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Abstract
A 3-D space-time CE/SE Navier-Stokes solver using an unstructured hexahedral grid is described and applied to a circular jet screech noise computation. The present numerical results for an underexpanded jet, corresponding to a fully expanded Mach number of 1.42, capture the dominant and nonaxisymmetric 'B' screech mode and are generally in good agreement with existing experiments.

1 Introduction
Jet noise is one of the most important topics in computational aeroacoustics. Many of its aspects are of primary practical importance and the associated complicated physical phenomena are the topic of many experimental and theoretical investigations. Refs. [1–4] provide a comprehensive discussion and further references. An over/under-expanded supersonic jet emits mixing noise, broadband shock-associated noise, as well as screech tones under certain conditions. The mixing noise is directly associated with large-scale structures, or instability waves, in the jet shear layer; whereas, the broadband shock-associated noise and screech tones are associated with the interaction of these waves with the shock-cell structure in the jet core. The screech tones arise due to a feedback loop, i.e., some of the acoustic waves generated by the wave/shock-cell interaction propagate upstream and regenerate the instability waves at, or in the vicinity of, the nozzle lip. The feedback loop leading to screech tones is sensitive to small changes in the system conditions, and the understanding of the phenomena is to date based mostly on experimental observations [5–8].

Although substantial progress in numerical computation of jet mixing noise has been made, reliable direct numerical simulation of jet screech noise has up to quite recently not been feasible. Shen and Tam [9, 10] obtained excellent results in direct numerical simulations of screech for circular jets using the well-known DRP scheme. In their 3-D computation [10], a spectral method was adopted in the azimuthal direction, and by using only a limited number of spectral functions substantial savings of computer memory and CPU time was achieved, without deterioration of accuracy. Other recent computational work includes [11].

The present authors [12–14] successfully computed axisymmetric near-field screech noise for round jets using the recent CE/SE (space-time conservation-element and solution-element) method utilizing (unstructured) triangulated grids. Because of the implementation (based on flux balance) of the non-reflecting boundary conditions (NRBC), a much smaller near field computational domain can be used with this method. However, when the CE/SE method is applied to a 3-D rectangular jet screech noise computation [15], a major challenge emerges. Namely, the number of unstructured tetrahedral cells required to achieve a certain resolution could be as high as tens of millions, which is currently somewhat beyond the capability of common parallel computers such as Linux PC clusters. An alternative is to employ an unstructured hexahedral grid. The number of cells may then be greatly reduced without much loss of resolution. The 3-D CE/SE Navier-Stokes (N-S) solver needs to be modified to accommodate the unstructured hexahedral grid, however.

A general description of the CE/SE Euler method with a hexahedral grid was first given by Zhang et al [16]. In the present paper, the current 3-D CE/SE N-S solver using an unstructured hexahedral grid is described in §2. The parallel computation implementation of the scheme is outlined in §3. A 3-D circular jet screech problem is described in §4. The numerical results are presented and compared with the available experimental data in §5. Concluding remarks are presented in §6.
2 The Numerical Scheme

As stated in §1, for fully 3-D computations of complicated problems such as the jet noise problem, a large number of computational cells are needed to provide sufficient numerical resolution. This imposes severe memory and speed requirements on the computer system. Of course, the choice of unstructured grid type to be used in the computation greatly affects these demands. It turns out that the use of an unstructured grid type in the CE/SE scheme rather than the heretofore standard tetrahedral one leads to significant reductions of the memory and CPU time requirements. Its drawback, of course, is a modest increase in dissipation in the scheme. Zhang et al. [16] first presented a 3-D CE/SE Euler method using hexahedral cells instead of tetrahedral ones and provided a detailed description of the implementation.

In general, the CE/SE method [17, 18] systematically solves a set of integral equations derived directly from the physical conservation laws and naturally captures shocks and other discontinuities in the flow. In order to have a compact cell stencil, both conservative variables and their derivatives are computed simultaneously as unknowns. A brief sketch of the 3-D CE/SE scheme with hexahedral cells is given below.

2.1 Conservation Form of the 3-D Unsteady Compressible Navier-Stokes Equations

Consider a dimensionless conservation form of the unsteady 3-D Navier-Stokes equations of a perfect gas. Let \( \rho, u, v, w, p, \) and \( \gamma \) be the density, streamwise transverse and spanwise velocities, static pressure, and constant specific heat ratio, respectively. The 3-D Navier-Stokes equations then can be written in the following vector form:

\[
U_t + F_x + G_y + H_z = 0,
\]

where \( x, y, \) and \( z \) are the streamwise, transverse, and spanwise coordinates, and \( t \) is time. The five components of the conservative flow variable vector \( U \) are given by

\[
U_1 = \rho, \quad U_2 = \rho u, \quad U_3 = \rho v, \quad U_4 = \rho w, \quad U_5 = p/((\gamma - 1) + \rho(u^2 + v^2 + w^2)/2).
\]

The flux vectors in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, \( F, G, \) and \( H, \) respectively, are further split into inviscid and viscous fluxes,

\[
F = F_i - F_v, \quad G = G_i - G_v, \quad H = H_i - H_v,
\]

where the inviscid fluxes are

\[
F_{i1} = U_2, \quad F_{i2} = (\gamma - 1)U_5 + [(3 - \gamma)U_2^2 - (\gamma - 1)(U_2^2 + U_4^2)]/2U_1, \quad F_{i3} = U_2U_3/U_1, \quad F_{i4} = U_2U_4/U_1, \quad F_{i5} = \gamma U_2U_5/U_1 - (\gamma - 1)U_2 \left[ U_2^2 + U_3^2 + U_4^2 \right]/2U_1^2;
\]

\[
G_{i1} = U_3, \quad G_{i2} = U_2U_3/U_1, \quad G_{i3} = (\gamma - 1)U_5 + [(3 - \gamma)U_3^2 - (\gamma - 1)(U_2^2 + U_4^2)]/2U_1, \quad G_{i4} = U_3U_4/U_1, \quad G_{i5} = U_3U_5/U_1 - (\gamma - 1)U_3 \left[ U_2^2 + U_3^2 + U_4^2 \right]/2U_1^2;
\]

\[
H_{i1} = U_4, \quad H_{i2} = U_2U_4/U_1, \quad H_{i3} = U_3U_4/U_1, \quad H_{i4} = (\gamma - 1)U_5 + [(3 - \gamma)U_4^2 - (\gamma - 1)(U_2^2 + U_3^2)]/2U_1, \quad H_{i5} = U_4U_5/U_1 - (\gamma - 1)U_4 \left[ U_2^2 + U_3^2 + U_4^2 \right]/2U_1^2;
\]

and the viscous fluxes are

\[
F_{v1} = 0, \quad F_{v2} = \mu(2u_x - \frac{2}{3} \Delta), \quad F_{v3} = \mu(u_y + v_x), \quad F_{v4} = \mu(u_z + w_x), \quad F_{v5} = \mu(2uu_x + v(u_y + v_x) + w(u_z + w_x)) - \frac{2}{3} \mu u \Delta + \frac{\gamma}{Pr} \left( \frac{U_4}{U_1} - \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2} \right),
\]

where \( \Delta = u_x + v_y + w_z \)

and with their gradients, which can be expressed in terms of the conservative variables \( U_1, U_2, \) etc. along with their gradients. \( Pr = 0.72 \) is the Prandtl number, \( \mu \) the kinematic viscosity, and \( \Delta = u_x + v_y + w_z \)

is the velocity divergence.

By considering \( (x, y, z, t) \) as coordinates of a four-dimensional Euclidean space, \( E_4, \) and using Gauss’ divergence theorem, it follows that Eq. (1) is equivalent to the following integral conservation law:

\[
\int_{S(V)} I_m : dS = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \quad (2)
\]

where \( S(V) \) denotes the surface around a volume \( V \) in \( E_4 \) and \( I_m = (F_m, G_m, H_m, U_m). \)
2.2 Unstructured Hexahedral Grid

Fig. 1 illustrates a hexahedral cell 1234 – 5678 in the unstructured grid. Each of the six quadrilateral surfaces (e.g., 1265, 2376, etc.) is associated with a neighboring hexahedral cell. Note that the four nodes of such a quadrilateral may not lie on the same plane. Assume $A$, $B$, $C$, ..., are the average centers (ACs) of the respective neighboring cells. The coordinates of the average center of a hexahedron are the simple average of the coordinates of its eight vertices. The average center may not necessarily coincide with the geometrical (gravity) center. The eight vertices of each hexahedral cell and the six average centers ($A$, $B$, $C$, ..., only three are shown in Fig. 1) of the neighboring cells form a polyhedron of 24 faces, which, when incorporating the time $t$ direction, is the finite volume $V$ in $E_n$ in Eq. (2) or the control volume $CV$.

2.3 Compact Updating

For any explicit time-marching scheme, flow data at the neighboring nodes at the previous time step are required to update the flow data at the current node to the present time level. In a finite difference method, a difference scheme is utilized to do the updating. In most finite volume schemes, the computational cell (e.g., 1234-5678 in Fig. 1) is the control volume ($CV$). First, the fluxes on the (hyper) surfaces $S(V)$ (e.g., 1265 in Fig. 1) need to be updated. Conventionally, flow data is extrapolated from several neighboring nodes to the center of the hyper-surface. In the CE/SE algorithm, a compact updating is employed [17, 18]. The compact updating achieves high resolution by using a cell stencil consisting only the immediate neighboring cells. For example, the $CV$ is expanded from the hyper-hexahedral cell 1234 – 5678 to a hyper-polyhedron of 24 faces (Fig. 1), with all the six neighboring cell centers ($A$, $B$, $C$, ...) included as vertices. When applying Eq. (2) to this hyper-polyhedron in $E_n$, the flux associated with the face 1265 is now replaced by the fluxes associated with the four triangles $\Delta A12$, $\Delta A26$, $\Delta A65$ and $\Delta A51$ for the new CV. The advantage of this procedure is that flow data at the cell center $A$ is known and since $A$ lies in each of these triangles, no extrapolation through the interior of the $CV$ is needed when evaluating the fluxes associated with these triangles. The updating is thus conservative.

In the CE/SE scheme, in order to achieve higher order accuracy, flow data are extrapolated by Taylor expansion from $A$ to the triangle centers along the triangle surface plane. Consequently, not only the conservative flow variables $U$ but their spatial derivatives also are considered as the unknowns (totally 20 scalar unknowns) Note that $U_i$ is obtained by evaluating Eq. (1). The hyper-24-face-polyhedron is the control volume where Eq. (2) is applied for conservative updating. Any of these 24 surfaces (segments of solution elements or SEs) is associated with one of the six neighboring average centers ($ACs$, e.g., $A$, $B$, $C$, ...), where the solution $U$ and its gradients are already given at the previous time step $n$. Then both the inviscid and viscous fluxes on these 24 faces (hyper-plane surfaces in $E_n$ space) can be calculated by evaluating the flux functions $F$, $G$ and $H$ at the face geometrical centers through Taylor expansion. Consequently, the unknown $U^{n+1}$ at the new time level $n+1$ is evaluated at the geometrical center of the polyhedron from the divergence theorem (2) in $E_n$ space. Note that the ‘geometrical center’ or centroid of the polyhedron is in general different from its average center ($AC$). After evaluating the new gradients (see next subsection) $U_x$, $U_y$, $U_z$, it takes only one more step of Taylor expansion to extrapolate $U^{n+1}$ to the average center ($AC$).

The present compact updating avoids the uncertainty of dimensional-splitting and extrapolation, and hence yields better accuracy. In addition, no Riemann solver is needed at these hyper-surfaces. Incorporation of the gradients of $U$ further enhances the accuracy of the scheme and makes it possible to use a compact cell stencil.

After all the $U^{n+1}$ at the polyhedron centroids are updated, the next step is to calculate their corresponding spatial gradients.

2.4 Evaluation of Spatial Gradients

As in the standard CE/SE procedure [17], unknown spatial derivatives/gradients $U_x$, $U_y$, and $U_z$ are evaluated using the weighted average technique or an extended van Albada limiter [19]. The six neighboring polyhedral centers $A$, $B$, $C$, $D$, $E$, $F$ around a hexahedron cell (Fig. 2) form an octahedron. The vertices of each of the triangular face of the octahedron and the polyhedral center $O$...
associated with the current hexahedral cell form a tetrahedron (e.g. \(ABEO\) in Fig. 2). The \(U^{n+1}\) are already calculated at all the vertices. For each tetrahedron, a set of \(U_x^{n+1}, U_y^{n+1}, \) and \(U_z^{n+1}\) can be directly calculated by solving a linear equation system. Totally, there are eight different tetrahedra, and so also eight sets of the spatial derivative data. The final values of the spatial derivatives are obtained by applying the extended van Albada limiter (weighted average) to these eight sets of data. Let \(U_x^{(i)}, U_y^{(i)}, U_z^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, ..., 8\) be respectively the gradients from the ith set data.

\[
\tau_i = \left[ (U_x^{(i)})^2 + (U_y^{(i)})^2 + (U_z^{(i)})^2 \right]^\alpha,
\]

where \(\alpha\) is any real index number. Let \(t_i = \tau_1^{-1};\) if \(\tau_i = 0, t_i = 0\) and that

\[
t = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + ... + t_8,
\]

then, with the van Albada limiter,

\[
U_x = \frac{\sum U_x^{(i)} t_i}{t}, \quad U_y = \frac{\sum U_y^{(i)} t_i}{t}, \quad U_z = \frac{\sum U_z^{(i)} t_i}{t}.
\]

As is well-known, the van Albada limiter is less diffusive. In practice, it was found that if the power index number \(\alpha\) is chosen to be slightly negative, say, \(\alpha = -0.2\), the numerical dissipation resulting from averaging could be further reduced.

Once the new gradients are evaluated, \(U^{n+1}\) at the AC of the hexahedron is obtained by Taylor expansion from the centroid of the polyhedron. One marching step is thus completed.

---

**Figure 3:** 2-D quadrilateral mesh in a cross section in a 3-D hexahedral grid

### 3 Hexahedral Grid Generation and Parallel Computation

In order to substantially reduce the required number of cells and to increase numerical stability in the computation, a hexahedral grid is adopted for the 3-D CE/SE Navier-Stokes (N-S) solver. For the simple geometry of a circular jet, with the \(x\) axis assumed in the stream direction, the hexahedral grid can be generated as follows:

1. a 2-D quadrilateral unstructured mesh is generated in a circular domain on the \(y - z\) plane (Fig. 3);
2. the 2-D mesh is translated step by step in the \(x\) direction to form the 3-D hexahedral mesh. At locations that are occupied by the jet nozzle body, no cells are generated.

In the present 3-D screech noise computation, employing a hexahedral grid instead of a tetrahedral one helps to
reduce the number of cells from tens of millions to a few
millions. Still, the number of computational cells is very
large. Due to the large number of computational cells
(abot 3.67 millions in this case), parallel computation
with multiple processors becomes necessary in view of
computation turn-around time and memory size.

The parallelization procedure is similar to the one de-
scribed in [15] and is sketched in Fig. 4:

1. the unstructured hexahedral grid is generated for the
entire computational domain;

2. the domain is decomposed into subdomains accord-
ing to the assigned number of processes (usually
one-to-one with CPU’s, here 60 are used), using the
METIS code. METIS is an efficient mesh partition-
ing code and is freely available from the University
of Minnesota [20]—for example, Fig. 5 illustrates
a typical partition of the computational domain for
the current circular jet noise problem;

3. the N-S flow solver is modified to use MPI library
calls and applied to each subdomain to conduct
computations, with neighboring domains exchang-
ing pertinent results.

MPI, or message passing interface, is an interprocessor
communication protocol standard. The software library
is prepared by the Argonne National Laboratory [21].

4 The 3-D Jet Screech Noise Problem

The circular jet in 3-D space is sketched in Fig. 6. The
flow at the nozzle exit is choked, i.e., the nozzle exit
Mach number, \( M_e \), is unity, and the ambient air is sta-
tionary. The case of jet Mach number \( M_j = 1.42 \) is
considered. These conditions correspond to the exper-
imental conditions of Panda [5, 6]. In these experiments,
It was shown that for \( M_j = 1.42 \), the jet noise field ex-
hibits truly 3-D phenomena, e.g., the flapping ‘B’ mode.
Hence, a 3-D Navier-Stokes solver described above is re-
quired.

In the investigation, our attention is focussed on the
near field of the nozzle since this is the source region
of the noise. The inner diameter, \( D \), of the jet nozzle
is chosen as the length scale. The density, \( \rho_0 \), speed of
sound, \( a_0 \), and temperature \( T_0 \) in the ambient flow are
taken as scales for the dependent flow variables.

In order to clearly display the upstream propagating
screech waves, the computational domain was extended
2\( D \) upstream of the nozzle exit. The full computational
domain is a circular cylinder of 10\( D \) axial length and
7.5\( D \) radius. At the nozzle exit, the inflow plane is re-
cesed by two cells so as not to numerically restrict or
influence the feedback loop. A straight nozzle lip of
0.25\( D \) in thickness is adopted in the computations. Note
that in the experimental setup of Panda [5, 6], the actual
nozzle diameter \( D = 25.4 \) mm and the nozzle exit lip is
beveled.

The unstructured hexahedral grid currently used is
generated as described in \$2. The hexahedral cells are
non-uniform since good grid resolution is needed in the
jet shear layer and in the screech feedback loop paths.
Cell numbers in the \( x \) and radial directions are typically

Figure 4: Schematic diagram of parallel computation.

Figure 5: A typical partition of the computational do-
main by METIS, with different shading or color indicat-
ing the subdomains.
Initially, the flow of the entire domain is set at the ambient flow conditions (using nondimensional variables), \( i.e., \)
\[
\rho_0 = 1, \quad p_0 = \frac{1}{\gamma},
\]
\[
u_0 = 0, \quad v_0 = 0, \quad w_0 = 0.
\]

### 4.2 Boundary Conditions

At the inlet boundary, the conservative flow variables and their spatial derivatives are specified to be the same as the ambient flow, except at the nozzle exit, where an elevated pressure is imposed, \( i.e., \) the jet is under-expanded, as in the physical experiments. By using the ideal gas isentropic relations, it follows that the nondimensional flow variables at the nozzle exit, with \( M_e = 1 \), are given by
\[
\rho_e = \frac{\gamma(\gamma + 1)p_e}{2T_r},
\]
\[
p_e = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[ \frac{2 + (\gamma - 1)M_e^2}{\gamma + 1} \right]^{\gamma/\gamma - 1},
\]
\[
u_e = \left( \frac{2T_r}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad v_e = 0, \quad w_e = 0,
\] where \( T_r \) is the reservoir (plenum) temperature. We will also follow the experimental cold-flow condition where the reservoir temperature equals the ambient one, \( i.e., \) \( T_r = 1 \).

At the circumferential and outflow boundaries, the Type II and Type I CE/SE non-reflecting boundary conditions as described in the next subsection are imposed, respectively. The no-slip boundary condition is applied on all the nozzle walls.

### 4.3 Non-Reflecting Boundary Conditions

In the CE/SE scheme, non-reflecting boundary conditions (NRBC) can be easily constructed based on plane-wave propagation theory for hyperbolic conservation laws [22]. There are various implementations of the non-reflecting boundary condition (NRBC) and in general they have proven to be well suited for aeroacoustic problems [14, 23]. The following 3-D NRBCs are employed in this paper.

For a ghost grid node \((j, n)\) lying at the outer radius of the domain the non-reflective boundary condition (Type II) requires that
\[
(U_x)^n_j = (U_y)^n_j = (U_z)^n_j = 0,
\]
while \( U^n_j \) is kept fixed at the initial steady boundary value. At the downstream boundary, where there are substantial gradients in the radial direction, the non-reflective boundary condition (Type I) requires that
\[
(U_x)^n_j = 0,
\]
while \( U^n_j, (U_y)^n_j \) and \( (U_z)^n_j \) are now defined by simple extrapolation from the nearest interior node \( j' \), \( i.e., \)
\[
U^n_j = U^n_{j'}, \quad (U_y)^n_j = (U_y)^n_{j'}, \quad (U_z)^n_j = (U_z)^n_{j'}.
\]
As will be observed later, these NRBCs, when combined with the above buffer/sponge zones, are robust enough to allow a near field computation without disturbing or distorting the flow and acoustic fields.

### 5 Numerical Results

In this section, 3-D numerical results for the under-expanded circular jet described above are presented and compared to experimental results [5, 6]. Computations are conducted for the jet Mach number \( M_j = 1.42 \). At this moderate jet Mach number, the dominant unsteady motion in the experiments, see [5], is truly three dimensional. With an appropriate time step size, a large number (510,000) of time steps are performed in order to achieve sufficient accuracy in the Fourier analysis of time series data. Note that no harmonic forcing is imposed in the numerical simulation. The initial impact of the boundary condition at the nozzle exit stimulates the jet shear layer and triggers the feedback loop that generates the (then) self-sustained screech waves.
5.1 Shock-Cell Structure
Experimental results for jets are often documented in terms of Schlieren pictures. It is straightforward to construct Schlieren plots (density-gradient modulus) from the numerical results. For the case of $M_j = 1.42$, Fig. 7 shows the experimental [5, Fig. 4(a)] and numerical Schlieren pictures. Good agreement in shock-cell structure is shown. For example, the shock cell width (spacing) is about $1.28D$ in the streamwise direction. Note that the experimental Schlieren plot is a time-averaged results, while the numerical one is a snapshot at a relatively early stage (time step 110,000). In the experimental Schlieren plot, it is observed that the first shock cell appears to be sharp and clear since the shear-layer instability wave is too weak at this location to significantly affect the shock cell. However, once the instability wave has gained a sufficient amplitude through its streamwise growth, it interacts strongly with the shock cells. This is evident from the deformations from the second shock cell and onwards and the additional blurring due to time-averaging in top panel of Fig. 7.

5.2 Near-Field Radiating Screech Waves
Figs. 8- 12, which represent a series of instantaneous snapshots of pressure iso-surfaces in the flow field, illustrate the generation and propagation of screech waves. Since no forcing is applied in the numerical simulation, these waves are a clear indication of a self-sustained oscillation. For $M_j = 1.42$, the jet screech is in the flapping B mode, which is a truly three dimensional one. The screech waves not only propagate in the upstream direction but also swirl and flap around the jet core.

After the computation has run for about 110,000 time steps, truly three dimensional asymmetric wave patterns begin to appear. Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 demonstrate the pressure iso-surfaces at time steps 120,000 and 130,000 respectively and from different angle. The iso-surfaces clearly take on nonaxisymmetric forms. However, at time steps of 200,000 and 320,000, the pressure iso-surfaces again turn out to look more axisymmetric, as demonstrated in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 respectively. At time step 510,000, when the computation was stopped, a highly asymmetric pattern can be observed, see Fig. 12.

5.3 Screech Frequency and Sound Pressure Level (SPL)
The numerical time history is recorded for the location (2.0,0.6,0) at the nozzle lip in the flow field and later post-processed to obtain spectral information using Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) techniques. The recording begins after an initial time period has elapsed (180,000 actual time steps) ensuring that any start-up transients have left the computational domain. Figure 13 displays the SPL for the case of $M_j = 1.42$. The SPL plot shows the spikes at the fundamental ‘B’ mode frequency and its harmonics. The fundamental frequency spike correspond to screech frequency of 4500 Hz (SPL=122 dB), which agrees quite well with the experimental value of 4350 Hz.

5.4 Performance of the Parallel Computation
The computation was mainly carried out on a Linux Pentium III PC cluster (NASA Glenn Research Center CW-7 cluster) using 60 processes, running on 20 CPUs. Normally, one would want a one-to-one relationship between
Figure 9: Pressure iso-surfaces at time step 130,000.

Figure 10: Pressure iso-surfaces at time step 200,000.

Figure 11: Pressure iso-surfaces at time step 320,000; a $x - z$ cross section slice is also shown.

the number of processes and processors. This less than optimal situation lead to about 15 % increase in the wall-clock time and was due to the computation having been started on a different Linux PC cluster using 60 CPUs. In the optimal situation, it takes about 8 seconds wall-clock time to march one step on the CW-7 cluster. The parallel computation was also tested on the SGI (Silicon Graphics ) Origin 3800 workstation cluster with 64 and 128 processors at NASA Ames Research Center. From 64 to 128 processors, the clock time reduces linearly with the increasing number of processors, but the reduction will begin to level off if more processors are used. As a result of the explicit time-marching in the scheme, when running with 64 processors, the number of MFLOPS (megaflops) per processor remains between 170 and 183, exceeding 20 % (160) of the theoretical peak MFLOPS. This performance is considered excellent by code-performance specialists at NASA Ames.

6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper, a 3-D CE/SE N-S solver using an unstructured hexahedral grid is briefly described and tested in a 3-D circular jet screech problem. The use of a hexahedral grid rather than a tetrahedral one enhances the numerical stability of the scheme and significantly reduces both memory size and CPU time, making the CE/SE scheme a viable tool for near-field CAA simulation.

For the test case of $M_j = 1.42$, the jet screech frequency of the dominant nonaxisymmetric ‘B’ mode and the shock cell structure agree well with the experimental data [5, 6]. Perhaps due to the relatively coarse grid used, the computed SPL is somewhat lower than the experimental one. Further tests with refined grids are being carried out and will be reported in the future.
Figure 12: Pressure iso-surfaces at time step 510,000 viewed from two different angles; $x - z$ cross section slices are also shown.

Figure 13: SPL at nozzle wall.
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A 3-D CE/SE Navier-Stokes solver using an unstructured hexahedral grid is described and applied to a circular jet screech noise computation. The present numerical results for an underexpanded jet, corresponding to a fully expanded Mach number of 1.42, capture the dominant and nonaxisymmetric 'B' screech mode and are generally in good agreement with existing experiments.