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ABSTRACT

The Mars Exploration Rover (MER) mission will land two landers on the surface of Mars, arriving in January 2004. Both landers will deliver the rovers to the surface by decelerating with the aid of an aeroshell, a supersonic parachute, retro-rockets, and air bags for safely landing on the surface. The reconstruction of the MER descent trajectory and atmosphere profile will be performed for all the phases from hypersonic flight through landing. A description of multiple methodologies for the flight reconstruction is presented from simple parameter identification methods through a statistical Kalman filter approach.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Mars Exploration Rover (MER) mission will land two landers on the surface of Mars, arriving in January 2004. The two missions were launched on June 10th and July 29th of 2003. Each lander will carry a rover which will explore the surface of Mars making in-situ measurements. However, unlike the Mars Pathfinder mission’s Sojourner rover, these rovers are larger and more sophisticated, and will be able to cover greater distances. Both landers will deliver the rovers to the surface by decelerating with the aid of an aeroshell, a supersonic parachute, retro-rockets, and air bags for safely landing on the surface. References [1] and [2] provide a detailed description of the mission and the entry, descent, and landing scenario utilized by MER, respectively.

The two MER landers (A and B) will arrive at Mars on January 4th and 25th of 2004, respectively, and will enter Mars’ atmosphere directly from their interplanetary transfer trajectories. The MER EDL sequence is illustrated in Fig 1. Upon Mars arrival, the landers (spinning at 2 rpm) will be separated from their respective cruise stages 30 minutes prior to atmospheric entry (defined at an altitude of 125 km). Parachute deployment...
is determined by the on-board flight software based on vehicle deceleration measurements obtained from two Litton LN-200 Inertial Measurement Units (IMU); one mounted in the backshell is used in conjunction with another inside the rover. Deployment is nominally targeted to a dynamic pressure of 700 N/m² (occurring at approximately 244 s after entry interface) which corresponds to an altitude of ~9.5 km. The heatshield is jettisoned 20 s after parachute deployment. The lander descent along its bridle is initiated 10 s thereafter. At an altitude of 2.4 km above ground level (AGL), a radar altimeter acquires the ground. The radar altimeter, with its antenna mounted at one of the lower corners of the lander tetrahedron, provides distance measurements to the local surface for use by the on-board flight software to determine the solution time for firing the Rocket Assisted Deceleration (RAD) system (at ~120 m AGL). Airbag inflation occurs approximately 2 s prior to RAD firing. The objective of the RAD rockets is to zero the vertical velocity of the lander ~15 m above the ground. The bridle will then be cut, and the inflated airbag/lander configuration freefalls to the surface. Sufficient impulse remains in the retrorocket motors to carry the backshell and parachute to a safe distance away from the lander.

To tolerate the presence of high near surface winds and wind shears, an additional set of three steering rockets named Transverse Impulse Rocket Subsystem (TIRS) can be fired in any combination at the time of RAD firing. In addition, at approximately 2 km AGL, the Descent Image Motion Estimation Subsystem (DIMES) estimates the horizontal velocity of the vehicle with respect to the surface by taking three pictures (separated by about 5 s each). This horizontal velocity measurement, in conjunction with data taken by the backshell and rover IMUs are used by the on-flight software to determine if any TIRS rockets should be fired.

**2.0 RECONSTRUCTION APPROACH**

After landing of MER-A, reconstruction of the entry is desired, not only to assess the accuracy of the pre-entry predictions to the flight data (a worthy goal in itself), but more importantly to gain confidence in this prediction capability for the second landing of MER-B three weeks later. This understanding is crucial in order to develop confidence in the pre-entry prediction, and in any changes that may be proposed to assist the MER-B entry as a result of the knowledge that is ascertained from the reconstruction effort.

The reconstruction of the MER descent trajectory and atmosphere profile will be performed for all the phases highlighted in Fig. 1 (i.e., from hypersonic flight through landing). Multiple methodologies for the flight reconstruction will be applied from simple parameter identification methods through a statistical Kalman filter approach. Various reconstruction methods are employed in order to gain confidence in the overall reconstruction predictions along with error assessments. The methods are described in subsequent sections.

During descent, three-axis accelerometer and gyro data will be acquired from two Litton LN-200 IMUs (one inside the backshell and another inside the rover). During the parachute descent phase, a redundant altimeter data will supplement the accelerometer and gyro data. These data sets will be used in the reconstruction effort to determine key parameters of interest, such as, times and conditions at major descent events (e.g., parachute deployment, retrorocket firing, landing position, etc.). In addition, a complete time history of the position, velocity, and entry attitude will also be produced. Furthermore, the capsule aerodynamics and parachute loads will be determined for comparison to pre-entry predictions along with refinements in atmosphere model parameters.

**3.0 DETERMINISTIC ATTITUDE METHOD**

The approach that will be used for the MER attitude reconstruction from entry to parachute deployment will closely follow the attitude reconstruction effort employed on Mars Pathfinder (MPF) [3]. The effort of the MPF entry attitude reconstruction was to focus on calculating the total angle-of-attack history to validate the MPF aerodynamic database methodology. The MPF aerodynamic database was developed using only computational fluid dynamics (CFD) methods. The higher MPF entry velocity required the use of CFD to extend the database beyond the Viking experience. The CFD analysis indicated two bounded instabilities for the MPF conditions that were outside the Viking flight regime. Due to the reliance on CFD for MPF, and all follow-on spacecraft, it was important to reconstruct the entry attitude to see if the predicted instabilities were detected. The only MPF flight data that could be used for the reconstruction was three-axis accelerometer data (no IMU was flown on MPF). This limited data precluded the use of many reconstruction techniques.

In general, the correspondence of measured acceleration and predicted aerodynamic coefficients is complicated by the unknown freestream density. Velocity can be derived from integrated accelerations, but density was not directly measured during the MPF descent (as is the case for MER). This complicating factor can be
removed by examining the ratio of normal to axial forces \( (F_N \text{ and } F_A) \) and normal to axial aerodynamic coefficients \( (C_N \text{ and } C_A) \):

\[
\frac{C_N}{C_A} = \frac{F_N/qS}{F_A/qS} = \frac{mA_N}{mA_A} = \frac{A_N}{A_A}
\]

where \( q \) is dynamic pressure, \( m \) is mass, and \( S \) is reference area.

The predicted values of this normal to axial ratio for the MPF entry were calculated using the six-degree-of-freedom MPF simulation based on the Program to Optimize Simulated Trajectories (POST) \[4\] code with the aerodynamic coefficients derived from the CFD analysis. This simulation was initialized using the initial state vector determined four hours prior to entry interface. Fig. 2 shows the comparison of the total angle-of-attack \( (\alpha_T) \) from the pre-entry simulation and that reconstructed from the flight accelerometer data utilizing this reconstruction methodology. Comparison of the data shows very good agreement and confirm the existence of the two bounded instabilities predicted by the CFD analysis.

4.1 EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Typically, the motion of an entry vehicle during descent and landing is obtained by integrating a system of nonlinear first-order differential equations. These differential equations account for the vehicle’s motion through the atmosphere as it is attracted to the surface by the planet’s gravitational field. Symbolically, the equations can be expressed as:

\[
x(t) = f[x(t), t]
\]

where \( x(t) \) is a column vector of state variables which completely describe the velocity, position, and orientation of the vehicle at any given time, \( t \).

For illustration purposes, the state \( x(t) \), written as a partitioned column vector, may include the following variables:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
    \text{north inertial velocity wrt areographic frame} \\
    \text{east inertial velocity wrt areographic frame} \\
    \text{down inertial velocity wrt areographic frame} \\
    \text{radius to planet center} \\
    \text{declination} \\
    \text{longitude} \\
    \text{quaternion mag. wrt areographic frame} \\
    \text{quaternion comp. wrt areographic frame} \\
    \text{quaternion comp. wrt areographic frame} \\
    \text{quaternion comp. wrt areographic frame}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Ten variables are shown for completeness, but there are only 9 independent variables since the orientation parameters have the constraint:

\[
e_0^2 + e_1^2 + e_2^2 + e_3^2 = 1
\]

The corresponding nonlinear differential equations expressed in terms of the state are:

\[
x = [u, v, w, r, \phi, \theta, e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3]
\]

\[
x = \begin{bmatrix}
    u \\
    v \\
    w \\
    r \\
    \phi \\
    \theta \\
    e_0 \\
    e_1 \\
    e_2 \\
    e_3
\end{bmatrix} = f(x) = G_{\text{orb}} x 
\]

\[
G_{\text{orb}} = \begin{bmatrix}
    a_{10} & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\
    a_{20} & a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\
    a_{30} & a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\
    a_{40} & a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \\
    a_{50} & a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{54} \\
    a_{60} & a_{61} & a_{62} & a_{63} & a_{64} \\
    a_{70} & a_{71} & a_{72} & a_{73} & a_{74} \\
    a_{80} & a_{81} & a_{82} & a_{83} & a_{84} \\
    a_{90} & a_{91} & a_{92} & a_{93} & a_{94} \\
    a_{100} & a_{101} & a_{102} & a_{103} & a_{104}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Fig. 2. MPF Entry Attitude Reconstruction Comparison.

This method of reconstruction is very fast and can provide a good first-order reconstruction of the entry angle-of-attack and confirmation of the aerodynamic coefficients. This method was adapted for the reconstruction of 2001 Mars Odyssey Orbiter atmospheric passes during the aerobraking portion of the mission, and will be utilized for MER.

4.0 STATISTICAL METHODS

The following section describes multiple statistical approaches for performing flight reconstruction.
where $a_{xb}$, $a_{yb}$, $a_{zb}$ are the external accelerations (i.e., due to aerodynamic forces, thrust, etc.) in body frame coordinates and $P$, $Q$, $R$ are angular velocities in body coordinates, $G_{e2b}$ is the direction cosine matrix (DCM) for the coordinate transformation from areocentric to body axis coordinates, $\omega$ is the angular velocity (assumed constant) of the planet, and $J_2$ is the second zonal harmonic of the planet. The above set of differential equations is adequate to generate the trajectory provided that both body accelerations and angular rates are available. For MER, accelerometer and gyro data will be taken at 8 Hz during the entry, descent, and landing.

Note that,

$G_{c2b} = G_{d2b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

where $\phi_d$ is the areodetic latitude, and

$G_{d2b} = \begin{bmatrix} e_0^2 + e_1^2 - e_2^2 - e_3^2 & 2(e_1e_2 + e_2e_3) & 2(e_1e_3 - e_2e_2) \\ 2(e_1e_2 - e_2e_3) & e_0^2 - e_1^2 + e_2^2 - e_3^2 & 2(e_1e_3 + e_2e_2) \\ 2(e_1e_3 + e_2e_2) & 2(e_1e_2 - e_2e_3) & e_0^2 - e_1^2 - e_2^2 + e_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$

$G_{e2b}$ is the DCM from areocentric to body axis.

The trajectory generation process involves integrating Eq. (1), commonly referred to as the “equations-of-motion”, given a set of initial conditions (or “constants of the motion”) at some reference time $t_0$ (i.e., $x_0$). As an example of exercising this methodology, Figs. 3-5 show that the state variables of the hypersonic portion of the MER entry can be reproduced very accurately utilizing simulated accelerometer and gyro data obtained from a MER trajectory simulation.

The velocity state variables shown in Fig. 3 are given in a local-horizontal, or areocentric frame. The position state, shown in Fig. 4, display the corresponding areocentric position variables.

The roll rate of the MER vehicle of 12 deg/s during entry is readily seen in the top graph on Fig. 5.
4.2 MEASUREMENT EQUATIONS

Given \( x_o \), then at any given time, a set of measurements can be calculated as a function of the state variables written symbolically as;

\[
z(t) = g[x(t),t]
\]

For illustrative purposes, assume body axes accelerations are to be obtained during entry and descent to the surface, then the measurements equations in terms of the state variables are;

\[
Z_i = \begin{bmatrix}
a_{xc} \\
a_{yc} \\
a_{zc}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\rho S_{ref} \\
\frac{2m}{V_A} \cdot \frac{V_A}{G_{zc}}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
-C_A \\
C_Y \\
-C_N
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where, \( C_A \), \( C_Y \), and \( C_N \) are the vehicle’s body axes aerodynamic coefficients, \( S_{ref} \) is the corresponding reference area, \( m \) is the mass (assumed constant), \( \rho \) is the atmospheric density, and \( V_A \) is the vehicle’s relative velocity vector which can be expressed in terms of state variables as,

\[
V_A = \begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v - \rho \cos \phi \\
w
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Simply stated, the trajectory reconstruction problem is the inverse operation of the trajectory generation process just described. That is, given measurements \( z_i \), find the initial conditions \( x_o \) using Eqs. (1) and (2). Ideally, for the state variables shown, only 10 data points are needed to determine the 10 constants of the motion required to completely define the system. Realistically, however, more than 10 measurements are usually available, and each measurement is accompanied with noise (and sometime a bias). To take both of these facts into account requires some sort of a “regression” analysis. Classically, several well-developed schemes have been successfully applied to resolve the problem of handling multiple measurements in the presence of measurement uncertainty, such as, Least-Squares, Weighted Least-Squares, Batch (or Recursive) Least-Squares, Linear Kalman Filter, Extended Kalman Filter, to name a few of the most applicable data processing schemes. Each of these regression or data processing schemes are statistical in nature and evolve from optimizing (minimizing or maximizing) some payoff function typically composed of the measurement residuals (observed minus calculated measurements). For MER, several of these filtering schemes will be applied in the trajectory reconstruction process for determining the constants of the motion. A brief review of the candidate trajectory processing schemes is given in the subsequent sections.

4.3 LEAST-SQUARES PROCESS

The simplest measurement processing approach is the Least-Squares. Under certain conditions this processing scheme can provide an adequate solution to the trajectory reconstruction process. An outline of the development process is as follows.

The system dynamics equations [Eq. (1)] are linearized by truncating a Taylor series expansion about some reference trajectory, \( x_{ref} \) that is,

\[
\delta x(t) = \frac{df}{dx} \delta x = F(x) \delta x
\]

where \( \delta x = (x - x_{ref}) \) and \( F(x) \) is the matrix of partial derivatives of the state derivatives, or equations-of-motion (also called the “Jacobian” matrix). A solution to Eq. (4) is

\[
\delta x(t) = \Phi(t,t_o) \delta x_o
\]

where \( \Phi(t,t_o) \) [called the “state transition” matrix for obvious reasons] is obtained by integrating,

\[
\Phi(t,t_o) = e^{\int_{t_o}^{t} F(t) dt}
\]

starting with an initial condition, \( \Phi(t_o,t_o) = I \), the identity matrix. Linearizing the measurement Eq. (2) yields the measurement residuals,
and minimizing the sum of the squares of the residuals provides an update to the reference trajectory constants of the motion \( \delta x \), as,

\[
\delta x = z_1 - z_{\text{ref}} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \delta x = G\delta x
\]

where the column vector \( \Lambda = G(t)\Phi(t, t_0) \). Adding \( \delta x \) to \( x_{\text{ref}} \) provides an update to the initial reference trajectory. An iterative process can be developed (using root-mean-square, or other convergence criteria) to take into account linearity assumptions. Note that the statistical errors of the motion constants are readily available through the covariance matrix,

\[
P = \text{cov}(x) = (\Lambda^T \Lambda)^{-1}
\]

Using this approach, the data weights are all assumed to be equal to one.

### 4.4 WEIGHTED LEAST-SQUARES

The Least-Squares process (filter) can be readily modified to account for different measurement types with their associated “weights”, or factors of importance in obtaining a solution. This mechanism allows for giving more importance to certain select measurements. This is accomplished by introducing a weighing matrix \( W \) that contains on the diagonal the reciprocal of the squares of the measurement standard deviations. That is, for \( n \) measurement times,

\[
W = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma^{-2}(t_1) & 0 \\
0 & \sigma^{-2}(t_2) \\
0 & \sigma^{-2}(t_n)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where, at each measurement time, if there are more than one type of measurement (e.g., acceleration, altimetry, radar, etc.), then each element of the matrix can be composed of several different weighing factors. That is, at the \( i \)th measurement, assuming there are \( m \) types of measurements, then

\[
\sigma^{-2}(t_i) = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_1^{-2}(t_i) & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_2^{-2}(t_i) \\
0 & \sigma_m^{-2}(t_i)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The “best” estimate, or the Weighted-Least-Square correction to the constant of the motion then becomes,

\[
\delta x = (\Lambda^T W \Lambda)^{-1} \Lambda^T W \delta z
\]

There are some well-known difficulties to using the Least-Squares approach to obtaining the trajectory solution. One immediate difficulty is that there is no arrangement for incorporating the initial state errors, if available. Interplanetary navigation state and their associated errors in the form of a covariance matrix are typically available at the entry interface. However, the standard Weighted-Least Squares formulation has no arrangement to include state errors before processing a separate set of data. This can be remedied by use of the modified form known as the Batch, or Recursive, or Sequential Least-Squares process discussed in the next section.

### 4.5 SEQUENTIAL-BATCH LEAST-SQUARES

Aside from allowing the navigation covariance to be incorporated in the entry, descent, and landing data analysis, the Sequential-Batch Least-Squares process has other additional features. One is that it is possible to keep the matrix size small by processing short batches of data, which is sometimes important, particularly when dealing with a non-linear problem, such as during entry. It also is convenient for processing additional data later using an earlier converged solution without reprocessing the earlier data.

The process can be stated as follows. After \( k \) data points have been processed and converged to a solution of the constants of the motion, \( x_{\text{ok}} \), then the best estimate correction to the state after \( n \) more data points is,

\[
\delta x = (\Lambda_n^T W_n \Lambda_n + P_k^{-1})^{-1}(\Lambda_n^T W_n \delta z_n + \Lambda_k^T W_k \delta z_k)
\]

where the corresponding covariance matrix is,

\[
P = (\Lambda_n^T W_n \Lambda_n + P_k^{-1})^{-1}
\]

and the subscript “\( n \)” is the evaluation of the variable using the newly acquired data.

Probably the most serious problem for reconstruction of an entry trajectory using the Least-Squares formulation is that if the system model has unknown deficiencies (e.g., incomplete atmospheric wind model) with respect to the generation of the measurements, then the converged solution will not reflect reality. This additional degree of freedom (sometimes referred to as
“process noise”) is incorporated in the Kalman filtering process, and is discussed in the next section.

### 4.6 EXTENDED KALMAN FILTER

The description of the motion of the entry vehicle during entry, descent, and landing (i.e., system model) described previously [Eq. (1)] is modified as follows,

\[ \dot{x} = f(x) + w, \]

where \( x \) is a column vector of the system state parameters that describe the system state at any given time \([i.e., x=x(t)]\) and \( f(x) \) are nonlinear functions of the state, and \( w \) is zero-mean, random process noise such that the process-noise matrix describing the random process of the real-world system model is given as,

\[ Q = E(ww^T) \]

where \( E \) is the expected value operator. The measurement equations to be used in the filter are also considered nonlinear functions of the state and the ith measurement can be expressed as:

\[ z_i = g(x_i) + v_i \]

where \( z \) is a column vector of \( n \) measurements with \( v \) as the zero-mean random measurement noise such that the measurement-noise matrix describing the random process of the measurements for the ith measurement is given as,

\[ R_i = E(v_i v_i^T) \]

That is, matrix \( R_i \) contains the variances representing each measurement noise source.

A first-order approximation is used for the systems dynamics matrix, \( F \) and the measurement matrix \( H \), namely,

\[ F = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x_i} \]
\[ H = \frac{\partial g(x)}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x_i} \]

The state transition (or fundamental) matrix needed for the discrete Riccati equations to follow can be obtained from a Taylor series expansion as follows;

\[ \Phi_i = e^{Ft_i} = I + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \frac{Ft_i^k}{k!} \]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix and \( t_i \) is the time of each measurement. For most applications the series is truncated to,

\[ \Phi_i = I + Ft_i \]

The transition matrix \( \Phi_i \) will be used to propagate errors and to calculate the Kalman gain for the Extended Kalman Filtering, but not in the propagation of the state.

The Riccati equations for the computation of the Kalman gains at each measurement are as follows:

\[ M_i = \Phi_i P_i \Phi_i' + Q_i \quad : \text{move errors to each measurement (with process-noise, } Q_i) \text{ starting with a given covariance matrix, } P_0 \]

\[ K_i = M_i H' (H M_i H' + R_i)^{-1} \quad : \text{calculate Kalman gain at each measurement (with measurement noise, } R_i) \]

\[ P_i = (I - K_i H) M_i \quad : \text{calculate errors after processing each measurement} \]

where \( P_i \) is the covariance matrix for the state estimates after a measurement update (\textit{a posteriori} errors), \( M_i \) is the covariance matrix for the state before an update (\textit{a priori} errors). These errors are calculated in the presence of unknown modeling errors (represented by the process-noise matrix, \( Q_i \)) and the random measurement errors or noise (represented by the matrix, \( R_i \)).

The new state estimate \( \hat{x}_i \) is the old state estimate projected forward to the measurement time, \( x_i \) plus the Kalman gain at that time multiplied by the measurement residual (observed-compute measurement). That is,

\[ \hat{x}_i = x_i + K_i [z_i - g(x_i)] \]

In the Extended Kalman Filter the propagation of the state to each measurement is done directly by integrating the nonlinear differential equations (as opposed to using the state transition matrix).
4.7 STATISTICAL RECONSTRUCTION PROCESS

The process for the reconstruction of the MER trajectories consists of a series of processing procedures. The strategy is to keep the procedures simple and flexible so that a state time-history can be generated with as few assumptions as possible. The method used for the MER mission will follow closely to the process that was used successfully on the Pathfinder program [5].

The first procedure consists of conditioning the dynamic data (accelerometer and gyro measurements) received after the flight. This involves such processing as ordering, correcting for off-center-of-mass motion, filling data gaps, deleting data that falls outside statistical bounds, and possibly data smoothing. This step is important to the development of the initial reference trajectory that will be generated by integrating the accelerometer and gyro data using, at first, the navigation estimates of the initial condition values and a form of the equations discussed previously. This step in the process provides a reconstructed trajectory that is independent of the atmosphere and the MER aerodynamics, although it does depend on the gravitational field. This process would complete the trajectory reconstruction if the initial conditions were known precisely. This is not likely to be the case (due to tracking errors). Thus, the altimeter and the landed position fix (if available) will be used to update the constants-of-the-motion.

The process for handling redundant data will involve both the sequential batch and the extended Kalman schemes (as verification) discussed previously. With the constants of the motion updated, the “reference trajectory” will be established allowing for estimates of the atmospheric state properties (free-stream density, pressure, and temperature) as an independent procedure. These will be obtained in a separate process using the well known hydrostatic and equation-of-state equations. This process does involve the MER aerodynamics database and will involve an iterative process. Unfortunately, reconstruction of the aerodynamic coefficients requires the knowledge of the atmosphere properties (e.g., density, Mach number), which will not be available.

Upon completion of this step, both an initial trajectory and atmosphere will be available for further refinements. These refinements may include solving for some of the measurement parameters (bias, scale factors, etc.), and accounting for the terrain, and adjusting for the winds (particularly during the parachute phase of flight). These further refinements will likely occur after both MER-A and MER-B trajectories have been established.

5.0 SUMMARY

The approach that will be utilized for the Mars Exploration Rover descent trajectory and atmosphere reconstruction from hypersonic flight through landing is described. Multiple methodologies for the flight reconstruction will be applied from simple parameter identification methods through a statistical Kalman filter approach. Various reconstruction methods are employed to develop confidence in the overall reconstruction predictions along with error assessment.

During the entry and descent, three-axis accelerometer and gyro data will be acquired, supplemented by altimeter data. These data sets will be used in the reconstruction effort to determine key parameters of interest, such as, times and conditions at major descent events (e.g., parachute deployment, retro-rocket firing, landing position, etc.). In addition, a complete time history of the position, velocity, and entry attitude will also be produced. Furthermore, the capsule aerodynamics and parachute loads will be determined for comparison to pre-entry predictions along with refinements in atmosphere model parameters.
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