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Chapter 1

Aerodynamic loading

1.1 Aerodynamic loading of natural shape balloons

1.1.1 Background

The equations for a natural balloon shape were first derived by researchers at the University of Minnesota (see [1]). A derivation of these equations is presented in [7, Sec. V]. Extensive computations were carried out on a digital computer by Smalley (see, e.g., [15]-[16]). Axisymmetric ascent shapes were considered in [3]. We follow the approach in [3], modeling the balloon as an axisymmetric inextensible membrane. However, in the present work, we add caps and aerodynamic forces to the model for axisymmetric natural shape balloons. Balloons constructed from a single shell, but with sections of different material properties can also be considered. We begin with some notation and then provide some physical justification for the governing equations.

1.1.2 Equilibrium equations

Since we seek axisymmetric solutions, we need to find a generating curve \( s \rightarrow (z(s), r(s)) \), where \( s \) is the arc-length. Let \( \ell_d \) be the total length of the generating curve. We can express the solution as \( x(s, \phi) \) (see Figure 1.1), where \( s \in [0, \ell_d] \), \( \phi \in [0, 2\pi] \), and

\[
\begin{align*}
x(s, \phi) &= r(s)e_1(\phi) + z(s)e_3(\phi), \\
e_1(\phi) &= \cos \phi \mathbf{i} + \sin \phi \mathbf{j}, \\
e_3(\phi) &= \mathbf{k}.
\end{align*}
\]

It is useful to define \( e_2(\phi) \) to be the unit vector which completes the right-hand triad \( \{e_1(\phi), e_2(\phi), e_3(\phi)\} \),

\[
e_2(\phi) = e_3(\phi) \times e_1(\phi) = -\sin \phi \mathbf{i} + \cos \phi \mathbf{j}.
\]
The balloon’s shape is defined by the parametrized surface,
\[ S = \{ x(s, \phi) \mid s \in [0, \ell_d], \phi \in [0, 2\pi] \}. \] (1.2)

At each point along the curve, \( s \rightarrow x(s, \phi) \), the tangent vector is given by
\[ a(s, \phi) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial s}(s, \phi) = \sin \theta(s)e_1(\phi) + \cos \theta(s)e_3(\phi), \] (1.3)
where \( \theta(s) \) is the angle between \( a \) and \( e_3(\phi) \) (see Figure 1.1), \( \theta'(s) = \cos \theta(s) \) and \( r'(s) = \sin \theta(s) \).

The inward pointing normal is
\[ b(s, \phi) = a \times e_2(\phi) = -\cos \theta e_1(\phi) + \sin \theta e_3(\phi). \] (1.4)

Let \( s_0 \in (0, \ell_d) \), and let the vector \( n_1(s_0, \phi) \) denote the resultant stress defined at each point of the curve \( \phi \rightarrow x(s_0, \phi) \). Then \( n_1(s_0, \phi) \) represents the density of the resultant contact force generated by the part of \( S \) with \( s \geq s_0 \) acting on the portion of \( S \) with \( s < s_0 \). Similarly, let \( 0 \leq \phi_0 < 2\pi \) and let \( n_2(s, \phi_0) \) denote the resultant stress defined at each point of the curve \( s \rightarrow x(s, \phi_0) \). The vector \( n_2(s, \phi_0) \) represents the density of resultant contact force generated by the part of \( S \) with \( \phi_0 \leq \phi < \phi_0 + \varepsilon \) acting on the part of \( S \) with \( \phi_0 - \varepsilon < \phi < \phi_0 \). We choose \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough that \( 0 \leq \phi_0 - \varepsilon \) and \( \phi_0 + \varepsilon \leq 2\pi \). Both \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) are measured per unit length in the deformed configuration.

Because the balloon is modeled as a membrane, we can ignore all bending moments and couples. Furthermore, under the assumption of axisymmetry, we can write the contact forces as
\[ n_1(s, \phi) = \sigma_m(s)a(s, \phi), \]
\[ n_2(s, \phi) = \sigma_c(s)e_2(\phi), \]
where \( \sigma_m \) is the meridional stress and \( \sigma_c \) the circumferential stress. Next, we consider the equilibrium of a test patch \( A \), where
\[ A = \{ x(\xi, \psi) \mid s_0 \leq \xi \leq s, 0 \leq \psi \leq \phi \}, \]
for some \( s_0 \in (0, \ell_d) \) and \( \phi \in (0, 2\pi) \). The forces acting on \( A \) are the internal forces, \( n_1(s, \phi) \) and \( n_2(s, \phi) \), and the external forces,
\[ f(s, \phi) = -p(s)b(s, \phi) - w(s)e_3(\phi) - f_D(s)e_3(\phi), \] (1.5)
where \( p \) is hydrostatic pressure due to the difference between the densities of the lifting gas and the ambient air, \( w \) is balloon film weight, and \( f_D \) is the aerodynamic pressure density due to drag. All external forces are measured per unit area in the deformed configuration.
In general, the drag on a submersed body exerted by a fluid that is in motion through the fluid is

\[ F_D = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} C_D A_D |v_B - v_{air}|(v_B - v_{air}), \]

where \( C_D \) is the drag coefficient, \( \rho_{air} \) is the density of the air, \( A_D \) is the area of the projection of the body on the plane perpendicular to the direction of motion, \( v_B \) is the velocity of the balloon, \( v_{air} \) is the velocity of the atmosphere (see [7, p. II-13] and [10, Sec. 4.3]). In our applications, the velocity of the balloon will be in the \( \pm k \) directions. We specify the magnitude of the velocity of the balloon \( |v_B| = v_B \) and assume that \( |v_{air}| = 0 \). For a descending balloon, \( F_D \) is given by

\[ F_D = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} C_D A_D v_B^2 k. \]

We define \( f_D(s) = F_D \cdot e_3(\phi) \), and it follows from Eq. (1.7) that the aerodynamic pressure density is

\[ f_D(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} C_D v_B^2 A_D. \]

From Eq. (1.8), we see that \( f_D(s) \) can be expressed as

\[ f_D(s) = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} C_D v_B^2 I_{[-\pi/2,0]}(\theta(s)) \sin \theta(s), \]

where \( I \) is the indicator function, i.e.,

\[ I_{[a,b]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [a,b] \\ 0, & x \not\in [a,b] \end{cases}. \]

We will write \( f_D(\theta) \) to emphasize the dependence of \( f_D \) on \( \theta = \theta(s) \). The parameter \( C_D \) depends on a variety of factors (see [7, p. II-13]); for a descending balloon, we will assume \( C_D = 0.8 \). For an ascending balloon, \( f_D \) is similarly defined with \( C_D = 0.4 \) and indicator function \( I_{[0,\pi/2]} \). Note, for an ascending balloon \( f_D \geq 0 \), while for a descending balloon \( f_D \leq 0 \). The caps will be modeled as an added thickness, so \( \omega \) depends on \( s \).

The total force acting on \( A \) is

\[ 0 = \int_0^\phi \sigma_m(s)a(s,\psi)r(s)d\psi - \int_0^\phi \sigma_m(s_0)a(s_0,\psi)r(s_0)d\psi + \int_{s_0}^s \sigma_c(\xi)e_2(\phi)d\xi - \int_{s_0}^s \sigma_c(\xi)e_2(0)d\xi + \int_{s_0}^\phi f(\xi,\psi)r(\xi)d\psi d\xi. \]

Differentiating Eq. (1.10) with respect to \( s \) and \( \phi \) and using \( \partial \psi_2/\partial \phi = -\psi_1 \), we are lead to the following equilibrium equations,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (r\sigma_m a) - \sigma_c e_1(\phi) + \mathbf{r} = 0. \]

In this report, when discussing a descending (or ascending balloon), we ignore the dynamics of the balloon flight and assume that the balloon is in a quasi-static equilibrium state as given by Eq. (1.11).
1.1.3 The natural-shape model equations

In this section, we formulate the natural-shape model, including boundary conditions for float and descent shapes (ascending shapes can be considered with some slight modifications). Projecting Eqs. (1.11) onto the $e_3(\phi)$ and $e_1(\phi)$ directions, we obtain,

\[
\frac{d}{ds} (r_c m \cos \phi) - r_w - p r \sin \phi - r_f(\phi),
\]

(1.12)

\[
\frac{d}{ds} (r_c m \sin \phi) - \sigma_c + p r \cos \phi,
\]

(1.13)

respectively. After carrying out the differentiation and rearranging terms in (1.12)–(1.13), we obtain

\[
0 = \frac{d}{ds} (r_c m) \cos \phi - (r_c m) \sin \phi \frac{d\theta}{ds} - r_w - p r \sin \phi - r_f(\theta),
\]

(1.14)

\[
0 = \frac{d}{ds} (r_c m) \sin \phi + (r_c m) \cos \phi \frac{d\theta}{ds} - \sigma_c + p r \cos \phi.
\]

If we substitute $p = b(z + z_0)$, where $z_0$ is the distance from the zero-pressure level to the bottom of the balloon and $b$ is the specific buoyancy of the lifting gas, and solve for $d\theta/ds$ and $d(r_c m)/ds$, we obtain

\[
(r_c m) \frac{d\theta}{ds} = \sigma_c \cos \theta - r w \sin \theta - b r (z + z_0) - r_f(\theta) \sin \theta,
\]

(1.15)

\[
\frac{d}{ds} (r_c m) = \sigma_c \sin \theta + r w \cos \theta + r_f(\theta) \cos \theta.
\]

(1.16)

While $b$ is assumed to be known as a function of altitude, the value of $b$ depends on a number of parameters (e.g., $b = b(T_a, T_b, \rho_a, \rho_b)$ where $T_a$ is temperature of the air, $T_b$ is the temperature of
the gas, $\rho_a$ is the the density of the air, and $\rho_g$ is the density of the gas). See Appendices A-B for further details on the calculation of $b$. The zero-pressure level $z_0$ is determined during the solution process. Normally, we assume $z_0 = 0$ and $v_B = 0$ at float. However, if $v_B \neq 0$, we can also compute a natural shape.

Since the generating curve is parametrized by arc-length, we see that

\[
\frac{dz}{ds} = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dr}{ds} = \sin \theta.
\]

It will be convenient to define the total film load $T$ in the meridional direction, i.e.,

\[
T = 2\pi r \sigma_m.
\]

Multiplying both Eqs. (1.15)-(1.16) by $2\pi$, substituting $T$ for $2\pi r \sigma_m$, and setting $\sigma_c = 0$ (an assumption of the natural shape), we have

\[
\frac{d\theta}{ds} = -2\pi r (w \sin \theta + b(z + a) + f_D(\theta) \sin \theta) / T, \quad (1.19)
\]

\[
\frac{dT}{ds} = 2\pi r (w + f_D(\theta)) \cos \theta. \quad (1.20)
\]

For applications considered here, we will assume that a load $L$ is suspended from the base of the balloon. Thus,

\[
T(0) = L / \cos \theta_0, \quad (1.21)
\]

where $\theta(0) = \theta_0$ and $\theta_0$ is one-half the "cone-angle" at the base of the balloon (see Figure 1.1). Note, $\theta_0$ is not known apriori and must be computed based on certain parameter values and boundary conditions. If there is no load at the top of the balloon, then $\theta = -\pi/2$ at the top.

### 1.1.4 Problem formulation using the parallel shooting method

**Standard Model**

The governing differential equations for a natural-shape balloon in terms of $T$ are

\[
\frac{d\theta}{ds} = -2\pi r (w \sin \theta + b(z + a) + r f_D(\theta) \sin \theta) / T, \quad (1.22)
\]

\[
\frac{dT}{ds} = 2\pi r (w + f_D(\theta)) \cos \theta, \quad (1.23)
\]

\[
\frac{dz}{ds} = \cos \theta, \quad (1.24)
\]

\[
\frac{dr}{ds} = \sin \theta. \quad (1.25)
\]
At the top of the balloon, we assume
\[
\begin{align*}
\theta(\ell) &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi, \\
r(\ell) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (1.26)

The initial conditions for Eqs. (1.22)-(1.25) are
\[
\begin{align*}
\theta(0) &= \theta_0, \\
T(0) &= L / \cos \theta_0, \\
z(0) &= 0, \\
r(0) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (1.27)

For a given pair \((\theta_0, \ell)\) and weight density \(w(s)\), Eqs. (1.22)-(1.25) can be integrated over the interval \(0 < s < \ell\) beginning with the initial conditions in Eqs. (1.27). Using a shooting method, one determines \((\theta_0, \ell) = (\theta_{0,d}, \ell_d)\) and the functions \(\theta(s; \theta_{0,d}, \ell_d), T(s; \theta_{0,d}, \ell_d), z(s; \theta_{0,d}, \ell_d),\) and \(r(s; \theta_{0,d}, \ell_d)\) that satisfy Eq. (1.22)-(1.25), and Eqs. (1.26), i.e.,
\[
\begin{align*}
\theta(\ell_d; \theta_{0,d}, \ell_d) &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi, \\
r(\ell_d; \theta_{0,d}, \ell_d) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\] (1.28)

Such a solution automatically satisfies Archimedes principle. For if we multiply Eq. (1.14) by \(2\pi\), integrate from \(s = 0\) to \(s = \ell_d\), and used the identity,
\[
\frac{r(z+z_0)}{ds} = \frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{ds} \left( r^2(z+z_0) \right) - \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{dz}{ds} \right),
\]
we obtain
\[
- T(\theta_{0,d}) \cos(\theta_{0,d}) = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\ell_d} wrds - bV - F_D,
\] (1.29)

and after rearranging terms,
\[
L + W_B = bV + F_D,
\] (1.30)

where \(W_B\) is the total weight of the balloon system (shell, caps, and load tapes) and \(F_D\) is the total drag.

In the natural-shape model in [3], the weights of the caps and load tapes were added to the payload. In the model presented here, the balloon system includes two caps that are modeled as an added thickness. We will assume that the number of gores in a complete shape is \(n_g\). The weight of the load tapes will be incorporated by modifying \(w\) appropriately. The lengths of the generators for the caps are denoted by \(c_1, c_2\), respectively and are assumed to be known. For a given \(\ell\), let \(s_1 = \ell - c_1\) and \(s_2 = \ell - c_2\) denote the location of caps’ edges. This means that \(w(s_i + 0) \neq w(s_i - 0)\) for \(i = 1, 2\) and \(d\theta/ds\) and \(dT/ds\) are discontinuous (another discontinuity arises when \(f_0 \neq 0\)).

Next, we outline a parallel shooting method for computing a design shape with a variable thickness. See [13] and [14] for a discussion of the parallel shooting method. In the following, we
denote the length of the first, second, and third interval by \( l_1 = s_1, l_2 = s_2 - s_1, \) and \( l_3 = \ell - s_2, \) respectively. We divide \( n_g w_l \) by \( 2\pi r(s) \) in order to get the average weight density of tape with respect to area. The total length \( \ell \) is not known beforehand and will be computed as part of the solution process. We define \( w(s) \) as follows,

\[
w(s) = w_{\text{film}}(s) + n_g w_l / (2\pi r(s)), \quad 0 < s < \ell,
\]

where

\[
w_{\text{film}}(s) = \begin{cases} 
  w_f, & 0 \leq s \leq l_1 \\
  2w_f, & l_1 < s \leq l_2 \\
  3w_f, & l_2 < s \leq \ell
\end{cases}
\]

\( w_f \) is the weight density of a single layer of balloon film, \( w_l \) is the load tape weight density and \( n_g \) is the number of gores. Eq. (1.31) can be easily modified to accommodate balloons with varying material properties, other than the thickness due to the caps. Eqs. (1.22)-(1.25) are equivalent to

\[
\frac{d\theta_i}{ds} = -2\pi r_i (w_i \sin \theta_i + b(z_i + z_0) + f_D(\theta_i) \sin \theta_i) / T_i,
\]

\[
\frac{dT_i}{ds} = 2\pi r_i (w_i + f_D(\theta_i)) \cos \theta_i,
\]

\[
\frac{dz_i}{ds} = \cos \theta_i,
\]

\[
\frac{dr_i}{ds} = \sin \theta_i,
\]

for \( s_{i-1} < s < s_i \) and \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), with the convention that \( s_0 = 0 \) and \( s_3 = \ell \). For a function such as \( w(s) \), we will follow the convention that \( w_i(s) = w(s) \) for \( s_{i-1} < s < s_i \), and similar definitions for the other relevant functions \( \theta_i, T_i, z_i, r_i \). Eqs. (1.32)-(1.35) are supplemented by the initial conditions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1(0) &= \theta_1^0, \\
T_1(0) &= L / \cos \theta_1^0, \\
r_1(0) &= 0, \\
z_1(0) &= 0, \\
\theta_i(s_i) &= \theta_{i+1}^0, & i &= 1, 2, \\
T_i(s_i) &= T_{i+1}^0, & i &= 1, 2, \\
z_i(s_i) &= z_{i+1}^0, & i &= 1, 2, \\
r_i(s_i) &= r_{i+1}^0, & i &= 1, 2.
\end{align*}
\]
Eqs. (1.36) involve nine explicit free parameters \( \{\theta_1^0, \theta_2^0, T_1^0, T_2^0, z_1^0, z_2^0, r_1^0, r_2^0\} \) and the implicit parameter \( \ell \). Using the conditions at the top of the balloon given by Eq. (1.28), we are led to ten auxiliary conditions involving the unknown parameters,

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_i(s_i) &= \theta_{i+1}^0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\
T_i(s_i) &= T_{i+1}^0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\
r_i(s_i) &= r_{i+1}^0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\
z_i(s_i) &= z_{i+1}^0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\
r_3(\ell) &= 0, \\
\theta_3(\ell) &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi.
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.37)

By construction, the functions \( \theta(s) = \theta_i(s), T(s) = T_i(s), r(s) = r_i(s), \) and \( z(s) = z_i(s) \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) are continuous on \( 0 < s < \ell_d \).

Following the approach of [13], a new independent variable \( \hat{s} \) is introduced on each interval by the transformation

\[
\hat{s} = (s - \tau_i)/l_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,
\]

where \( \tau_1 = 0, \tau_2 = l_1 \) and \( \tau_3 = l_1 + l_2 \). By construction, \( 0 < \hat{s} < 1 \) on each subinterval. Let \( \hat{z}_i = z_i(\hat{s}), \hat{r}_i = r_i(\hat{s}), \ldots \), and so on. In the rescaled coordinates, we find Eqs. (1.32)–(1.35) can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\hat{\theta}_i}{d\hat{s}} &= -2\pi \hat{r}_i l_i \left( w_i \sin \hat{\theta}_i + b(\hat{z}_i + z_0) + f_D(\hat{\theta}_i) \sin \hat{\theta}_i \right) / \hat{T}_i, \\
\frac{d\hat{T}_i}{d\hat{s}} &= 2\pi \hat{r}_i l_i \left( w_i + f_D(\hat{\theta}_i) \right) \cos \hat{\theta}_i, \\
\frac{d\hat{z}_i}{d\hat{s}} &= l_1 \cos \hat{\theta}_i, \\
\frac{d\hat{r}_i}{d\hat{s}} &= l_1 \sin \hat{\theta}_i,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.38) \hspace{1cm} (1.39) \hspace{1cm} (1.40) \hspace{1cm} (1.41)

for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \).
The auxiliary conditions in the rescaled coordinates are

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\theta}_i(1) &= \hat{\theta}_i^0, & i = 1, 2, \\
\hat{T}_i(1) &= \hat{T}_i^0, & i = 1, 2, \\
\hat{r}_i(1) &= \hat{r}_i^0, & i = 1, 2, \\
\hat{z}_i(1) &= \hat{z}_i^0, & i = 1, 2, \\
\hat{r}_3(1) &= 0, \\
\hat{\theta}_3(\ell) &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi.
\end{align*}
\] (1.42)

The problem of computing a standard natural-shape balloon is to find a solution of Eqs. (1.38)-(1.41) on the interval \(0 < \ell < 1\) satisfying Eqs. (1.42) with \(v_B = 0\) and \(z_0 = 0\).

**Natural shape design with drag**

Eqn. (1.30) suggests there is a one-parameter family of design shapes, parametrized by \(v_B\). We make the standard assumptions that \(\sigma_c = 0\) and \(z_0 = 0\), but do not assume that \(v_B\) is zero. The net effect is that the volume of the design shape is reduced and the balloon is taller and narrower for decreasing \(v_B\). Natural shape balloons with drag will be computed in Section 1.5.2. A natural shape design with drag is a solution of Eqs. (1.38)-(1.41) on the interval \(0 < \ell < 1\) satisfying Eqs. (1.42) with \(v_B \neq 0\) and \(z_0 = 0\).

**1.1.5 Partially inflated natural shape balloons**

In the following, we assume that the design shape is known and the generating curve for the design shape is

\[(R_d(s), Z_d(s)), \ 0 < s < \ell_d.\]

If \(v_B = 0\), in our exposition, we assume that

\[b V = b_d V_d\] (1.43)

where \(V_d\) is the design volume, \(b_d\) is the buoyancy at float, and \(V\) is the current volume. Eq. (1.43) implies that \(b = b_d V_d / V\). Since \(V < V_d\), the balloon envelope is not fully deployed. If \(v_B \neq 0\), we assume \(b = \pi b_k\), and Archimedes Principle and Eq. (1.30) imply that the volume must satisfy \(V = (W_B + L - F_D) / b\).
To account for excess material, we modify the film weight density (replacing \( w(s) \rightarrow w(s)R_d(s)/r(s) \)). In particular, Eqs. (1.22)-(1.25) are replaced by (see [3])

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\theta}{ds} &= -2\pi(R_d(s)w\sin\theta + br(z + z_0) + r_fD(\theta)r\sin\theta)/T, \\
\frac{dT}{ds} &= 2\pi(R_d(s)w + r_fD(\theta))\cos\theta, \\
\frac{dz}{ds} &= \cos\theta, \\
\frac{dr}{ds} &= \sin\theta.
\end{align*}
\]  

\((1.44)\)

\((1.45)\)

\((1.46)\)

\((1.47)\)

Since we assume that the generating curve is inextensible, \( \ell_d \) is constant, and we introduce the zero-pressure level \( z_0 \) as the parameter to be determined. In particular, we replace Eqs. (1.26), by

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta(\ell_d; \theta_1^0, z_0) &= -\frac{1}{2}\pi, \\
r(\ell_d; \theta_1^0, z_0) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

\((1.48)\)

When the volume of the balloon gets very small, \( \theta_1^0 \) is nearly zero, and it virtually impossible to solve Eqs. (1.48) with an ordinary shooting method. This problem was circumvented in [3], by subdividing \( 0 < s < \ell_d \) and solving the governing equations with a parallel shooting method. For the present application, we subdivide the three intervals \( [0, l_1], [l_1, l_2], [l_2, \ell_d] \). For a given subdivision obtained by adding new break point \( s_k \), four additional parameters \( \{\theta_0^0, T_0^0, r_0^0, z_0^0\} \) are introduced with four additional auxiliary equations,

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_{k-1}^0(s_k) &= \theta_k^0, \\
T_{k-1}^0(s_k) &= T_k^0, \\
r_{k-1}^0(s_k) &= r_k^0, \\
z_{k-1}^0(s_k) &= z_k^0.
\end{align*}
\]  

\((1.49)\)

After relabeling of quantities, for \( n \) subdivisions there will be a total of \( 2 + 4(n - 1) \) parameters to be determined, i.e.,

\[
z_0, \theta_1^0, \theta_2^0, T_2^0, r_2^0, z_2^0, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}^0, T_{n-1}^0, r_{n-1}^0, z_{n-1}^0.
\]

The auxiliary equations are rescaled in the same manner as Eqs. (1.38)-(1.41).
1.1.6 Numerical results

Standard design shape

In the following, we present results on descending axisymmetric inextensible natural shape balloons using the parallel shooting method described in Section 1.4. We consider a design shape based on the parameters in Table 1.1. The values in Table 1.1 correspond roughly to a solar Montgolfiere balloon made of 3.5 micron mylar with a payload of 6 kg. The examples were chosen to illustrate how the shapes varies as certain parameters change. We first compute a design shape with \( v_B = 0 \). The height and diameter of the design shape is shown in Table 1.1. We then compute shapes for \( x = 2 \) and \( x = 5 \). When \( v_B = 0 \) and \( x = 2 \), we find \( V = 0.5 V_d \). When \( v_B = 0 \) and \( x = 5 \), we find \( V = 0.2 V_d \). In Figures 1.2-1.3, the design shape profile is shown to the left of the partially inflated ascent shape. Plots of \( \theta(s) \), \( T(s) \), \( r(s) \), \( z(s) \) are also shown.

In Figure 1.2(a), we present an ascent shape with \( V = 0.5 V_d \). To eliminate drag from our model, we set \( C_D = 0 \). The variation in shading indicate the subintervals used in the parallel shooting method (8 subintervals were used). Next, we set \( C_D = 0.8 \) and computed a corresponding solution shown in Figure 1.2(b). Because of Archimedes Principal (see Eq. (1.30)), if we maintain the same \( b \) and increase \( F_d \), then the effective volume \( V \) must decrease. In the zero drag case, the total meridional tension increases monotonically. With drag acting on the lower portion of the balloon, the tension is reduced. Next, we increased the velocity and air density and considered shapes with a smaller volume. In Figure 1.3(a), we present an ascent shape with \( V = 0.2 V_d \). Next, we set \( C_D = 0.8 \) and computed a corresponding solution (see Figure 1.3(b)). In Table 1.2, we compare quantities related to the shapes presented in Figure 1.2 and Figure 1.3.

Remark. It should be noted that the assumption, \( b V = b_d V_d \) is more relevant to ascent shapes than for a descending balloon that is being inflated. For a descending balloon that is being inflated (such as a Solar Montgolfiere), the higher buoyancy values occur when the balloon is near full inflation and the low values occur for low volumes. Nevertheless, the results presented in this section are for demonstration purposes and illustrate how such shapes can be analyzed.

Natural shape with drag

In this section, we consider a balloon descending with a constant velocity and compute the corresponding design shape. We assume a balloon with a 6 kg payload and a balloon envelope with density 8 kg/m². Additional design parameters are presented in Table 1.3.

In Figure 1.4, we present a family of design shapes parametrized by \( v_B \). In Figure 1.4(a), \( v_B = 0 \). In Figures 1.4(b)-(d), we present design shapes with velocities -2 m/sec, -4 m/sec, and -6
Table 1.1: Design Parameters for Test Case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Design Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b_d$</td>
<td>Buoyancy</td>
<td>3.9020375e-04 lbf/ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>13.22750 lbf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_f$</td>
<td>Film weight density</td>
<td>1.43369e-03 lbf/ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_t$</td>
<td>Tape weight density</td>
<td>0 lbf/ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_g$</td>
<td>Number of gores</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_d$</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>62290 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell_d$</td>
<td>Gore length</td>
<td>76.738 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_0$</td>
<td>Angle at base</td>
<td>59.32 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max$Z_d$</td>
<td>Balloon Height</td>
<td>45.76 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2×max$R_d$</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>53.219 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_T$</td>
<td>Surface Area</td>
<td>7727.26 ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_T$</td>
<td>Gross Weight</td>
<td>24.306 lbf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.2: Comparison of descending shapes with and without drag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>0.5 $V_d$</th>
<th>0.2 $V_d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_D$</td>
<td>Drag coefficient</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_B$ (ft/sec)</td>
<td>Velocity of balloon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_D$ (lbf)</td>
<td>Total drag</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_0$ (ft)</td>
<td>Zero-pressure level</td>
<td>29.97</td>
<td>34.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b$ (lbf/ft³)</td>
<td>Buoyancy</td>
<td>$2b_d$</td>
<td>$2b_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max$r$ (ft)</td>
<td>Max radius</td>
<td>19.86</td>
<td>17.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max$z$ (ft)</td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>58.11</td>
<td>60.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.2: Comparison of descent shapes with and without drag
(a) Without drag

\[ C_D = 0.0 \quad \rho = 0.0682 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad v = -5.00 \text{ m/s} \]

\[ \theta \text{ vs } S \]

\[ -0.00017 < \text{resid} < 0.00012 \quad \text{Max } R: 4.40 \quad \text{Max } Z: 63.69 \]

\[ \frac{A(\text{Nat}) - A(S)}{A(S)} = 0.003 \quad \text{Vol(Nat)} = 12458.46 \]

(b) With drag

\[ C_D = 0.8 \quad \rho = 0.0882 \text{ kg/m}^3 \quad v = -5.00 \text{ m/s} \]

\[ \theta \text{ vs } S \]

\[ -4.1e-05 < \text{resid} < 1.6e-06 \quad \text{Max } r = 5.93 \quad \text{Max } Z: 71.98 \]

Figure 1.3: Comparison of descent shapes with and without drag at low volume
Table 1.3: Parameter values for a natural shape design with drag

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>6 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film density</td>
<td>8 g/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ_{air}</td>
<td>0.00827 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ_{gas}</td>
<td>0.00541 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>280.764 kN/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.4: Comparison of natural shapes with drag as a function of velocity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Velocity (m/sec)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>-2</th>
<th>-4</th>
<th>-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gore length (m)</td>
<td>36.87</td>
<td>30.08</td>
<td>33.86</td>
<td>23.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ₀ (deg.)</td>
<td>68.06</td>
<td>67.03</td>
<td>63.84</td>
<td>43.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>20.42</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>19.07</td>
<td>14.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (m)</td>
<td>26.63</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>24.38</td>
<td>16.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (m³)</td>
<td>7291.98</td>
<td>6811.29</td>
<td>5555.57</td>
<td>1619.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Area (m²)</td>
<td>1856.87</td>
<td>1774.93</td>
<td>1551.50</td>
<td>689.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg, M_B + M_{Load})</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>20.20</td>
<td>18.41</td>
<td>11.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

m/sec. As the velocity increases in magnitude, the volume of the design shape is reduced and the ratio of diameter to height is also reduced. Once a design shape has been computed, we can also determine partially inflated natural shapes as we did in the previous section.

In Table 1.4, we present computed quantities related to the family of design shapes.

1.1.7 Remarks on natural-shape analysis

It is interesting to compare the results from Table 1.4 with that of a sphere of comparable volume. For example, consider the case when v_B = -10 m/sec. In this case, the volume, diameter, and balloon weight of the natural shape is 1619 m³, 16.12 m, and 5.517 kg. For a sphere of the same volume, the diameter is 14.56 m and its weight is 5.334 kg. Even though the natural shape weighs only 0.18 kg more than the sphere, it produces a drag equivalent to 6.89 kg, versus 5.623 kg for the sphere. The natural shape produces over 22% more drag than a sphere with the same volume.

Aerodynamic drag can be incorporated into a model for inextensible partially inflated natural shape balloons. While real balloons are not inextensible and the hoop stresses are not zero, the results in this section can be applied to elastic balloons that are not axisymmetric. In Section 2, we show how to extend this approach to our EM-model for a more general class of balloon shapes.
Figure 1.4: Design shapes with drag (a) $v_B = 0$ m/sec; (b) $v_B = -2$ m/sec; (c) $v_B = -4$ m/sec; (d) $v_B = -10$ m/sec.
1.2 Aerodynamic loading of partially inflated balloons with lobes

In this section, we outline a mathematical model for the aerodynamic loading of a lobed balloon shape. For simplicity and ease of exposition, we focus primarily on the case of drag on a descending balloon. We adapt the method of Chapter 1 to balloon shapes with and without lobes. Our approach is to derive an expression for the potential energy due to drag, so it can be added to our model for lobed energy minimizing shapes (i.e., LEM-shapes).

1.2.1 Background

A feature characterizing partially inflated scientific balloons is a lobe structure surrounding the gas bubble. When the gas bubble is of insufficient volume, the balloon envelope will collapse, forming folds of excess material and lobes. We present a mathematical model for a balloon shape with such features that is subjected to aerodynamic loading. We formulate a variational principal for the balloon system, and seek to determine a shape that minimizes energy subject to a volume constraint. The energy includes film and load tape strain, film and load tape weight, hydrostatic pressure, and drag.

Based on observations of real balloons, it is reasonable to assume that lobed structures are periodic. Balloon shapes with lobes were investigated in [5] using variational techniques where the energy of the balloon system included hydrostatic pressure and film weight. The energy of the balloon system was minimized subject to constraints on the volume and the lengths of certain fibers. Geometrically, the shapes in [5] possessed many features seen in real balloons: lobes surrounding the gas bubble, a sphere-like top, internal folds of excess balloon fabric, and flat wing-like structures (fins) hanging beneath the gas bubble. However, the formulation of the problem did not include strain energy. In [2] and [4], the film and load tape strain energies were considered for shapes modeled by a half-gore. In this work, we formulate a variational principal for a partially inflated balloon subject to aerodynamic drag in such a way that a lobed structure can (but not necessarily) form. While drag influences the balloon shape, lobes will form independently of drag.

In the following, let \( S(V,q,r) \) denotes a deformed balloon with volume \( V \), \( q \) lobes, and \( r \) gores per lobe. The total number of gores is \( n_g \) and \( n_g = rq \). If it is clear from context, we will omit certain arguments and write \( S \) instead of \( S(V,q,r) \). \( S_F \) denotes a fundamental section of \( S \) \( (S_F \) is centered about a vertical plane of reflectional symmetry). By symmetry arguments, \( r \) is odd, i.e., \( r = 2k + 1 \). A superscript \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, r \) denotes a quantity related to the \( j \)th gore of \( S_F \). When \( r = 1 \), the balloon shape can be modeled by a half-gore. When \( r > 1 \), the balloon can have lobes. Viewed from above, the discrete design shape will have the symmetries of a regular polygon with
sides. In general, \( S(V, q, r) \) will be invariant under the dihedral group \( D_q \) and viewed from above, it will have the symmetries of a regular polygon with \( q \) sides. To simplify our exposition, we will consider a natural-shape design with \( n_g = 27 \) (note, \( C_{27} \subset G_9 \subset S_3 \)). A shape with \( D_9 \) symmetry has nine lobes and each complete lobe is constructed from 3 gores.

### 1.2.2 Potential energy for aerodynamic drag

Aerodynamic drag can be introduced into our balloon shape model by adapting Eq. (1.6) appropriately. Consider an arbitrary facet in the triangulation of a balloon shape that is not part of a fold. Let \( v_0, v_1, v_2 \) denote the vertices, and \( \vec{e}_0 = v_1 - v_0, \vec{e}_1 = v_2 - v_1, \vec{e}_2 = v_0 - v_2 \). We assume that \( v_0, v_1, v_2 \) are ordered in such a way that the unit normal \( \mathbf{n} = \vec{e}_0 \times \vec{e}_1 / |\vec{e}_0 \times \vec{e}_1| \) points outward. For a balloon descending with a velocity \( v_B = -v_B\mathbf{k} \), the drag on typical triangle \( T \) is:

\[
F_D(T) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \rho \tau C_D \frac{v_B^2}{2} d\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{k}, & 0 < \arccos(-\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}) < \pi/2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}
\]

(1.50)

where \( d\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}|e_0 \times e_1|\mathbf{n} \). Adding up the drag contributions of each facet that contacts the atmosphere yields the total potential energy of the drag on the balloon, i.e.,

\[
E_D(S) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_S \rho \tau C_D \frac{v_B^2}{2} d\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{k}.
\]

(1.51)

### 1.2.3 Mathematical model for aerodynamically loaded balloon shapes

In Table 1.5, we present design parameters that are used for the illustrations in this section. In the following, the reader should refer to Figure 1.5 for notation related to the reference configuration. Figures 1.6(a)-(b) shows a 3 gore lobe of a 27 gore design and Figures 1.7 shows the complete shape.

Let \( V_l, V_{ml}, V_{m'}, V_m, V_{mr}, V_r \) denote vertices along a circumferential fiber at the \( i \)th station of Gore-\( j \) in the flat reference configuration. Let \( v_l, v_{ml}, v_{m'}, v_m, v_{mr}, v_r \) be the corresponding vertices in the deformed configuration. Note that \( V_{m'} \) and \( V_m \) are the same material point. Vertices \( v_{ml}, v_m \) represent the end location of the respective left-half and right-half circumferential fibers (if these fibers were to be extended in a straight line). Vertices of the type \( v_{mr} \) and \( v_r \) have three degrees of freedom (DOF). Vertices of the type \( v_{ml} \) and \( v_l \) have 0 DOF, since \( v_{ml} \) is equal to \( v_{mr} \) on Gore-\( j \) and \( v_l \) in Gore-\( j \) is equivalent to \( v_r \) in Gore-\( j+1 \). Vertex \( V_{mr} = (Y_{mr}, Z_{mr}) \) has one DOF, since \( Y_{mr} \) is allowed to vary between \( Y_m \) and \( Y_r \). Similarly, \( V_{ml} \) has 1 DOF. Vertices in the form \( v_{m'} \) and \( v_m \) are determined as follows:

\[
v_m = \begin{cases} v_{mr}, & Y_{mr} \leq Y_m, \\ v_{mr} + (v_{mr} - v_r) \cdot \tau(V_m, V_{mr}, V_r), & Y_m < Y_{mr} < Y_r, \\ (x_{mr} - (Y_r - Y_m) \cos(\pi/q), y_{mr} - (Y_r - Y_m) \sin(\pi/q), z_{mr}), & Y_{mr} \geq Y_r, \end{cases}
\]
Table 1.5: Design Parameters for a 27 gore balloon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Design Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$b_d$</td>
<td>Buoyancy</td>
<td>1.9459e-02 lb/ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>Payload</td>
<td>107 lbf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_f$</td>
<td>Film weight density</td>
<td>0.00384 lb/ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_t$</td>
<td>Tape weight density</td>
<td>0.00301 lb/ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_g$</td>
<td>Number of gores</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_d$</td>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>5968.46 ft³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell_d$</td>
<td>Gore length</td>
<td>36.0028 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Theta_0$</td>
<td>Angle at base</td>
<td>51.44 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max $Z_d$</td>
<td>Balloon Height</td>
<td>22.935 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 · max $R_d$</td>
<td>Diameter</td>
<td>23.764 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_T$</td>
<td>Surface Area</td>
<td>1617.29 ft²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_T$</td>
<td>Gross Weight</td>
<td>116.136 lbf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1.5: A segment of a gore in the reference configuration.
Figure 1.6: LEM-shape at $V = 0.15V_d$ for a 27 gore design. (a) Inside view of 3-gore lobe; (b) Outside view of a 3-gore lobe.
Figure 1.7: A complete LEM-shape at $V = 0.15V_d$ for a 27 gore design with nine lobes.
\[ v_{ml} = \begin{cases} 
(x_{ml} - (Y_l - Y_{ml}) \cos(\pi/q), y_{ml} - (Y_l - Y_{ml}) \sin(\pi/q), z_{ml}), & Y_{ml} \leq Y_l, \\
(v_{ml} + (v_{ml} - v_l) \cdot \tau(V_{ml}, V_{ml}, V_l)), & Y_l < Y_{ml} < Y_{ml}', \\
v_{ml}, & Y_{ml}' \geq Y_{ml}',
\end{cases} \]

where \( \tau(V_{ml}, V_{mr}, V_r) = (Y_{mr} - Y_m)/(Y_r - Y_{mr}) \), and \( \tau(V_{ml}', V_{ml}, V_l) = (Y_{ml}' - Y_{ml})/(Y_l - Y_{mr}) \). The vertex that lies at the top of the balloon has one DOF, since it can vary along the \( z \) axis only. Linear equality constraints for the bounding planes of a fundamental section \( S_F \) are in the form:

\[
y_{mr} = 0,
\]

\[
y_r - \tan(\pi/q)x_r = 0.
\]

For lobed shapes, we impose the condition, \( 0 \leq y \leq \tan(\pi/q)x \), for vertices \( (x, y, z) \) with non-zero degrees of freedom that are not constrained by Eqs. (1.52)-(1.53). These conditions insure that a balloon shape will have \( D_q \)-symmetry. The variational principal for an energy minimizing balloon shape is to minimize the total energy of the balloon system subject to a volume constraint over a class of shapes \( C_q \) that incorporates geometry, symmetry, folds, etc. Next, we write out explicitly the terms in the variational principal. To simplify notation, we use \( S \) to denote both the actual surface and its approximation. The \( l \)th triangle of the \( j \)th gore in the reference configuration is denoted by \( T_l^j \) and the corresponding triangle in the deformed configuration is denoted by \( T_l'^j \). The reference configuration is \( S_F = \bigcup_{f=1}^{N} \bigcup_{l=1}^{n} T_l^j \) and the deformed configuration is \( S_F = \bigcup_{f=1}^{N} \bigcup_{l=1}^{n} T_l'^j \). The total number of facets in a typical gore is \( N \). The total number of facets in a typical gore that lie on the outside of the balloon is \( N' \). The current target volume is \( V \). Components of the total energy of the balloon system contributed by the \( j \)th gore of \( S_F \) are:

(a) Hydrostatic Pressure:

\[
E_{gas}^j = -b \sum_{l=1}^{N} \int_{T_l^j} \frac{1}{2} z^2 \mathbf{k} \cdot d\mathbf{A},
\]

(b) Film Weight:

\[
E_{film}^j = w_f \sum_{l=1}^{N} \tilde{z}_i^j \omega(T_l^j) \text{area}(T_l^j),
\]

(c) Tape Weight:

\[
E_{tapes}^j = w_t \sum_{l=1}^{n_l+1} \tilde{z}_{i,2}^j |E_i^j|,
\]

(d) Top Fitting Weight:

\[
E_{top} = w_{top} \tilde{z}_{top},
\]

(g) Aerodynamic Drag:

\[
E_{drag}^j = -\frac{1}{2} \rho_{air} C_D V^2 \sum_{p'} \text{area} \left( P_{x,y}(T_{p'}^j) \right),
\]
where \( P_{xy}[T] \) is the projection of facet \( T \) onto the \( xy \)-plane and the summation in \( l' \) is over all facets with unit normal making an angle less than \( \pi/2 \) with the \(-k\) direction (see Eq. (A.3),

(e) Tape Strain:

\[
S_{\text{tapes}}^{j} = \frac{1}{2} K_t \sum_{i=1}^{n_c+1} (e_i^j)^2 |E_i^j|.
\]

(f) Film Strain:

\[
S_{\text{film}}^{j} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega(T_i^j) n(T_i^j) : \gamma(T_i^j) \text{area}(T_i^j).
\]

The volume is \( V^j = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{N} V^j_l \), where \( n_c \) is the number of circumferential fibers, \( w_f \) is the film weight density, \( \omega(T) \) is the number of film layers in facet \( T \), \( \overline{z}_j^i \) is the centroid of \( T_i^j \), \( w_t \) is the tape weight density, \( K_t \) is the tape stiffness, \( n \) is the Second Piola-Kirchoff stress, \( \gamma \) is the Green strain, \( e_i^j \) is the strain in the \( i \)th segment of the \( j \)th load tape, \( \overline{z}_{i,2}^j \) is the centroid of the \( i \)th meridional segment in the \( j \)th load tape, \( |E_i^j| \) is the length of the \( i \)th segment of the \( j \)th load tape, \( b \) is the buoyancy, \( V_i^j \) is the volume contribution due to facet \( l \) of Gore-\( j \). The height of the top fitting above the base of the balloon is \( z_{\text{top}} \) and its weight is \( w_{\text{top}} \). Summing the contributions over all gores within a lobe and multiplying by \( q \), we obtain the energy of the complete balloon:

\[
E_{\text{Total}} = q \sum_{j=1}^{r} \left( E_{\text{gas}}^j + E_{\text{film}}^j + E_{\text{tapes}}^j + E_{\text{drag}}^j + S_{\text{tapes}}^j + S_{\text{film}}^j \right) + E_{\text{top}},
\]

and the volume constraint: \( V = q \sum_{j=1}^{r} V^j \). When \( r = 1 \), we call a shape \( S \) that minimizes \( E_{\text{Total}} \) an energy minimizing shape (EM-shape). When \( r > 1 \), the shape has lobes and we refer to it as a lobed EM-shape (LEM-shape).

### 1.3 Two-dimensional potential flows

In this section, we consider two dimensional uniform flow around an axisymmetric balloon shape. Bernoulli’s Law can be used to compute a pressure distribution.

#### 1.3.1 Potential flow and the PDE Toolbox

In Fig. 1.8(a), we present the profile of a natural shape balloon (near full inflation) and in Fig. 1.8(b), we present a partially inflated natural shape (at roughly 5%-inflation). We assume that the balloon is stationary and far away from the balloon, the fluid moves parallel to the \( x \)-axis at a speed of about 4 m/sec
Let $B$ be the rectangle, in the $xy$-plane,

$$B = \{(x,y) \mid L_1 \leq y \leq L_3, L_2 \leq x \leq L_4\}$$

and $\partial B = \partial B_1 \cup \partial B_2 \cup \partial B_3 \cup \partial B_4$, where

\begin{align*}
\partial B_1 &= \{(x,y) \in B, y = L_1\}, \\
\partial B_2 &= \{(x,y) \in B, x = L_2\}, \\
\partial B_3 &= \{(x,y) \in B, y = L_3\}, \\
\partial B_4 &= \{(x,y) \in B, x = L_4\},
\end{align*}

(1.55)

where $L_1 + L_3 = 0$.

Let $A$ denote the interior of the balloon shape (see Fig. 1.8), and let $\Omega$ be the region exterior to $A$, but interior to $B$:

$$\Omega = B \setminus A.$$

In Fig. 1.9(a) and Fig. 1.9(c), we present a discretization of the region $\Omega$ produced using the PDE Toolbox that is exterior to the balloon for full and 5% inflation, respectively. The initial meshes can be refined by the user or adaptively refined by PDE Toolbox (see Fig. 1.9(b) and (d)). For the purpose of this exposition, we impose Neumann boundary conditions on $\partial B_2 \cup \partial B_4$, and Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial A$ and $\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_3$. We are led to the following boundary value problem:

\begin{align*}
\triangle \psi &= 0, \text{ on } \Omega, \\
\psi &= 0, \text{ on } \partial A, \\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n} &= 0, \text{ on } \partial B_2 \cup \partial B_4,
\end{align*}

(BVP)
Figure 1.9: From PDE Toolbox (a) Initial mesh for full inflation shape; (b) Adapted/refined mesh for full inflation shape; (c) Initial mesh for 5% inflation shape; (d) Adapted/refined mesh for 5% inflation shape.
\[ \psi = -\psi_0, \text{ on } \partial B_1, \]
\[ \psi = \psi_0, \text{ on } \partial B_2, \]
where \( \psi \) is the stream function, and \( \partial/\partial n \) is the normal derivative. In this case, the velocity field is

\[ \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \mathbf{j} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \mathbf{i}. \]  

(1.56)

The PDE Toolbox can be used to solve BVP, compute the velocity field, and to plot the corresponding flow lines on the adapted mesh. The results are shown in Fig. 1.10. Under the assumption of steady irrotational flow of a fluid with uniform density \( \rho \), we have the following

\[ \frac{P}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 = \text{Constant}, \]  

(1.57)

from which we can determine the pressure \( P \); \( \mathbf{v} \) is the velocity field (see Eq. 1.56). Eqn. (1.57) is called Bernoulli's Law.

**1.3.2 Concluding remarks**

The PDE Toolbox is restricted to two dimensional flows, and we would have difficulties extending this approach to a flow problem for a balloon with lobes. As outlined in Chapter 2, the methods in Chapter 1 can be extended to full three dimensional problems. Drag is most likely the dominant aerodynamic load for the inflation/deployment phase of a planetary balloon mission and so it makes sense to develop further the methods of Chapters 1–2.
Figure 1.10: Velocity field and flow lines: (a) Full inflation; (b) 5% inflation
1.4 Dynamic model for a descending spherical balloon

In the following, we consider a simple dynamic model for a descending spherical balloon that is inflating with air. The motivation is a Mars solar Montgolfiere balloon. The total force on the balloon is equal to the sum of the lift due to the gas, dead weight of the balloon system (payload and balloon), and drag. In particular, we have (see [7, p. II-15, Eq.(32)])

$$m_v \frac{d^2z}{dt^2} = F_{Lift} - g(m_B + m_L) + F_D, \quad 0 < t < t_{R_d} \tag{1.58}$$

where

- $z = z(t)$ (altitude of balloon, geopotential height)
- $t$ – time
- $m_B$ – mass of balloon
- $m_L$ – mass of payload
- $r_o$ – radius of intake at base of balloon
- $m_v = m_B + m_L + m_g + C_m \rho_a(z) V$ (virtual mass)
- $V = \pi r_o^2 (z(t) - z_0) + V_0$ ($V_0$ is the initial fill)
- $\rho_a = \rho_a(z)$ (density of atmosphere)
- $R = \left( \frac{3V}{4\pi} \right)^{1/3}$ (radius of sphere with volume $V$)
- $A_D = \pi \max(R^2, r_o^2)$ (frontal area of spherical balloon)
- $T_a = T_a(z)$ (temperature of atmosphere)
- $m_g = m_{g,0} + \pi r_o^2 \int_{z_0}^{z} \rho_a(H) dH$
- $\hat{\rho}_g = m_g/V$ (mean density of gas)
- $F_{Lift} = g \rho_a V - gm_g$
- $= g V \rho_a \left( 1 - \frac{\hat{\rho}_g}{\rho_a} \right)$
- $= g V \rho_a \frac{\Theta}{T_a + \Theta}$
- $F_D = \frac{1}{2} \rho_a(z) C_D \left| \frac{dz}{dt} \right| \left| \frac{dz}{dt} A_D$
- $C_D = 0.8$ (for a descending balloon)
- $t_{R_d}$ – time when the balloon first reaches full inflation, i.e., $R = R_d$

Units: Length-meters, Mass-kilograms, Time-seconds
Table 1.6: Parameter values for a spherical balloon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass of payload</td>
<td>$m_L$</td>
<td>6 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (at full inflation)</td>
<td>$2R_d$</td>
<td>15 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of balloon</td>
<td>$m_B$</td>
<td>5.65 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Film density</td>
<td>$\rho_f$</td>
<td>8 g/m$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial velocity</td>
<td>$v_{B,0}$</td>
<td>-50 m/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supertemperature</td>
<td>$\Theta$</td>
<td>112° K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.7: Test Case data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>$z$</td>
<td>$z'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>36520</td>
<td>-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>34957</td>
<td>-10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>720</td>
<td>30501</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>29378</td>
<td>-0.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400</td>
<td>29356</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The term $C_m \rho_a(z) V$ is the added environmental mass that is assumed to be accelerated along with the balloon, payload, and gas masses. For balloon applications, one typically assumes $C_m = \frac{1}{2}$ ([7, p.g II-12]). The quantities $\rho_a$ (see Eq. (C.5)) and $T_a$ (see Eq. (C.1)) are discussed in Appendices A-B. For $t > t_{R_d}$, we assume that the balloon maintains a spherical shape with a radius of $R = R_d$, and terms in Eq. (1.58) can be appropriately modified.

Next, we consider a test case based on the values presented in Table 1.6. When released, we assume that the balloon is falling with a velocity of $-50$ m/sec. At the altitude where the balloon is fully inflated, we assume that the atmospheric pressure is 0.00827 mb; this corresponds to an altitude of 34957 m. We estimate that the balloon must fall 1563 m in order to reach full inflation, and so we assume the release altitude is 36520 m. Integrating the Eqn. (1.58) with the initial conditions of $z'(0) = -50$ and $z(0) = 36520$, we find that it takes 87.6 sec for the falling balloon to inflate, i.e. $t_{R_d} = 87.6). After full inflation, $z'(87.6) = -10.99$ m/sec., $z''(87.6) = 0.068$ m/sec$^2$. Other values of $z$, $z'$, $z''$ are displayed in Table 1.7. After about 720 sec, the balloon reaches its minimum altitude and begins slowly oscillating about some mean altitude. In Figure 1.11, we present data related to the U.S. Standard Atmosphere. In Figure 1.12, we present plots of $(t, z(t))$ and $(t, z'(t))$ for $0 < t < t_{R_d}$. 
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Figure 1.11: Atmosphere related plots; (a) $T_a$ - temperature; (b) $P_a$ - pressure; (c) $\rho_a$ - density; (d) $T_a'$ - temperature gradient.
1.5 General Remarks on Aerodynamic Loading

The methods outlined in Chapters 1-2 are feasible approaches to incorporating aerodynamic loading into balloon shape analysis. While the natural shape model described in Chapter 1 does not include elasticity, it provides a good first step for determining the effects of aerodynamic loading. The approach outlined in Chapter 2 can be adapted to nonaxisymmetric shapes and fits very naturally in our program for modeling partially inflated balloon shapes by variational techniques and energy minimization.

Adding these aerodynamic capabilities to our LEM-model, we would be able to provide analytical capabilities that could be used to support missions such as the Mars Solar Montgolfiere Balloon that is being studied by JPL, especially for the critical inflation/deployment phase. These capabilities could also be applied to ultra long duration flights, including in-spool configurations, constrained ascent shapes, and ascent shapes. While the analytical results of Chapter 1 are related to axisymmetric shapes, with capabilities that are now being developed in LEMsolver and the approach outlined in Chapter 2, we should be able to develop a tool for analyzing aerodynamically loaded balloons for a variety of configurations.
Chapter 2

Lobed Balloon Shapes

A feature characterizing partially inflated scientific balloons is a lobe structure surrounding the gas bubble. We present a mathematical model for such a balloon shape. We formulate a variational principal for the balloon system, and seek to determine a shape that minimizes energy subject to a volume constraint. The energy includes film and load tape strain, film and load tape weight, and hydrostatic pressure. Numerical solutions are presented for a 24-gore balloon with and without lobes.

2.1 Introduction

Ascent shapes of large scientific balloons are characterized by the formation of lobes surrounding the gas bubble. Based on observations of real balloons, it is reasonable to assume that these structures are periodic. Balloon shapes with lobes were investigated in [5] using variational techniques where the energy of the balloon system included hydrostatic pressure and film weight. The energy of the balloon system was minimized subject to constraints on the volume and the lengths of certain fibers. Geometrically, the shapes in [5] possessed many features seen in real balloons: lobes surrounding the gas bubble, a sphere-like top, internal folds of excess balloon fabric, and flat wing-like structures (fins) hanging beneath the gas bubble. However, the formulation of the problem did not include strain energy. In [2] and [4], the film and load tape strain energies were considered for shapes modeled by a half-gore. In the present work, we formulate a variational principal for a partially inflated balloon in such a way that a lobed structure can (but not necessarily) form. Based on observations, it appears that a lobed shape is somehow preferred over a cyclic shape without lobes when the volume is significantly less than the float volume.

Applying our model to a representative shape (a 24-gore balloon design), we investigate by parametric studies the existence of a minimum energy selection principal behind the formation of
lobes in balloon shapes. In addition, we compare various quantities such as total energy, strain energy, and maximum principal stress resultants for balloon shapes with a different number of lobes. When there are a large number of gores per lobe, we found that shapes with self-intersections could evolve. By monitoring the evolution of a family of LEM-shapes and (when necessary) adding a perturbation to break the symmetry, we found that in the cases studied, the self-intersection could be avoided.

In the following, we let $S(V, q)$ denotes a deformed balloon with volume $V$ and $q$ lobes. The number of gores per lobe is $p$ and the total number of gores is $n_g = pq$. If it is clear from context, we will omit certain arguments and write $S$ instead of $S(V, q)$. $S_F$ denotes a fundamental section of $S$ ($S_F$ is centered about a vertical plane of reflectional symmetry). In the past, we assumed that $p$ was odd. However, in this treatment, we will only require that $q$ divides $n_g$. A superscript $j = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ will be used to denote a quantity that is related to the $j$th gore of $S_F$. When $p = 1$, the balloon shape can be modeled by a half-gore. When $p > 1$, the balloon can have lobes. Viewed from above, a design shape will have the symmetries of a regular polygon with $n_g$ sides. In general, $S(V, q)$ will be invariant under the dihedral group $D_q$. Viewed from above, $S(V, q)$ will have the symmetries of a regular polygon with $q$ sides. The set of balloon shapes invariant under $D_q$ will be denoted by $C_q$. To demonstrate our approach, we will consider a natural-shape design with $n_g = 24$, noting

$$C_{24} \subset C_{12} \subset C_6 \subset C_3 \text{ and } C_{24} \subset C_{24} \subset C_4. \quad (2.1)$$

We compute a family of balloon shapes with $D_{24}$ symmetry and a family of balloon shapes with $D_k$ symmetry and $k = 24, 12, 8, 6, 4, 3$ The numerical solutions we present here need not be all the possible solutions. In Table 2.1, we list the combinations of $p$ and $q$ that are possible for a 24-gore balloon. Table 2.1 contains design shape parameter values. Before we present our mathematical model, it is a good to have a rough idea of the geometry of a partially inflated shape for various inflation levels. Beginning at float altitude, we assume $V = V_d$ (its design volume). At float, the balloon envelope is fully deployed. As the volume decreases, the balloon becomes taller and narrower. Shapes of this kind can be modeled by a half-gore and were the focus of [4]. For $0.6 V_d < V < V_d$, we see that as the volume decreases, each deformed gore moves toward the $z$-axis and an internal fold of excess material forms in the center of the gore. In an internal fold, the exterior of the balloon comes into contact with itself. To reduce the number of unknowns, in our treatment here, we will not use an explicit model of the internal fold. For a sufficiently small volume, the inside of the balloon will come into contact with itself. Since the precise nature of the

---

1 In the variational formulation of the balloon problem, the energy of the balloon shape will be minimized over some $C_q$. However, due to Eq. (2.1), it is possible in certain cases that a shape $S_q'$ with $q' > q$ to evolve when minimizing over $S_q$. If this does happen, we can add a perturbation to an intermediate shape in order to break the $D_q'$ symmetry.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gores per Lobe</th>
<th>Number of Lobes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Parameter values for balloon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E$ (psi)</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>$w_f$ (lbf/in$^2$)</th>
<th>$w_t$ (lbf/in)</th>
<th>$K_t$ (lbf)</th>
<th>$e$ (in)</th>
<th>$h_d$ (lbf/in$^3$)</th>
<th>$V_d$ (in$^3$)</th>
<th>$n_g$</th>
<th>$l_d$ (in)</th>
<th>$w_{top}$ (lbf)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36000</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.667e-05</td>
<td>2.50833e-04</td>
<td>2810</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>1.1330556e-05</td>
<td>10775165.571</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>433.15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

distribution of folded material is unknown, we can still compute balloons shapes at small volumes with $D_{24}$ symmetry. However, when $V << V_d$, it may not be reasonable to continue to assume that $D_{24}$ symmetry is preserved, because of the bulk of excess balloon material hanging at the base of the balloon will prevent this from happening. Furthermore, there may be many other factors that influence symmetry breaking, load tape slackness (length variation), gore size variation, folding of the balloon stack, and other variations introduced while the balloon is in the launch spool.

2.2 Mathematical Model

In the numerical calculations presented here on strained lobed balloon shapes, we will use the expression for the total energy $E_{total}$ as given by (1.54) and $C_D = 0$ (no aerodynamic loading). Furthermore, we will make the assumption that $\nu_{ml} = \nu_{m'} = \nu_m = \nu_{mr}$. This will significantly reduce the number of degrees of freedom, but we can still obtain reasonable estimates for the maximum film stresses.

2.3 Numerical results and discussion

Figures 2.1- 2.2 suggest that the total energy of a balloon shape is essentially independent of the number of lobes when $0.5 V/V_d < 1$. For shapes near $V/V_d = 0.2$, it appears that shapes with 6 or 12 lobes have a total energy about 3.5% less than the other shapes for that same volume (see
Figure 2.1: Total energy for lobed shapes at $V/V_d = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$ (Units of energy lbf-in.

Figure 2.1). Geometrically, the shapes $S_5$ and $S_{12}$ are very similar. In $S_5$ there are two flat wings per lobe, leading to a total of 12 lobes. There is a similar pairing of the shapes, $S_4$ with $S_8$ and $S_3$ with $S_6$.

**Remark** These results suggest that it might be relatively easy for a strained partially inflated lobed zero-pressure balloon to transition from a state with $n$-lobes to one with $n + k$ lobes. It would be of interest to study the stability of these shapes and to carry out a similar study for partially inflated pumpkin balloons. This could shed some light on problems associated with the ascent and deployment of pumpkin balloons.
Figure 2.2: Total energy for lobed shapes at $V/V_d = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$ (Units of energy lbf-in.)
Figure 2.3: Lobed balloon shapes at $V/V_d = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, \ldots, 0.9, 0.95$. (a) Film strain energy; (b) Tape strain energy; (c) Hydrostatic pressure potential;
Figure 2.4: Lobed balloon shapes at $V/V_d = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, \ldots, 0.9, 0.95$. (a) Max principal stress resultant - $\sigma_1$ (lbf/in); (b) Max principal stress resultant - $\sigma_2$ (lbf/in).
Figure 2.5: 24-gore balloon: 24 lobes/1 gore per lobe
Figure 2.6: 24-gore balloon: 12 lobes/2 gores per lobe
Figure 2.7: 24-gore balloon: 8 lobes/3 gores per lobe
Figure 2.8: 24-gore balloon: 6 lobes/4 gores per lobe
Figure 2.9: 24-gore balloon: 4 lobes/6 gores per lobe
Figure 2.10: 24-gore balloon: 3 lobes/8 gores per lobe
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Appendix A

Aerodynamic drag

In general, the drag on a submersed body exerted by a fluid that is in motion through the fluid is

\[ F_D = -\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\text{air}} C_D A_D |v_B - v_{\text{air}}| (v_B - v_{\text{air}}), \]  

where \( C_D \) is the drag coefficient, \( \rho_{\text{air}} \) is the density of the air, \( A_D \) is the area of the projection of the body on the plane perpendicular to the direction of motion, \( v_B \) is the velocity of the balloon, \( v_{\text{air}} \) is the velocity of the atmosphere (see [7, p. II-13] and [10, Sec. 4.3]). In our applications, the velocity of the balloon will be in the \( \pm k \) directions.

Aerodynamic drag can be introduced into our balloon model by adapting Eq. (A.1) appropriately. Consider an arbitrary facet in the triangulation of a balloon shape that is not part of a fold. Let \( v_0, v_1, v_2 \) denote the vertices, and \( \vec{e}_0 = v_1 - v_0, \vec{e}_1 = v_2 - v_1, \vec{e}_2 = v_0 - v_2 \). We assume that \( v_0, v_1, v_2 \) are ordered in such a way that the unit normal \( n = \vec{e}_0 \times \vec{e}_1 / |\vec{e}_0 \times \vec{e}_1| \) points outward. For a balloon descending with a velocity \( v_B = -v_B k \), the drag on typical triangle \( T \) is:

\[ F_D(T) = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{2}\rho_{\text{air}} C_D v_B^2 \vec{d}A \cdot k, & 0 < \arccos(-k \cdot n) < \pi/2, \\
0, & \text{else}
\end{cases} \]  

(A.2)

where \( \vec{d}A = \frac{1}{2} |e_0 \times e_1| n \). Adding up the drag contributions of each facet that contacts the atmosphere yields the total potential energy of the drag on the balloon, i.e.,

\[ E_D(S) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{T'} F_D(T'), \]  

(A.3)

where the summation in \( T' \) is over all facets whose normal satisfies \( 0 < \arccos(-k \cdot n) < \pi/2 \).
Appendix B

Buoyancy of lifting gas

In this appendix, we summarize the background related to the calculation of the buoyancy $b$ of the lifting gas that is used for the hydrostatic pressure $p$. While there are numerous parameters and combinations of those parameters that lead to the same $b$, we will provide some of the basic results here. We follow the conventions in [7] and [9].

Archimedes Principle states that a body submerged in a fluid exerts a force equal to the weight of the displaced fluid. In a balloon, the buoyant force or lift is

$$B = W_a - W_g,$$

(B.1)

where $W_a$ is the weight of the displaced air and $W_g$ is the weight of the lifting gas. Furthermore, we have $W_a = g\rho_a V_g$ and $W_g = g\rho_g V_g$ where $g$ is acceleration due to gravity, $\rho_a$ is the density of the air, $\rho_g$ is the density of the lifting gas, and $V_g$ is the volume of the gas. The volume of the gas is nearly the same as the volume of the displaced air. In particular, we have

$$B = gV_g(\rho_a - \rho_g)$$

(B.2)

or equivalently

$$B = gV_g\rho_a \left(1 - \frac{\rho_g}{\rho_a}\right).$$

(B.3)

From the equation of state, we have

$$\rho = \frac{PM}{RT},$$

(B.4)

where $P$ is pressure, $M$ is molecular weight, $T$ is absolute temperature, and $R$ is the universal gas constant. Using a subscript of ‘$a$’ or ‘$g$’ for quantities related to air or gas, respectively, we find

$$B = gV_g\rho_a \left(1 - \frac{P_g T_a M_g}{P_a T_g M_a}\right).$$

(B.5)
If we assume that
\[ \frac{P_g}{T_g} \frac{P_a}{T_a} = 1, \]  
(Eq. B.6)

Eq. (B.5) simplifies to
\[ B = g V_g \rho_a \left( 1 - \frac{M_g}{M_a} \right). \]  
(B.7)

In ballooning, the terms superpressure and supertemperature are often introduced (see [7, Section II]). Superpressure is defined to be
\[ \Pi = P_g - P_a \]

and supertemperature is defined to be
\[ \Theta = T_g - T_a. \]

In this case, Eq. (B.5) can be expressed in the form,
\[ B = g V_g \rho_a \left( 1 - \frac{(P_a + \Pi) T_a M_g}{P_a (T_a + \Theta) M_a} \right). \]  
(B.8)

To determine the buoyancy \( b \) of a unit volume of gas in air, we can divide \( B \) by \( V_g \) and obtain,
\[ b = g \rho_a \left( 1 - \frac{M_g}{M_a} \right) \]  
(B.9)

or the more general expression,
\[ b = g \rho_a \left( 1 - \frac{(P_a + \Pi) T_a M_g}{P_a (T_a + \Theta) M_a} \right). \]  
(B.10)

From Eq. (B.10), we see that \( b = b(\rho_a, T_a, \Pi, \Theta, M_g, M_a) \).
Appendix C

U.S. Standard Atmosphere

The U.S. standard atmosphere layers are presented in [9, pg. 15]. Data is available on eight layers. For each layer, a base layer altitude and top layer altitude is given with corresponding values for temperature, pressure, and density. Properties and assumptions are listed in [9, pg. 7]. In the following, $H$ is the geopotential height and $Z$ is the geometric height. Within each layer, the temperature is assumed to vary linearly from the base of the layer to the top of the layer, i.e.,

$$T_a = T_{B,i} + L_i'(H - H_{B,i}), \quad H_i \leq H \leq H_{i+1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.1)$$

where $T_{B,i}$ is the temperature at the base of the $i$th layer, $H_i$ is the base of layer $i$ (the top of layer $i-1$), and $L_i' = dT/dH$ in layer $i$. From [9, Eq. (12)], we have $dP_a = -g_0 \rho_a dH$

$$dH = -\frac{R}{g_0 M_a} \frac{T_a}{P_a} dP_a.$$ \hspace{1cm} (C.2)$$

From Eq. (C.1) and Eq. (C.2), we have

$$dH = \frac{R}{g_0 M_a} \frac{dP_a}{P_a},$$ \hspace{1cm} (C.3)$$

which can be integrated to yield the height as a function of $P_a$, i.e.,

$$H = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
H_B + \frac{RT_B}{g_0 M_a} \ln \frac{P_b}{P_a}, & L' = 0, \\
H_B + \frac{T_B}{L'} \left[ \left( \frac{P_B}{P_a} \right)^{(U'R)/(g_0 M_a)} - 1 \right], & L' \neq 0.
\end{array} \right.$$

(C.4)$$

The density of air $\rho_a$ is a function of geopotential height where

$$\rho_a(H) = \frac{P_a(H) M_a}{R T_a(H)},$$ \hspace{1cm} (C.5)$$
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and $P_a, H$, and $T_a$ are related by Eqs. (C.1) and (C.4). Related parameters needed to calculate atmospheric properties are given in Table C.1. Assuming a standard atmosphere, the buoyancy is given by Eq. (B.9) (or Eq. (B.10)), where $\rho_a(H)$ is given by Eq. (C.5), $T_a$ is given by Eq. (C.1), and $H$ and $P_a$ are related by Eq. (C.4).