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Abstract—Cooperative behavior algorithms utilizing swarm intelligence are being developed for mobile sensor platforms to inspect jet engines on-wing. Experiments are planned in which several relatively simple autonomous platforms will work together in a coordinated fashion to carry out complex maintenance-type tasks within the constrained working environment modeled on the interior of a turbofan engine. The algorithms will emphasize distribution of the tasks among multiple units; they will be scalable and flexible so that units may be added in the future; and will be designed to operate on an individual unit level to produce the desired global effect. This proof of concept demonstration will validate the algorithms and provide justification for further miniaturization and specialization of the hardware toward the true application of on-wing in situ turbine engine maintenance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern jet engines undergo regular maintenance inspections for the purpose of detecting evidence of internal distress such as cracking or erosion. The inspection methods range from the minimally invasive borescopic to those requiring full teardown. Borescopic inspections, which consist of an interior visual inspection using a flexible tool inserted through a view port, are performed on-wing, resulting in little downtime. Full teardowns, on the other hand, result in much lost on-wing time and significant cost to the operator, but they do allow for more thorough inspections simply because the maintainer has better access to the internal components, and teardowns are often required for repair or replacement of worn or damaged parts.

It is highly desirable to reduce the requirement for teardowns, but at the same time it is unacceptable to compromise safety. If much of the inspection and repair that currently requires teardown could be performed on-wing, it would be a boon to the airline industry, from the point of view of both early detection of potential problems and lower maintenance costs.

Figure 1. Artist's conception of mobile maintenance platforms inspecting jet engine.
Work is ongoing at NASA Glenn Research Center and university partner California Institute of Technology to develop the underlying technology to enable the realization of such a system. It is envisioned that a collaborative swarm of these mobile agents will rove through an engine in a highly coordinated fashion, thoroughly searching engine surfaces to assess damage. Once damage is detected, the location and damage type information will be disseminated, possibly alerting the appropriate group of agents to converge and perform the necessary repairs.

Due to the tiny size of these agents, it is likely that they will only contain simple on-board intelligence. As a result, each individual will only be imbued with the ability to follow simple behavioral controls. However, it is envisioned that the combined interaction of the individual units will result in the emergence of a complex group behavior that assures a thorough and methodical search for damage.

The current work entails developing the technology to implement a small scale arena demonstration that tests the feasibility of a cooperative multi-agent inspection system. After providing some application background, this paper will discuss the two primary technology areas being developed in parallel: the hardware implementation of prototype mobile sensor platforms and the application of collaborative control algorithms. The hardware discussion will describe the development and evolution of the prototype mobile sensor platforms. The controls discussion will describe the application of Swarm Intelligence based algorithms to enable a group of mobile sensor platforms to accomplish coordinated movement, efficient searches and collaborative goal achievement within the demonstration environment. In addition, the demonstration tests that will be used to validate the swarm algorithms will be described (Figure 2).

### 2. APPLICATION BACKGROUND

The types of engine inspection that can be performed either visually or with specific sensors could be performed in situ, given the technology to deliver the test devices to the appropriate location within the engine. Mobile sensor platforms designed to move within the confines of the engine provide hope that someday full engine inspections will be able to be performed on-wing. The outcome of this program will be a proof of concept demonstration.

#### 2.1 NONDESTRUCTIVE TESTING METHODS

Commonly used nondestructive engine component testing methods [1] include: Visual/Borescopic; Eddy Current; Ultrasonic; liquid penetrant; and magnetic particle. Some other, less commonly used techniques include radiography; acoustic emission; thermography; holography; shearography; and tap testing. Of all of these techniques, only the visual inspection is currently performed on-wing except in very specialized cases, the rest are bench tests. There has been a preliminary effort to try to transition some other techniques to on-wing [2] for improved safety, but the problems remain concerning the way to get the test equipment into the confined space to perform the inspection.

Postage stamp size integrated sensor (or “lick and stick”) technology that may be attached directly to components to provide constant self-awareness of the engine’s health [3] is an alternative to the current system of after-the-fact inspection. Generally manufacturers are unwilling to add sensors to engines without very good reason because they are perceived as adding cost and complexity while reducing reliability. The mobile sensor platforms offer a link between the current system and the integrated sensor approach because they provide some of the same component health monitoring capabilities while overcoming many of the issues that create the reluctance to add sensors. They are temporary and removable so sensor failures do not result in down time of the engine, they are reusable so a different set is not needed for each engine, and they do not require the engine to be modified.

With the motivation to move as much testing as possible to the wing-mounted engine, mobile sensor platforms provide a way to deliver the sensors and perform tests like those currently performed in the shop. Thus some of the current bench test techniques can be directly duplicated by the mobile sensor platforms, while others might be replaced by an equivalent test using new technology.

#### 2.2 REPAIR METHODS

Some of the standard engine component repair methods include: boring, grinding, blending, welding, plating,
painting, coating, straightening, etc. [4]. The problems and logistics associated with making these repairs in situ are far greater than those that arise with the inspections (dust and residue generation, extreme heat, etc.), but it is still quite conceivable that some of the less harsh techniques might someday be developed to such a level that they are safe to perform within the engine.

3. MOBILE SENSOR PLATFORM DESCRIPTION

The mobile sensor platforms used here have developed from a general archetype, whose descendants have evolved and shrunk with each generation, but all contain the same characteristics of on-board power, communication capability, video output, and a sensor payload bay [5]. The original intention was to create a platform which could be sent into non-human safe/accessible areas and to transmit back video, sound and other sensed variables. As the incarnations progressed, the platforms shrunk yet gained communications capabilities. For example, the original platform received command and control and returned video. The latest platform can also return sensor data along with video and can network with other platforms. This being the case, the present effort has the volume in its sensor bay for a video camera and in its battery bay, a cell sufficient to power the camera and the motor drives. This configuration is considered to be the upper end of the sensor power and volume budget. Thus, any other sensor ensemble, for example microphones, electronic noses, pressure transducers, etc. can be expected to fall within the power budget of the platform and volume of the camera bay and so would require minimal if any modification. These units have been designed as general purpose vehicles, for such possible uses as planetary exploration and astronaut-to-equipment communication. They have not been optimized for the engine inspection application in terms of size-limiting components and as such are useful through the proof of concept phase but not beyond. Less reliance on commercial, off-the-shelf hardware and more custom design and machining of parts would allow for a more appropriate product for the application, but at the cost of significant time and money, which is only justified by the decision to move on to a real implementation.

As previously stated, the mobile sensor platforms carry their own power, but sometimes the application dictates that more power is required than a battery can supply, forcing the use of an external power source and a tether [6]. This situation might be exacerbated by the requirement for stronger motors to drag the trailing cable. The question of whether or not the mobile platforms should be tethered arises for two distinct reasons. Miniaturization is a requirement for movement within an engine. Blade spacing might be half an inch or less with the added complication of a camber (turn) to maneuver through. Clearances at the blade tips on the order of mils make an overhead examination impossible. Thus the length of the platform is limited if it is required to move between stages of the compressor or turbine (Figure 3). With current technology and the in-house design requirements, the platforms’ size is constrained by the size of the power supply or battery, which presently is an ICR2 photo cell (Figure 4). Thus, at least for the near future, an external power supply with a cable connection is required if the platform is to reach the necessary size (Figure 5).

The other requirement for tethering is potentially a regulatory one. Allowing foreign objects inside an engine poses a risk of damage. Parts and equipment inadvertently left in or near an engine have caused foreign object damage (FOD) [7,8]. Abandoned roving robots within turbomachinery could also generate FOD as they are sucked through the engine at startup, and might even cause it to seize. Foreign object damage caused by a single loose platform and the subsequent engine dismantling and repair could more than eradicate the savings generated by the mobile sensor platforms. Tethering would provide an indication that there are still platforms inside the engine as
well as how many, and would possibly provide a means to pull disabled platforms out, which could be accomplished using fishing line for the tether even with on-board power. It is likely that, for this application, adding a tether would mean adding an on-board reel to wind up the slack on exit as well.

4. SWARM ALGORITHMS

Swarm algorithms provide scalability of the control architecture, flexibility as units can be dynamically added or removed without explicit reorganization, and robustness through redundancy and simplicity of the units [9]. Thus they lend themselves very well to the jet engine inspection application because they support the validity of the small scale test bed demonstration with several similar mobile sensor platforms. The specific issues associated with the application—the constrained environment with little maneuvering room and less passing room, yet the required collaboration in inspection and possibly repair—make formation control [10] essential to the success of the task, which relies on getting the right capabilities to a certain location within the engine [11]. Some initial experiments will focus on issues that are functions of the test bed and ultimately the environment within an engine; the types of communication required to perform the collaborative tasks [12], and the types and locations of sensors required for such communication [13] (this is separate from the question of which sensors are required for the actual component inspection, which is not part of this portion of the research). Additionally, as the tasks become defined in terms of what the true inspection procedure and possible repair will entail, the experiments will be designed to investigate such problems as exploration strategies guaranteeing completeness of coverage [14], division of labor and allocation of workers [15], group size [16], and specialization of agents [17], which is expected to be particularly important as in situ component testing methods are developed.

The issue of tethering becomes very important in the second group of experiments. Tethers add a great deal of structure to the problem because they create constraints that limit the freedom of movement of the agents and require additional path planning [18]. This structure works against the spirit of the swarm intelligence, but provides an opportunity to develop the path planning aspect of the technology.

Another key issue is the need for an efficient representation of the engine surface. Since the agents will have limited memory capacity, it might be unrealistic for each to carry with itself a map of the complex geometry of the engine. Additionally, since the swarm algorithms require each agent to have only local awareness, requiring knowledge of the entire engine layout puts an unreasonable burden on the agents. However, the exit strategy, especially in the tethered case, will demand at least memory of the complete route taken to that point.

5. PLANNED TEST BED DEMONSTRATION

The goal of the project is to have several mobile platforms move around a 2-dimensional area that represents the inside of an engine (Figure 6). Issues related to how the mobile sensor platforms will move around the cylindrical surface of a real engine without falling off will not be addressed at this stage. Mobile sensor technology will not be addressed here either, even though that is the ultimate goal of the program. The purpose of the demonstration will be to evaluate the search and convergence algorithms as well as the communications protocol within the obstacle-filled arena. The test bed will be a scale model based on the ability to miniaturize the platforms, but by no means small enough to demonstrate truly engine-insertable rovers.

The test will consist of several phases: entry, search, and coverage; damage mapping, locating, and convergence; and exit. In all cases, the on-board constrained behavior logic will be simple, but the swarm as a whole will exhibit complex, dynamic behavior. The entry, search, and coverage phase will test how the mobile platforms enter the arena, how they distribute themselves, and how thoroughly they check the parts they are supposed to check, i.e., are some parts missed. The damage mapping, locating, and convergence phase will test the ability of the platforms to broadcast information that allows the visualization of the surface of the engine such that the position of any platform or damage location can be interpreted and the appropriate platforms can move to the correct spot for further inspection or maintenance. Finally, the exit phase will test the ability of the rovers to move out of the engine efficiently. How the
algorithms are developed and tested will depend significantly upon whether or not the platforms are tethered. Tethering adds multiple constraints to the problem: it limits the distance the platforms can move, it requires them to retrace their path to exit, and it requires each platform to be aware of the other platforms’ tethers to avoid tangling. The thickness of the tether might add another constraint if the platforms must cross tethers in an area with limited headroom (Figure 7). This will force the rovers to plan their initial paths such that other platforms have the ability to cross their tethers if necessary. This will become critical in the convergence phase if the destination point is in a more constrained area such as the front of the turbine where damage is most likely to occur.

6. SUMMARY

There are many practical considerations that go into the development of a roving maintenance system for jet engines, and many obstacles that still need to be overcome, but the payoff is huge, both in cost and safety. This project will determine the feasibility of delivering inspection and maintenance technology, through the development of path planning and coordinated group behavior algorithms, to the necessary locations within the engine and thereby potentially delay or eliminate some costly teardowns and rebuilds. Advanced mobile sensor technology and associated processing, and possible in situ maintenance technique development are not part of this project but are being carried on in parallel. A demonstration at the end of the project will address such issues as the coverage of the search area, the communication protocol and bandwidth requirements to broadcast pertinent information, and the ability for multiple, possibly tethered vehicles to converge to a point efficiently as they move between closely spaced obstacles.
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