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ABSTRACT

Active flow control has been applied to the suction surface of stator vanes in a low speed axial compressor. Injection from the suction surface has been shown to reduce separation on vanes that were induced to separate by increasing the vane stagger angle by 3°. Various configurations were investigated including injector geometry (slots versus holes) and type of injection (steady versus unsteady). Unsteady injection was realized using two different approaches; external actuation through a high frequency valve and embedded actuation using a fluidic device internal to the vane. Using total pressure loss through the vane passage as a metric, reductions in area-averaged loss of 25% were achieved using injected massflow rates on the order of 1% of compressor through flow. The development of a tracking control algorithm was also explored for the purpose of closed-loop control. A reliable method of detecting surface separation was implemented using unsteady pressure measurements on the compressor casing near the vane suction surface.

NOMENCLATURE

\( A_{jet} \) - total area of injector slots or holes

\( c \) - chord

\( \bar{c}_\mu \) - time-mean momentum coefficient

\( = \left( 2A_{jet} / sL \right) \left( \bar{U}_{jet} / \bar{U}_1 \right)^2 \)

\( c'_\mu \) - harmonic oscillation momentum coefficient

\( = \left( 2A_{jet} / sL \right) \left( \sqrt{\left(u'_{jet} \right)^2 / \bar{U}_1} \right)^2 \)

\( C_\mu \) - total momentum coefficient = \( \bar{c}_\mu + c'_\mu \)

\( f \) - frequency of harmonic oscillation, Hz

\( F^+ \) - non-dimensional forcing frequency = \( fL / \bar{U}_1 \)

\( L \) - distance from injection location to vane trailing edge = 0.65\( c \)

\( \dot{m}_1 \) - free stream massflow rate upstream of the vane passage

\( \dot{m}_{jet} \) - controlled massflow

\( \dot{m}_{jet} \) - injected massflow rate

\( p'_c \) - unsteady pressure at the compressor casing, Figure 1

\( P'_{jet} \) - unsteady pressure internal to the flow control vane

\( \bar{P}_{jet} \) - time-averaged total pressure internal to the flow control vane

\( P_1 \) - area-averaged total pressure upstream of the vane passage

\( P_2 \) - area-averaged total pressure downstream of the vane passage

\( p_1 \) - area-averaged static pressure upstream of the vane passage

\( PR \) - total pressure ratio = \( P_2 / P_1 \)

\( s \) - span

\( \bar{U}_1 \) - mean free stream velocity upstream of the vane passage

\( \bar{U}_{jet} \) - mean jet velocity = \( \dot{m}_{jet} / \rho A_{jet} \)

\( u'_{jet} \) - unsteady jet velocity

\( UR \) - injection velocity ratio = \( \bar{U}_{jet} / \bar{U}_1 \)

\( \gamma \) - ratio of specific heat

\( \rho \) - density

\( \phi \) - flow coefficient

\( = \left( \text{mean inlet velocity} / \text{compressor tip speed} \right) \)

\( \psi \) - pressure rise coefficient

\( = \left( \gamma / (\gamma - 1) \right) \left( P_{ref} / \rho_{ref} \right) \left[ \left( P_2 / P_1 \right)^{\gamma / \gamma - 1} - 1 \right] \)

\( \omega \) - total pressure loss coefficient
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INTRODUCTION

In recent external flow control applications, unsteady injection has been shown to generate large eddy structures that greatly enhance mixing between the boundary layer and fluid from the freestream [1]. Siefert et al [2] found that for a given level of mixing the injected massflow could be reduced by an order of magnitude by using unsteady injection rather than steady injection. In a separation control application McManus et al [3] was able to achieve a 20:1 reduction by using unsteady injection. Amitay and Glezer [4] showed that pulse-width modulated injection was even more efficient at conserving massflow than sinusoidal injection at a given frequency.

Based on the proven success of flow control in external flows, several research groups have recently begun to investigate the application of aerodynamic flow control to turbomachinery blading. Carter et al. [5] investigated the use of an ejector pump approach to simultaneously apply suction and injection to the suction surface of a cascade blade that was separated under nominal flow conditions. They achieved a 65% reduction in total pressure loss and a 4.5” increase in turning when injecting 1.6% of the freestream flow rate. Successful application of the same approach to a subsonic turbine cascade blade experiencing suction side separation at low Reynolds number has also been reported [6]. Bons et al. [7, 8] reported on the application of skewed vortex generator jets to a low-pressure turbine cascade blade to prevent separation at low Reynolds number. They found that pulsed injection with duty cycles as low as 10% was just as effective as harmonic pulsing (duty cycle of 50%) while providing a large reduction in the time-average injected massflow. This result is similar to that found in an external flow control application [4].

The long-term objective of our research is to develop and demonstrate flow control methods that utilize air injection in high-speed compressors for control of flow separation within stators. Successful separation control may enable improved performance in two ways; i) by increasing the range of incidence angles over which total pressure loss is acceptable, and ii) by increasing the loading level at which an acceptable level of loss occurs. The tangible benefits may be an increase in operability and an increase in stator aerodynamic loading, which can lead to reduced engine weight and parts count through lower solidity.

The focus of the present effort is the development of stator vane separation control methods using a low speed compressor. For durability and ease of maintenance it is desired that there be no moving parts within the vane. Since injected air must be bled from the aft stages of the compressor, we desire to minimize the amount of injection required. We therefore explore two approaches to reducing the required massflow; i) biased oscillatory injection, and ii) active control of injection (to provide injection only when the vane separates). Biased oscillatory injection, with a non-zero time-average massflow, is implemented using a motor-driven siren valve mounted in an injection supply line external to the stator vane. An alternative actuation approach is also assessed in which biased oscillatory injection is generated with a fluidic actuator embedded within the vane. While this approach requires a more complicated vane fabrication process, it eliminates the need for an external actuator and achieves unsteady injection with no moving parts, which may be more attractive for implementation on a production compressor.

Finally, the development of an algorithm for use in autonomous control of separation via injection is discussed. Active separation control requires a method of sensing the onset of separation. Results are presented that demonstrate separation sensing using time-averaged vane surface pressure measurements and a second method using time-resolved vane casing static pressure measurements.

Experimental Setup

A description of the experiment hardware follows in the next three sections. Part one describes the existing compressor facility which is used to perform the experiments in this paper. Part two describes the flow delivery system which is unique to this investigation. The flow delivery system augments the compressor rig and its hardware and resides wholly outside of the flow field. Components of the control system are also primarily associated with this hardware and are described here. Part three describes the internal component of the injection system, namely the flow control vane.

Research Compressor. The NASA-Glenn Low Speed Axial Compressor (LSAC) facility is used for this study. Air enters the facility through a filtered roof vent, is conditioned for temperature and turbulence, and then passes through a calibrated bellmouth and into the research compressor. Airflow exiting the compressor is controlled by a throttle valve, close-coupled to the collector, and discharged into either an atmospheric or altitude exhaust system. A 1500-hp variable speed motor drives the compressor rotor.

The compressor consists of an inlet guide vane and four identical stages designed for accurate low-speed simulation of the rear stages of a high-speed core compressor. A long entrance duct is used to develop thick endwall boundary layers typical of an embedded stage. The first two stages are used to setup a “repeating stage” environment. The third stage is the focus of research measurements, while the fourth stage acts as a buffer to the exit conditions. The flow path has an outer diameter of 1.219 m and a hub-tip radius ratio of 0.80. All stators have inner shrouds with a single labyrinth seal-tooth in the shrouded stator cavity. The nominal rotor tip and stator seal clearances are 1.4% and 0.6% of span respectively. Rotor tip speed is 61 m/sec and nominal axial velocity is on the order of 25 m/sec. The increased size and low speed of this facility enables intrastage surveys of the flow field thus making possible an increased understanding of the complex flow phenomena within multi-stage axial compressors. A complete description of the LSAC facility is given by Wasserbauer [9].

The blading used for the current tests is based on the Rotor B/Stator B blading designed by General Electric for the NASA Energy Efficient Engine program. Details of the original designs are reported by Wisler [10]. The stators are designed by applying modified 65-series thickness distributions to modified circular-arc meanlines. The NASA stators are slightly modified from the GE design to accommodate a difference in hub-tip radius ratio between the GE and NASA low speed compressor facilities. The NASA stator features a solidity of 1.38, an aspect ratio of 1.32, a stagger angle of 42° and a camber of 40.5°. The stator chord is 9.4 cm. Stators are sealed at both the hub and tip junctions with the flow path.

Overall performance is expressed in terms of the average pressure rise coefficient, $\psi$, and flow coefficient, $\phi$. The
average pressure rise coefficient is determined from inlet and outlet static pressure measurements on the hub and casing. The flow coefficient is defined as the measured mean inlet velocity normalized by the rotor tip speed. The mean inlet velocity is determined from static pressure measurements at the exit of the inlet bellmouth using a previously determined discharge coefficient, and is corrected for humidity. Vane element performance is calculated from total pressure measurements acquired with miniature (1.64 mm) Kiel head probes and static pressure and flow angle measurements acquired with 18° wedge probes. All pressure measurements are acquired at midgap of the rotor-stator spacing (the spacing is approximately 35% of axial chord) and are referenced to stagnation conditions measured in the inlet plenum of the facility. The following measurement accuracies are reported by Wellborn [11]: $\Delta v = 1.09\%$, $\Delta \omega = 0.39\%$, $\Delta \theta = 2.1\%$.

To reduce the time and expense of exploring several different flow control configurations, all tests are performed under a removable window in the casing over stage three. Two flow control vanes are mounted under the window, with penetrations to the exterior of the casing, which allow for connection to the source of injection air. An experiment reconfiguration is thus achieved without removing the casing by simply disconnecting the air source and removing the window and flow control vanes as a unit.

The flow over the LSAC stator vanes is not prone to strong separation prior to compressor stall. Therefore, the two flow control vanes are installed at a stagger angle increase of approximately $3^\circ$ from nominal to induce early flow separation. Surface pressure measurements acquired from a pair of instrumented stator vanes indicate that at this $3^\circ$ re-stagger the vanes are not separated under open-throttle conditions but suffer a severe separation at lower flow coefficients. All other vanes are installed at the nominal design stagger. The vane restagger is determined from changes in the circumferential position of the vane trailing edge as the vane is rotated about the trunion axis and is accurate to $\pm 1^\circ$.

**The Flow Delivery System.** Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the overall flow control system including the flow control vanes. Discussion in this section is limited to the details of flow delivery outside of the compressor and the sensing and actuation associated with open and closed loop control. The various vane configurations tested are described in the next section.

The source of injection fluid in all cases is from a filtered shop air line available in the facility. A remotely-operated control valve enables the precise metering of the injected massflow rate. The flow rate is measured with a massflow meter to an accuracy of $\pm 1\%$ full scale. The meter is isolated by a large (volume $= 5500$ cc) accumulator at its output. The accumulator filters out rapid fluctuations in the flow, present during cases of unsteady injection, which will interfere with the accuracy of the flow meter. The accumulator also serves as a stable pressure source for the downstream components of the flow system. There are two independent flow paths from the accumulator to the flow control vanes. Since care is taken to maintain symmetry in the tubing, intermediate fittings, and any other devices inserted between the accumulator and the vanes, an equal distribution of flow to each vane is assumed.

![Figure 1 Schematic of the flow control actuation system.](image-url)

The vane configuration under test determines the exact configuration of the flow delivery system. When externally modulated flow is required a valve is inserted immediately upstream of the flow control vane. This valve, hereafter referred to as the “siren valve”, is a high-speed motor driven device which houses two independent flow modulators on a single spool. Steady flow is supplied to the valve from the accumulator through a 1.7 m length of 0.95 cm inner diameter hard nylon tubing. The flow control vane is connected to the valve output using a 35 cm length of 0.95 cm inner diameter stainless tubing.

When the delivery system is required to provide a constant, steady flow to the flow control vane the same 1.7 meter length of tubing described above connects each vane directly to the accumulator. Note that the embedded-fluidic-vane, described later, also uses this configuration even though the injected flow is actually unsteady.

Also shown in Figure 1 are the sensing and control parameters used in open and closed loop tests. At the tip end of each flow control vane a high frequency piezo-electric pressure transducer is used to measure time-resolved delivery system dynamics. These signals are labeled $p'_{jet1}$ and $p'_{jet2}$. In some configurations a 1 mm diameter tube is inserted into the internal flow control vane cavity to measure the time averaged total pressure, $P'_jet$, of the injected flow. Another high frequency piezo-electric pressure signal, $p'_c$, originates in the casing over the stator vane to track fluid disturbances related to vane separation dynamics. The injected massflow is both a measured value, $\dot{m}_{jet}$, as well as a controlled parameter, $\dot{m}_{cjet}$. Finally, the speed of the valve and therefore the injection frequency, $f$, is controlled. All of these signals are tied to a high bandwidth data acquisition and control system.

**Flow Control Vane Design** The last component of the experimental setup is the flow control vane. Several different injection configurations are examined in this investigation. The features of each vane are discussed here in detail.

The shape of the flow control vane is identical (within the tolerance limits of the fabrication process) to that of the standard LSAC vanes. However, injection through the vane surface requires the fabrication of flow passages within the
narrow geometry of the vane. This is accomplished using a rapid prototyping process that enables the fabrication of parts with internal passages directly from a solid model CAD design file. The flow control vanes used in the present work are fabricated by a laser-sintering machine that uses powdered polyamide. There are limitations to the process that prevent the precise replication of feature sizes smaller than 0.375 mm. Absolute accuracy of the part geometry is also not assured. However, careful location of the parts to be grown within the laser-sintering machine minimizes these issues and enhances the surface finish and part strength. To further improve the surface finish of the flow control vanes, several coats of primer are applied before installation into the LSAC, yielding a surface finish that is comparable to that of the standard composite glass fiber LSAC vanes. The rapid prototype flow control vanes have been demonstrated to be entirely capable of surviving within the low speed compressor environment.

The optimum injection location on the suction surface for this investigation is 35% of chord. This location was previously determined in a wind tunnel study of separation location performed by the Illinois Institute of Technology using a custom-designed NACA airfoil whose suction surface pressure distribution closely matched that of the standard LSAC stator vane. In all vane configurations the injection angle is pitched at 30° relative to the vane surface to impart streamwise momentum to the flow. Three different flow control vanes with injection at this nominal chord location and injection angle are investigated and are shown schematically in Figure 2.

The first vane design is referred to as the slot-vane and is shown in Figure 2a. This design uses a span-wise slot divided into six segments separated by support webs in order to maintain structural rigidity of the vane skin. The slot width and vane skin thickness are each 0.63 mm (0.7% of chord). The span-wise coverage is from approximately 10 to 90% of span and is constrained by the vane cavity design. This vane produces steady injection or unsteady injection when coupled with the external siren valve.

The second design is referred to as the embedded-fluidic-vane and is shown in Figure 2b. This design features three micro-fluidic devices embedded within pockets fabricated on the pressure side of the vane. Each device operates in a bistable mode that alternately switches flow entering from the vane trunion to two plenums at the device exit. Each output plenum covers 20% of span and the two plenums are separated by 4% of chord in the streamwise direction. Since each plenum is fed from a narrow output port on the fluidic device, the flow exiting the device must be spread along the length of the plenum before exiting the blade. A row of holes is therefore used in the blade skin over the plenums in lieu of a slot because the higher pressure loss across the holes improves the spanwise uniformity of flow within the plenum. The holes are 0.73 mm in diameter and are separated by 2.3 mm in the spanwise direction. The actuation frequency of each device is fixed at a nominal 2100 Hz. Phase differences between the three embedded-fluidic devices cannot be controlled and steady injection is not possible with this vane.

The final vane design is referred to as the hole-vane and is shown in Figure 2c. This vane is a hybrid of the first two configurations and is designed to resolve differences in actuation technology and injection geometry between the first two vane styles. Internally, the same cavity design is employed as in the first vane. Externally, the same injection hole array is used as found on the second vane but with hole diameters of 0.55 mm. This vane can produce steady injection or unsteady injection when used with the siren valve.

Nishri and Wygnanski [12] suggest that the most effective forcing frequency for flow control is \( F^* = fL/\bar{U}_1 = 1 \), where L is the distance between the injection location and the vane trailing edge. The siren valve, which operates over a frequency range of 200-900 Hz (0.37 < \( F^* < 1.68 \)), is used with the first and third vanes to explore this regime of forcing frequencies. The fluidic device is evaluated for several reasons. First, the higher physical frequencies it produces are necessary to achieve an \( F^* = 1 \) in future high-speed flow applications. Second, it generates \( F^* \) values on the order of 3.50 in this application. Investigation of higher frequency excitation is of interest because Amitay et al. [1] showed that excitation at higher frequency produced smaller scale structures in the interaction between the injection jet and mean flow, resulting in lower amplitude lift fluctuations compared to forcing at \( F^* = 1 \), thus reducing the structural forces on the airfoil. Third, the fluidic actuator has no moving parts. Finally, since it generates an unsteady flow at the vane surface, its performance is not dependent on the resonance characteristics of the delivery system and vane cavity. As discussed in the Results section, this is not the case for the siren valve.

Each flow control vane type is calibrated in still air using a hotwire anemometer to measure the exit velocity from the slot or hole at several locations along the span. The calibration establishes the spanwise uniformity of the injected flow and the

---

Figure 2 Flow control vanes. a) slot-vane; b) embedded-fluidic-vane; c) hole-vane.

The first vane design is referred to as the slot-vane and is shown in Figure 2a. This design uses a span-wise slot divided into six segments separated by support webs in order to...
relative magnitudes of the fluctuating \( u'_{HW} \) and time mean \( (\overline{U}_{HW}) \) components of the injected flow. When the vane is installed in the LSAC a desired bulk injection velocity, \( \overline{U}_{jet} \), is set using the measured injected massflow and the total area of the slots or holes. The hot wire measurements are then used to estimate the fluctuating component of the injected flow,

\[
u'_{jet} = \overline{U}_{jet} \left( u'_{HW} / \overline{U}_{HW} \right).
\]

The predominant frequency is about 1800 Hz at this level of injection the device is operating below its design point. The predominant frequency is about 1800 Hz

\[
F^+ = 3.89 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{U}_{jet} = 3.33
\]

with evidence of some lower frequency components. At higher levels of injection there is an upward shift in the fundamental frequency to 2100 Hz \( (F^+ = 3.39) \) and little evidence of lower frequency components. By design the fluidic device is expected to fully modulate the injected flow with an 180° phase difference between the two output plenums. Additional hotwire measurements acquired closer to the injection holes verify that the instantaneous minimum flow never reaches zero, indicating that the output plenum under the vane skin does not empty in the time it takes the device to switch. The fluidic device therefore produces a harmonic oscillation that is quite similar in character to that produced by the siren valve coupled to the slot-vane and hole-vane.

The injected velocity is characterized by its mean and fluctuating components,

\[
u_{jet}(t) = \overline{U}_{jet} + u'_{jet},
\]

where \( \overline{U}_{jet} \) is the mean velocity and \( u'_{jet} \) is the harmonic oscillation imparted by the actuator. Following Nishri and Wygnanski [12], the strength of the injected flow is characterized by a momentum coefficient,

\[
C_\mu = \overline{c}_\mu + c'_\mu,
\]

where \( \overline{c}_\mu \) is the momentum coefficient formed from the mean injected velocity and \( c'_\mu \) is the momentum coefficient formed from the RMS of the harmonic oscillation. Nishri and Wygnanski performed flow control along the entire span of an airfoil and defined \( \overline{\epsilon}_\mu \) as

\[
\overline{\epsilon}_\mu = \frac{2h}{L} \left( \frac{\overline{U}_{jet}^2}{\overline{U}_t^2} \right),
\]

where \( h \) is the width of the injection slot. In the present work the injection does not cover the entire span of the vane, and both holes and slots are used. We therefore define a momentum coefficient using the area of injection, \( A_{jet} \), and the airfoil span, \( s \),

\[
\overline{\epsilon}_\mu = \frac{2A_{jet}}{sL} \left( \frac{\overline{U}_{jet}^2}{\overline{U}_t^2} \right).
\]

The ratio of unsteady \( (c'_\mu) \) to time-mean momentum \( (\overline{\epsilon}_\mu) \) developed by the jet is plotted against the total momentum \( (C_\mu) \) in Figure 4 for the slot-vane and Figure 5 for the two vane styles with holes. The results indicate substantially lower levels of unsteadiness than that used in the external flow control applications mentioned in the introduction. Note that for a synthetic jet, which produces harmonic oscillations with zero mean velocity, \( c'_\mu \) is 100% of \( C_\mu \). Unsteady momentum generated by the slot-vane is 12-18% of the time-mean momentum while the unsteady momentum generated by the two hole-vane styles is a maximum of only 4% of the time-mean momentum. The lower unsteady momentum in the vanes with holes may be a result of increased impedance developed by the smaller area of the holes relative to the larger slot area.

The comparison of unsteady output generated by the three flow control vanes. a) Slot-vane driven by siren valve. \( C_\mu = 0.012 \) at \( F^+ = .52 \); b) Embedded-fluidic-vane. \( C_\mu = 0.014 \) at \( F^+ = 3.36 \); and c) Hole-vane driven by siren valve. \( C_\mu = 0.011 \) at \( F^+ = .52 \).

The variation in unsteady momentum delivered by the siren valve and slot-vane at various forcing frequencies is a function of the delivery system dynamics and will be discussed in the Results section. The unsteady momentum generated in
the hole-vane by the siren valve decays rapidly in amplitude as frequency is increased, making this actuator/vane combination unsuitable for application in higher speed flows where higher frequencies are required to attain $F^+ = 1$. The fluidic device generates low amplitude unsteady momentum at low flow rates because it is not switching flow between its output plenums. As the flow rate increases the device starts to switch, generating higher unsteady oscillations. Since the device operates at the higher physical frequencies required for high-speed flow control applications, it holds some promise for use in such applications if the unsteady momentum level can be increased.

**Results**

All results reported herein are obtained at design speed. For reference, the overall performance curve for this condition is shown in Figure 6. The investigation primarily centers on a flow coefficient of 0.36, which is sufficiently far away from stall yet produces well separated flows across the restaggered flow control vanes.

The effect of vane surface separation is a significant widening and deepening of the vane wake. Circumferential surveys of the downstream total pressure distribution across the vane pitch are used as an indicator of the degree of this separation. Figure 7 depicts a family of these total pressure profiles for the restaggered slot-vane, at 56% span, using steady injection ($c'_\mu = 0$) over a range of injection momentum coefficients, $C_\mu$. Also shown in the figure is the total pressure profile for the same vane with no injection at nominal stagger. A comparison of the zero injection profiles at both stagger conditions clearly indicates that 3° restagger produces a separated flow on the vane suction surface. The investigation uses the zero injection wake profile of the flow control vane under test at restagger as the baseline condition for comparison.

At momentum coefficients $C_\mu < 0.006$ the wake momentum deficit grows relative to the baseline wake because the injected fluid does not carry enough momentum to energize the separation region on the vane suction surface. As the injection is increased a break-even point is reached, and further injection increases energize the separated region and result in wake size reduction. The response of the wake to injection shown in Figure 7 is typical for vanes with hole or slot injection geometries. Although the momentum coefficient is the proper measure of injection strength the amount of bleed required to achieve a given $C_\mu$ is also of interest since it can impact stage matching. This is shown in Table 1.

The benefit to vane performance due to injection is quantified using a total pressure loss coefficient. The conventional definition of loss coefficient for a vane passage with no mass addition is,

$$\omega = \frac{P_2 - P_1}{P_2 - P_1}$$

Here, $P_1$ and $P_2$ are the area-averaged total pressure upstream and downstream of the vane and $p_1$ is the area-averaged static pressure upstream of the vane. This must be corrected to account for the injected flow, which can have a different total pressure than the fluid in the vane passage. With injection present, there are two loss mechanisms within the vane passage: i) the viscous dissipation due to the vane surface
boundary layers; and ii) the mixing loss generated between the injected jet and the freestream flow.

As discussed by Brocher [13], an energy balance across the vane row yields the following total pressure loss coefficient which represents the dissipation generated within the vane passage per unit exit massflow,

$$\omega = \frac{(m_1 - m_{jet})}{P_1 - P_j} \left( \frac{m_{jet}}{m_1} \right)$$

where $P_{jet}$ is the mean vane cavity pressure, $m_{jet}$ is the injected massflow rate, and $m_1$ is the massflow rate of the freestream entering the vane passage.

The change in loss for the slot-vane restaggered by 3° is shown in Figure 8 for both steady injection and harmonically oscillating injection generated by the siren valve. The measurement was made at 56% span (which is the spanwise center of one of the injection slots) at a flow coefficient of $\phi = 0.36$. With the vane restaggered by 3° the losses associated with the separation on the vane suction surface are the dominant loss mechanism. Steady injection ($F^+ = 0$) at low injected flow rates increases loss relative to the non-injected case because the injection simply adds more low momentum fluid to a region that is already at or near separation. As injection is increased a break-even point occurs at $C_\mu = 0.006$ due to the energizing influence of the injection on the separated flow. Further increases in the injection flow rate produce a reduction in loss for momentum coefficients $C_\mu < 0.030$. Increases in injection flow rate beyond this value result in losses that are higher than the non-injected case because the mixing loss increase, which is proportional to $(U_{jet} - \bar{U}_j)^2$, is larger than the loss reduction that results from eliminating the suction surface separation.

The loss generated with steady injection when the vane is set at its nominal stagger is also shown in Figure 8. At nominal stagger viscous losses are low because the vane suction surface flow is not separated. Injection at very low flow rates ($C_\mu < 0.004$) reduces loss by 10% relative the non-injected case. Injection at higher flow rates results in losses that are greater than the non-injected case due to the mixing loss between the injected flow and the freestream.

Figure 8 clearly indicates that the addition of harmonic oscillations improves the effectiveness of the injection. This benefit can be viewed in the following ways:

As a decreased level of injected massflow required to achieve a given loss reduction: Harmonic oscillations at $F^+ = 1.68$ for $C_\mu = 0.002$ (Figure 8, point A) produce the same loss reduction as steady injection at $C_\mu = 0.012$ (Figure 8, point B). This represents an 80% reduction in the steady momentum coefficient, $c_\mu$, which in turn corresponds to a 56% reduction in the injected massflow.

As an increased loss reduction for a given level of injected massflow: Harmonic oscillations at $F^+ = 1.68$ for $C_\mu = 0.002$ (Figure 8, point A) result in 18% lower loss than steady injection at $C_\mu = 0.002$ (Figure 8, point C).

As a decrease in the minimum loss within the vane passage: The minimum loss with harmonically oscillating injection is 0.125 compared to a minimum loss of 0.140 with steady injection.

While an encouraging result, these improvements are far lower improvement than the order of magnitude benefit found in external flow applications [2, 3]. This is most likely due to the fact that for this implementation the unsteady component of the injection momentum coefficient, $c_{\mu}'$, is less than 20% of the time-mean momentum coefficient, $c_\mu$ (Figure 4). In external flow applications using synthetic jets, as shown in Seifert and McManus [2, 3] $c_{\mu}'$ was on the order of $c_\mu$.

The results in Figure 8 also indicate some frequency dependence, with higher frequencies being slightly more effective. As discussed by Kim et al. [14], the forcing from the actuator and the resonance properties of each of the fluid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_\mu$</th>
<th>$U_{jet} \mid U_1$</th>
<th>$m_{jet} / m_1$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 Wake total pressure profiles for the slot-vane, stagger increased by 3°, steady injection, 56% span, $\phi = 0.36$. Also shown is the wake for slot-vane at nominal stagger, no injection.
volumes between the actuator and the injection slot determine the injection pressure and velocity at the vane surface. In Figure 9 the effect of delivery system resonance on the wake can be seen by comparing the vane cavity pressure to the total pressure measured at a single point on the suction side of the wake. The results shown are for a constant injected massflow, corresponding to $C_\mu = 0.012$, delivered over a range of forcing frequencies. All pressures are normalized by the inlet total pressure to the vane.

The solid symbols in Figure 9 depict the same four forcing frequencies that were presented in Figure 8. The results indicate that the difference between the vane cavity total pressure and the vane surface static pressure at the slot location, $\langle P_{\text{jet}} - P_s \rangle$, remains relatively constant. However, the RMS amplitude of the pressure fluctuations in the cavity is two to four times this difference and varies significantly with frequency. This shows that the changes in wake total pressure are highly correlated to changes in RMS amplitude of the cavity pressure and match the loss reduction change with frequency shown in Figure 8. We therefore conclude that the frequency dependence shown in Figure 8 is a function of the delivery system resonance rather than a coupling between the forcing frequency and shear layer instability in the separated flow on the vane surface.

The impact of injection on loss reduction shown in Figure 8 is quite sensitive to the degree of separation on the vane surface. The loss reduction due to steady injection for a range of blade restagger angles is shown in Figure 10. For restagger less than 3° from nominal, there is little or no separation and injection produces increased loss relative to the non-injected case. As blade restagger is increased beyond 3° from nominal, suction surface separation increases viscous losses, injection becomes increasingly effective, and the most effective loss reduction is achieved with injection momentum coefficient greater than $C_\mu = 0.012$.

All results discussed so far have been obtained at 56% span. The coverage of injection slots on the vane surface, however, is from 13% to 87% span. The results shown in Figure 11 depict the effectiveness of injection across the span at a flow coefficient of $\varphi = 0.36$ while varying $C_\mu$ and $F^+$. The loss coefficient of the slot-vane at its nominal stagger angle is also shown for reference. For a steady injection momentum coefficient of $C_\mu = 0.011$, loss is reduced across much of the span. Adding harmonic oscillations to the steady injected flow produces benefit at all spanwise locations covered by the slots. In contrast harmonically oscillating injection at a higher momentum coefficient of $C_\mu = 0.033$ does not produce loss reduction above 60% span. Injection does not change the fact that losses below 15% span are significantly higher compared to those over the rest of the span. Mixing between the freestream and low-total-pressure fluid emanating from the stator shroud seal cavity generates these high hub endwall losses. The inability of vane surface flow control to lower the level of these losses relative to midspan loss points to the need for separate flow control on the stator endwall.
Figure 11 Reduction in loss coefficient over the vane span for the slot-vane driven by the siren valve actuator, stagger increased by 3\(^o\), \(\phi = 0.36\).

Figure 12 Reduction in loss coefficient as a function of flow coefficient for the slot-vane driven by the siren valve actuator, stagger angle increased by 3\(^o\), at 56% span.

The results shown in Figure 11 reflect the performance of the vane surface flow control at a flow coefficient of \(\phi = 0.36\), which represents a highly-loaded operating condition (Figure 6) at which the restaggered vane is badly separated. The effectiveness of injection at lower-loaded operating conditions is shown for the slot-vane driven by the siren valve in Figure 12. At the nominal stagger angle, where the vane is unseparated over much of the operating envelope, injection does not provide loss reduction at any flow coefficient. At an increased stagger of 3\(^o\), both steady and harmonically oscillating injection at a level of \(C_\mu = 0.011\) provide a loss reduction benefit as loading increases at low flow coefficients. However, both steady and unsteady injection at a higher momentum coefficient of \(C_\mu = 0.033\) increases loss relative to the non-injected case.

Figure 13 Loss coefficient as a function of injected momentum for the embedded-fluidic-vane and hole-vane driven by the siren valve actuator, stagger angle increased by 3\(^o\), 56% span \(\phi = 0.36\).

Figure 14 Comparison of loss reduction for the slot-vane, embedded-fluidic-vane, and hole-vane at 5\(^o\) restagger angle, steady injection, 56% span, \(\phi = 0.36\).

Experiments were repeated under the same conditions for the embedded-fluidic-vane and the hole-vane. The results of these experiments are shown in Figure 13 for a blade restagger of 2.5\(^o\). Recalling that the frequency of the embedded device is not controllable a single curve is used to define its performance. The hole-vane is capable of variable frequency operation and therefore loss data is shown using the same harmonic oscillation frequencies which were chosen for the slot-vane. Unsteady injection using the fluidic device increases the loss of the embedded-fluidic-vane at all injected flow rates. Similarly, steady injection increases the loss of the hole-vane at all injection rates. When driven by the siren valve at \(C_\mu < 0.015\), the hole-vane loss is reduced relative to that with steady injection. Although this improved loss reduction behavior due to unsteady injection is similar to that of the slot-vane (Figure 8) little or no loss reduction is achieved relative to the non-
injected case. The fact that injection increases loss for both hole vane styles is primarily due to the fact that there is little or no separation at this restagger angle. Performance of the two hole vane styles is compared to that of the slot-vane at a larger restagger angle (5°) in Figure 14, where the ordinate is the change in loss relative to the non-injected case. At this increased restagger angle all three vane styles reduce loss and the performance of the slot-vane and hole-vane is nearly identical.

**Separation Detection and Control**

The final task in the present effort is to design and demonstrate a method capable of active sensing and closed loop control of vane surface separation. Closed loop separation control is desirable because it commands injection only when necessary, thus minimizing the thermodynamic cycle penalty associated with the increased compressor bleed, which is the source of air needed for injection. In an installed compressor, separation is an effect of off-design loading caused by throttle transients, distortion, and increased clearances due to deterioration. In the LSAC, loading changes are induced by closing the rig throttle valve. Our closed loop control architecture is therefore based on forcing the onset of vane surface separation using changes in the throttle position, and reducing the separation using massflow input to the vane. The signals required to implement control are the massflow input, $\dot{m}_{jet}$, the massflow commanded, $\dot{m}_{\text{jet}}^\prime$, and the pressure, $p'_c$, measured from a pressure transducer at the casing over the stator vane, and are all shown in Figure 1.

In order to characterize the dynamics associated with a control scheme, open-loop experiments are performed to provide information on the lag between the start of injection and the response of the vane surface separation. The lag is determined by injecting a slug of fluid through the slot-vane using a fast solenoid valve (in place of the siren valve) to gate the flow on and off. The response of the vane surface separation to gated injection is inferred by measuring velocity changes in the suction side of the vane wake. This is accomplished with a hotwire anemometer probe axially located at the stream-wise measurement location used for the total pressure surveys. The internal vane cavity pressure, $p'_{jet}$, is monitored with a pressure transducer located at the inlet of the cavity (Figure 1). A time history of the solenoid command signal, the wake velocity, and internal vane cavity pressure is shown in Figure 15. The results indicate that the cavity pressure (and therefore the injected velocity) does not attain its peak until 0.025 seconds after the solenoid is opened and that the wake velocity change is complete 0.010 seconds after the cavity pressure peaks. Both of these effects contribute a 0.035 second delay between commanded injection and measured response. This information characterizes the vane dynamics time lag for our control design.

Implementation of a closed-loop control scheme requires a method of detecting separation. Two separation detection schemes were therefore developed. The first scheme employs a flow control vane with suction surface static pressure taps located at 70% and 85% chord and 56% span. The pressure rise between these two locations provides the controller with information on the pressure gradient over the rear of the vane. Measurements of this pressure rise with and without steady injection are acquired over a flow coefficient range of $0.31 < \phi < 0.45$ and are shown in Figure 16. Without injection, the pressure rise falls to zero at flow coefficients of $\phi < 0.40$, indicating separation. When injection is activated at an injection level of $C_{\mu} =0.012$, a pressure rise is restored at flow coefficients of $\phi < 0.40$. The pressure rise is gradually reduced as $\phi$ decreases, and the flow is once again separated at $\phi = 0.31$. When the injection level is increased to $C_{\mu} =0.030$, the pressure rise is maintained over a wide range of flow coefficients at levels near that experienced at $\phi = 0.45$ without control, and does not begin to decrease until $\phi = 0.35$. This type of detection scheme is achieved using static pressure measurements along the vane surface.

![Wake Velocity Response](images/wake-velocity-response.png)

**Figure 15 Time history of wake response to injection gated with a solenoid valve. Slot-vane, 56% span, $\phi=0.36$.**

![Internal to Vane](images/internal-vane.png)

**Figure 16 Vane surface static pressure rise from 70–85% chord with and without steady injection. Slot-vane driven by the siren valve actuator, stagger increased by 3°, 56% span.**
pressure. This should therefore be less costly to implement in an engine than the scheme described above which requires an instrumented airfoil. This detection scheme is based on a time-series analysis of the casing static pressure and works as follows. The wake shed from the vane causes an unsteady loading of the downstream rotor. The first Fourier harmonic of the rotor blade passing frequency is a measure of the wake-induced pressure variation generated by the rotor. Since vane surface separation causes increased wake strength, a separation can be detected from the casing static pressure signal by monitoring the power in the first harmonic.

The power measured in the first harmonic over the flow coefficient range of $0.31 < \varphi < 0.45$ with and without injection is shown in Figure 17. A sample power spectrum is shown in the inset of Figure 17. At a flow coefficient of $\varphi = 0.39$, which is near the design point of the compressor, the first harmonic is at a minimum. As the flow coefficient is reduced, vane loading increases and the wake soon begins to grow due to suction surface separation, resulting in increased power in the first harmonic. (The presence of separation for $\varphi < 0.39$ is independently verified by the vane suction surface pressure data shown in Figure 16.) Injection reduces the wake strength for $\varphi < 0.39$ and the power in the first harmonic is reduced. Increasing the flow coefficient above $\varphi = 0.39$ also results in increased power in the first harmonic. In this situation a reduced incidence angle produces a separation on the pressure surface of the vane. Since there is no injection on the pressure side of the vane, the power in the first harmonic does not change with injection. The fact that the power of the first Fourier harmonic increases above and below the design flow coefficient of $\varphi = 0.39$ indicates that additional information about the machine operating condition is necessary in order for a controller to decide if suction surface injection is required.

In a control strategy for the vane, the power of the first Fourier harmonic of the pressure signal is used to determine when to switch injection on or off. When the power of the first Fourier harmonic rises above a threshold level of 0.4, the control computer automatically opens a valve to begin injection. The injected massflow from the vane surface is then varied proportionally to the casing signal strength. Results from an experiment in which this control strategy is used while the flow coefficient is varied over a range of $0.31 < \varphi < 0.45$ are shown in Figure 18. The power spectral density of the pressure signal $p'_c$ is plotted with and without control. With controlled injection, the amplitude of the power signal is maintained at a level below 0.8. This indicates that the flow is attached using active control.

**Summary**

Control of stator vane suction surface separation has been demonstrated in a low speed multistage compressor using steady and unsteady injection on the suction surface. Reductions in exit total pressure loss on the order of 25% were realized using an injected flow equivalent to 1% of the compressor throughflow. For a given injection level, the addition of harmonic oscillations to steady injection can reduce the level of injection required to attain a given loss reduction. Although injection was found to be effective across the full span of the vane, the high inner endwall losses typically found in hub-shrouded stators were not significantly reduced by the vane surface injection, pointing to the need for a separate approach to endwall loss reduction. Although injection was found to be effective across a wide range of operating conditions, a given level of injection is most effective when losses are high.
holes. The best performance was obtained with the siren valve and slot-vane. Although the siren valve was closely coupled to two vanes, resonance of the fluid volumes between the siren valve and vane surface slots was found to affect the amplitude of the harmonic oscillations generated at the vane surface. This finding suggests caution when supplying injected flow to multiple vanes from a single source of unsteady actuation. As the distance between actuator and vanes increases, the frequency separation between resonance conditions decreases, necessitating careful matching of system volumes between each actuator and vane.

The magnitude of the harmonic oscillation generated by the fluidic actuator evaluated in the present work was attenuated by the small holes in the vane surface. Design of a more effective coupling between the actuator output and the vane surface holds the promise for improved performance compared to the siren valve. Although embedding the fluidic device within the vane entails increased vane fabrication complexity, the device itself has no moving parts and can be driven by a constant pressure source connected to the vane.

Spectral analysis of the casing static pressure measured near the vane-endwall junction was found to provide a reliable indicator of the degree of vane separation. The design of a feedback control system that modulates vane injection to control separation was presented.

A careful cycle study is needed to evaluate the cost/benefit trade of adding flow control vanes to a compressor. The manufacturing cost of the added mechanical complexity of hollow airfoils and embedded or external actuators needs to be assessed against performance benefits. The thermodynamic cycle penalty of recirculating the flow control air within the compressor also needs to be assessed against the performance benefits. Results from this investigation provide an initial quantification of the aerodynamic benefits associated with flow control, which will enable a study of stage matching issues arising from loss reduction in the stator. Turning changes due to flow control, which will impact stage matching, also need to be quantified.

The authors also wish to extend sincere thanks to Dave Williams and his team of students at the Illinois Institute of Technology for extensive contributions to the development of experiment design parameters and analysis of data. Finally, the authors offer unending gratitude to the crew of test cell W1; Mary Gibson, Rick Senyitko, Bob Davis, and Valarie Roundtree for which without their expertise this research would not have been possible.
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**ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)**
Micro-flow control actuation embedded in a stator vane was used to successfully control separation and improve near stall performance in a multistage compressor rig at NASA Glenn. Using specially designed stator vanes configured with internal actuation to deliver pulsating air through slots along the suction surface, a research study was performed to identify performance benefits using this microflow control approach. Pressure profiles and unsteady pressure measurements along the blade surface and at the shroud provided a dynamic look at the compressor during microflow air injection. These pressure measurements lead to a tracking algorithm to identify the onset of separation. The testing included steady air injection at various slot locations along the vane. The research also examined the benefit of pulsed injection and actively controlled air injection along the stator vane. Two types of actuation schemes were studied, including an embedded actuator for on-blade control. Successful application of an online detection and flow control scheme will be discussed. Testing showed dramatic performance benefit for flow reattachment and subsequent improvement in diffusion through the use of pulsed controlled injection. The paper will discuss the experimental setup, the blade configurations, and preliminary CFD results which guided the slot location along the blade. The paper will also show the pressure profiles and unsteady pressure measurements used to track flow control enhancement, and will conclude with the tracking algorithm for adjusting the control.
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