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Abstract

A high-pressure (up to 60 atm) gaseous burner facility with optical access that provides steady, reproducible flames with high precision, and the ability to use multiple fuel/oxidizer combinations has been developed. In addition, a high-performance spontaneous Raman scattering system for use in the above facility has also been developed. Together, the two systems will be used to acquire and establish a comprehensive Raman scattering spectral database for use as a quantitative high-pressure calibration of single-shot Raman scattering measurements in high-pressure combustion systems. Using these facilities, the Raman spectra of H2-Air flames were successfully measured at pressures up to 20 atm. The spectra demonstrated clear rotational and ro-vibrational Raman features of H2, N2, and H2O. The theoretical Raman spectra of pure rotational H2, vibrational H2, and vibrational N2 were calculated using a classical harmonic-oscillator model with pressure broadening effects and fitted to the data. At a gas temperature of 1889 K for a $\phi = 1.34$ H2-Air flame, the model and the data showed good agreement, confirming a ro-vibrational equilibrium temperature.

1. Introduction

Many qualitative measurements of species concentration and/or temperature by laser-based diagnostics have been performed in combustion environments over the past 20 years, but what is still critically needed for the validation of computational models used to design low-emission aircraft engines is 'quantitative' single-shot information of species and temperature at high pressures. Especially with the rapid development of the new generation of ultra-high pressure ratio gas turbine engines (> 30 atm), quantitative code validation data in high-pressure environments will become increasingly more in demand. Spontaneous laser Raman scattering (SRS) spectroscopy has been widely recognized as one of the few quantitative technique that provides spatially and temporally resolved simultaneous multi-species concentrations for major combustion products (N2, O2, CO2, H2, CO, H2, CH4, etc) and temperature (1, 2). SRS measurements are relatively simpler, less expensive, and more versatile to obtain quantitative data than coherent anti-Stokes Raman spectroscopy (CARS) or stimulated Raman spectroscopy (11). Another advantage of SRS for practical industrial applications is its ability to work with a single port if the back-scattered Raman signal is collected (3, 4, 5). One can expect high quality SRS data with good signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) using either a UV-Raman technique (2, 6-10) or with a modified visible-Raman technique including high-energy longer pulse (11-13) and a non-intensified CCD with a mechanical gate (14, 16). The limitation of SRS is its relatively lower intensity and disturbances by background emissions. Detailed parametric discussion about SRS measurements based on SNR in both a UV-Raman system and a Nd:YAG-based system has been made by Miles (14). Moreover, Raman scattering signal in high-pressure environments should be stronger than that at atmospheric pressure because it scales linearly with the number density of molecules.

A number of SRS measurements in high-pressure environments such as gas turbine combustors (13) or internal combustion engines (14, 17) have been made in terms of species concentrations but there are few works that include the quantitative pressure dependence effects of SRS on combustion species. Gu, et al., concluded, based on their non-combusting Raman-cell measurements, that SRS signals are a linear function of gas density and provide a quantitative measurement of density, and that the temperature can be determined even in high-pressure region around 60 bar after a calibration is made for a given experimental setup (18). The known room-temperature cross-sections of the molecules and the spectrally integrated peak area for each Raman band are typically used to calibrate Raman scattering intensity to the molecular density. However, this calibration for accurate quantitative numbers is a challenge in practical high-pressure and -temperature flames because there are a lot of spectral interferences against pure Raman spectra that need to be accounted in for the corrections (7, 11, 14). Furthermore, it is likely that pressure dependence on the spectral shape of the
2. Theoretical Modeling of Raman Spectra

2-1. Raman Cross-Sections

The theory of Raman scattering in diatomic and polyatomic molecules is well established \(^{(1)}\). According to Placzek’s polarization theory of the Raman effect, the corresponding differential Raman scattering cross-section per molecule at thermal equilibrium is given by \(^{(19)}\)

\[
\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Omega} = \left( \frac{\pi}{\epsilon_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( v_0 \pm v_i \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\exp(-E_i/kT)}{Q(T)} \Phi(\alpha^2, \gamma^2, \theta)
\]

(1)

Here, the superscript \(\theta\) indicates the relative angle of the planes of polarization between scattered and incident light; the subscripts of \(i\) and \(f\) stand for the initial and final states respectively; \(\epsilon_0\) is the vacuum permittivity; \(v_0\) is incident light (laser) frequency; + and – signs refer to anti-Stokes and Stokes Raman scattering respectively; \(v_i\) is molecular vibrational frequency associated with the rotation-vibration transition from \(i\) to \(f\); \(g\) is the total degeneracy; \(E_i\) is the energy of the initial state; \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant; \(T\) is the gas temperature; \(Q(T)\) is the total partition function of the molecule at temperature \(T\); \(\Phi(\alpha^2, \gamma^2, \theta)\) is a function of the mean value of the derived polarizability tensor \(\alpha^2\), the anisotropy \(\gamma^2\), and \(\theta\) is the observation angle that stands for the molecule-dependent temperature-independent invariant, which is tabulated by Long \(^{(19)}\) for every branch of ro-vibration and pure rotation scatterings. Note that the factors \((v + 1)\) and \((-1)^{J_f-J_i}\) should be multiplied to Eq. (1) for ro-vibration Stokes and anti-Stokes scattering of diatomic molecules respectively, here \(v\) is the vibrational quantum number and \(h^2\) is defined as \(\hbar^2\) for all diatomic molecules such as \(N_2\) and \(H_2\), calculated on the basis of energy conservation as

\[
v_i = \frac{E_f - E_i}{\hbar c}
\]

where \(E_f\) is the energy of the final state. The energy in a vibrational-rotational state \((v, J)\) is expressed as the sum of the vibrational energy term, \(G(v)\) and rotational energy term, \(F(v, J)\)

\[
E(v, J) = \frac{G(v) + F(v, J)}{\hbar c}
\]

(3)

According to the anharmonic oscillator model, \(G(v)\) of diatomic molecules may be given by \(^{(29)}\)

\[
G(v) = \omega_v \left( v + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \omega_v \sqrt{v + \frac{1}{2} + \omega_x} \sqrt{v + \frac{1}{2}}
\]

(4)

here \(\omega_v\), \(\omega_x\), and \(\omega_y\) are molecular constants and tabulated by Herzberg \(^{(21)}\). According to the non-rigid rotator model that takes the influence of centrifugal force due to vibration into account, the rotational term for the singlet \(^1\Sigma\) state of diatomic molecules such as \(N_2\) or \(H_2\) may be given by

\[
F(v, J) = B_v J(J + 1) - D_v J^2 (J + 1)^2
\]

(5)

where \(B_v = B_v - \alpha_e (v + 1/2)\), and \(D_v = D_v + \beta_e (v + 1/2)\) where \(B_v, D_v, \alpha_e,\) and \(\beta_e\) are molecular constants, which...
are tabulated by Hertzberg (21). Thus for a Stokes Q-branch, that is \( \Delta \nu = \nu_f - \nu_r = +1 \) and \( \Delta J = J_f - J_r = 0 \), Raman line frequency is given by

\[
\nu_r(v,J) = \frac{E(v+1) - E(v_r)}{h} \tag{6}
\]

It should be noted that Q-branch of ro-vibrational Raman line is much stronger than the O- and S-branch because the polarizability tensor greatly exceeds the anisotropy invariant. So we calculate only Q-branch of ro-vibrational Raman scattering for diatomic molecule in the present model.

For S-branch (Stokes) of \( \text{H}_2 \) rotational Raman, the function \( \Phi(a^2, \beta, \theta) \) is proportional to the anisotropy, \( \beta \), and the following Placzek-Teller coefficients \( a^2, \beta, \theta \) are tabulated by Hertzberg (21). Thus for a Stokes Q-branch, that is \( \Delta \nu = \nu_f - \nu_r = +1 \) and \( \Delta J = J_f - J_r = 0 \), Raman line frequency is given by

\[
\nu_r(v,J) = \frac{E(v+1) - E(v_r)}{h} \tag{6}
\]

Also, \( E(J) = F(J)/hc \) in Eq.(3) where \( F(J) = B_J(J+1) - D_J(J-1)^2 \), \( \nu_r = E(J+2) - E(J) \) in Eq.(6), and \( Q(T) = Q_{rot} \) in Eq.(7) should be replaced.

Thus, Raman line frequencies and cross-sections of ro-vibrational \( \text{H}_2 \) and pure rotational \( \text{H}_2 \) were calculated using above expressions. Note that we calculated the relative (but quantitative) intensity instead of absolute number by setting the function \( \Phi \) and molecular-independent constants as a constant number so that the calculated Raman intensity can be fitted to experimental data of each molecule individually to determine temperature.

\section{2-2. Line-Shape}

A spectral profile of the Raman spectrum at atmospheric pressure is typically modeled using Gaussian profiles but those at high-pressure should be described by line-shape models that includes collisional-broadening effects. The Voigt function, which is the convolution of the Gaussian and Lorentz distributions, is usually used as a better way to model such a spectrum. Here we used a Voigt function (23) for Raman spectra modeling although even Voigt profiles may not be valid in the far wings of line shapes at high-pressure due to break down of the impact approximation (23).

Voigt-\( \alpha \) and -\( x \) parameter are given by

\[
\alpha(v,J,P,T) = \sqrt{2 \ln 2} \frac{\Delta V_c(P,T)}{\Delta V_c(v,J)} \tag{8}
\]

\[
x(v,J) = 2\sqrt{2 \ln 2} \frac{(v - \nu_r(v,J))}{\Delta V_c(v,J)} \tag{9}
\]

where \( \Delta V_c \) is the overall convolution Gaussian width \([\text{cm}^{-1}]\) and may be written as

\[
\Delta V_c(v,J) = \sqrt{(\Delta V_D^2 + \Delta V_{\text{Laser}}^2 + \Delta V_{\text{Spec}}^2)} \tag{10}
\]

where \( \Delta V_D \) is the molecular-dependent Doppler width \([\text{cm}^{-1}]\), \( \Delta V_{\text{Laser}} \) is the injection-seeded laser line width, \( 0.003 \text{ [cm}^{-1}] \), and \( \Delta V_{\text{Spec}} \) is the spectral resolution of the spectograph, \( 14.0 \text{ [cm}^{-1}] \) as a instrumental function. \( \Delta V_c \) is the collisional broadening width \([\text{cm}^{-1}]\) and \( \Delta V_c = 2\chi(P,T) \), where \( \chi(P,T) \) is the pressure broadened line halfwidth (HWHM) \([\text{cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}]\) for a gas total pressure \( P \text{ [atm]} \), temperature \( T \text{ [K]} \), and partial pressure of the molecule \( P_i \text{ [atm]} \). It is given by (24)

\[
\gamma(P,T) = \sqrt{\frac{T}{T_0}} \left[ \gamma_{\text{air}}(P_0,T_0)(P-P_0) + \gamma_{\text{air}}(P_0,T_0)P \right] \tag{11}
\]

where \( \gamma_{\text{air}}(P_0,T_0) \) and \( \gamma_{\text{air}}(P_0,T_0) \) are air-broadened, and self-broadened width at 296 K and 1 atm. n is the coefficient of temperature dependence of air-broadened halfwidth and here the classical value of 0.5 (24) was used for all cases. \( \gamma_{\text{air}}(P_0,T_0) \) and \( \gamma_{\text{air}}(P_0,T_0) \) for all \( \text{N}_2 \) Raman lines over the range of combustion temperature were assumed to the same value of 0.048 \([\text{cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}]\) that was derived from HITRAN96 (24) by calculating an intensity-weighted average halfwidth of absorption Q-branch lines from \( J = 1 \) to 40 in the \( (1,0) \) vibrational transition which is the dominant transition at room temperature. We used one fixed number for all pressure broadened linewidths even though they are transition dependent, because those for “hot” lines are not well known. As for \( \text{H}_2 \), unfortunately, detailed line information about pressure broadening has not been available in the literatures, so we assumed 0.05 \([\text{cm}^{-1}] \) as a typical width (24). Note that when \( \Delta V_{\text{Spec}} >> \Delta V_c \), Voigt-\( \alpha \) becomes nearly zero, so a Voigt profile becomes a Gaussian profile. In the present experiment \( \Delta V_{\text{Spec}} \) is a dominant factor for \( \Delta V_c \) because \( \Delta V_{\text{Spec}} >> \Delta V_c \).

Finally, the profile of Raman spectra at the temperature \( T \) and pressure \( P \) of interest were calculated by integration (sum) of all Voigt lines based on the assumption of the additive approximation. It should be noted that the interaction between different transitions, the so-called line-mixing (25) in Raman spectra of high-pressure gases, was not included in the present model. Fortunately, line-narrowing and line-shift of \( \text{N}_2 \) Raman due to such line-mixing effects over the range of pressure up to 60 atm have been observed by an order of \( 10^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (26), so these effects may not be
significant compared to the spectral resolution of the present Raman measurement.

3. Experimental Apparatus

3-1. High-Pressure Burner

A specially designed high-pressure gaseous burner was used to provide a controllable and stable source of combustion products in high-pressure environments for the calibration and development of the quantitative Raman diagnostic technique. Figure 1 shows the assembly diagram of the burner nozzle which consists of a staggered (alternating) micro-tube array, in which an 8 x 8 array of 1.1 mm diameter holes spaced at 2.6 x 2.6 mm are fed by an oxidizer cavity located just underneath the burner surface. Additionally, a 7 x 7 array of 1.1 mm diameter holes located between oxidizer holes are fed by a fuel cavity. Rapid mixing of fuel and oxidizer is enhanced by micro-jets at high velocity from the tubes which permits the operation of non-premixed flame to behave as a quasi-premixed flame. The burner produces a region of combustion products directly downstream of the flame with a uniform flow pattern over an approximate 5 x 5 mm zone. The thick 300 series stainless steel burner face is convectively cooled by both the flow of the ambient temperature air and fuel, and by the conduction of the main burner body. Note that a non-premixed flame featured by multiple mm-order holes can keep the burner surface far below 400 °C preventing meltdown at high-pressure and high-temperature combustion.

Figure 2 shows a schematic of the high-pressure burner rig and gas flow system. Room-temperature air from a facility compressor provided 30 atm air for cooling. The cooling air is introduced at the bottom of
the rig for liner cooling (0.25 lbm/s max.), and also at the upper side as “quenching” (0.20 lbm/s max.). These airflows were controlled by remotely operated regulators using calibrated venturi flow meters. Approximately 10% or less of the total cooling flow rate of the facility air was used as a purge-air for the optical windows during experiments prevent water vapor condensation. The flow rate of the purge-air was set via the sonic venturi. The pressure of the burner rig was maintained via the back-pressure valve mounted at the top of the chamber, which is remotely operated by auto-feedback process controller. The burner rig was operated at 20 atm in the present study while rig pressure can range from 1 atm to 60 atm. The pressure was stabilized below ±1% for each condition. For optical access, the burner rig has four 44 mm thick UV grade fused silica windows with a clear aperture of 85 mm. A burst disk (935 psig) placed between the pressure chamber and exhaust pipe is required in case of a hazardous pressure buildup or an unexpected explosion.

The micro-tube burner is mounted inside the high-temperature liner casing inside the rig. Note that the pressure inside the casing is the same as for the rig. A small amount of cooling bleed air is fed into the casing to avoid building up of combustion products around the burner. The oxidizer air and the fuel H₂ were provided by 12-pack cylinder arrays at 150 atm pressure. The flow rates of the air and fuel were precisely controlled within 1% accuracy using the sonic venturi flow meters with computer operated regulators and valves. For the data presented in this paper, the equivalence ratio was set at φ = 1.34 while it can be operated over a wide range from φ = 0.3 to 4.

Measurements were made at 25 mm above the burner nozzle surface on the center axis. This is a point located approximately just above the actual flame zone.

3.2. Raman Diagnostics

Figure 3 shows a schematic of Raman scattering measurements apparatus. An injection seeded, Q-switched Nd:YAG laser operating at 532 nm with about 1000 mJ/pulse was used as the excitation laser source. The laser pulse width at FWHM was measured to be 8.4 ns. The injection seeding feature helps to produce a better pulse-to-pulse energy stability with less timing-jitter. Each pulse from the laser was temporally “stretched” to a longer pulse (75 ns halfwidth) by means of the pulse stretching optics (12) with 83% energy throughput. The “pulse-stretcher” consists of sixteen mirrors and three beamsplitter-combiners. The beamsplitters divert fractions of the laser pulse to an optical delay line and subsequently recombine the multiple delayed pulses to a single, longer pulse. The pulse stretcher reduces the peak power to approximately 10% of the input peak power as shown.

![Schematic of Raman apparatus](image)

Fig. 3 Schematic of Raman apparatus. Lasers, shutter, and camera are synchronized and controlled by a digital delay/pulse generation.
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in Fig. 4 so that the laser pulse can be focused into the fine volume without the breakdown of gases as well as without damaging windows. Note that the breakdown of the air at high-pressure circumstances can be more significant because breakdown power threshold for the air has negative pressure dependence \(^{(27)}\). Using a 750 mm focal length lens, the light emerging from pulse stretcher was focused to a approximately 1 mm beam waist at the probe volume. The beam, after passing through the probe volume, was then reflected back into the probe volume using a 400 mm collimating lens and a right-angle prism; this effectively doubled the laser energy in the probe volume.

The vertically polarized Raman scattering light was collected at a 90-degree angle with a camera lens (85 mm, f/1.4) and was then focused onto a single silica optical fiber (400 \(\mu\)m in core diameter) connected to an electro-mechanical high-speed shutter \(^{(16)}\) for gating the light. The proprietary shutter system design is based on electronically synchronized optical choppers. Figure 5 shows the optical transmission through the shutter system, which provided 24 \(\mu\)s exposure (FWHM) with 0.4 \(\mu\)s jitter with 12 x 0.4 mm clear aperture to reduce the effects of background light interferences. The gated light from the shutter was directed to the spectrograph. The optical throughput of the shutter system was 55% including fiber transmission losses. The axially transmissive spectrograph (f/1.8) is fitted with a holographic notch filter to attenuate the Rayleigh scattering component of the signal by over six orders of magnitude. A volume holographic transmission grating disperses the signal into different wavelengths which are detected by a non-intensified, thermo-electrically cooled (-45 °C), back-illuminated CCD camera (1340 x 400 pixels) which provides approximately a 90% quantum efficiency with 16-bits of dynamic range. The electronic exposure of the CCD was 5 ms (but the actual time exposure limited by the shutter is 24 \(\mu\)s), and the data was binned over 50 vertical pixels and accumulated for 250 shots (on the chip) to increase the signal-to-noise. The spectral resolution was 0.31 nm for the 25 \(\mu\)m slit used. The spectral intensity was corrected to irradiance units (W/cm\(^2\)/nm) by means of the calibration of CCD count with calibration blackbody lamp so that it could be compared with theoretically calculated Raman spectra.

4. Results and Discussion

Laser Raman scattering measurements in combustion environments often suffer from background interferences such as flame luminosity and/or laser induced fluorescence of radicals. Removing these interferences is the key to a quantitative analysis. Here we have a steady flow and used a simple means for background subtraction. Figure 6 shows measured Raman spectra of rich H\(_2\)/air combustion gases at 20 atm. Even though Raman scattering was measured using a pulsed laser with a high-speed shutter system (24 \(\mu\)s exposure) to minimize spontaneous flame emissions and luminosity, a certain level of background was observed in the Raman scattering spectra as shown in Fig. 6(a). To remove this, the flame luminosity was measured without laser excitation and was subtracted from the original signal as shown in Fig.6(b). The pure Raman spectra then appeared as shown in Fig. 6(c). Rotational Stokes H\(_2\) (rot-H\(_2\)), ro-vibrational Stokes of N\(_2\) (vib-N\(_2\)), H\(_2\)O (vib-H\(_2\)O), and H\(_2\) (vib-H\(_2\)) were observed clearly as well as anti-Stokes rotational H\(_2\) and anti-Stokes ro-vibrational N\(_2\). Very weak anti-Stokes vib-H\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O were also observed. There are a couple of good candidates to determine the temperature in the present high-pressure flame: 1) Stokes rot-H\(_2\); 2) Stokes vib-H\(_2\); 3) Stokes/anti-Stokes vib-N\(_2\). Stokes rot-H\(_2\) Raman has stronger intensity in H\(_2\) rich flames and each rotational spectrum is discriminated very well. Therefore we used the rot-H\(_2\) spectra to estimate a temperature.
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Fig. 6 Raman spectrum data in H₂/air combustion gases (φ = 1.34, 20 atm, 250-shot). (a) laser Raman scattering with background flame luminescence, (b) flame luminescence without laser incident, (c) laser Raman scattering subtracted flame luminescence, that is (c) = (a) - (b). *a.s.* indicates anti-Stokes band, and *rot* indicates pure rotational scattering. The profiles of Stokes and anti-Stokes rot-N₂ around 532 nm could be altered by the Notch filter. Spectral intensity is not calibrated to irradiance units. (a) and (b) were not measured simultaneously but used the same exposure time and accumulation number.

S-branches of rot-H₂ Raman spectra (close-up of Fig. 6) are shown in Fig. 7. Since S(0) and S(1) spectra tend to be overwhelmed by much stronger rot-N₂ signal unless some sort of polarization detection technique is applied, relatively stronger signal from S(3) and S(5) could be good markers for temperature dependence of rot-H₂. Based on the theoretical model described above the integrated intensity ratio, R of S(3) to S(5) can be written as a function of T:

\[
R(T) = \frac{f(3)}{f(5)} \exp\left(\frac{-hc(F(3) - F(5))}{kT}\right) \tag{12}
\]

where

\[
f(J) = (2J + 1) b_{J,2,J} (v_0 - v_e(J))^J \tag{13}
\]

Substituting the experimental result of R in Fig. 7 for Eq.(12) the estimated temperature of 1889 K was determined. The theoretical rot-H₂ Raman spectrum at 1889 K and 20 atm was fitted to the experimental spectra as shown in Fig. 7. The experimental profile agrees well with that calculated for S-branches from J = 2 to 8 except J = 7. Since each rot-H₂ Raman between S(2) and S(6) is discriminated against each other due to relatively large rotational level spacing, and also because they do not suffer from any significant interferences, the profile of the spectrum can be a good place to examine pressure broadening effects. In the present experiment, however, the spectral resolution is much larger than the estimated pressure broadening, therefore the observed good agreement on the Raman spectral width between measured data and calculated as seen in Fig. 7 does not immediately support the pressure broadening coefficients assumed in the model.

The theoretical vib-H₂ Raman spectra at 1889 K and 20 atm was fitted to the experimental spectrum as shown in Fig. 8. Five major peaks in vib-H₂ agree well while the peaks at 676.7 nm and at 679.0 nm are slightly off. Such comparison between rotational temperature and vibrational temperature is a good way to evaluate the equilibrium condition. Figure 9 shows experimental vib-N₂ Raman spectra with theoretical
Fig. 7 Pure rotational Raman spectra of \( \text{H}_2 \) at 20 atm. Dot is experiment, Line is theoretical fit (\( \Delta v_{\text{spec}} = 0.4 \) nm) at 1889 K. \( \phi = 1.34 \) (\( \text{H}_2/\text{air} \) flame), 250-shot. A big difference between measured intensity and theoretical intensity in S(7) results from background subtraction error due to strong Na emission (shown in Fig. 7c) at that wavelength.

Fig. 8 Ro-vibrational \( \text{H}_2 \) Raman spectra at 20 atm. Dot is experiment, Line is theoretical fit (\( \Delta v_{\text{spec}} = 0.4 \) nm) at 1889 K. \( \phi = 1.34 \) (\( \text{H}_2/\text{air} \) flame), 250-shot.

Fitting at 1889 K and 20 atm. The profiles all agree well in the three major vibrational transitions, so the estimated temperature is likely a reasonable equilibrium temperature.

5. Conclusions

A new high-pressure gaseous burner facility and a high-performance spontaneous Raman scattering diagnostics system (Nd-YAG based) have been developed to provide a fundamental, comprehensive Raman scattering spectral database in high-pressure flames for use as a quantitative calibration standard. These facilities were shown to provide high-quality Raman data with good signal-to-noise and sufficient spectral resolution. As the first demonstration, the Raman spectrum of \( \text{H}_2/\text{Air} \) combustion gases at 20 atm was measured. The theoretical spectra of pure rotational \( \text{H}_2 \) Raman and for vibrational \( \text{H}_2 \) Raman were calculated using a classical harmonic-oscillator model and a line-shape model including pressure broadening and were compared to the experimental data to estimate the temperature. The clear rotational and ro-vibrational Raman spectra of \( \text{H}_2, \text{N}_2, \text{H}_2\text{O} \) were observed after subtraction of flame luminescence and radiations. Theoretical spectral analysis on experimental rot-\( \text{H}_2 \) Raman provided an estimated gas temperature of 1889 K in \( \text{H}_2/\text{Air} \) combustion at \( \phi = 1.34 \), 20 atm. Calculated Raman spectra of rot-\( \text{H}_2 \), vib-\( \text{H}_2 \), and vib-\( \text{N}_2 \) agreed well with the experimental results. This result indicates that the estimated spectroscopic temperature obtained from \( \text{H}_2 \) seems to be a reasonable value, however the temperature measured here should be evaluated by other methods e.g. Rayleigh thermometry or chemical equilibrium computation. Also this \( \text{H}_2 \) Raman thermometry will be competed with \( \text{N}_2 \) Raman thermometry in high-pressure environments. More detailed quantitative spectral analysis of high-pressure Raman spectra in various flame conditions will be done by means of theoretical computation, and additional more precise experimental data are needed.
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