A balloon-borne telescope that is capable of imaging planets orbiting nearby stars requires that the flatness and tilt of the wavefront of the light entering that telescope meet certain stringent conditions. The atmosphere through which the light propagates distorts the wavefront due to turbulence in the atmosphere and due to the disturbances caused by the balloon itself. The magnitude of these effects may be estimated, but no direct measurements have been made at the level of precision necessary for designing a telescope as demanding as we envision. Therefore, under this grant we carried out a study of techniques that could be used to make an in situ measurement of the distortion of the optical wavefront.

The work was performed by Larry Petro, Holland Ford, and Steven Lee, who was an undergraduate supported by this grant. Two techniques were considered: interferometric measurement of the difference in optical phase between two points separated by 1 meter and differential motion of two images of a star that are formed by apertures separated by 0.4 m. We selected the second technique for detailed analysis and simulation because the equipment to make that measurement would be more readily available. The results of that study were presented to the Balloon Working Group on June 8, 2000. A copy of that presentation is attached to this report.

Mr. Lee wrote a computer program that simulates the measurement of the position of the image of a star as detected with a charge-coupled detector. With that program we established how the accuracy of a measurement depends upon the design parameters of the telescope and detector system. In particular this work suggests that an 0.4-m diameter telescope could use differential image motion of a star to measure wavefront distortion caused by turbulence of the strength predicted by models, or $r_0 = 200$ m.

Holland Ford presented an invited paper entitled, “Frontier Science from the Stratosphere,” at the COSPAR Meeting on Ballooning, July 16-23, 2000, in Warsaw, Poland.
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Development of a measurement concept

- Several approaches considered
- Developed single-star, differential image motion concept
- Technique employs a balloon-borne 0.4-m telescope
Atmospheric turbulence distorts wavefronts

- Tilt causes image motion
- Corrugation distorts images
- Tilt accounts for 85% of wavefront distortion variance

\[ \sigma^2 = 1.08 (D/r_0)^{5/3} \] (w/tilt)
\[ \sigma^2 = 0.14 (D/r_0)^{5/3} \] (w/o tilt)

Excellent correlation between image quality and seeing monitor image motion

Fig. 5. Comparison of seeing measurements. The 2.2 m data have been offset by +2" for clarity.
Differential image motion measurement

- Starlight follows 2 paths through atmosphere
- Each path independently samples atmospheric turbulence
- Optical wedge displaces images
- Relative motion of 2 images gauges strength of turbulence ($r_0$)
- Insensitive to motion of telescope
- Avoids need for 2nd star
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Anticipated environment

- Strength of turbulence (MIR scintillation, extrapolated models)
  - $r_0 \sim 10 - 200$ m
- Movement of turbulence over aperture
  - $v_{\text{wind}} \sim 1 - 10$ m/s
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Single-aperture image motion

- Kolmogorov turbulence
- Single aperture
  - Amplitude \( \sim 1 \) mas
  - Greenwood timescale, \( v_{wind}/r_0 \sim 1 - 20 \) sec
- Small correction for differential motion
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Differential image motion

- Correction to single aperture motion depends upon separation of the 2 apertures
- Very little reduction for separation greater than 3x aperture diameter
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Measurement error: analysis

- Conditions
  - photon noise
  - 3rd mag star
  - 1-sec exposures
- 2-mas error
  - 10-cm aperture
- >10,000 photons per pixel
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Measurement error: experience

- HST achieves 2 mas at 20\textsuperscript{th} - 24\textsuperscript{th} mag with WF/PC
- Location of WF/PC pixels calibratable in laboratory < 1 mas

\textit{(note: WF/PC pixels are 100 mas)}

L. Petro/H. Ford
Possible flight experiment

- Telescope
  - Two 10-cm apertures (1-arcsec FWHM images)
  - 30-cm baseline
  - 20-m effective focal length
- Detector
  - 512x512, 10-µm pixel CCD
  - 50x50 arcsec FOV, 0.1-arcsec pixels
  - 1-sec integration time
- Stellar targets
  - 25 3rd mag stars available per flight
- 2-layer pointing control
  - Body pointing ~1"
  - Fast steering mirror, 10 mas at detector
- 1 flight required to measure $r_0$
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Measurement concept

- Concept applied for 10 years at ground-based observatories
- Differential measurement of $r_0$ with 1 star
- A small, balloon-borne instrument
- Further study of concept
  - Analysis of MIR scintillation measurements
  - Achievable CCD measurement errors
  - Point design of FSM
  - Analysis of OTA flexure

Successful test flight would enable planet-seeking and diffraction-limited, wide-field telescopes
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ABSTRACT

The near-space environment of the stratosphere is far superior to terrestrial sites for optical and infrared observations. New balloon technologies will enable flights and safe recovery of 2-ton payloads at altitudes of 35 km for 100 days and longer. The combination of long flights and superb observing conditions make it possible to undertake science programs that otherwise could only be done from orbit. We propose to fly an "Ultra-Hubble" Stratospheric Telescope (UHST) equipped with a coronagraphic camera and active optics at 35 km to search for planets around 200 of the nearest stars. This ULDB mission will establish the frequency of solar-type planetary systems, and provide targets to search for earth-like planets.

The Stratosphere: A Superb Observing Site

At 35 km (115,000 ft) the stratosphere is cold (~ -50°C), very dry (< 0.3 μm precipitable water vapor), and rarified (~ 5 mbar pressure). Table 1 compares the atmospheric parameters at a good site like Mauna Kea with atmospheric conditions at an altitude of 35 km.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h (km)</th>
<th>P (mbars)</th>
<th>T (°K)</th>
<th>ρ (gm m⁻³)</th>
<th>H₂O Vapor (gm m⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.00011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Astronomers seek out high and dry mountaintops around the world as sites for observatories. If there were a mountaintop with conditions like the stratosphere, astronomers would want to build telescopes there. Fortunately, ULDBs will make the stratosphere accessible for large telescopes (but not astronomers!).

Fried’s Parameter at Mountaintop Observatories

Fried’s parameter r₀ (the characteristic distance across the wavefront for the phase to change by ~4/5π) is commonly used as measure of image quality. The 3.8-m Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT), which has a good thermal design and is at an excellent site, typically has an r₀ of 18 cm at 500 nm. The corresponding full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the point spread function (PSF) is 0.7". The field of view θ₀ for phase coherence is ~ 3", and the timescale τ₀ for phase coherence is ~ 3.6 msec. On exceedingly rare occasions r₀ might be as large as 36 cm, and the corresponding FWHM as small as 0.35". Because image degradation is caused by atmospheric turbulence that has a continuous spectrum of sizes for phase coherence, the 0.35" CFHT PSF is very inferior to a 0.35" PSF produced by a diffraction limited 0.33-m telescope. The small scale, turbulence-induced fluctuations in the index of refraction diffract light to large angles, producing a halo that extends to several arc seconds around the core of the image. The Strehl ratio, which is the ratio of the peak intensity in the observed image to the peak intensity in a perfect (i.e. diffraction limited) image, is ≤ 1% for the best CFHT optical images. Consequently, atmospheric seeing limits both the resolution and the contrast.

Fried’s Parameter at 35 km
Intuition suggests that the “atmospheric seeing” should be superb when there is almost no atmosphere above the telescope. This simple idea that “very high must be very good” is borne out by Ftaclas and Roggemann’s analysis (2000; hereafter FR00) of data derived from observations that were made from the MIR spacecraft. The observations were measurements of scintillation in stars that were being occulted by the Earth’s atmosphere. Grechko et al. (1997) used the scintillation measurements to derive the rms fluctuations in the temperature, $C_T^2$, between 30 km and 66 km. FR00 used a model atmosphere (MSIS, 1990; Hedin, 1991) and Eq. 1 to convert $C_T^2$ to the rms fluctuations in the index of refraction, $C_n^2$.

$$C_n^2 = \left(79 \times 10^{-6} \frac{P}{T^2}\right)^2 C_T^2 \quad (1)$$

The pressure $P$ and temperature $T$ in equation 1 are measured in mbars and degrees Kelvin; the units for $C_n^2$ are m$^{-23}$. Figures 1 and 2 (FR00) show the derived values of $C_T^2$ and $C_n^2$ for altitudes between 30 km and 66 km.

![Fig. 1. $C_T^2$ versus altitude (from FR00) derived from MIR scintillation observations.](image1)

![Fig. 2. $C_n^2$ versus altitude derived by FR00 from the data in Fig. 1.](image2)

The labels A37 and A38 refer to the two datasets in Grechko et al. (1997) that extended to altitudes of 66 km.
When the atmospheric turbulence follows a Kolmogorov spectrum, \( r_o \) in meters is given by Eq. 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_o &= 0.185 \left[ \frac{4\pi^2}{k^2 \sec \theta_o \sum_{m=1}^{M} C_{nm}^2 \Delta z_m} \right]^{3/5} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

In equation 2 the wave number is \( k = 2\pi/\lambda \), the wavelength \( \lambda \) is measured in meters, and the summation is over \( M \) layers of thickness \( \Delta z_m \), measured in meters. The structure constant \( C_n^2 \) in the \( m \)-th layer is \( C_{nm}^2 \). The angle between the zenith and the line-of-sight is \( \theta_o \). FR00’s integration of Eq. 2, using the data in Figure 2, gives \( r_o = 250 \) meters at 500 nm for a telescope at an altitude of 30 km! Table 2 compares \( r_o \) and the image quality for telescopes at an altitude of 4 km (Mauna Kea) and 35 km. Telescopes as large as a 10-m (or larger) will be diffraction limited at ULDB altitudes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Telescope/Aperture</th>
<th>( r_o ) (m)</th>
<th>FWHM (&quot;)</th>
<th>( \theta_o ) (&quot;)</th>
<th>( \tau_o ) (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mauna Kea</td>
<td>CFHT 3.8-m</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 km</td>
<td>2.4-m</td>
<td>~250</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>~600</td>
<td>~5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 km</td>
<td>10-m</td>
<td>~250</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>~600</td>
<td>~5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Advantages of ULDB Flights Relative to Satellites**

There are several advantages of flying optical telescopes on ULDBs instead of on satellite buses. Because the acceleration in a balloon launch is only a little more than 1-g, the payload does not need to be designed, engineered, and tested to withstand the accelerations, vibrations, and acoustical loads of a launch on a rocket or in the Space Shuttle, although recovery will impose significant accelerations. Consequently, the balloon payload can be much less expensive than an equivalent payload that would be put into orbit. Another advantage is that balloons are much less expensive than launch vehicles. The cost of putting a metric ton into low earth orbit (LEO) is \( \sim \$50M \) to \( \$60M \). The cost of a ULDB 100-day mission will be \( \sim \$3M \), which includes the balloon, shipping to Australia, launch, and 100 days of balloon operation (excluding operation of the science payload). Unlike satellites, ULDB balloon payloads can be recovered and reflown. Payload recovery will allow investigators to improve the performance of the gondola and telescope systems by correcting any problems that are found during the first flight. The performance of the telescope and its instruments can be “tweaked or tuned” based on performance the first or second flights. Finally, new technology can be incorporated as it becomes available.

For some missions such as a high resolution, high contrast optical telescope, the stratosphere will be a better site than low earth orbit. The approximately 12-hour long nights will provide a thermal environment that is much less variable than the thermal environment of a satellite that makes a day/night transition every 45 to 50 minutes. Unlike satellites in LEO, ULDB payloads will not be exposed to the damaging radiation of the South Atlantic Anomaly.

**Mission Overview: The Payload**

Before committing an expensive high-resolution telescope to a 100-day flight on an ultra long duration balloon flight, we first plan to fly a lightweight, 1-m pathfinder telescope on a 20-day flight that will make one circuit around the earth, returning to or near to the Australian launch site for payload recovery. The goal of the pathfinder is to validate critical telescope and gondola systems. These include: pointing accuracy and pointing stability, wavefront sensing and wavefront control, control of the telescope and balloon’s thermal environment, communication and payload control, and power generation and power storage.

The second step in the program will be flight of a 2-m to 3-m “Ultra-Hubble” Stratospheric Telescope equipped with a coronagraphic camera, a wavefront sensor, and active optics for wavefront control. We plan approximately five 100-day UHST flights from Australia. As already noted several flights will allow us to improve the performance of the telescope and gondola system by correcting problems found in the early flights. Stretching
the flights over several years has other advantages. Multiple flights will allow us to survey all of the nearest stars that are found in the southern sky.

Requirements for the Gondola (i.e. the Telescope Platform)

Table 3 below lists the most important requirements for the gondola.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The gondola must have an absolute pointing accuracy of a few arc-seconds rms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pointing stability must be ~ 1 arc-second rms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The power system must provide up to ~ 1000 watts average power for 14 hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste heat must be dissipated radiatively rather than convectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The communication system must provide an average downlink data rate of ~ 5K bytes/sec (ten 1K x 1K images/hr).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The balloon/gondola must provide safe and reliable recovery of expensive payloads.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last requirement in Table 1 is one of the most interesting and important when flying very expensive payloads. High quality-control when the balloon is manufactured will reduce the risk of unexpected flight termination due to a mechanical failure in the hull. As discussed in Knock et al.'s paper in this volume, new technology makes balloon navigation possible for the first time. A lightweight vertical “wing” suspended on a tether can be lowered up to 10 km below the balloon into winds that have a different velocity than the winds in which the balloon floats. A rudder at the end of the horizontal boom that supports the vertical wing and a counter-balancing “control pod” can fly the wing out to one side (or the other) of the balloon. The airfoil then exerts a force on the balloon, enabling it to be steered. By combining the balloon’s known track with predictions of high altitude winds, it will be possible to control the latitude of the balloon. Westward trajectories from Australia at approximately constant latitude will cross Madagascar, southern Africa, and South America at the latitudes of northern Argentina and Chile, and then return to mid-Australia. Such a trajectory minimizes the time the balloon spends flying over densely populated areas, and thus minimizes the probability of dropping the payload into a city. A constant latitude trajectory from Australia will keep the balloon over water 75% of the time.

Both NASA and the Defense Department have developed Parasails that support heavy loads. Using this technology on balloons should greatly improve the chances of successfully recovering the payload. By combining balloon navigation and parasail descents, a payload could be “flown” to a preselected recovery site such as an airport or a large field. In addition to increasing the chances for a successful payload recovery, a parasail would enable the payload to be flown away from a populated area in the event of a catastrophic failure of the balloon.

Because ULDBs will spend 75% of their flight time over water, it is highly desirable to develop a lightweight, watertight shell that can enclose and seal the payload if a landing in the water should happen.

Telescope/Coronagraph Requirements

The high level requirements for the telescope and coronagraph are summarized in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The telescope must be an off-axis paraboloid with a diameter between 2 and 3 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The telescope must have an image rotator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The telescope must provide an internal tip-tilt stabilization of ~0.003” rms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An apodized coronagraph and deformable mirror must provide sufficient contrast to detect Jupiter-like planets at star-planet separations ( R_{\text{star-planet}} \geq 0.5'' ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A nulling interferometer must provide sufficient contrast to detect known planets with ( R_{\text{star-planet}} \leq 0.1'' ).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detection of either Jupiter-like or Earth-like planets in the glare of the parent star puts stringent requirements on the image quality and image contrast provided by the telescope and coronagraph system. A Jupiter at distance of ~5 astronomical units (AU's), or an earth at 1 AU from its parent star will be ~10^{-4} times fainter than the parent star. Consequently, to detect the planet the surface brightness of diffracted and scattered light from the
parent star within an area comparable to the FWHM of the PSF must be $\leq 10^{-8}$ of the star's total light. This requirement drives most of the requirements for the telescope, coronagraph, and wavefront sensing and correcting system. Because the Airy profile scales as $\lambda/D$, relative short wavelengths and large apertures are desirable. Flying an off-axis paraboloid eliminates diffraction in the pupil plane from the secondary supports and the secondary mirror. Low amplitude, spatially coherent polishing errors on the primary or secondary mirrors, such as those in the primary mirror of the Hubble Space Telescope (Burrows, 1990), diffract light into the near field of the PSF (Brown and Burrows, 1990). Reducing the contrast by a factor of $10^{-8}$ requires that the rms errors in wavefront be corrected to $\sim 1/1000$. Either the primary mirror has to be polished to better than $1/1000$, or the wavefront sensor and wavefront correction system must be capable of correcting the atmosphere plus telescope wavefront errors to this accuracy.

Whatever the level of the wavefront correct, there always will be a residual diffraction pattern from frequencies that are too high to be corrected by the deformable mirror. The validity of a faint candidate planet will be determined by using an image rotator to rotate the image with respect to the detector. If the candidate moves with respect to the fixed diffraction residuals, the candidate is likely a real source, though not necessarily a planet. If the candidate is detected at a second epoch, and has very nearly the same (large) proper motion as the bright star, it will be a strong candidate for a planet.

Figure 3 shows a simulation of the contrast achievable with present generation deformable mirror and a 2.4-m mirror that has the same polishing errors as the Hubble primary mirror. A telescope performing like the one in Figure 3 would be able to detect the Jupiter-mass planet recently discovered orbiting the nearby star Epsilon Eridani.

![Average Azimuthal Profiles at 500 nm](image)
mirror like the one in the Hubble Space Telescope. The vertical dashed line on the right is the limit set by the density of actuators on the deformable mirror. The vertical dashed line on the left is the limit set by the radius of the coronagraphic field stop that was used in the simulations.
Searching Nearby Stars for Planets

High precision spectroscopic searches for planets have produced spectacular results (e.g. Marcy and Butler, 1998). At the time of this writing, there are 50 detections of extra-solar planets. Because of the technical difficulty of detecting the small reflex motion of a parent star around the star-planet barycenter, present searches are highly biased toward the discovery of massive planets \((0.5 \leq m \sin I \leq 7 \text{ M}_\text{Jup})\) close to the parent star \((R_{\text{star-planet}} \leq 2.1 \text{ AU})\). Unexpectedly, planets more massive than Jupiter have been discussed closer to their parent star than is Mercury to the Sun. Spectroscopic techniques are presently incapable of detecting earth-like planets at a star-planet separation of 1 AU, or Jupiter or Saturn-like planets at distances between 5 and 10 AU.

We propose to fly a 2-m to 3-m UHST on five 100-day ULDB flights from Australia. Our first goal is to observe the ~200 best candidate stars within ~25 pc of the Sun that are visible from the southern hemisphere, searching for planets that are similar to Jupiter and Saturn. We will design the entire system to be able to detect the technically-easiest planets that already have been discovered by high precision radial velocity measurements. We will observe each star at 2 epochs in order to determine whether or not faint candidates share the proper motion of the parent star.

Our long range goal is to use the experience gained from Artemis to design and fly a telescope (on a ULDB) that can both detect earth-like planets around nearby stars, and take spectra of the planets to look for the spectral signature of oxygen. Finding oxygen would be a certain indicator that life is present on the planet. We would concentrate our search for earths on any systems that have Jupiter or Saturn like planets at 5 to 10 AUs from the parent stars. That is, we would take a Galilean view, and assume that a star-planet system that is like our solar system in its outer parts is more likely to have an earth in its inner parts than the systems presently being discovered, which are very different than our solar system.

We conclude by noting that science always advances fastest when many different scientists attack a problem with different techniques and points of view. NASA has in place an expensive, long-range program aimed at finding earth-like planets. We think that a very modest investment in alternative approaches, such as UHSTs on ULDB flights, will bring results that could well help shape the direction of the more expensive, long range programs.
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