differences did not include the
effects of mixing, as first-order approximations they indicated that a great deal of descent had occurred prior to the late fall vortex measurements.

Descent rates from previous θ-based studies corroborate the results of our study very well across a wide range of time periods and N₂O isopleths, with the exception of one observational study (Bauer et al. [1994]) and the late winter time period of one model study (Rosenfield et al. [1994]). Because it has been shown, both with our own results and those of prior studies, that descent rates increase substantially with decreasing N₂O or increasing altitude, it is more meaningful and useful to calculate rates for several tracer isopleths (as we have done), θ levels, or z levels, than to report a single number. Likewise, because of the large change in descent rates observed throughout the fall and winter, a single, season-average descent rate is not as informative as rates reported for several selected times.

Both the REPROBUS and SLIMCAT models calculated descent rates that agreed quite favorably with observations. Although both models showed disagreements with observations of up to -20 K (except for the 50 ppbv N₂O isopleth of REPROBUS), the causes were somewhat different for each model. For REPROBUS, the quality of the tracer initializations directly affected the agreement, as there was no “spin up” period in the model. For SLIMCAT, where tracer distributions were initiated in 1991, the differences with observation were due almost exclusively to model chemistry and transport, which over the eight year run time of the model should be regarded as very good. Given the different approaches employed by the two models for deriving these rates (direct use of the vertical wind field component for REPROBUS vs. a radiative model for SLIMCAT), the success of both models in reproducing the observed descent via trace gas measurements should be highlighted.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1. Illustration of the inversion technique, using part of the N₂O profile from LACE on November 19, 1999 as an example. Diamonds represent "θ-binned" data, that is, data which have been binned into 5 K θ intervals, with horizontal bars indicating ±1σ uncertainties in N₂O for each data point. Squares represent inverted "N₂O-binned" data, which have been placed into 10 ppbv N₂O intervals from the θ-binned data, with vertical bars indicating ±1σ uncertainties in θ for each data point. The ±1σ envelopes of the N₂O-binned data (dashed line) generally encompassed those of the θ-binned data (dotted line), which indicates that this approach provides a conservative estimate of the uncertainty relative to θ. The overestimate of the N₂O-binned envelope with respect to the θ-binned envelope between 250-260 ppbv N₂O was due to a lack of any θ-binned data points in these two N₂O bins; the interpolation scheme smoothly filled in this region from surrounding data.

Figure 2. Balloon profiles from 1999-2000 for (a) N₂O and (b) CH₄. The legend indicates the date of each flight (YYYYMMDD format) and the instrument acronym. The color scheme is the same for both N₂O and CH₄. Note that SLS only measured N₂O. Horizontal bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties of each data point. Other lines connect the points of each profile.

Figure 3. Unified N₂O ("uN₂O") profiles from 1999-2000 for the two ER-2 deployments: (a) January 20-February 3, 2000 and (b) February 26-March 12, 2000. In addition to unified N₂O data, the LACE balloon profile of March 5, 2000 (see Figure 2) is included in each panel to facilitate comparison. All data have been binned into 5 K θ intervals for clarity. Horizontal bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties, and other lines connect the points of each
profile. As the ER-2 aircraft, from which unified N₂O data was measured, had a flight ceiling of ~21 km, the data do not extend as high in θ as for the balloon measurements.

Figure 4. ASUR profiles from 1999-2000 for the three DC-8 deployments: (a) November 30-December 16, 1999, (b) January 14-29, 2000 and (c) February 27-March 15, 2000. In addition to ASUR data, one balloon flight from Figure 2 is included in each panel to facilitate comparison. Horizontal bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties, and other lines connect the points of each profile. The disagreement between the ASUR and balloon data for N₂O < ~150 ppbv is due to the limited vertical resolution (~5-10 km) of the ASUR instrument, and the high curvature of the profiles in this region.

Figure 5. N₂O:CH₄ correlations for balloon flights from 1999-2000. Symbols indicate individual flights. Horizontal and vertical bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties. Shown also are ATMOS correlations for midlatitude and polar vortex air [Michelsen et al., 1998a]. N₂O:CH₄ fit function is indicated by heavy solid line, with equation \[ [N₂O] = p₀ + p₁(CH₄) + p₂(CH₄)² \], where [N₂O] and [CH₄] are in ppbv. Coefficients \( p_i \) are defined piecewise for two CH₄ regions: [CH₄]=700-1300 ppbv, \( p=[-103.265, 0.172407, 5.32430×10^{-5}] \); [CH₄]=1300-1800 ppbv, \( p=[-80.0715, 0.223859, 0] \).

Figure 6. Descent time sequence for five N₂O (a) and CH₄ (b) isopleths. Each isopleth is denoted by a separate color. CH₄ isopleths correspond to N₂O isopleths by the equation in Figure 5. Symbols indicate individual instrument measurements. Vertical bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties. Dotted lines are fits for each N₂O isopleth (fits are repeated on CH₄ panel), where linear least-squares fits were made in dense data regions (November-December, January-February and February-March deployments), and lines are connected together to span these regions. "Fall vortex" profiles (crosses) are constructed from averages of the November 19 LACE and December 3 MkIV profiles (see text), which are shown in their
entirety on Figure 7.

Figure 7. High-latitude, extravortex profiles from several years and seasons for (a) N₂O and (b) CH₄. Also shown for reference is the November 26, 1999 “fall vortex” profile, whose construction is explained in the text. The ATMOS 1994 average profile occupies approximately a central location among extravortex profiles, when both N₂O and CH₄ are considered. This profile, denoted “START,” was used as a proxy for the vortex starting profile in subsequent calculations.

Figure 8. Differences between profiles for (a) N₂O and (b) CH₄, indicating descent (assuming no mixing). Vertical bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties, which are calculated as the quadrature sum of individual profile uncertainties. Legend: START – November 26, 1999 fall vortex (diamonds/solid line), November 26, 1999 fall vortex – January 27, 2000 BONBON (squares/dotted line), January 27, 2000 BONBON – March 5, 2000 LACE (triangles/dashed line), zero line (dotted horizontal line).

Figure 9. Descent rates (K/day) on different N₂O isopleths for pairs of profiles on five N₂O isopleths in panels (a)-(e), and average descent rates in panel (f). Horizontal bars indicate time differences, and vertical bars indicate ±1σ uncertainties. Legend: November 26, 1999 fall vortex - January 27, 2000 BONBON (diamond/solid line), January 27, 2000 BONBON - March 5, 2000 LACE (triangle/solid line), January 20-February 2, 2000 unified N₂O (square/dashed line), February 2-February 26, 2000 unified N₂O (X/dashed line), February 26-March 12, 2000 unified N₂O (diamond/dashed line), zero line (dotted horizontal line).

Figure 10. Comparison of fits of observed data with the REPROBUS model for (a) N₂O and (b) CH₄. Colors denote different isopleths following the same scheme as in Figure 6. Symbols indicate 1-day snapshots, with vertical bars indicating ±1σ uncertainties. Dotted lines are
the N$_2$O fits from Figure 6.

Figure 11. Comparison of fits of observed data with the SLIMCAT model for N$_2$O. Colors denote different isopleths following the same scheme as in Figure 6. Symbols indicate 10-day averages, with vertical bars indicating $\pm 1\sigma$ uncertainties. Dotted lines are the N$_2$O fits from Figure 6.

Tables

Table 1. Instruments used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Platform*</th>
<th>Location†</th>
<th>N$_2$O</th>
<th>CH$_4$</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>Date(s) (YYYY.MM.DD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A. Measurements from SOLVE/THESO2000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACE</td>
<td>OMS <em>in situ</em></td>
<td>Esrange</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>1999.11.19, 2000.03.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPL Ozone</td>
<td>OMS <em>in situ</em></td>
<td>Esrange</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>1999.11.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA Hygrometer</td>
<td>OMS <em>in situ</em></td>
<td>Esrange</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>2000.03.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONBON</td>
<td>TRIPLE*</td>
<td>Esrange</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>2000.01.27, 2000.03.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argus‡</td>
<td>ER-2b</td>
<td>Kiruna</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000.01.20-2000.03.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALIAS‡</td>
<td>ER-2b</td>
<td>Kiruna</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000.01.20-2000.03.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACATS‡</td>
<td>ER-2b</td>
<td>Kiruna</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000.01.20-2000.03.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAS‡</td>
<td>ER-2b</td>
<td>Kiruna</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>2000.01.20-2000.03.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMS</td>
<td>ER-2b</td>
<td>Kiruna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2000.01.20-2000.03.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MkIV</td>
<td>OMS remote*</td>
<td>Esrange</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1999.12.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>OMS remote*</td>
<td>Esrange</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1999.12.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASUR</td>
<td>DC-8b</td>
<td>Kiruna</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>1999.11.30-2000.03.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALOE</td>
<td>UARS*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>1999.10.01-2000.03.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Additional measurements from prior years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BONBON</td>
<td>CNES a</td>
<td>Esrange Y Y Y</td>
<td>1991.11.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argus</td>
<td>OMS in situ</td>
<td>Fairbanks Y</td>
<td>1997.06.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAA Hygrometer</td>
<td>OMS in situ</td>
<td>Fairbanks Y</td>
<td>1997.06.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Platform types: aBalloon bAircraft cSatellite
†Base locations: Esrange, Sweden (68°N, 20°E); Kiruna, Sweden (68°N, 21°E); Fairbanks, Alaska (65°N, 148°W).
‡Data from the four N₂O instruments on board the ER-2 (Argus, ALIAS, ACATS and WAS) were combined into a unified N₂O data product; see Hurst et al. [2001].

Table 2. Calculated descent rates (K/day) for the 1999-2000 winter. Uncertainties are 1σ.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of dates</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>250</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Balloon measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 26-January 27</td>
<td>0.97±0.18</td>
<td>0.81±0.21</td>
<td>0.69±0.25</td>
<td>1.05±0.21</td>
<td>0.56±0.18</td>
<td>0.82±0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 27-March 5</td>
<td>0.39±0.15</td>
<td>0.33±0.09</td>
<td>0.33±0.15</td>
<td>0.07±0.09</td>
<td>0.13±0.19</td>
<td>0.24±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Unified N₂O measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 23-February 2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.75±0.35</td>
<td>0.00±0.35</td>
<td>0.50±0.35</td>
<td>0.25±0.79</td>
<td>0.41±0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2-February 26</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.31±0.15</td>
<td>0.52±0.23</td>
<td>0.31±0.23</td>
<td>0.21±0.44</td>
<td>0.35±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 26-March 12</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.17±0.24</td>
<td>0.33±0.47</td>
<td>-0.17±0.37</td>
<td>0.00±0.53</td>
<td>0.10±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Winter average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 26-March 5</td>
<td>0.75±0.10</td>
<td>0.63±0.13</td>
<td>0.55±0.16</td>
<td>0.68±0.13</td>
<td>0.40±0.11</td>
<td>0.61±0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Descent rate comparison with other studies. Uncertainties are 1σ. Potential temperature is denoted by $\theta$; altitude, by $z$. Numbers without footnotes indicate they were taken directly from the text or table in the reference.

| Reference          | Year(s) | Time period  | $\theta$ (K) | $z$ (km) | (ppbv) | (K/day) | (m/day) |
|--------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------|--------|---------|
| A. Observations    |         |              |              |          |        |         |         |
| Schoeberl et al.   | 1988-9  | Jan. 3-Feb. 10 | 450$^a$      | 20$^a$   | 110$^b$ | 0.36$\pm$0.05$^a$ | 98$\pm$20$^a$ |
| et al. [1992]      |         |              | 420$^a$      | 18$^a$   | 160$^b$ | 0.27$\pm$0.11$^a$ | 69$\pm$33$^a$ |
|                    |         |              | 390$^a$      | 16.5$^a$ | 210$^b$ | 0.09$\pm$0.16$^a$ | 12$\pm$35$^a$ |
| Bauer et al. [1994]| 1991-2  | Oct. 31-Jan. 18 | 510$^i$      | 21.0$^i$ | 50      | 3.4$^c$  | 97$^c$  |
| et al. [1994]      |         |              | 445$^i$      | 18.4$^i$ | 100     | 2.7$^c$  | 113$^c$ |
| Strahan et al. [1994]| 1991-2  | Dec.-Feb.  | 410 n/a      | 160-230$^d$ | 0.5 | n/a |
| Traub et al. [1995]| 1991-2  | Jan.-Feb. | n/a 18       | 120$^e$ | n/a | 51$\pm$8 |
| Abrams et al. [1996a]| 1992-3  | Oct./Nov.-Apr. | n/a 30      | 55$^f$ | n/a | 62 |
| et al. [1996a]      |         |              | n/a 24       | 120$^f$ | n/a | 39 |
|                    |         |              | n/a 20       | 180$^f$ | n/a | 24 |
**Tracer-based determination of vortex descent**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Initial Conditions</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Müller et al. [1996]</strong></td>
<td>1991-2 Nov./Dec.-Apr.</td>
<td>n/a 420i 150g 0.5-0.6h 40h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hartmann et al. [1997]</strong></td>
<td>1994-5 Oct. 7-Mar. 22</td>
<td>n/a 20i 100i n/a 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. Models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schoeberl et al. [1992]</strong></td>
<td>1988-9 Jan. 3-Feb. 10</td>
<td>450a n/a 110b 0.31±0.12a n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rosenfield et al. [1994]</strong></td>
<td>1988-9 Nov. 1-Jan. 22k</td>
<td>500i,1 20.2i,1 50b 1.20c 34c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991-2 Nov. 1-Jan. 22k</td>
<td>465i,1 n/a 100b 1.02c n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430i,1 17.1i,1 140-150b 0.84c 35c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390i,1 15.4i,1 200-210b 0.72c 31c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445i,1 15.5i,1 50b 0.95c 81c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420i,1 n/a 100b 0.78c n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395i,1 13.6i,1 140-150b 0.60c 60c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355i,1 12.2i,1 200-210b 0.60c 55c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strahan et al. [1994]</strong></td>
<td>n/a Oct.</td>
<td>460m n/a 250n 1.2m n.a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>415m n/a 250n 0.75m n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>470m n/a 150n 0.9m n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Greenblatt et al.  Tracer-based determination of vortex descent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>VOR (°)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar.</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lucić et al. [1999]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>VOR (°C)</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dec. 7-Mar. 15</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.65-0.9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Estimated from Schoeberl et al. [1992], Figure 4a-parts 3 & 4.
*bEstimated from N$_2$O:Θ average profile for Jan. 3-Feb. 10, 1989 in Schoeberl et al. [1992], Figure 4a-part 1.
*cCalculated from Θ (or z) differences.
*dEstimated from observed N$_2$O:Θ profiles in Strahan et al. [1994], Figure 3.
*eEstimated from N$_2$O:z profile average for Jan.-Feb. 1989 in Loewenstein et al. [1990], Figure 4.
*fEstimated from N$_2$O:Θ profile in Abrams et al. [1996a], Figure 2.
*gEstimated from N$_2$O:CH$_4$ correlation fit (this paper).
*hEstimated from difference in Θ (or z) divided by 150 days.
*iΘ (or z) of ending profile.
*jEstimated from N$_2$O:CCI$_2$F$_2$ correlation in Hartmann et al. [1997], Figure 5.
*kJanuary 22 corresponds to middle date of flights reported by Schoeberl et al. [1992].
*lEstimated from Rosenfield et al. [1994], Figs. 4-5.
*mEstimated from Strahan et al. [1994], Figure 5.
*nEstimated from SKYHI N$_2$O:Θ profiles in Strahan et al. [1994], Figure 2.
*oEstimated from Lucić et al. [1999], Figure 6.