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CONTROL OF THERMO-ACOUSTICS INSTABILITIES:
The Multi-Scale Extended Kalman Approach

Dzu K. Le, John C. DeLaat, and Clarence T. Chang
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio

ABSTRACT

"Multi-Scale Extended Kalman" (MSEK) is a novel model-based control approach recently found to be effective for suppressing combustion instabilities in gas turbines. A control law formulated in this approach for fuel modulation demonstrated steady suppression of a high-frequency combustion instability (>500Hz) in a liquid-fuel combustion test rig under engine-realistic conditions. To make-up for severe transport-delays on control effect, the MSEK controller combines a "wavelet"-like "Multi-Scale" analysis and an "Extended Kalman Observer" to predict the thermo-acoustic states of combustion pressure perturbations. The commanded fuel modulation is composed of a damper action based on the predicted states, and a tones suppression action based on the Multi-Scale estimation of thermal excitations and other transient disturbances. The controller performs automatic adjustments of the gain and phase of these actions to minimize the Time-Scale Averaged Variances of the pressures inside the combustion zone and upstream of the injector. The successful demonstration of Active Combustion Control with this MSEK controller completed an important NASA milestone for the current research and advanced combustion technologies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Combustor instability control is an enabling technology for superior engine performance. Acoustic resonances driven by heat release fluctuations can produce large pressure oscillations inside the combustion chamber.1 These thermo-acoustic instabilities can potentially lead to premature mechanical failures. In the quest to reduce particulate and NOx emission, future aero-engine combustors will likely have lean-burning front-ends. As the ground-based gas-turbine field has experienced, however, lean-burning combustors are more susceptible to combustion instabilities due to the more homogeneous transmission medium (an environment favorable for the forming of distinct acoustics modes); less damping from reduced or eliminated liner film cooling; and more energetic perturbation sources from the more vigorous fuel-air mixing.

Active control of combustion instabilities by properly-phased fuel modulation is a very promising method for instability control in aero-engines. Conceptually, control of combustion instability pressure can be achieved by other means 2...14. However, these other means (e.g., speaker actuation) tend to be bulky, have limited operating range, or have other undesirable side effects. In comparison, liquid fuel modulation is compact, more practical, and can deliver the most energy with the least effort.

The NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC) Active Combustion Control (ACC) technology effort aims to demonstrate active control in a realistic and relevant environment by providing experiments tied to aircraft gas-turbine engines. The first successful demonstration of active control of a High Frequency (HF; >500Hz) thermo-acoustic instability via fuel modulation under engine-realistic conditions was accomplished in June 2002 by the NASA GRC effort. It was reaffirmed in September 2002 with additional experiments and improved results. These experiments were done in collaboration with Pratt Whitney 14,15,16 at the United Technology Research Center (UTRC), on the NASA Single Nozzle Combustion Rig (SNR).

Prior to this work, partial successes with suppression via fuel modulation of Low Frequency instability (LF; ~280 Hz) had been reported elsewhere, including the works supported by NASA 26,27. In these earlier attempts to suppress the dominant instabilities, other instability peaks would arise around the central frequency (so-called "peak splitting"26,27 as seen on the pressure amplitude spectra plot, Figure 1). A solution to this problem, which occurs even with moderate control gains, has not been identified in previous works 8...14. For this effort, active instability suppression has been demonstrated for high-frequency oscillations on the NASA SNR. This HF

Figure 1: Low-frequency instability suppression control, showing peak-splitting 26
instability in the NASA SNR represents an actual case of engine instability although the rig exhibits higher background noise/disturbance levels than the engine (Figure 2). The NASA SNR operates at engine pressures, temperatures, and flows using liquid jet fuel and incorporates many engine-like features including an actual engine fuel injector (Figures 3 and 4).

This successful demonstration of ACC for the HF case was carried out with two distinct controllers developed by NASA: an adaptive controller by Kopasakis and an observer-based controller by Le in the Multi-Scale Extended Kalman (MSEK) approach described herein. The two controllers yielded comparable results, and neither showed any sign of "peak-splitting". This paper is devoted to the MSEK model-based controller.

In this paper, a brief introduction to the MSEK control approach is given. Next, the MSEK control design approach is illustrated with a detailed description of the controller developed for the experimental demonstration of combustion instability control. Results of the evaluation of the controller via numerical simulation are presented followed by the experimental results with the combustor rig. Finally, a generalization of this MSEK controller and some concluding remarks are given.

2. THE MULTI-SCALE EXTENDED KALMAN CONTROL APPROACH

State variables tracking and optimal control normally can be handled effectively by Kalman Filters and the LQR method only if the system dynamics are fairly close to the classical case of stochastic processes. These classical control methods are often found inadequate for aero-engines or other complex systems with a high level of dynamic uncertainties, complex (external or internal) disturbances, noise, and transport delays in the control mechanisms. Examples of such complex disturbances include: mass-imbalance; sensor distortion; high-order or nonlinear behaviors of rotor, motor, and bearing dynamics; unknown excitations of thermo-acoustics instabilities; and higher-order stall and surge dynamics; etc. A feasible solution for many such difficult cases is to predict the variations in system transient characteristics with Multi-scale Analysis (e.g., with the Wavelet Transform to adjust model and control parameters accordingly, and to compensate the control commands for unknown, transient disturbances.

That direction for control design was proposed by Le and named the Multi-Scale Extended Kalman approach in this paper. It was inspired by the fact that, non-stationary processes (e.g., the Fractional Brownian Motions) become stationary under proper wavelet transformations. So, Multi-Scale Analysis promises a relief from the limitations of Kalman filters, which provide optimal estimation solutions only to stationary processes (e.g.; linear system driven by white-noise -- a stationary random process). The mathematics foundation for Wavelets representation of (non-trivial) random processes was established by Houdre in 1993. Implicit in Houdre results is the advantage of minimizing estimation errors or system outputs variance after a proper wavelet transformation of the related random processes onto the time-scale domain. Thus, the ensemble variance (or a time-averaged approximation of the variance) of system outputs -- the usual optimization criteria in the classical Kalman approach -- would be replaced in the MSEK approach by the time-scale averaged variance (limited to selected ranges of signal details or "scales") of the transformed signals.

The MSEK method has been found to be effective for many difficult problems where it is necessary to track system states with high accuracy despite disturbances, system uncertainties, and non-linearity. This approach requires state-variable estimation for the system dynamics specially formulated in the general framework of an Extended Kalman Observer -- i.e., extensions of the Classical Kalman Filter technique to handle the nonlinear and time-varying natures of complex systems. In addition, wavelet-like, Multi-Scales models instead of the ordinary Fourier bases are integrated into the Extended Kalman Observer to estimate system disturbances. The inclusion of these Multi-Scales disturbance models and Multi-
Multi-Scale signal-processing techniques are versatile and powerful for analyzing both transient and steady dynamics. Wavelet bases, for example, provide coherent building blocks for signal analysis and synthesis. They, however, are too computationally intensive for use in real-time monitoring or control. Approximations and simplifications of various wavelets and associated algorithms are necessary to reduce computation time. Nevertheless, for many challenging applications, such customized implementation of Multi-Scale techniques still provides greater versatility and accuracy than does the Fourier Transform approach. Practical wavelets such as the Compact Harmonic Wavelets \(^{22}\) are effective for estimating and compensating for quasi-periodic, complex disturbances, or for fine-tuning Kalman filters.

So, in this method the Multi-Scale digital filters are used to calculate the “Time-Scale-Averaged Variances” of system outputs as performance metrics for real-time tuning of model and control parameters. Such Multi-Scale Performance Metrics effectively differentiate competing parameters (with a generally well-conditioned Jacobian on the parameter space), allowing for sequential search and adjustment of their optimal values.

This new control design approach and several practical Multi-Scale analysis algorithms were developed for system identification and real-time tuning of model and control parameters, \(^{21, 22}\) and have been successfully applied since 1999 to the control of magnetically levitated, high-energy flywheels.\(^{24, 28}\) Several test rigs of representative problems in engine and rotating machinery were used in the development of various techniques to combine Multi-Scale Analysis with Extended Kalman filters to estimate transient disturbances and enhance system states tracking.

3. A MSEK CONTROL DESIGN FOR ACC

A Phase-Shifting Proportional scheme alone, even one with phase-drift adjustments, is only effective against relatively steady harmonic excitations. For combustion instability control, the highly transient thermal excitations and other unknown disturbances will induce side-lobes ("peak-splitting") and hence severely reduce the effectiveness of the controller in damping out the acoustic modes. \(^{27}\) This motivates the following control design strategy:

(a) A Predictive Extended Kalman Filter to reduce the adverse effect of large transport-delays and random disturbances for effective damping of the acoustic modes.

(b) A parallel Tone Suppression scheme with varying gain and phase to counter thermal excitations and other unknown harmonic disturbances.

This paper will describe the numerical validation and empirical demonstration of the control strategy as summarized by (a) and (b). A more thorough presentation of the mathematical fundamentals underlying the MSEK control design is expected to be published in the near future.

The algorithms and implementation of (a) and (b) are presented in the next three sub-sections, beginning with a functional overview. Figure 5 shows a top level functional diagram of the digital controller for ACC named Multi-Scale Predictive Damper (MSPD) designed in the MSEK approach.

3.1. Design Functional Overview

The greatest challenge for combustion instability control is to overcome the inherent, large time-delays on fuel modulation effects under severe disturbances (random as well as harmonic). The total time-delay could be longer than three oscillation cycles of instability. Thus, it is necessary to have a Kalman-type predictor for the dominant acoustics states -- Sub-block "EK States Predictor" in Figure 5. In the Predictor,
the modeled dynamics of combustion pressure oscillations include the effects of (non-linear) heat release fluctuations. Inputs to the Predictor are not the combustion zone sensed pressure, but rather the “tones” extracted from it by a Multi-Scale Tones Analysis scheme, as will be explained in the following sub-sections. The fuel modulation command includes a proportional component intended for damping out the dominant acoustic modes based on the Predictor and a non-linear component (Tone-Suppression) for countering thermal excitations and other transient disturbances. These excitations are estimated by a phase-adjusting Multi-Scale tones extraction scheme (Phase-Adjusted Reconstruction). The adjustments for phase drifts are calculated by a regression scheme (Phase-Drift Estimation). Besides the phase-adjusted tones, the Multi-Scale Analysis function in MSPD also calculates the Time-Scale Averaged Pressure Variance which is used as a performance metric for automatic global search of optimal parameter ranges (Parameters Tuning) and adjustments (e.g., control phases and gains, and predictor parameters). The compensation for undesirable pressure disturbances from the fuel line just upstream of the injector (Upstream Compensation) is also a damper.

3.2. Tone “Quadratures” Extraction

The purpose of the Multi-Scale Tone Analysis block in MSPD is to extract predictable, transient characteristics in the pressure measurements for control input. It was found that, the Single Nozzle Combustion Rig (SNR) might exhibit either the LF (~285Hz) or HF (~500Hz) oscillations depending on the length and location of one of the two spool sections (upstream airflow) relative to the pre-diffuser. Figure 4 shows the HF configuration of the Combustion Rig, for which both spools are upstream of the pre-diffuser that flows into the combustor dome. The Tone Analysis part of controller MSPD (Figure 5) is performed by wavelet-like filters to extract the wavelet “quadratures” of the “tones” in the sensed pressures, in a vicinity of the two frequencies.

By definition, a “quadratures” pair of a signal stream s(t) in a frequency band is any decomposition of the signal contents of that band into two orthogonal components s_1(t) and s_2(t) by using an Orthogonal Wavelets Decomposition and Reconstruction scheme (e.g., using “quadrature mirror filters”). In this strict definition of signal “quadratures”, the mutual-orthogonality condition imposed on s_1(t) and s_2(t) is understood in the sense of L_2-Function Inner-Product and is automatically satisfied even for the finite support intervals of the Orthogonal Wavelets of choice.

For simplicity, instead of a formal Orthogonal Wavelet Decomposition and Reconstruction scheme, a pair of Finite Impulse Response (FIR) band-pass filters is designed for each frequency band to extract the signal (approximate) “quadratures”; one filter for extracting s_1(t) with a phase lead of 45 degrees at the center frequency of interest, and the other for s_2(t) with a phase lag of 45 degrees; the gains of both filters are equal to 1 at the central frequency. The advantage of these multi-scale (approximate) “quadrature filters” is in their simplicity; also, the polar angle of the vector [s_1(t) s_2(t)]^T (denotes a transposed matrix) represents the instantaneous “phase” angle of the extracted tone plus π/4 radians.

Notes: (1) In other Multi-Scale Analysis applications, “Quadrature Filter Banks”, such as the Compact Harmonics Wavelets, are needed for extracting multiple tones from the raw signals.

(2) The “Wavelet”-Fourier-Analysis connection: Roughly speaking, a “frequency band” of the Fourier Transform corresponds to a “wavelet subspace” composed of a certain range of “feature scales” (or signal “details”) of the Wavelet Transform. The higher the frequency band, the smaller the corresponding feature scales are.
3.3. Quadratures Prediction

Throughout this paper, \( S_n \) denotes the 4x1 vector of the “quadrature pairs” \( S_n = [S_{n1}^T, S_{n2}^T]^T \) of tone 1 and tone 2 extracted from the sensed combustor chamber pressure oscillations (LF and HF tones, respectively; with the superscript as the tone index and “n” as the time index). The following approximate “evolution model” (Equations (1-a) to (1-f)) for the combustion pressure quadratures at \( N \) sampling steps (~control-delay time) ahead of the “current” time index “\( n \)” was used for the formulation of the predictor (See Appendix: Predicted Quadratures Evolution Model):

\[
S_{n+1} = \mathbf{A} S_n + \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{w} \\
\mathbf{z}_{n+1} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{z}_n + \mathbf{F} M S_{n+1} + \mathbf{v} \\
y_n = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}_n + \mathbf{DM} S_{N+n} + \mathbf{v}
\]

with limits: \(-P_{\text{max}} \leq p_i \leq P_{\text{max}}\)

\[
Q_i = \kappa \left( \frac{p_i}{p_o} \right)^2 \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)^T \\
Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

The “output measurement” in these equations is a 2x1 vector \( \mathbf{y}_n \) representing the combined quadratures of mode 1 and mode 2 extracted from combustor pressure measurement at time index “\( n \)” for input to the Kalman predictor. That is,

\[
\mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{MS}_n, \\
\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

The large time-index shift from \( S_{n+1} \) to the feedback \( \mathbf{y}_n \) was approximated by a 5th-order Pade approximation. That is, in Equations (1-b) and (1-c), \( \mathbf{y}_n \) was viewed as the result of a 5th-order Pade-transform (for a time-delay \( \approx N \times T \); \( T \) denotes the sampling time) applied on the 2x1 vector \( \mathbf{M} \mathbf{S}_{N+n} \). So, U, F, E, D are just the combined state matrices of the pair of Pade-transformations used (with the respective dimensions \( 10 \times 10 \), \( 10 \times 2 \), \( 2 \times 10 \), and \( 2 \times 2 \)). The quantities \( \mathbf{v} \) and \( \mathbf{w} \) are band-limited random vectors.

\[
\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega_1 T) & -\sin(\omega_1 T) \\ \sin(\omega_1 T) & \cos(\omega_1 T) \end{bmatrix} \\
& \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\omega_2 T) & -\sin(\omega_2 T) \\ \sin(\omega_2 T) & \cos(\omega_2 T) \end{bmatrix}
\]

with \( T \) being the sampling time; \( \omega_1, \omega_2 \) (in radians/sec) are the two tone frequencies; \( \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \) their open-loop damping coefficients; and \( \lambda_1 = \exp(-2 \zeta_1 \omega_1 T) \); \( \lambda_2 = \exp(-2 \zeta_2 \omega_2 T) \).

The effect of combustion heat fluctuations on these acoustic quadratures (at \( N \) steps ahead of \( n \)) is approximated by the vector-valued function \( \mathbf{Q} \) of \( \mathbf{S}_n \) and the fuel modulation command \( \mathbf{u}_n \), as formulated in (1-d) to (1-f). The parameters \( \{ h_{ij} \} \) are constant, internal feedback gains; \( b \) the approximate control authority; \( \mathbf{P}_o \) a reference pressure comparable to the mean pressure drop across the injector. The parameters \( \{ h_{ij} \}, b, \kappa, P_{\text{max}} \) and \( N \) are chosen to match the open-loop forced response characteristics of the combustion chamber pressure.

The Kalman Quadratures Predictor: Equations (1-a) to (1-f) result in an estimation for \( \mathbf{S}_{n+1} \), denoted by \( \mathbf{S}_n \), formulated explicitly in Equations (4-a) to (4-e) below:

\[
\mathbf{S}_{n+1} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S}_n + \mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{w} \\
\mathbf{z}_{n+1} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{z}_n + \mathbf{K}_1 (\mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}_n + \mathbf{DM} \mathbf{S}_n - \mathbf{M} \mathbf{S}_n) \\
y_n = \mathbf{E} \mathbf{z}_n + \mathbf{DM} \mathbf{S}_n + \mathbf{v}
\]

with limits: \(-P_{\text{max}} \leq p_i \leq P_{\text{max}}\)

\[
Q_i = \kappa \left( \frac{p_i}{p_o} \right)^2 \left( \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)^T \\
Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

The matrices \( \mathbf{K}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{K}_2 \) (of dimension \( 4 \times 2 \) and \( 10 \times 2 \), respectively) are the two vertical sub-blocks of the Kalman matrix computed from the evolution equations (1-a) to (1-c) for certain covariance matrices assumed for the random vectors \( \mathbf{v} \) and \( \mathbf{w} \) in these equations, treating \( \mathbf{Q} \) as a known input at time “\( n \)”.

The numerical values of the parameters in Equations (4-a) to (4-e) will be presented in Section 4.

3.4. Phase Bias and Drift Correction with Quadratures

If \( \mathbf{S} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{=} [S_1(t) S_2(t)]^T \) is the vector of quadratures extracted from a signal \( s(t) \) using “Quadrature Filters”, then a combination \( \mathbf{S}_\alpha \overset{\leftrightarrow}{=} [S_1(t) \cos \alpha - S_2(t) \sin \alpha] \) represents the tone of \( s(t) \) in the Quadrature bandwidth phase-shifted by “\( \alpha+\pi/4 \)” radians. Thus, it might be used in a Proportional Phase-Shifting control scheme for a suitable phase bias “\( \alpha \)”. But, a Proportional Phase-Shifting control with a fixed phase bias is not effective in handling large time-delays and phase drifts of transient or time-varying dynamics, especially when the Signal-to-Noise
ratio is small. To remedy this, a regression scheme was used to estimate the drifts in the accumulated phase of each tone over the expected time interval of the total transport-delay.

3.5. Damper Command

A part of the fuel-modulation command $u_n$, essentially an LQR damper (distinguished by the superscript ‘$c$’ for fixed gain, is formulated as:

$$u_n^{c*} = \sum_{i=1,2} \gamma_i r_n \cos (\omega_i t_n + \alpha_{i,n} + \bar{\alpha} + \delta_i)$$

(for tones $i = 1, 2$), where $\gamma_i$ is an varying gain applied on $\sigma_i$ after it is phase-shifted by a bias $\bar{\alpha}$ plus $\delta_{i,n}$. These gains are calculated as discrete values of this expression:

$$\gamma_i = \gamma_o + \eta \int (r_i - \rho_i) dt \quad \text{(for $i = 1, 2$)},$$

where $\gamma_o$ is a constant gain compatible to the expected control authority; $\eta$, a constant integral gain; $\rho_i$, a reduction level expected to be maintainable for $r_i$ with this scheme. Also, $\gamma_o$’s are limited between certain bounds $\gamma_{\min}$ and $\gamma_{\max}$ before substituted into Equation (7).

3.6. Suppressions of Residual Harmonic Disturbances

This part of the control scheme is to cancel out remaining harmonic disturbances that can not be suppressed by just the “damper”. For this purpose, the instantaneous amplitudes and phases of the combustion pressure near the LF and HF are first estimated by projecting the quadratures $S'_n$ (for $i = 1, 2$, as 2x1 vectors) onto an orthogonal frame rotating at the respective speed $\omega_i$. To reduce the effect of random noises, these projections are next passed through low-pass filters with cut-off frequencies at 100 Hz and 200 Hz, respectively, before computing the amplitudes and phases. Note that these cut-off frequencies are about 1/3 of the respective central frequencies. Consequently, the predicted pressure oscillations for the respective frequency bands are given by:

$$\sigma_i = r_n \cos (\omega_i t_n + \alpha_{i,n} + \bar{\alpha} + \delta_i)$$

where $r_n$ is the amplitude, and $\{\alpha_{i,n}\}$ the polar angle at time $t_n$ of the filtered, projected quadrature vector.

Note that, $\sigma_i$ should be highly coherent with the unknown “residual” harmonic excitations other than white-noise disturbances and the heat quantities associated with expression (1-f). Therefore, to counter this unknown effect the fuel-modulation command $u_n$ is augmented with a compensation term (distinguished by the superscript ‘$c$’ of the following form, as computed in Tone Suppression:

$$u_n^{c*} = \sum_{i=1,2} \gamma_i r_n \cos (\omega_i t_n + \alpha_{i,n} + \bar{\alpha} + \delta_i)$$

4. DESIGN EVALUATION AND EXPERIMENT

The NASA Single Nozzle Combustor Rig (SNR) at UTRC was used as the experimental test bed for demonstrating closed-loop instability suppression using the MSPD controller. The SNR was operated at a pressure, temperature, and fuel-air ratio corresponding to a mid-power engine condition.
(T3=770°F, P3=200psia, fuel-air ratio=0.03) and exhibited roughly the instability behavior shown in Figure 2.

4.1. Simulation Models

A reduced-order, parametric model and a “Sected-1D” thermo dynamics flow model (Paxson 25) of the SNR were used in the design and evaluation of the MSPD controller. The former is essentially a Helmholtz-type model of the two thermo-acoustics modes of the SNR, formulated in quadrature state variables similar to Equation (1-a) to (1-f) as follow:

\[ X_{n+1} = AX_n + Q(\tau_a X_n, \tau_b \bar{U}_n, \tau_c p_n, W_n); \]

\[ p_n^{ac'} = [c_1 0 c_2 0] X_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (10-a)

\[ s_n = p_n^{ac'} + v_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (10-b)

\[ \begin{bmatrix} p \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} & 0 & h_{12} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_a(X_n) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b & g \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau_b(\bar{U}_n) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_c(p_n^{ac'}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} W_n \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10-c)

with limits: \(-p_{\text{max}} \leq p_i \leq p_{\text{max}}\)

\[ Q_i = \kappa \left( \frac{p_i}{p_o} \right) + 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (for \( i = 1, 2 \))

\[ Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_1 & 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (10-f)

In equations (10-a) to (10-f), the state matrix A is as in expression (3). And,

\[ X_n \equiv [x_1^1 x_1^2 x_2^1 x_2^2]^T \] stands for the 4x1 state vector of mode 1 and 2 (as superscripts); \( p_n^{ac'} \) the static pressure oscillation about the mean static pressure \( \bar{p} \) (of the operating condition in the combustion zone); \( s_n \), sensed \( p_n^{ac'} \); and \( W_n, V_n \) are scalar, white disturbance and sensor noise limited to below 2000Hz. The heat-release fluctuation effect \( Q \) is a vector-valued function of delayed states, fuel modulation command, \( \bar{U}_n \), including valve dynamics, and disturbances. The operators \( \tau_a, \tau_b, \tau_c \) are random time shifts (with non-zero mean values) applied on the respective variables. The effect of the combustion zone pressure oscillation on fuel modulation is too complex to model accurately; so, the terms involving \( p_n^{ac'} \) and the constant gain “g” are used here only for testing the robustness of the controller, not to be included in the predictor equation.

Remark: This plant model presents all the main challenges of the SNR: the thermal excitations modeled by the internal feedback matrix \( \{h_{i,j}\} \), the time delay \( \tau_b \) on control, phase drifts due to some randomness in the delays, and disturbances \( W_n \).

4.2. Control Validation Results

The following parameter values of the reduced-order model for the HF-Configuration SNR are with PSI as the unit for the pressure feedback, Volt for the fuel-modulation command \( U_n \) to the servo valve used -- which was limited to 2 Volts in amplitude.

First, the measurement gain and the internal feedback gain for the dominant mode (Equation (10-b) and (10-d), respectively) are both normalized so that:

\[ c_{12} = 1 \] \hspace{1cm} and \hspace{1cm} \[ h_{22} = 1 \]

With that normalization and units choice, the rest of model parameters are chosen to match empirical data for the sampling time \( T = 0.0002 \) seconds (i.e.; 5000 Hz I/O rate are used for both the reduced-order model and controller outputs on the rig):

\[ c_{11} = 0.8 \] \hspace{1cm} \( p_o = 20 \) \hspace{1cm} \( \kappa = 0.6 \) \hspace{1cm} \( p_o = 12 \)

\[ h_{11} = 1 \] \hspace{1cm} \( h_{12} = h_{21} = 0.1 \)

\[ \bar{\tau}_a = 0.0038 \] \hspace{1cm} \( \bar{\tau}_b = 0.0033 \) \hspace{1cm} \( \bar{\tau}_c = 0.0002 \) (mean values);

\[ b = 0.2322 \] \hspace{1cm} \[ g = 0.01 \]

The rms value of \( W_n \) is 0.2805 for the high disturbance setting, and is 0.07 for low disturbance; that of \( V_n \) is 0.07.

The effect of fuel valve on the command \( U_n \) is represented by a low-pass filter (resulting in \( \bar{U}_n \) ) and an attenuation which is already absorbed into \( b \).

The damping parameters \( \zeta \) in the predictor (Equation (4-a) to (4-c)) and in Equations (1-a) to (1-c) for the Kalman matrix calculation were deliberately set at 30% of critical damping for both modes, not at the estimated values which is only about 0.03 for the HF mode. This is to ensure stability for the predictor with a simplified implementation of the Kalman feedback.

The validation results for the MSPD controller using this reduced-order model of the SNR are summarized in Figures 6 to 8. Figure 6a presents the Amplitude Spectra and Probability Density of combustor pressure oscillations with controller-on in comparison to the controller-off case, both with a much lower level of disturbances than in the SNR; however, this disturbance level is compatible to a known actual engine environment. Figures 6b shows the favorable time-domain response of combustor pressure to the controller for this low-disturbance case, as the controller is turned ON and OFF alternately every 5 seconds. Figure 7 further
4.3. Experimental Results

For the experimental demonstration of the MSPD controller, combustor pressure in the SNR was sensed about 2 inches downstream of the fuel injector. The control algorithms were implemented on a real-time processor. The fuel flow was dynamically controlled via a high-response fuel valve. The significance of the "Predictor-based Damper" in the MSPD controller is mainly its effectiveness in supplying active damping to the acoustics modes. It is expected to be highly effective when the "background" disturbance level in the combustor is comparable to an engine environment such as Figure 2, not four times higher in Amplitude Spectra as in the SNR. But, it becomes less effective in the noisy environment of the SNR. This is when the "Tone Suppression" function in the controller becomes necessary. With Tone Suppression, the controller performs well, as predicted on the reduced order SNR model for the September 02 tests. On the other hand, although the nonlinear "Tone Suppression" part of MSPD has the most powerful effect on the PSD center peak, it needs the Damper to prevent "peak-splitting".

Figure 6: Simulation results with the reduced-order model at low random disturbance

(a): Closed-loop versus open-loop combustion pressure oscillation Amplitude-Spectrum and Probability Density

(b): Pressure oscillations (top) and commands ON/OFF (bottom)

Figure 7: Pressure oscillations (top) and commands ON/OFF (bottom); simulated with the reduced-order model without disturbance

Figure 8: Closed-loop versus open-loop combustion pressure oscillation Amplitude-Spectrum and Probability Density; simulated with the reduced-order model at a high random disturbance
Figure 9 shows the Amplitude Spectra of combustion pressure oscillations in an experiment with the MSPD controller on the Single Nozzle Combustion Rig; for comparison, this result is overlaid on that of the baseline instability (controller turned off). This result agrees with the earlier prediction on the reduced-order model. Both show about 30% reduction of pressure oscillation amplitude at the HF peak when the MSPD is used. The Upstream Compensation feature in the controller, however, has not been tested on the SNR.

Remark: Interestingly, the technique used in “Tone Suppression” was found also effective for mass-imbalance compensation in controlling magnetically levitated flywheels.

5. GENERALIZED MSEK CONTROLLERS

As mentioned previously, Houdre established the mathematics foundation for Wavelets representation of (non-trivial) random processes in 1993.28 A practical framework suitable for engineering applications of Multi-Scale-transformed random processes was introduced by Le in 1995. Continuing in this direction, Equations (1-a) to (1-f) lend a useful example of Multi-scale building-blocks for the approximate formulation of system dynamics in Multi-Scales variables. The advantage of such approximate model is in the formulation of Kalman predictors or estimators of system transient characteristics with enhanced sharpness via Multi-Scale analysis. Quadratures evolution equations like (1-a) to (1-f) are suitable for the modal dynamics of acoustics, structures, rotors, etc. In general, the dynamics of multiple tones/modes can be approximated as such, using Compact Harmonics Wavelets Filter Banks, 22 but probably with slightly more complex internal feedbacks (e.g., Equation (A4) in Appendix) and requiring more sophisticated, Extended Kalman filters. For more deterministic systems, such as in flywheel magnetic-bearing control, physical-based (extended or conventional) Kalman filters and Multi-Scale techniques can still be integrated as in Figure 5 to effectively handle non-steady disturbances 34. Furthermore, many types of modal dynamics, including those driven by non-stationary disturbances, could be approximated in such a way that optimal state-variables observers (to minimize the estimation errors covariance on the time-scale domain) exist in closed-form.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The Multi-Scale Extended Kalman (MSEK) approach has provided numerous capable, novel controllers for a wide range of control challenges in current research at NASA in innovative aerospace engines and power systems. The key to the versatility and effectiveness of the MSEK control approach is its innovative combination of Extended-Kalman Observers and Multi-Scale “features extraction” techniques for disturbance estimation, compensation, and performance optimization in real-time. In a recent demonstration of Active Combustion Control (ACC) with fuel modulation on a liquid-fuel single-nozzle combustor rig, a MSEK controller suppressed high-frequency (>500Hz) combustion pressure oscillations by 30%. The combustor rig emulates an actual case of engine instability and operates at engine-realistic conditions. This demonstration and further analytical studies show the feasibility of the application of the MSEK approach to Active Combustion Control, which is an enabling technology for future aero-engine combustors with improved efficiency and reduced emissions.

APPENDIX

Predicted Quadratures Evolution Model

Each of the two possible acoustics modes in the NASA Single Nozzle Rig combustion chamber (~285Hz and >500Hz) is approximately a second order dynamics with low damping (about 3% of critical damping for the dominant mode) and driven by the fluctuating heat release. The heat release has a very large time-delay for the desired control bandwidth, about 0.0035 seconds or more, as empirically determined. With added convection delays, the time lapse between sensed chamber pressure oscillations and the associated heat fluctuation could be more than 0.0038 seconds. Time-lag and saturation in heat-release are the important contributors to the sustained combustion acoustics. The effect of heat-release fluctuations on the combustion acoustics stays within certain determinable limits. Based on those assumptions, the following approximate “evolution model” for the combustion pressure quadratures at N sampling steps (~ control-delay time) ahead of the “current” time index “i” is assumed for the formulation of a predictor:

\[ S_{N+n+1} = A S_{N+n} + Q(S_{n+k}, U_n) + W \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1-a)

\[ y_n = MS_n \]  \hspace{1cm} (A1-b)
In Equation (A1-a) and (A1-b), \( S_n \) denotes the 4x1 vector of the "quadrature pairs" \( S_n = [S_n^1, S_n^2] \) of tone 1 and tone 2 (~285Hz and >500Hz, respectively); the superscript is the tone index and "n" is the time index.

(Note: For simplicity, instead of a formal Orthogonal Wavelet Decomposition and Reconstruction scheme, a pair of Finite Impulse Response (FIR) band-pass filters can be suitably designed for each frequency band to extract the signal approximate "quadratures": One filter with a phase lead of 45 degrees at the center frequency of interest, and the other with a phase lag of 45 degrees; the gains of both filters are equal to 1 at the central frequency. The advantage of these "Quadrature Filters" is in their simplicity. The polar angle of the vector of extracted quadratures \([s_1(t), s_2(t)]'\) by such filters represents the instantaneous "phase" angle of a tone plus \(\pi/4\) radians -- \([...]'\) denotes a transposed matrix.)

The "output measurement" in Equation (A1-b) is a 2x1 vector \( y_n \) representing the combined quadratures of mode 1 and mode 2 extracted from the sensed combustor pressure at time index "n". So:

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \quad (A2)
\]

The quantities \( W \) is a band-limited random vector. The 4x4 "state-matrix" \( A \) in Equation (A1-a) represents only the dynamics of combustion chamber acoustics in modal representation, not including thermal excitations. Explicitly,

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_1 \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha T) & -\sin(\alpha T) \\ \sin(\alpha T) & \cos(\alpha T) \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_2 \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\alpha_1 T) & -\sin(\alpha_1 T) \\ \sin(\alpha_1 T) & \cos(\alpha_1 T) \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(A3)

with \( T = 0.0002 \) seconds, the sampling time; and,

\[ \omega_1 = 570 \frac{\pi}{T} \text{ radians/sec}; \quad \zeta_1 = 0.30; \quad \lambda_1 = \exp(-2\zeta_1 \omega_1 T) \]

\[ \omega_2 > 1000 \frac{\pi}{T} \text{ radians/sec}; \quad \zeta_2 = 0.03; \quad \lambda_2 = \exp(-2\zeta_2 \omega_2 T) \]

The effect of combustion heat fluctuations on these acoustic quadratures (at \( N \) steps ahead of \( n \)) is modeled as a certain vector-valued function \( Q \) of the earlier acoustic states denoted by \( S_{k+n} \) and the fuel modulation command \( u_n \) (with \( k \) being a fixed integer much smaller than \( N \)). This vector-valued function is assumed of the form:

\[ Q(S_{k+n}, u_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_1 & 0 & Q_2 \end{bmatrix}' \]

where \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) on fuel-flow variations and the acoustic states at time "\( N+n \)".

In Equation (A7), \( P_0 \) is a reference pressure comparable to the mean pressure drop across the injector. The parameters \( \{h_{ij}\}, b, \kappa, P_{\text{max}} \), and \( N \) are chosen to match the open-loop forced response characteristics of the combustion chamber pressure.

Since \( k \) (in Equations (A4) to (A7)) is small relative to \( N \), it can be set to zero, so that \( Q \) would be treated as a known input at time \( n \). But, the large time-index shift from \( S_{N+n} \) to the feedback \( y_n \) is approximated by a 5th-order Padé approximation. That is, \( y_n \) is viewed as the result of a 5th-order Padé-transform (for a time-delay \( \approx N \times T \), \( T = 0.0002 \) seconds) applied on the 2x1 vector \( M S_{N+n} \). Consequently, instead of Equation (A1-b) the following state-space relationship between of \( S_{k+n} \) and \( y_n \) should be used with (A1-a) to derive a Kalman "estimator" (predictor) for \( S_{N+n} \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{z}_{n+1} &= \mathbf{U} \mathbf{z}_n + \mathbf{F} \mathbf{M} S_{N+n} \\
\mathbf{y}_n &= E \mathbf{z}_n + D \mathbf{M} S_{N+n} + \mathbf{v}
\end{align*}
\]  
(A8)

where \( \mathbf{v} \) is a band-limited random vector; and \( \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{D} \) are the combined state matrices of the pair of Padé-transformations (with the respective dimensions \( 10 \times 10, 10 \times 2, 2 \times 10, \) and \( 2 \times 2 \)).
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