Multilayer Volume Holographic Optical Memory
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We demonstrate a scheme for volume holographic storage based on the features of shift selectivity of a speckle reference wave hologram. The proposed recording method allows more efficient use of the recording medium and increases the storage density in comparison with spherical or plane-wave reference beams. Experimental results of multiple hologram storage and replay in a photorefractive crystal of iron-doped lithium niobate are presented. The mechanism of lateral and longitudinal shift selectivity are described theoretically and shown to agree with experimental measurements.

Holographic memory has been a subject of interest for decades since it was first suggested by van Heerden [1]. High information density, parallel access and high-speed retrieval are among the features that make this technique of data storage so attractive. Selective properties of volume holograms due to angular [2,3] or wavelength deviation [4] from the Bragg condition, as well as reference beam phase encoding [5] are the methods frequently used for data input and retrieval. The combination of reference beam phase encoding with spatial-shift multiplexing was shown to be an efficient approach for high-density holographic information storage [6,7]. A similar technique using a reference beam comprised of many plane waves (or a spherical wave) was suggested and experimentally demonstrated [8]. Although all these methods allow storing the holograms with high density, the longitudinal shift component of the volume recording media has not been considered for coding the individual “pages” of information. Recently, the method of multilayer optical storage was discussed in which information is recorded at different depths within the media, similar to that of a magnetic disc stack currently used in PC's.[9,10] It was demonstrated that holograms can be recorded as thin layers within the volume of the medium to provide high information storage capacity.
and fast data transfer rates [11]. In this Letter we discuss and demonstrate a single volume, multilayer holographic optical memory, based on the features of 3D spatial-shift selectivity in a volume hologram recorded with a speckle-encoded reference beam (SRB).

For our analysis, we consider the case where the hologram is recorded by a plane wave signal beam $S_0(r)$ and a SRB $R_o(r)$ with a divergence angle $\delta \theta_{sp}$, as shown on Fig. 1. The two recording beams intersect at an angle $\theta_o$ forming the interference pattern with a grating spacing $\Lambda = \lambda / \sin(\theta_o)$, assuming a SRB incidence angle $\theta_R = 0$. It was shown [12] that in the first Born approximation, the diffracted wave amplitude $S(r)$, when reconstructed with SRB different from the recording one (i.e. $R(r) \neq R_o(r)$), can be described as:

$$S(r) = k^2 \int \int \int \delta \varepsilon(r') R(r') \left[ \frac{\exp[i k_0 (r - r')] \delta \theta_{sp}}{4 \pi |r - r'|} \right] d^3 r'. \quad (1)$$

Here $\delta \varepsilon(r')$ is the modulated component of the recording media permittivity $\delta \varepsilon(r) \propto S_0(r) R_o^*(r)$ and $V$ is the volume of the hologram. Eq. (1) is valid if the hologram is volume and its thickness $T$ exceeds the longitudinal speckle size, i.e. $T > \lambda / (\delta \theta_{sp})^2 > \lambda / 2 \theta_o$. Then, by assuming a plane wave signal beam $S_0(r) = \exp(i k_0 r)$ and reconstructed signal beam $S(r)$ propagating in the same direction, Eq. (1) can be reduced to

$$S(r) = \exp(i k_0 r) \int \int \int C(r, r') d^3 r'. \quad (2)$$

It is assumed here that the speckle pattern intensity distribution has Gaussian statistics and its spatial auto-correlation function $C(r, r')$ is determined by mutual intensity of the recording and reconstructing SRB [13], i.e. $C(r, r') = \langle R_o^*(r) R(r') \rangle$.

Contrary to the usual practice for a phase-encoded holographic memory, we consider the case...
when the spatial amplitude-phase distribution of the reconstruction beam $R(r)$ remains identical to that of the recording one $R_o(r)$. The difference between $R(r)$ and $R_o(r)$ is due to mutual spatial shift of the hologram and reconstructing beam, i.e. $R(r) = R_o(r + \Delta )$, here $\Delta = \Delta_\perp \hat{q} + \Delta_\parallel \hat{z}$; $\Delta_\perp, \Delta_\parallel$ are transverse and longitudinal components of the shift, and $\hat{q}, \hat{z}$ are unity vectors in the same directions.

As the experimentally measured value is the diffracted beam intensity $I_D = |S|^2$, it is convenient to introduce the parameter of relative diffracted beam intensity $I_DN(\Delta) = I_D(\Delta)/I_D(\Delta=0)$, where the measured diffracted intensity $I_D(\Delta)$ is normalized by its peak value at zero shift $I_D(\Delta=0)$. By incorporating the three-dimensional correlation function $C(r, r')$ derived in [12] and using the Fresnel-Kirchhoff diffraction integral, the dependence $I_DN(\Delta_\perp)$ can be expressed as:

$$I_DN(\Delta_\perp) = \frac{I_D(\Delta_\perp)}{I_D(\Delta_\perp=0)} = \frac{\sqrt{T} \exp \left[ \frac{i k_0 n \Delta_\perp}{2 d_{dh}} \right] \int_0^\infty \int |K_D(\vec{q})|^2 \times \exp \left[ - \frac{i k_0 n}{d_{dh}} \vec{q} \cdot \Delta_\perp \right] d^2q \, dz}{T^2 \times \int_0^\infty \int |K_D(\vec{q})|^2 d^2q}, \quad (3)$$

Here, $K_D(\vec{q})$ is the diffuser aperture function, $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda$; $\vec{q} = q_x \hat{x} + q_y \hat{y}$, $n$ is refraction index of the recording media, and $d_{dh}$ is the diffuser-hologram distance.

It follows from Eq. 3 that any lateral mismatch between the hologram and the reconstruction beam $R(r)$ leads to a decrease of the diffracted beam intensity. Fig.2 shows the fall-off in $I_DN(\Delta)$ that occurs for lateral shift ($\Delta_\parallel = \text{const}$). One of the important feature of this type of selectivity is that no ripples are observed as a function of spatial mismatch, unlike in case of angular or spectral selectivity of volume holograms recorded with plane or spherical waves. The monotonic decrease in diffraction efficiency as a function of shift distance results in a much lower crosstalk between stored images than can be obtained using other forms of multiplexing. Furthermore, the spatial de-correlation is
symmetric within the plane perpendicular to Z-direction whereas other forms of multiplexing have high selectivity only in the dispersion plane.

Since the speckle pattern has a three-dimensional nature, the longitudinal shift also results in spatial de-correlation between the hologram and reconstructing speckle-beam and thus a third dimension can be used to multiplex information. It can be shown that analogously to \( I_{DN}(\Delta_z) \) the diffracted beam intensity \( I_{DN}(\Delta_\|) \rightarrow 0 \), when the shift distance \( \Delta_\| \) exceeds the longitudinal correlation length \( <\sigma_z> \) (see Fig.2). This opens the possibility to implement several “virtual layers” of holograms within the same volume of the recording media.

**SRB** holograms were recorded in 2.8-mm-thick Fe:LiNbO\(_3\) (0.02% Fe/mol) crystal using 3D-shift multiplexing. The crystal, with its C-axis laying in plane of the recording beams, was set onto an XYZ computer controlled positioning table, which had a precision 0.025 \( \mu \)m in X, Y, and Z planes. A 1 cm diameter CW argon laser beam \( (\lambda = 515 \text{ nm, } P = 40 \text{ mW/cm}^2) \) was used as the coherent light source for hologram recording. The **SRB** had a lateral speckle size \( <\sigma_\perp> \approx 3 \mu \text{m} \) and intersected with the plane wave signal beam at an angle of \( \theta_o = 35^\circ \) (\( \theta_{Ro} = 0^\circ \) and \( \theta_{So} = 35^\circ \)). The diffracted beam intensity was measured using a pin photo-detector.

The diffraction efficiency of the hologram in its’ original position (\( \Delta = 0 \)) was approximately \( 10^{-3} \). After each hologram was recorded a lateral shift \( \Delta_\perp = 10 \mu \text{m} \) was introduced to record the next page of information. The raster scan sequence was used to multiplex images in X, Y and Z as shown in Fig.1. During reconstruction, proper mutual re-positioning of the hologram and the **SRB** resulted in information retrieval. A typical sequence of 30 holograms stored in the form of a 6×5-matrix is shown in Fig.3. The scan step-length for this matrix reconstruction was 0.25 \( \mu \text{m} \). Notice the symmetric nature of the selectivity in both X and Y directions that is characteristic of using a **SRB**.
Once recording of the first layer $L_1$ was completed, the next layer $L_2$ was formed by shifting the recording media (or diffuser that generated the speckle pattern) along the central axis of the speckle beam propagation. The shift magnitude, as discussed before, should satisfy the condition $\Delta_\parallel \geq \rho_\parallel$, which for our experimental set up was about $30 \, \mu m$. In this way, the new layer $L_2$ could be recorded with the same lateral shift selectivity and thus a new matrix of $n_{L_2} \times m_{L_2}$ holographic "pages" was formed. The effect of longitudinal shift selectivity was measured for two holograms and is shown in Figure. A longitudinal shift (Z direction) of $\Delta_\parallel = 40 \, \mu m$ was introduced between each recording. Figure 4 also shows an example of the diffracted signal reconstructed from a two-layer structure, in which each layer is composed from a matrix of $4 \times 3$.

We now estimate the storage density for the above-described technique of holographic data multiplexing. The number of the holograms $N_\perp$ to be recorded in one layer can be calculated as the ratio between an effective area $F$ of the recording media and unitary lateral shift area $(\Delta_\perp)^2$ in this plane, i.e. $N_\perp \approx (l_x \times l_y)/(\Delta_\perp)^2$, where $l_x$, $l_y$ are lateral dimensions of the recording media. The number of the available layers is proportional to $T/\Delta_\parallel$. The total number of the holograms that can be recorded with shift selectivity scheme can be estimated as $N_{sp} = V/[(\Delta_\perp)^2 \times \Delta_\parallel]$. This leads to $N_{sp} \sim 4 \times 10^9$ holograms for $1 \times 1 \times 1 cm^3$ crystal, for above described experimental conditions ($\rho_\perp \approx 3 \, \mu m$, $\rho_\parallel \approx 30 \, \mu m$). In a practical system, the maximum storage density is further limited by the dynamic range of the refraction index variation and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the reconstructed image. The average SNR for each of the holograms reconstructed in these experiments was $\leq 45$. It was measured as the ratio between the Fourier-filtered DC component of the diffracted beam and the collected scattered light that propagates in the same direction. At fixed experimental conditions, such as recording beam ratio, exposure level, form of the aperture in diffuser plane, etc.,
the value of SNR depends upon the ratio between introduced shift $\Delta_{\perp,\parallel}$ and the magnitude of the correlation radius $<\sigma_{\perp,\parallel}>$ in corresponding direction.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the possibility to create a "multilayer" holographic memory in a photorefractive crystal based on the 3D spatial shift selectivity of speckle-encoded reference waves. The method achieves high-density data storage with a simple storage-retrieval architecture.
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Figure captions

Fig. 1. The scheme of multilayer speckle-reference beam encoded hologram recording.

Fig. 2. Calculated diffracted beam intensity $I_N(\Delta)$ as a function of lateral $\Delta_\perp$ and longitudinal $\Delta_\parallel$ shift at hologram reconstruction with speckle-encoded reference beam.

Fig. 3. Measured diffraction efficiency of 30 holograms multiplexed with lateral shift $\Delta_\perp = 10 \mu m$ between each recording.

Fig. 4. Measured diffracted beam intensity as a function of spatial shift in X,Y and Z directions for two holographic recordings having a longitudinal shift of 30 µm between each Z-shift, and two-layer holographic memory structure, each layer composed by the matrix of 4 x 3 holograms.
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