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ABSTRACT
Commercially available silicon carbide (SiC) Schottky diodes from different manufacturers were electrically tested and characterized at room temperature. Performed electrical tests include steady state forward and reverse I-V curves, as well as switching transient tests performed with the diodes operating in a hard switch dc to dc “buck” converter. The same tests were performed in current state of the art silicon (Si) and gallium arsenide (GaAs) Schottky and pn junction devices for evaluation and comparison purposes. The SiC devices tested have a voltage rating of 200, 300, and 600 V. The comparison parameters are forward voltage drop at rated current, reverse current at rated voltage and peak reverse recovery currents in the dc to dc converter. Test results show that steady state characteristics of the tested SiC devices are not superior to the best available Si Schottky and ultra fast pn junction devices. Transient tests reveal that the tested SiC Schottky devices exhibit superior transient behavior. This is more evident at the 300 and 600 V rating where SiC Schottky devices showed drastically lower reverse recovery currents than Si ultra fast pn diodes of similar rating.

INTRODUCTION
Silicon Carbide (SiC) is a semiconductor material with great potential to impact power electronics applications. Some of the advantages of SiC over other semiconductor materials include a thermal conductivity that is over three times the thermal conductivity of Silicon, an ability to operate at higher temperatures, and an electrical breakdown field that is ten times higher than silicon or gallium arsenide. Furthermore, SiC is a wide energy bandgap semiconductor. These materials are better suited for higher frequency operation and are also more resistant to radiation effects [1].

NASA Glenn Research center’s Electrical Systems Development Branch is working to demonstrate and test the advantages of SiC in actual power electronics applications. The first step in this pursuit was to obtain commercially available SiC Schottky diodes and to individually test them to compare them with current state of the art silicon Schottky and ultra fast p-n diodes of similar voltage and current ratings. This report presents the results of steady state and transient tests performed at NASA Glenn. Forward and reverse current-volt (I-V) plots were generated to compare performance and to measure the forward voltage drop at rated current and the reverse leakage current at rated voltage. In addition, the devices were individually connected as rectifiers in a Buck (step down) dc to dc converter to test their reverse recovery characteristics and compare their transient performance in a typical converter application.

PN DIODES BACKGROUND INFORMATION
The pn diode is formed when a semiconductor material is doped with donor ions in one side and with acceptor ions on the other [2]. These ions provide electrons in one side (n type) and holes in the other (p type). The interface between the two sides is known as the junction and in this region the electrons recombine with holes that move from the p side by diffusion. Also in this region, the holes recombine with electrons that move from the n side. This small recombination region is called the depletion region because it has no mobile charges. The ions in this depletion region create an electrostatic potential variation that creates a potential energy barrier that prevents the further flow of holes into the n side and the further flow of electrons into the p side. The p side corresponds to the anode and the n side is the cathode.

A positive voltage applied from anode to cathode will allow holes to move into the n side and electrons to move into the p side. The total forward bias current is the combination of both holes and electrons currents (combination of both majority and minority carriers). Electrons in the p region and holes in the n regions are called minority carriers. When a negative voltage is applied from anode to cathode (reverse bias), the holes in the p region and electrons in the n region will move...
away from the junction, preventing the flow of current. In steady state, only a small reverse saturation current will flow due to the presence of a small number of minority carriers that will flow across the junction with the reverse voltage.

When a pn diode is forward biased and carrying forward current, if the bias voltage is reversed abruptly, such as the voltage transitions in switching dc-to-dc converters, the diode will exhibit a transient behavior. Since the diode is carrying full forward current when forward biased, at the time of voltage reversal, there are a large number of minority carriers that will flow across the junction in the reverse direction. This is called reverse recovery current. During this reverse recovery time, the reverse current will be limited only by the external resistance of the circuit. When most of the minority carriers have been removed the diode can then block the full reverse voltage and the reverse current will decrease to the small reverse saturation value.

**SCHOTTKY DIODES BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Schottky diodes are similar to pn diodes but instead of having a junction between an n material and a p material the junction occurs at the interface between a lightly doped n type semiconductor and a metal [2]. The difference in the carrier concentration of the two materials creates the potential barrier in the junction. Because both the semiconductor and the metal are n type, the current conduction involves only majority carriers (electrons) without carrier injection, storage or recombination. This is because the electrons from the n type semiconductor enter the metal side, where there are plenty of electrons, and they become part of the metal, therefore there is no storage of charge in the junction. Since there is no minority carrier conduction in a Schottky diode, it does not have a reverse recovery characteristic. That is, the storage time is almost zero and the reverse recovery time involves only the transition time because the recovery time depends only on their parasitic capacitance and not on the recombination of minority carriers. This makes the Schottky diodes perfectly suited for high frequency switching applications. Their reverse recovery behavior can also eliminate the need for snubbers, an attractive feature for low parasitic switching losses.

The steady state forward and reverse voltage and current characteristics (IV curves) of the Schottky are similar to those of the pn diode. But the forward voltage at which the Schottky starts to conduct (cut-in voltage) is lower than the one for the pn diode (approximately 0.3 V for the Schottky versus 0.7 V for the PN). Another difference is that the reverse saturation current for the Schottky is larger than the reverse saturation current for the pn diode. These two differences are due to the large number of electrons in the metal. With more carriers conduction can start at lower forward voltages and also with more carriers available the reverse current becomes larger.

In general, to eliminate this Schottky barrier when a metal lead is connected to a semiconductor, a region of heavily doped silicon (n type) is inserted between the metal lead and the lightly doped semiconductor. This reduces the difference in carrier concentrations between the metal and the semiconductor. This metal-semiconductor contact is known as an ohmic contact.

**SiC POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

Current applications of available silicon and gallium arsenide Schottky diodes are limited to reverse blocking voltages of 250 Vdc. This is due to Schottky diodes higher reverse leakage current when compared with pn diodes. Also, silicon Schottky rectifiers have a maximum rated junction temperature in the range of 125 to 175 ºC, while the pn diodes typical maximum temperature is 200 ºC [3]. This makes the Schottky diode reverse leakage current even higher at higher temperatures, to the point where the reverse losses can be as large as the forward losses.

SiC allows the production of Schottky diodes rated at 300 and 600 V mainly because low leakage currents are possible because the metal semiconductor barrier is two times higher than silicon, and also because the higher temperatures do not cause the SiC leakage current to increase at a steep rate. Another important benefit of SiC is its high thermal conductivity which allows higher current densities, smaller die sizes, smaller packages, and smaller heat sink requirements. This thermal conductivity is comparable with the thermal conductivity of copper [4]. In addition, SiC behavior at higher frequencies allows the use of higher switching frequencies which further reduces the size of filter passive components such as inductors and capacitors. All of these potential benefits are directly related to NASA’s goal of reducing the size and weight, as well as, increasing the power density and reliability of power distribution systems. However, SiC power switches such as MOSFETs or SITs (Static Induction Transistors or vertical channel JFETS) are not yet commercially available due mainly to a wide range of defects in SiC crystals such as micropipes and screw dislocations [5]. Therefore, more research and development is needed before power electronics applications can exploit the full potential of the material.
**TEST RESULTS**

**STEADY STATE TEST RESULTS**

Forward and reverse current-voltage (IV) curves were generated using the Tektronix 370A and a 371A programmable curve tracer. All tests were conducted at room temperature. The SiC Schottky diodes used for these tests are typical of what is commercially available at the present time. Table 1 contains the SiC diodes tested. Several devices were tested for each part number, depending on availability. For example, for the UPSC200, 42 devices were tested. For the UPSC603, 4 devices were tested, and for both parts from Infineon 10 devices were tested.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td>UPSC200</td>
<td>200V</td>
<td>1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td>UPSC603</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>4A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infineon</td>
<td>SDT10S30</td>
<td>300V</td>
<td>10A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infineon</td>
<td>SDT06S60</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>6A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Commercially available SiC Schottky diodes Tested

Current state of the art silicon Schottky and ultra fast p-n diodes of similar voltage and current ratings were also tested to compare performance between the new SiC Schottky diodes and the best available silicon devices in the market. Tables 2 thru Table 5 offer the comparison information on forward voltage drop at rated current and reverse current at rated reverse voltage. This information is important because of the difference in current and voltage rating among all the tested devices.

Figure 1 and Figure 3 show the Forward I-V (FIV) curves of the diodes with voltage ratings between 100-200 V and current ratings between 1 and 35 Amps. The curves show that the lowest forward drop at rated current was obtained for the Fairchild SB5100 100 V, 5A silicon Schottky with a 0.67 Vdc drop at 5 amps. As expected, the lower voltage Schottky devices exhibit a lower forward voltage drop. At the 150 V rating level the best forward drop performer was the International Rectifier 150V, 5 A (IR) silicon Schottky 10CTQ150 with a forward drop of 0.73 V at 5 A. At the 200 V level the Microsemi ultra fast p-n diode UF4003 rated at 200 V 1A displayed a forward drop of 0.85 V at 1A. Both Figure 1 and Figure 3 show that the SiC 200 V, 1A Schottky from Microsemi UPSC 200 has the largest forward voltage drop of the two groups with 1.44 V at 1 Amp.

Figure 1. Forward Characteristics Comparison of Diodes rated 100–200V and 1-35A

Figure 2. Reverse Characteristics Comparison of Diodes rated 100–200V and 1-35A
Figures 2 and Figure 4 show the Reverse IV (RIV) curves for the above group of diodes. These curves show that most of the diodes in this group have reverse leakage currents that are lower than 2 microamps at reverse voltages lower than their rating, and then the reverse current increases drastically at voltages higher than the rated voltage. An interesting fact from these curves is that the Microsemi SiC 200 V, 1A Schottky diode appears to have an actual voltage rating of 600 V instead of the specified 200 V. This can help explain the fact that this device has the largest forward drop of the two groups with rating 100 to 200 V. In general, the higher the voltage rating of a device, the higher will be the forward voltage drop that the device will exhibit.

![FIV Comparison #2 (150-200V, 1-35A)](image)

Figure 3. Forward Characteristics Comparison of Diodes rated 150–200 V and 1-35A

Figure 5 contains the forward IV curves of the diodes with voltage ratings of 30 V and current ratings of 10A. In this group the IXYS DSEP 803A silicon pn fast recovery diode exhibits a forward voltage drop of 1.33 V at 10 Amps while the Infineon SDT10S30 SiC Schottky has a higher forward voltage drop with 1.46 V at 10 amps. On the other side, Figure 6 displays the reverse I-V characteristics for both of these devices. The IXYS device has a reverse leakage current that is smaller than 2 microamps up to a reverse voltage higher than 400 V. The Infineon SiC device shows a reverse current of approximately 4.8 microamps at rated 300 V. The tested steady performance of the silicon pn device was superior to the performance of the SiC device in both forward and reverse direction.

![RIV Comparison #2 (150-200V, 1-35A)](image)

Figure 4. Reverse Characteristics Comparison of Diodes rated 150–200 V and 1-35A

![RIV Comparison #2 (150-200V, 1-35A)](image)

Figure 7 shows the forward I-V curves of the devices with voltage rating of 600 V and current ratings between 4 and 9 Amps. The curves show that the lowest forward drop at rated current was obtained for the Microsemi 1N6628 600 V, 4A silicon ultra fast pn diode with a 1.02 Vdc drop at 4 amps. A similar performance was obtained for the IXYS DSEI8-06A silicon ultra fast 600 V, 8A pn with a forward voltage drop of 1.16 V at 8 amps. The next device in forward performance was the Infineon SDT06S60 a SiC Schottky rated at 600 V 6A. The forward drop if this device was 1.48 V at 6 amps.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Forward V at Rated I</th>
<th>Reverse I at rated V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schottky</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td>UPSC200</td>
<td>200V</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>1.44 V @ 1A</td>
<td>0.12 µA @ 200V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schottky</td>
<td>GaAs</td>
<td>Motorola</td>
<td>MGR1018</td>
<td>180V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td>1.29 V @ 10A</td>
<td>130 µA @ 180V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schottky</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>10CTQ150</td>
<td>150V</td>
<td>5A</td>
<td>0.73 V @ 5A</td>
<td>1.2 µA @ 150V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td></td>
<td>UF4003</td>
<td>200V</td>
<td>1A</td>
<td>0.85 V @ 1A</td>
<td>0.1 µA @ 200V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td></td>
<td>70CRU02</td>
<td>200V</td>
<td>35A</td>
<td>1.02 V @ 35A</td>
<td>0.2 µA @ 200V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Steady State Comparison of Diodes rated 150–200V and 1-35A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Forward V at Rated I</th>
<th>Reverse I at rated V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schottky</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>Infineon</td>
<td>SDT10S30</td>
<td>300V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td>1.46 V @ 10A</td>
<td>4.8 µA @ 300V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>IXYS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSEP8-03A</td>
<td>300V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td>1.33 V @ 10A</td>
<td>1.0 µA @ 300V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Steady State Comparison of Diodes rated 300V and 10A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Forward V at Rated I</th>
<th>Reverse I at rated V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schottky</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>Infineon</td>
<td>SDT06S60</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>1.48 V @ 6A</td>
<td>47 µA @ 600V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schottky</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td>UPSC603</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>1.94 V @ 4A</td>
<td>6 µA @ 600V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td></td>
<td>1N6628</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>1.02 V @ 4A</td>
<td>0.08 µA @ 600V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>IXYS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSEP9-06CR</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>9A</td>
<td>3.23 V @ 9A</td>
<td>0.3 µA @ 600V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>IXYS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DSEP8-06A</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>8A</td>
<td>1.16 V @ 8A</td>
<td>2.2 µA @ 600V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-n Si</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td></td>
<td>HFA08TB60</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>8A</td>
<td>1.57 V @ 8A</td>
<td>0.2 µA @ 600V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Steady State Comparison of Diodes rated 600V and 4-9A

Figure 5. Forward Characteristics Comparison of Diodes rated 300 V and 10 A

In Figure 7 the Microsemi UPSC 603 SiC 600 V, 4A Schottky showed a forward voltage drop of 1.94 Vdc at its rated current of 4A. This is the largest forward voltage drop for the 600 V group with the exception of the IXYS DSEP9-06CR a 600 V, 9A a silicon ultra fast pn diode. This device has the fastest reverse recovery time of the 600 V diodes available in the market today (15 nanoseconds). This diode also has the highest forward voltage drop in the 600V group with 3.23V at 9 amps.

Figure 6. Reverse Characteristics Comparison of Diodes rated 300 V and 10 A

Figure 8 contains the reverse I-V curves for the 600 V devices tested. In general, all of the silicon pn devices have leakage currents that are smaller than 2 microamps at reverse voltages lower than 600 V. At reverse voltages higher than 600 V, the leakage currents
then increase at a steep rate. The Microsemi SiC UPSC603 (600 V, 4A, Schottky) exhibited a leakage current of 6 microamps at 600 V. Furthermore, the Infineon SiC SDT06S60 (600 V, 6A, Schottky) displayed a leakage current that was an order of magnitude higher than the rest of the devices in this group. The device tested leakage current was approximately 48 microamps at 600 V. This is the largest leakage current in the 600 V group.

**TRANSIENT TEST RESULTS**

To test the transient behavior of the selected diodes, the devices were individually connected to the buck converter circuit shown in Figure 9. The dc source voltage and the converter resistive load were set to different values in order to accommodate the different voltage and current ratings of the tested devices. The converter switching frequency was 40 kHz. The transient current and voltage waveforms of the device under test (DUT) were captured, and the reverse recovery current of devices with similar rating were compared.

The transient tests were performed in six test groups according to the current and voltage ratings of the devices under test. Devices in each comparison group were tested as rectifiers in the buck converter circuit on Figure 9 and operated under the same switching frequency, input voltage and load conditions.

Comparison 1 was performed by operating the converter with an Input voltage of 150 Vdc and a 50% duty cycle to produce an average output voltage of 78.2 V. A resistive load equal to 66.8 ohms provided an average current of approximately 1.1 amps. These test conditions allowed the comparison of devices rated at 200 V, 1 Amp. Table 6 shows the diodes tested in this group and the measured maximum reverse recovery current. The reverse recovery transient waveforms for these tests are included in Figure 10.
Figure 10 and Table 6 clearly demonstrate the advantage of the reverse recovery behavior of a Schottky diode over the pn diode of similar ratings. The peak reverse recovery current for the Microsemi UPSC 200 SiC Schottky is 1.26 Amps while the silicon pn diodes displayed a maximum reverse current of 3.22A and 3.48A respectively. This difference corresponds to significantly lower switching losses which allows the use of higher switching frequencies to obtain smaller and higher efficiency converters.

Comparison 2 was run with a converter input voltage of 125 Vdc, and a fixed duty cycle of 50% to provide an average output voltage of 65 Vdc. A resistive load of 60 ohms provides a current of approximately 1.1 Amps for this test. The objective of this comparison is to test similar ratings Schottky diodes made from different materials and test if silicon carbide provides an advantage over silicon or gallium arsenide. The voltage and current ratings in this section are not identical due to the low availability of silicon Schottky diodes rated at 200 V or higher. Table 7 contains the tested diodes for Comparison #2.

Comparison 3 results are displayed in Figure 12 and Table 8. These tests compare the UPSC 200 V SiC Schottky with silicon Schottky diodes of lower voltage rating, specifically 100V, and similar current rating. This test was run with a buck converter input voltage of 75 Vdc and a fixed duty cycle of 50% to produce an output voltage of approximately 38 volts. The selected resistive load of 40 ohms provides an average load of approximately 0.9 A. In this comparison group, the UPSC 200 V, 1A SiC Schottky diode displayed a maximum reverse current of 0.78 A while the
Microsemi SR1010 100 V, 1A Si Schottky showed a maximum reverse recovery current of 1.16 Amps.

Buck Converter Diode Reverse Recovery Current Transient Test Comparison #3: 75Vdc 0.9A

![Graph](image1)

**Figure 12. Buck Converter Reverse Recovery Transient Test waveforms: Comparison #3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>I pk rev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiC Schottky</td>
<td>Infineon</td>
<td>SDT10S30</td>
<td>300V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td>2.11A A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si pn</td>
<td>IXYS</td>
<td>DSEP 8-03A</td>
<td>300V</td>
<td>10A</td>
<td>10.36 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Reverse Recovery Transient Test Comparison #4

Comparison #4 is a test of two devices of identical current and voltage rating, a SiC 300 V, 10A Schottky diode by Infineon, and a 300 V, 10A Si pn diode by IXYS. This test was run with a buck converter input voltage of 250 Vdc with a fixed duty cycle of 50% to obtain an output voltage of approximately 128 Vdc. The resistive load of 20 ohms provided an average load of approximately 6.4A. Figure 13 and Table 9 contain the results of these tests. The Infineon SiC SDT10S30 Schottky showed a maximum reverse recovery current of 2.11 Amps while the IXYS DSEP 8-03A silicon ultra fast pn diode showed a maximum reverse current of 10.36 Amps. This drastic difference in transient behavior is mainly the difference between a pn diode and a Schottky diode. SiC allows the manufacture of Schottky diodes for voltages levels such as 300, 600, and 1200 V that are not available in Silicon. This provides to the power electronics designer the option of higher voltages Schottky power devices with superior transient recovery characteristics.

Comparison #5 tests the transient characteristics for two 600 V, 4A devices: the Microsemi UPSC 603 SiC Schottky, and the Microsemi 1N6628 pn ultra fast diode. For this test the buck converter input voltage was set to 400 Vdc with a fixed duty cycle of 75% (25% for the DUT) to obtain an average output voltage of approximately 311Vdc. A resistive load of 297 ohms provided and average load of approximately 1.05 amps. Results for these tests are shown in Figure 14 and Table 10. The UPSC 603 SiC Schottky displayed a maximum reverse recovery current of 1.89 Amps while the 1N6628 Si pn showed a maximum reverse recovery current of 4.19 Amps. Once again, this compares the performance of available silicon pn junction devices at the 600 V level with available SiC Schottky devices with the same voltage rating. As expected, the Schottky junction shows superior reverse recovery characteristics over the pn junction.

Buck Converter Diode Reverse Recovery Current Transient Test Comparison #5: 400Vdc 1A

![Graph](image2)

**Figure 13. Buck Converter Reverse Recovery Transient Test waveforms: Comparison #4**

Buck Converter Diode Reverse Recovery Current Transient Test Comparison #5: 400Vdc 1A

![Graph](image3)

**Figure 14. Buck Converter Reverse Recovery Transient Test waveforms: Comparison #5**
Table 10. Reverse Recovery Transient Test Comparison #5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>I pk rev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiC Schottky</td>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td>UPSC603</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>1.89A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si pn</td>
<td>Microsemi</td>
<td>1N6628</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>4A</td>
<td>4.19A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Reverse Recovery Transient Test Comparison #6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Vendor</th>
<th>Part #</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Current</th>
<th>I pk rev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiC Schottky</td>
<td>Infineon</td>
<td>SDT06S60</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>6A</td>
<td>2.25 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si pn</td>
<td>IXYS</td>
<td>DSEP9-06CR</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>9A</td>
<td>3.92A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si pn</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>HFA08TB60</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>8A</td>
<td>6.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si pn</td>
<td>IXYS</td>
<td>DESI 8-06</td>
<td>600V</td>
<td>8A</td>
<td>9.10 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15. Buck Converter Reverse Recovery Transient Test waveforms: Comparison #6

Comparison #6 considers several 600 V devices rated at higher currents (between 6 and 10 Amps). Some of the silicon devices in this group, like the ultra fast pn IXYS DSEP9-06CR (600V, 9A) and the IR HFA08TB60 (600 V, 8A), are among the fastest reverse recovery diodes available in the market for this voltage and current rating. The specified reverse recovery time (trr) for the DSEP9-06CR is 15 nanoseconds while the specified trr for the HFA08TB60 is 18 nanoseconds. The SiC Schottky used for this comparison tests was the Infineon SOT06S60, with a rating of 600 V and 6A. For this test the buck converter input voltage was set to 400 Vdc with a fixed duty cycle of 75% (25% for the DUT) to provide an output voltage of approximately 309 Vdc. The resistive load of 98.8 ohms provides an average load of approximately 3.1 amps. Results are shown in Figure 15 and Table 11. The SOT06S60 600 V, 6 amps SiC Schottky device has the lowest reverse recovery current of the group, with a maximum reverse current of 2.25 Amps. This device is followed in the group by the DSEP9-06CR 600 V, 9 Amps ultra fast pn with reverse current of 3.92 Amps. It is important to remember that although this diode’s transient behavior outperforms the rest of the pn junction devices in this comparison group, the DSEP-06CR is also the device with the highest forward voltage drop in the steady state forward characterization tests for the 600 V devices. Therefore, the power electronics designer is faced with a trade off between steady state losses and switching and reverse recovery losses. The other pn devices in this comparison group, the HFA08TB60 (600 V, 8A) and the DESI 8-06 (600 V, 8A), displayed peak reverse currents of 6.92A and 9.10A respectively. Silicon Carbide clearly shows superior reverse recovery transient performance for both the 600 V and the 300 V comparison groups.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the steady state characterization tests of the commercially available SiC Schottky diodes show that current state of the art silicon ultra fast pn diodes outperform the new breed of SiC high voltage Schottky devices. This is evident in the higher forward voltage drops measured in the SiC devices at the devices rated current, and also in the higher reverse leakage currents measured at the devices rated voltage. This implies that these devices are not suited for low power, low output voltage applications where efficiency is the main design driver. In addition, there are reliability concerns related to SiC Schottky diodes limited capability to withstand avalanche currents. However, SiC has made possible the fabrication of new high voltage 300, 600, and 1200V Schottky diodes that are not available in Silicon. The Schottky devices advantage over pn junction devices lies in their superior reverse recovery transient behavior. These devices substantially reduce the maximum reverse recovery current measured in power electronics switching applications such as the buck dc to dc converter tested a NASA Glenn Research Center. This superior transient behavior results in significant reductions in switching losses at high frequencies. The higher frequency operation has the potential for higher power density power electronics packaging. Furthermore, the advantages of SiC devices with respect to extreme high temperature operation and
radiation tolerance are benefits that directly address NASA’s needs for deep space power management and distribution systems.

The devices tested are the first generation of this new breed of commercial SiC devices. It is reasonable to expect that the devices performance will continue to improve as SiC fabrication and processing techniques continue to develop, advance, and mature. However, care must be taken before specifying these devices for actual applications because their steady state performance, at the present time, is not yet superior to available silicon devices.
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**ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)**

Commercially available silicon carbide (SiC) Schottky diodes from different manufacturers were electrically tested and characterized at room temperature. Performed electrical tests include steady state forward and reverse I-V curves, as well as switching transient tests performed with the diodes operating in a hard switch dc-to-dc “buck” converter. The same tests were performed in current state of the art silicon (Si) and gallium arsenide (GaAs) Schottky and pn junction devices for evaluation and comparison purposes. The SiC devices tested have a voltage rating of 200, 300, and 600 V. The comparison parameters are forward voltage drop at rated current, reverse current at rated voltage and peak reverse recovery currents in the dc to dc converter. Test results show that steady state characteristics of the tested SiC devices are not superior to the best available Si Schottky and ultra fast pn junction devices. Transient tests reveal that the tested SiC Schottky devices exhibit superior transient behavior. This is more evident at the 300 and 600 V rating where SiC Schottky devices showed drastically lower reverse recovery currents than Si ultra fast pn diodes of similar rating.