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Abstract
Many new materials are being produced for aerospace applications with the objective of maximizing certain ideal properties without sacrificing others. Polymer composites in various forms and configurations are being developed in an effort to provide lighter weight construction and better thermal and electrical properties and still maintain adequate strength and stability. To this end, thin film polymer nanocomposites, synthesized for the purpose of influencing electrical conductivity using metal oxide particles as filler without incurring losses in mechanical properties, were examined to determine elastic modulus and degree of dispersion of particles. The effects of various metal oxides on these properties will be discussed.

Introduction
The increased incorporation of thin polymer films into aerospace applications can be credited to their contribution to weight savings in spacecraft construction. The addition of particulate fillers to thin polymer films to make composites allows even more application as the properties of the composite can be potentially greatly improved over that of the neat polymer film. However, careful study is needed to ensure that in the effort to enhance certain properties in the composite, others that are also necessary to the application are not diminished.

The objective of this study was to determine what effects, if any, the distinct polymer/particulate systems and the method by which they were manufactured had on the elastic modulus of the thin film polymer composite. Additionally, optical microscopy was performed to discern qualitatively the degree of particulate dispersion within the polymer films.

Materials
Two different base polymers and three separate metal oxide powders were used in this study. The two base polymers were polyimides that have been classified as space durable. The first polyimide, TOR-NC, was prepared from stoichiometric amounts of oxydiphthalic dianhydride and 1,3-bis(3-aminophenoxo)benzene. The second polyimide, LaRC\textsuperscript{TM} CP-2, was prepared from stoichiometric amounts of 4,4’-perfluoroisopropylidene dianhydride and 1,3-bis(3-aminophenoxy)benzene. The metal oxides, used in the preparation of these composites, were all commercially available nanoscale powders, namely antimony tin oxide (ATO, average particle size 11-29 nm, specific surface area 30-80 m\textsuperscript{2}/g), indium tin oxide (ITO, average particle size 17-30 nm, specific surface area 40-70 m\textsuperscript{2}/g), and yttrium oxide (Y\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, average particle size 11-44 nm, specific surface area 19-80 m\textsuperscript{2}/g).\textsuperscript{1}

These polymer composites were manufactured by two separate methods. The first method, denoted
involved preparation of the polyimide first and then adding the metal oxide nanoparticles. The second method, denoted (B), consisted of the polymer and the metal oxide nanoparticles being prepared simultaneously.

**Experimental Procedure**

The specific combinations of base polymer and metal oxide filler and their respective glass transition temperatures (T<sub>g</sub>) are presented in Table 1. The amount of metal oxide for each thin film polymer composite was approximately 3% by weight. Because the polymer/filler combination 3B, which consisted of 3% Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by weight in TOR-NC, experienced extreme embrittlement during manufacturing, no viable specimen was provided for mechanical characterization.

Dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) was performed on all samples, using a Thermal Analysis 2980 dynamic mechanical analyzer with a tension film clamp installed. A photo of the test apparatus is shown in Figure 1. The film samples were cut to be between 5 and 6.5 mm in width and 20 and 30 mm in length to conform to the dimensional limits required for the tension film clamp. The average thickness for each film sample was based on three separate measurements at the two ends and the middle of the specimen with a Starrett Model 734 Electronic Digital Micrometer.

All samples were dried in a vacuum oven to remove any moisture and stored in a desiccator until testing. After mounting the sample in the DMA, the film sample was cooled to −120 °C, using a flow of liquid nitrogen, and held for 5 minutes. The sample was then heated from −120 °C to 250 °C at 3 °C/min. under a preload of 0.5 N. The samples were tested, using a dynamic force with a single frequency oscillation of 1 Hz and an amplitude of 20 μm. At least three separate tests were run for each distinct film. The storage modulus for each run was calculated as a function of increasing temperature, using thermal analysis software included as part of the DMA.

Optical microscopy characterization was performed with a Nikon Eclipse ME600 transmission microscope outfitted with an X-Y translation stage to evaluate qualitatively the dispersion of particles within the polyimide films. A Nikon DXM 1200 digital camera was used to record the images. The sample was imaged in the as-received condition at a magnification of 200x.

**Results and Discussion**

**Dynamic Mechanical Analysis**

Figures 2 and 3 are composite graphs of temperature versus the storage modulus for each film, tested using DMA. Each film is represented by a single curve, considered typical of its test runs. In Figure 2, the TOR-NC polymer, a distinct separation in the storage modulus was shown between the A and B classes of films. The storage modulus of the clean (control) polymer film fell in between these two classes. In Figure 3, the LaRC<sup>TM</sup> CP-2 polymer, the storage modulus of all samples (with the exception of 5B) fell lower than that of the clean (control) polymer. For both materials, the storage modulus gradually diminished as the samples were slowly heated. The sudden drop in the storage modulus toward the end of the test was due to the onset of the glass transition in the polymer.

For every sample, the storage modulus for each individual test run at a given temperature was tabulated, and the average and standard deviation calculated. Table 2 shows the average storage modulus
and standard deviation for each film type at four specific temperatures in the test run. The scatter in the values is due to non-uniform thickness of the film samples and thermal instability of the DMA instrument at very low temperatures.

Optical Microscopy

Typical photomicrographs of both a TOR-NC and a LaRC\textsuperscript{TM} CP-2 sample are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The images show agglomerates of the metal oxide additives randomly distributed throughout the polymer film. Since the changes in the storage modulus of the TOR-NC-based nanocomposites were dependent on the preparation method, the clumping of the individual metal oxide particles apparently had no influence. However, for the LaRC\textsuperscript{TM} CP-2-based films, the clumping of the particles was a possible factor in the decrease in storage modulus for most of the samples.

Summary and Conclusions

Thin film polymer nanocomposites were characterized, using DMA and optical microscopy. More specifically, the films, manufactured by two distinct methods as combinations of two different polymers and three separate metal oxides, were evaluated to determine the storage modulus and degree of dispersion of nanoparticles, respectively. According to the analysis of the data, changes in the storage modulus for the TOR-NC-based nanocomposites were dependent on the method of manufacture as seen in the separation of the storage modulus curves by method group. Method A, where the particles were added to a prepared polyimide, produced samples with a higher storage modulus than the clean polymer. Method B, where the polymer and particles are prepared together, produced samples of decreased storage modulus compared to the clean polymer. However, in the case of the LaRC\textsuperscript{TM} CP-2-based nanocomposites, storage modulus for most of the polymer/particulate systems was diminished by the addition of the metal oxide particles as the curves of all samples but one fell below that of the clean polyimide. The dispersion of the metal oxide particles within both polymers was shown by optical imaging to be poor with clumps of the particles non-uniformly distributed throughout each film. While this did not appear to adversely affect the storage modulus of the TOR-NC-based films, it seems to have been a contributor to the diminished storage modulus for the LaRC\textsuperscript{TM} CP-2 samples.
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Table 1. Thermal Properties of Polymer-Metal Oxide Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Number</th>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Additive (3% by wt.)</th>
<th>$T_g$, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>TOR-NC</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>TOR-NC</td>
<td>ATO</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>TOR-NC</td>
<td>ITO</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>TOR-NC</td>
<td>ITO</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>TOR-NC</td>
<td>$Y_2O_3$</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>TOR-NC</td>
<td>$Y_2O_3$</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>LaRC™ CP-2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>LaRC™ CP-2</td>
<td>ATO</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>LaRC™ CP-2</td>
<td>ATO</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B</td>
<td>LaRC™ CP-2</td>
<td>ITO</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>LaRC™ CP-2</td>
<td>$Y_2O_3$</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6B</td>
<td>LaRC™ CP-2</td>
<td>$Y_2O_3$</td>
<td>206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. A = Metal oxide powder added to polymer solution.
   B = Polymer prepared in the presence of the metal oxide powder.
2. Determined on thin film by DSC at a heating rate of 10 °C/min.
Table 2. Average Storage Modulus (MPa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>-100 °C Avg.</th>
<th>-100 °C StDev.</th>
<th>23 °C Avg.</th>
<th>23 °C StDev.</th>
<th>100 °C Avg.</th>
<th>100 °C StDev.</th>
<th>150 °C Avg.</th>
<th>150 °C StDev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clean TOR-NC</td>
<td>4078.7</td>
<td>273.2</td>
<td>3200.0</td>
<td>308.4</td>
<td>2636.8</td>
<td>318.3</td>
<td>2255.7</td>
<td>269.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>4101.0</td>
<td>250.4</td>
<td>3394.3</td>
<td>592.0</td>
<td>2901.7</td>
<td>488.1</td>
<td>2552.1</td>
<td>399.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>3404.8</td>
<td>722.7</td>
<td>2626.2</td>
<td>865.1</td>
<td>2190.3</td>
<td>767.6</td>
<td>1919.6</td>
<td>667.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>3399.8</td>
<td>332.6</td>
<td>2750.6</td>
<td>920.7</td>
<td>2392.1</td>
<td>724.7</td>
<td>2094.3</td>
<td>606.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2859.6</td>
<td>284.3</td>
<td>2167.0</td>
<td>183.7</td>
<td>1812.0</td>
<td>162.9</td>
<td>1588.3</td>
<td>147.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>4297.4</td>
<td>540.8</td>
<td>3418.3</td>
<td>512.5</td>
<td>2846.7</td>
<td>458.0</td>
<td>2441.8</td>
<td>384.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean LaRC™</td>
<td>3178.7</td>
<td>539.0</td>
<td>3006.4</td>
<td>205.3</td>
<td>2565.9</td>
<td>189.6</td>
<td>2236.3</td>
<td>147.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4A</td>
<td>2210.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>1809.8</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>1521.8</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1350.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B</td>
<td>2716.1</td>
<td>241.2</td>
<td>2108.8</td>
<td>183.6</td>
<td>1699.8</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>1487.6</td>
<td>45.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5A</td>
<td>2529.4</td>
<td>364.7</td>
<td>2117.1</td>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>1782.9</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>1592.3</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5B</td>
<td>4150.0</td>
<td>612.7</td>
<td>3735.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>3185.1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2792.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>2455.1</td>
<td>278.7</td>
<td>1932.2</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>1621.4</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>1438.9</td>
<td>85.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6B</td>
<td>2898.6</td>
<td>734.6</td>
<td>1977.0</td>
<td>134.4</td>
<td>1746.9</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>1519.2</td>
<td>93.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Dynamic Mechanical Analyzer with Tension Film Clamp Installed
Figure 2. Temperature versus Storage Modulus for TOR-NC/Metal Oxide Nanocomposites
Figure 3. Temperature versus Storage Modulus for LaRC™ CP-2/Metal Oxide Nanocomposites
Figure 4. Optical Micrograph of Sample 1A (TOR-NC/ATO)
Figure 5. Optical Micrograph of Sample 5B (LaRC\textsuperscript{TM} CP-2/ITO)
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