Regularizing the r-mode problem for nonbarotropic relativistic stars
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We present results for r-modes of relativistic nonbarotropic stars. We show that the main differential equation, which is formally singular at lowest order in the slow-rotation expansion, can be regularized if one considers the initial value problem rather than the normal mode problem. However, a more physically motivated way to regularize the problem is to include higher order terms. This allows us to develop a practical approach for solving the problem and we provide results that support earlier conclusions obtained for uniform density stars. In particular, we show that there will exist a single r-mode for each permissible combination of $l$ and $m$. We discuss these results and provide some caveats regarding their usefulness for estimates of gravitational-radiation reaction timescales. The close connection between the seemingly singular relativistic r-mode problem and issues arising because of the presence of co-rotation points in differentially rotating stars is also clarified.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last few years the instability associated with the r-modes of a rotating neutron star has emerged as a plausible source for detectable gravitational waves [33]. This possibility has inspired a considerable amount of work on gravitational-wave driven instabilities in rotating stars and our understanding continues to be improved as many of the relevant issues are intensely scrutinized (see [2–7] for detailed reviews and important caveats on the subject). To date, most models for the unstable r-modes are based on Newtonian calculations and the effect of the instability on the spin rate of the star is estimated from post-Newtonian theory. This may seem peculiar given that the instability is a truly relativistic phenomenon (its driving mechanism is gravitational radiation reaction); but a complete relativistic calculation of the oscillation modes of a rapidly rotating stellar model (including the damping/growth rate due to gravitational-wave emission) is still outstanding, and the inertial modes of relativistic stars (of which the r-modes form a sub-class) have actually not been considered at all until very recently. In contrast, our understanding of rotating Newtonian stars has reached a relatively mature level and it is thus not surprising that most attempts to understand the r-mode instability and its potential astrophysical relevance have been in the context of Newtonian theory.

In [8], two of us discussed the rotationally restored (inertial) modes of a slowly rotating relativistic star in some detail. One of the main results of this work was that these modes have a fundamentally different character in barotropic versus nonbarotropic stellar models (as discussed below). A subsequent paper [9] presented detailed numerical results on these modes, including a fully relativistic calculation of their growth timescales. Controversy has arisen in the literature, however, regarding the existence and nature of the r-modes in nonbarotropic stars.

To lowest order in the slow rotation approximation, the r-modes of nonbarotropic stars are governed by an ordinary differential equation first derived by Kojima [10] (see below). Unfortunately, this equation turns out to be singular when the equilibrium star is described by many reasonable equations of state (for example, certain polytropes of realistic compactness). On this basis it has been argued [11–14] that the r-modes will not exist in stars for which Kojima's equation is singular. Our aim here is to argue for the opposite view: that regular r-mode solutions will indeed exist in such stars.

Singular normal mode equations are also encountered when one considers the oscillations of differentially rotating Newtonian stars. Although the normal mode solutions are indeed singular, the physical perturbation that one obtains by solving the initial value problem is non-singular [15, 16]. The singularity is an artifact of assuming a normal
mode time dependence. Kojima and Hosonuma [17] demonstrated this by considering the initial value problem for relativistic inertial modes of barotropic and nonbarotropic stars in the Cowling approximation. We will show that the same is true for nonbarotropic stars when one includes the metric perturbations, despite the fact that the character of the singular normal mode solutions is different to that of the solutions found in the Cowling approximation.

It is also plausible that the singular character of Kojima’s equation represents a breakdown in the slow-rotation approximation. We will argue that one may regularize the singular normal mode equation in a physically well-motivated way by including higher order terms in the approximation. This issue was addressed in some detail in an earlier preprint by two of us [1], and develops further the work of Kojima and Hosonuma [18].

Other methods of regularization that have been discussed in the literature include the effect of gravitational radiation reaction, and coupling to higher order multipoles. For the nonbarotropic problem, gravitational radiation reaction alone is not sufficient to regularize the singular solutions found at lowest order in the slow rotation expansion [12, 14]. Coupling to higher order multipoles, however, does regularize the nonbarotropic problem both in the Cowling approximation [19] and when one includes the metric perturbations [18].

Although in this paper we focus on the nonbarotropic problem, a brief note on the barotropic problem is in order. The barotropic normal mode problem is not singular at lowest order in the slow rotation expansion if one includes the metric perturbations [8, 9]. It is however singular if one makes the Cowling approximation [17, 20]. The Cowling approximation problem can be regularized by considering the initial value problem [17] or by coupling to higher order multipoles [20]. However, Lockitch, Andersson and Friedman [8] have shown that the Cowling approximation is not appropriate to describe the inertial modes of a barotropic star. The singular problem that arises in this case is thus an artifact associated with an unphysical assumption.

We should also clarify another point in the literature. In Ref. [20], Ruoff, Stavridis and Kokkotas study barotropic inertial modes in the Cowling approximation. They expand the eigenfunctions in terms of spherical harmonics, which leads to a set of coupled equations that they truncate at some value $l_{\text{max}}$ of the angular parameter $l$. For a given $l_{\text{max}}$, they find certain frequency bands for which the matrix problem cannot be inverted, and claim (correctly) that these frequency bands represent continuous spectra. When $l_{\text{max}}$ is increased, these continuous spectra are replaced by a discrete eigenfrequency solution at a frequency close to that previously occupied by the continuous spectrum. However, other continuous spectra now appear at different frequency bands. As $l_{\text{max}}$ increases these continuous spectrum bands grow in number and begin to span the full range of frequency space. The authors offer little explanation as to why this should be the case. Furthermore, in the belief that modes cannot exist within continuous spectra the authors claim that their multiplication may lead to mode disappearance. We will address the existence of modes within continuous spectra later in this paper, but we can also clarify their observations. The continuous spectra that appear for a given $l_{\text{max}}$ are the continuous spectra associated with the inertial modes of highest $l$. By including one more term in the coupling equations these solutions are regularized by coupling to higher order multipoles, hence the appearance of discrete mode frequencies. At the same time a new set of continuous spectra appear, associated with the unregularized inertial modes that have $l = l_{\text{max}}$. As $l$ increases there are more and more inertial modes [23], hence the apparent proliferation of continuous spectra. However, in the limit $l_{\text{max}} \to \infty$ the inertial mode problem will be regular, and the argument that the frequency band will eventually fill up with continuous spectra is spurious.

We begin by summarizing the differences between the rotationally restored modes of barotropic and nonbarotropic stars and discussing the ways in which the relativistic inertial mode problem differs from the Newtonian problem (primarily because of the dragging of inertial frames) [8]. The main differences are illustrated in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Nonbarotropic stars</th>
<th>Barotropic stars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newtonian Theory</td>
<td>infinite set [21] of r-modes for each $[l, m]$</td>
<td>a single r-mode for $l = m$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>infinite set of g-modes</td>
<td>infinite set of inertial modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Relativity</td>
<td>infinite set [21] of r-modes for each $[l, m]$</td>
<td>no pure r-modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>infinite set of g-modes</td>
<td>infinite set of inertial modes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>continuous spectrum?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I:** A comparison of inertial mode results in Newtonian gravity and General Relativity.

Let us discuss this information in some more detail. We focus our attention on the low-frequency part of the stellar spectrum (excluding, for example, pulsation modes associated with acoustic waves: the so-called p-modes). It is well established that if the star is stratified in some way, i.e., if the true equation of state depends on several parameters, there will exist a distinct set of modes whose dominant restoring force is gravity: the so-called g-modes. In stars lacking such a stratification, these modes are all trivial (degenerate at zero frequency). Following [8], we use the terms “barotropic” and “nonbarotropic” to distinguish these two classes of stellar model, with “barotropic” denoting a star for which the true equation of state describing both the background star and its perturbations is a prescribed one-parameter function $p = p(c)$. The main sources of stratification in neutron stars are entropy or chemical composition.
gradients, with the latter being the most important for all but very hot (newly born) stars.

Perturbations of a spherical star can be decomposed into two classes depending on their angular behaviour (essentially how the perturbed velocity transforms under parity, see [8]). Following the standard relativistic terminology we will refer to perturbations that transform under parity like the scalar spherical harmonic \( Y_l^m \) as "axial", while referring to those that transform opposite to \( Y_l^m \) as "polar". The g-modes are of the polar variety, while the r-modes are axial. In a non-rotating Newtonian star the axial modes (r-modes) are all trivial; lacking a restoring force, they are degenerate at zero frequency. The g-modes, on the other hand, are trivial only in non-rotating barotropes. That is, they are degenerate at zero frequency only when their restoring force (buoyancy) vanishes.

This classification of modes applies also to rotating stars, with the parity class of a mode being determined by its spherical limit along a sequence of rotating models [22]. Rotation imparts a finite frequency to the zero-frequency perturbations of spherical stars — their degeneracy is broken by the Coriolis force. Because these modes are rotationally restored their frequencies are proportional to the star’s angular velocity \( \Omega \). Clearly, these modes will have a dramatically different character depending on the nature of the zero-frequency subspace of the non-rotating star. For nonbarotropic stars this subspace is spanned by the (axial) r-modes alone and so the rotationally restored modes all have axial parity. (That is, the modes will become purely axial in the spherical limit.) In barotropic stars, on the other hand, the space of zero frequency modes of the spherical model includes the (polar) g-modes in addition to the (axial) r-modes. When rotation breaks the degeneracy of this larger zero-frequency subspace it results in modes that are generically mixtures of axial and polar components (at the same order in \( \Omega \)). Hence, the generic rotationally restored mode of a barotropic star is a r/g-hybrid whose spherical limit is not a pure axial perturbation, but a mixture of axial and polar perturbations [23-25]. In fluid mechanics, the rotationally restored modes are generally referred to as inertial modes [24, 26, 27]. In order to distinguish between the two classes of inertial modes we refer to modes which become purely axial in the spherical limit as r-modes, while modes that limit to a mixed parity state are called inertial modes.

In a nonbarotropic Newtonian star there is an infinite set of r-modes for each permissible combination of \( l \) and \( m \). At leading order in the slow-rotation expansion (order \( \Omega \)) these modes are degenerate at a finite frequency. This degeneracy is broken by order \( \Omega^2 \) terms. In addition, there is a distinct infinite set of g-modes already in the non-rotating star. In a barotropic Newtonian star one does not generically find distinct r- and g-modes, but finds instead an infinite set of inertial modes. Interestingly, Newtonian barotropes do retain a vestigial set of pure axial modes, a single r-mode for each \( l = m \). But clearly such a limited set of r-modes will be insufficient to describe the dynamical evolution of an arbitrary initial perturbation with axial parity. The required "missing" modes must be found among the hybrids [23].

Before proceeding it is worth pointing out that the low-frequency modes of a rapidly spinning neutron star may be similar to those of a barotropic model even though one would expect a realistic model to be stratified due to (say) composition gradients. If the Coriolis force dominates the buoyancy force, one would expect the low-frequency mode spectrum to be made up of inertial modes [24]. Given that the g-modes of a "typical" neutron star model have frequencies below a few hundred Hz, it seems plausible that the low-frequency modes of millisecond pulsars will, in fact, be inertial modes.

One would not expect the above results to change much when the problem is considered within the framework of general relativity. However, there are important differences that one must consider. First, the vestigial set of \( l = m \) r-modes that one finds in a Newtonian barotrope do not exist in a relativistic barotropic star [8].

Instead, all the inertial modes of such stars must have a hybrid character. This is particularly important because it is the \( l = m = 2 \) r-mode that is most likely to dominate the gravitational-wave driven instability. Second, it is possible to find r-modes of a relativistic nonbarotropic star working only to order \( \Omega \) in a slow-rotation expansion. As already mentioned, an order \( \Omega^2 \) calculation is required to find the r-mode eigenfunctions in the Newtonian case. However, in the relativistic case the degeneracy is partially split at order \( \Omega \), allowing one to compute the leading part of the mode eigenfunction. Thus, for barotropic stars in general relativity there exist no pure r- or g-modes, but an infinite set of inertial modes; while for nonbarotropic stars there exist distinct sets of r-modes and g-modes for each combination of \( l \) and \( m \) and one can partially compute the r-modes to first order in a slow rotation expansion.

Finally, it has also been argued that relativistic stars exhibit a continuous spectrum of axial perturbations [10, 28]. This continuous spectrum is associated with the singularity that appears in Kojima’s equation. One of our main purposes in this paper is to explore the nature of the continuous spectrum and demonstrate that it is an artifact of the slow rotation approximation which may not be present in physical stars. We focus our attention on the relativistic problem for nonbarotropic stars (the barotropic case is comparatively well understood and has been discussed elsewhere [9]). Our analysis is intended to extend our results [8] for uniform density stars to more realistic equations of state. We therefore consider the differential equation (for a complete derivation, see [8])

\[
(\alpha - \tilde{\omega}) \left\{ e^{-\lambda} \frac{d}{dr} \left( e^{-\lambda} \frac{dh}{dr} \right) - \left[ \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{4M(r)}{r^3} + 8\pi(p + \epsilon) \right] h \right\} + 16\pi(p + \epsilon)ah = 0
\]
which determines the axial metric perturbations for a “pure” relativistic r-mode (h is directly related to $g_{txr}$). In the equation $\nu$ and $\lambda$ are coefficients of the unperturbed metric, and $\omega$ is defined in terms of the relativistic frame-dragging as

$$\omega = \frac{\Omega - \omega(r)}{\Omega}$$

where $\Omega$ is the (uniform) rotation rate of the star. Furthermore, we have assumed that (in the inertial frame) the mode depends on time as $\exp(i\omega t)$ and then introduced a convenient eigenvalue $\sigma$ as

$$\sigma = -m\Omega \left[ 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{l(l+1)} \right].$$

Using the fact that [8]

$$\frac{d}{dr} (\nu + \lambda) = 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(p + \epsilon)$$

we have

$$(\alpha - \tilde{\omega}) \left[ \frac{d^2 h}{dr^2} - 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(p + \epsilon) \frac{dh}{dr} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{4 M}{r^3} + 8\pi(p + \epsilon) \right] e^{2\lambda} h + 16\pi(p + \epsilon) \alpha e^{2\lambda} h = 0$$

The above equation was first derived by Kojima [10], and our previous analysis [8] shows that it can be used to determine r-modes of a nonbarotropic relativistic star. In order for the solution to satisfy the required regularity conditions at both the centre and at infinity, the eigenvalue $\sigma$ must be such that $\alpha - \omega$ vanishes at some point in the spacetime [8]. As long as $\alpha - \omega \neq 0$ inside the star, the problem is regular and one can readily solve it numerically. In our previous study we solved the problem for uniform density stars and found that the required eigenvalues were always such that the problem was non-singular [29]. There is of course no guarantee that the problem will remain regular for more realistic equations of state. Indeed, recent work by Kokkotas and Ruoff [11, 12] and Yoshida [13] (see also [14]) extends the analysis to more realistic equations of state, eg. polytropes, and shows that the desired eigenvalue is then not generally such that $\alpha > \omega \equiv \omega(R)$. In other words, one is (at least for some stellar parameters) forced to consider a singular eigenvalue problem. The main purpose of this paper is to discuss this problem in detail.

II. SINGULAR EIGENFUNCTIONS

Let us consider Eqn. (5) in the case when $\sigma$ is such that we have

$$\alpha - \omega(r_0) = 0$$

for $r_0 < R$, i.e. when the problem is singular at some point $r_0$ in the stellar fluid. Suppose we use a power series expansion to analyze the behaviour of the solutions to (5) in the vicinity of the singular point. Expanding in $x = r - r_0$, and assuming that all quantities that describe the unperturbed star are smooth, we use

$$\tilde{\omega} \approx \tilde{\omega}(r_0) + \tilde{\omega}_1 x + \tilde{\omega}_2 x^2 \ldots$$
$$p \approx p_0 + p_1 x \ldots$$
$$\epsilon \approx \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1 x \ldots$$

Next we introduce the Frobenius Ansatz

$$h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^{n+\beta}$$

in (5) and find that we must have either $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1$. This problem thus belongs to the class where the difference between the two values for $\beta$ is an integer and we would not expect the two power series solutions to be independent. Indeed, further scrutiny of the problem reveals that we can only find one regular power series solution to our problem. This leads to an approximate solution

$$h^{reg} \approx a_0 x \left[ 1 + a_1 x \right]$$
where
\[ a_1 = 2\pi(p_0 + \epsilon_0)e^{2\lambda_0} \left( r_0 + \frac{4\alpha}{\dot{\omega}_1} \right) \]

In order to arrive at a second, linearly independent, solution we resort to the standard method of variation of parameters. Given one solution \( h_1(r) \) to (5), a second solution can be obtained as
\[ h_2 = f(r)h_1 \]

Introducing this combination in (5) it is straightforward to show that we must have
\[ f''h_1 = \left[ 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(p + \epsilon)h_1 - 2h_1 \right] f' \]

(14)

(5)

In other words
\[ \frac{f''}{f'} = 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(p + \epsilon) - 2h_1 \]

which integrates to
\[ f' = \frac{D}{h_1} \exp \left[ \int 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(p + \epsilon)dr \right] \]

Unfortunately, in our case we only know \( h_1 \) in the vicinity of the point \( r_0 \). In order to proceed we therefore expand (16) in terms of \( x \) and then use \( h_1 = h^{\text{reg}} \). Then we need
\[ \exp \left[ \int 4\pi r e^{2\lambda}(p + \epsilon)dr \right] \approx 1 + E_1 x \]

where \( E_1 = 4\pi r_0 e^{2\lambda_0}(p_0 + \epsilon_0) \) and
\[ \left( \frac{a_0}{h^{\text{reg}}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{2a_1}{x} \]

Putting the various pieces together we have
\[ \frac{df}{dx} \approx C \left( \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{E_1 - 2a_1}{x} \right) \]

with \( C \) an arbitrary normalisation constant. Integration then yields (recalling that \( x \) can take on both positive and negative values)
\[ f \approx -C \left( \frac{1}{x} + (2a_1 - E_1) \ln |x| \right) \]

At the end of the day, we have arrived at a second solution to our problem (we discuss the consequence of taking \( \ln |x| \) rather than \( \ln x \) below). Near the point \( r_0 \) this solution can be written
\[ h^{\text{sing}} \approx b_0 \{ 1 + b_c x \ln |x| + a_1 x \}

where
\[ b_c = 2a_1 - E_1 = \frac{16\pi \alpha(p_0 + \epsilon_0)e^{2\lambda_0}}{\dot{\omega}_1} \]

(note that we need to keep the last term in (21) to work at an order that allows us to distinguish the leading order term of (11) from the corresponding term in the singular solution). From this expression it is clear that, while the function \( h^{\text{sing}} \) is regular at \( r = r_0 \) its derivative is singular at this point.

In addition to this, one can show that it is not possible to find an overall solution to the problem (that satisfies the required boundary conditions at the centre and surface of the star) if one assumes that \( h \propto h^{\text{reg}} \) in the vicinity of \( r_0 \). Given this result we would seem to have two options: One option is to conclude that we must have a singular metric/velocity perturbation, and since this would be unphysical we must rule out the associated solution. If we
take the implications of this to the extreme, it could imply that no relativistic r-modes can exist for certain stellar parameters [11, 13]. However, this conclusion is likely too extreme. It would be surprising if a small change in, say, the compactness of the star (the stiffness of the equation of state) could lead to such a drastic change in the star’s physics (the disappearance of its r-modes). An alternative (and perhaps more reasonable) option is to assume that the appearance of a singular eigenfunction signals a breakdown in our mathematical description of the problem rather than a radical change in the physics. Later in this paper we will show that the problem arises because of a breakdown in the slow rotation approximation. However, even in the slow rotation approximation, the physical perturbation is in fact completely regular; the presence of the singularity in Kojima’s equation is simply a consequence of the assumption of normal mode time dependence.

The normal mode equations for differentially rotating Newtonian stars exhibit mathematically identical singular behaviour for frequencies that lie within what we call the co-rotation band [15, 16]. The eigenfunctions associated with this frequency band have singular derivatives that possess in general both a logarithmic singularity and a finite step in the first derivative at the singular point (see equations (45) - (49) of [15] and the accompanying discussion). The additional degree of freedom associated with the finite step in the derivative permits the existence of a continuous spectrum of solutions within this frequency band. At certain frequencies, the finite step in the first derivative vanishes; these frequencies are referred to as zero-step solutions and they possess a special character (see below, and the discussion at the end of Section 6.2 of [15]).

The situation for Kojima’s equation is identical: in general the singular eigenfunctions possess both a logarithmic singularity and a finite step in the first derivative, leading to a continuous spectrum of singular solutions. Just as in the differential rotation problem, there are certain frequencies for which the finite step in the first derivative vanishes. It can be shown that taking the logarithm of |z| in the series expansions in Section II and demanding continuity of the function at the singular point is equivalent to the matching procedure used in Section 6.2 of [15] to pick out the zero-step solutions from within the continuous spectrum of the differential rotation problem. Thus by using ln |z| rather than ln x in the analysis above we are picking out the zero-step solutions from the continuous spectrum.

The physical perturbation, however, is determined by solution of the initial value problem rather than the normal mode problem. Analysis of the initial value problem for differentially rotating systems has shown that the physical perturbation associated with the continuous spectrum is not singular [16]. By conducting a similar analysis of the time-dependent form of Kojima’s equation, we have confirmed that the same is true for the relativistic r-modes. The singular solutions associated with the continuous spectrum are therefore physically relevant, and cannot be discounted.

With this in mind, let us review the key characteristics of the differential rotation continuous spectrum and ask whether similar characteristics are manifested in the relativistic problem. Firstly, the continuous spectrum was found to possess a position-dependent frequency component; such behaviour has been observed in numerical time evolutions of the relativistic problem [11]. Secondly, there were fixed frequency contributions from the endpoint frequencies of the continuous spectrum. Ruoff and Kokkotas [11] found such contributions in their simulations, but attributed them to the behaviour of the energy density at the surface of the star. We believe that they may instead be a hallmark of the continuous spectrum. The third characteristic of the continuous spectrum was a power law decay with time. In [11] there are two indications of this type of behaviour. The amplitudes of the endpoint frequencies were observed to die away as a power law. In addition, the authors noted that there appeared to be no contribution from the continuous spectrum at late times, suggesting again that it had died away.

Consideration of the initial value problem for differential rotation also indicated a special role for the zero-step solutions [16]. Again, the physical perturbations were found to be non-singular. For appropriate initial data the zero-step solutions were found to behave in much the same way as regular modes outside the co-rotation band, giving rise to a clear peak in the power spectrum at a fixed frequency and standing out from the rest of the continuous spectrum. The zero-step solutions behaved as modes within the continuous spectrum. Analysis of the time-dependent form of Kojima’s equation indicates that the same will be true for the zero-step solutions to the relativistic problem. These solutions are therefore physical. This contradicts statements in earlier works [11–14] that considered only the normal mode problem. The authors of these studies discounted these zero-step solutions within the continuous spectrum as being unphysical, and concluded that if r-modes entered the continuous spectrum they ceased to exist. In fact they do continue to exist as physically meaningful zero-step solutions, and should appear in time evolutions. For polytropic background models, Ruoff and Kokkotas [11] observe no contribution at the expected zero-step frequency when they initialise their simulations using arbitrary initial data. It would interesting to see whether these modes could be excited using initial data more closely matched to the zero-step eigenfunction; the zero-step oscillations observed in [16] were excited using initial data closely matched to the eigenfunction rather than arbitrary initial data. For more realistic equations of state, however, the time evolutions of [1] do show clear peaks at fixed frequencies within the continuous spectrum. This suggests the presence of zero-step solutions.

Before moving on we should make one comment on the nature of the continuous spectrum if one makes the Cowling approximation. In the Cowling approximation the continuous spectrum eigenfunctions for the velocity perturbations are delta functions [17]. Contrast this to the situation outlined above, where the velocity perturbations
are proportional to the derivative of the metric perturbation, with a logarithmic singularity and (in general) a finite step at the singular point. The physical perturbation in the Cowling approximation (found by considering the initial value problem) exhibits a position dependent frequency component but no power law time dependence, no endpoint frequency contributions, and no zero-step solutions. The nature of the problem is changed dramatically by working in the Cowling approximation.

We have argued above how the singular solutions of Kojima’s equation give rise to well-behaved physical perturbations when one considers the initial value problem. However, the main cause of confusion is a breakdown in the slow-rotation approximation. After all, Eq. (5) should really be written

$$\frac{d^2 h}{dr^2} - 4\pi re^{2\lambda}(p+\epsilon) \frac{dh}{dr} - \left[ \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{4M}{r^3} + 8\pi(p+\epsilon) \right] e^{2\lambda} h + 16\pi(p+\epsilon)ae^{2\lambda} h = O(\Omega^2)$$

(23)

From this we can immediately see that it is inconsistent to use the slow-rotation expansion when $\alpha - \tilde{\omega} \sim O(\Omega^2)$ or smaller. For the problem at hand this means that the assumptions used in the derivation of Eq. (5) are not consistent in the vicinity of $r_0$. Near this point we cannot discard the higher order terms while retaining the term proportional to $\alpha - \tilde{\omega}$ since the latter becomes arbitrarily small.

At first sight this may seem quite puzzling but similar situations are, in fact, common in problems involving fluid flows. In such problems, the singularity is usually regularized by introducing additional pieces of physics in a “boundary layer” near the point $r_0$. A typical example of this, that has already been discussed in the context of the r-mode instability, is provided by the existence of a viscous boundary layer at the core-crust interface in a relatively cold neutron star (see [3] for an extensive discussion). In that case the non-viscous Euler equations adequately describe the r-mode fluid motion well away from the crust boundary, while the viscous terms are crucial for an analysis of the region immediately below the crust. In our view, the relativistic r-mode problem leads to a similar situation: Well away from the point $r_0$, Eq. (5) leads to an accurate representation of the solution, but if we want to study the region near $r_0$ we need to include “higher order” terms in our analysis.

Unfortunately, this means that it becomes very difficult to find a complete solution to the problem. The order $\Omega^2$ perturbation equations for a relativistic star are rather complicated and have not yet been obtained completely. But for our present purposes, we can use partial results in this direction. Kojima and Hosonuma [18] have shown that the next order in the slow-rotation expansion brings in a fourth order radial derivative of $h$ in Eq. (5). Retaining only the principal part of the higher order problem we then find that (5) will be replaced by an equation of form

$$\tilde{\omega}^2 g(r)\alpha r^2 \frac{d^4 h}{dr^4} + (\alpha - \tilde{\omega}) \left\{ \frac{d^2 h}{dr^2} - 4\pi re^{2\lambda}(p+\epsilon) \frac{dh}{dr} - \left[ \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{4M}{r^3} + 8\pi(p+\epsilon) \right] e^{2\lambda} h \right\} + 16\pi(p+\epsilon)ae^{2\lambda} h = 0$$

(24)

where $g(r)$ contains information about the stellar background — in particular the stratification of the star. Most importantly $g(r_0) \neq 0$ and it is therefore clear that the problem is perfectly regular also near the point where $\alpha - \tilde{\omega} = 0$.

III. A SUITABLY SIMPLE TOY PROBLEM

Our main objective is to argue that one can in principle regularize the nonbarotropic r-mode problem. Ideally, we would like to find the mode-solutions without actually having to derive the relativistic perturbation equations to higher orders in the slow-rotation expansion. In other words, we are interested in a simple, practical approach to this kind of problem.

As was shown in the previous section, the relativistic r-mode problem has (essentially) the following form

$$\Omega y''' + xy'' + By = 0$$

(25)

in the vicinity of the point $x = r - r_0 = 0$, where we use primes to indicate derivatives with respect to $x$. Both this toy problem, and the problem outlined below, retain the main character of Eq. (24) but are sufficiently simple that we can solve them analytically. From standard perturbation theory, we know that this class of problems can be approached via matched asymptotic expansions. Typically, the outcome is that the singular equation (the equation obtained by taking $\Omega \to 0$) leads to an accurate solution well away from $x = 0$, while the higher order term is required to regularize the solution near the origin. To illustrate this, and to motivate the method used to solve the r-mode problem in the next section, we consider the toy problem

$$\epsilon y''' + x^2 y'' - xy' + y = 0$$

(26)

where $\epsilon$ is small in some suitable sense.
Assuming a power series expansion in $\varepsilon$ we see that we first need to solve the singular equation,

$$x^2 y'' - xy' + y = 0.$$  \hfill (27)

The two solutions to this equation are $y_1 = x$ and $y_2 = x \ln |x|$. In other words, the solutions to our toy problem are similar to the two (local) solutions we found for the relativistic r-mode problem in Sect. II. Hence, a method for solving our toy problem should be equally valid for the r-mode problem.

Let us now suppose that we are interested in a global solution that satisfies boundary conditions $y(1) = 1$ and $y'(1) = 0$. Then we must have

$$y(x) = x - x \ln |x|$$  \hfill (28)

As was the case in Sect. II, this function is well behaved at the origin but its derivative diverges (cf. Fig. 1). Note that this solution also satisfies the boundary conditions $y(-1) = -1$ and $y'(-1) = 0$.

Let us now consider the full fourth order equation (26). It is straightforward to solve it using power series expansions. Inserting $y = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ in Eq. (26) we find the recursion relation

$$a_{n+4} = -\frac{(n-1)^2 a_n}{\varepsilon(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4)}$$  \hfill (29)

From this we see that we have four independent solutions. One of these, corresponding to $a_4 \neq 0$ truncates and leads to the solution $y = a_1 x$. As a result of the simple recursion relation, we can write the general solution to Eq. (26) in closed form:

$$y(x) = a_0 y_0(x) + a_1 y_1(x) + a_2 y_2(x) + a_3 y_3(x)$$  \hfill (30)

with

$$y_0(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i (4i+5)!!}{(4i)! \varepsilon^i} x^i$$  \hfill (31)

$$y_1(x) = x$$  \hfill (32)

$$y_2(x) = x^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i 2 \cdot (4i+3)!!}{(4i+2)! \varepsilon^i} x^i$$  \hfill (33)

$$y_3(x) = x^3 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i 6 \cdot (4i+2)!!}{(4i+3)! \varepsilon^i} x^i$$  \hfill (34)

where we have defined the symbol $k!! = k(k-4)!!$ with $k!! = 1$ for $k \leq 0$.

We now want to find the specific solution to the fourth order problem which satisfies the boundary conditions

$$y(-1) = -1$$  \hfill (35)

$$y(1) = 1$$  \hfill (36)

$$y'(-1) = 0$$  \hfill (37)

$$y'(1) = 0$$  \hfill (38)

so that it agrees with the second order (singular) solution at the boundaries. It is straightforward to show that the required solution is $a_0 = a_2 = 0$ and

$$a_1 = \frac{y_2'(1)}{y_2'(1) - y_3'(1)}$$  \hfill (39)

$$a_3 = \frac{-1}{y_2'(1) - y_3'(1)}$$  \hfill (40)

or

$$y(x) = \frac{x y_2'(1) - y_3'(x)}{y_2'(1) - y_3'(1)}$$  \hfill (41)

This solution is compared to the singular solution (28) in Fig. 1. From the data shown in the figure one can conclude that the solution to Eq. (26) is well-described by the singular result (28) as long as we stay away from the immediate vicinity of $x = 0$. 

FIG. 1: We compare the singular solution of our simple toy problem to the complete solution (for $\epsilon = 2 \times 10^{-4}$) of the higher order (non-singular) equation. While the two functions agree well over the entire range (left panel), the derivatives obviously differ near the singular point at the origin (right panel). The figures illustrate that the singular solution provides an acceptable approximation to the true solution well away from a region near the origin.

IV. THE R-MODES OF NONBAROTROPIC RELATIVISTIC STARS

The discussion in the previous two sections has crucial implications for our attempt to solve the relativistic $r$-mode problem for nonbarotropic stars. Clearly, we can use our two solutions to Eq. (5) to approximate the physical solution to the problem away from $r = r_0$ even though one of these expansions is technically singular at $r_0$. This provides us with the means to continue the numerical solution of Eq. (5) across $r = r_0$, even though we will not be able to infer the exact form of the solution in a thin [30] “boundary layer” near this point. Should we require this information we must carry the slow-rotation calculation to higher orders and solve a much more complicated problem.

We thus propose the following strategy for solving the relativistic $r$-mode problem in nonbarotropic stars: First integrate the regular solution from the origin up to $r = r_0 - \delta$, where $\delta$ is suitably small. Then use the numerical solution to fix the two constants $a_0$ and $b_0$ in the linear combination (cf. (11) and (21))

$$h = h^{\text{reg}} + h^{\text{ring}}$$

(42)

Finally, this approximate solution is used to re-initiate numerical integration at $r_0 + \delta$. This approach was first advocated by one of us in a set of circulated but unpublished notes [31], and the idea was resurrected by Ruoff and Kokkotas [11].

We have used the proposed strategy to calculate $r$-modes for a wide range of polytropic stellar models. Typical results are shown in Figure 2. (Shown also for comparison are the hybrid mode frequencies of fully relativistic barotropes [9]. The hybrids shown are those that limit to the $l = m = 2$ $r$-mode of the corresponding Newtonian barotropic model.) By comparing the obtained mode-eigenvalues $\alpha$ to the values for the relativistic framdragging at the centre and surface of the star ($\tilde{\omega}_c$ and $\tilde{\omega}_s$, respectively), one can see that the $r$-mode problem is always regular for uniform density stars. As the equation of state becomes softer ($n$ increases) the situation changes. For example, for $n = 1$ polytropes one must typically consider the singular problem in order to find the relativistic $r$-mode. This conclusion is in agreement with Kokkotas and Ruoff [11] as well as Yoshida [13]. It is worth emphasizing that the hybrid mode problem for barotropic stars is never singular [8, 9] unless one makes the Cowling approximation [17, 20], an approximation that is not in fact appropriate in the barotropic case.

Before discussing our results further we need to comment on a difference between our calculation and those in [11, 13]. In these papers the authors consider polytropic equations of state of the form

$$p = K \epsilon^{1 + 1/n}$$

(43)

with $p$ the pressure and $\epsilon$ the energy density. Meanwhile, we are using

$$p = K \rho_0^{1 + 1/n}, \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = \rho_0 + np$$

(44)

where $\rho_0$ is the rest-mass density, in order to stay in line with the analysis of the hybrid rotational modes of barotropic stars [9]. This means that our numerical results cannot be directly compared to those in [11]. In order to verify that
FIG. 2: The r-mode eigenfrequencies $\alpha$ of relativistic nonbarotropic stars for $n = 0$ (left panel) and $n = 1$ polytropes (right panel). Also shown are the corresponding values of the relativistic framedragging at the centre $\omega_c$ and surface $\omega_s$ of the star. Whenever $\omega_c < \alpha < \omega_s$, the problem is formally singular. As is clear from the data, the uniform density case ($n = 0$) is always regular while most of our $n = 1$ models are in the singular range. Also shown (as a dashed curve) are the eigenfrequencies for the axial-led hybrid mode of a barotropic star that most resembles the leading Newtonian r-mode. Note that the hybrid mode problem is never singular.

the results are consistent we have done some calculations using also (43). We then find that our results are in perfect agreement with those of Ruoff and Kokkotas.

Our calculations thus support the numerical results of the previous studies. It is clear that, for more realistic equations of state one must consider the singular r-mode problem. Where we differ from both Ruoff and Kokkotas [11] and Yoshida [13] is in the interpretation of the results in these cases. Yoshida only considers the regular problem, and tentatively argues that there may not exist any relativistic r-modes when the problem is singular. Similar conclusions are drawn by Ruoff and Kokkotas [11]. As we have already indicated, we disagree with these conclusions. Even in the slow rotation approximation the physical perturbations, obtained by solving the initial value problem, are non-singular. However, the root cause of the singular nature of the mode problem is a breakdown in the slow-rotation approximation. We believe that this problem would not arise if the calculation were taken to higher orders in $\Omega$ in the vicinity of the “singular” point (in analogy with boundary layer studies in problems involving viscous fluid flows). The physical problem is likely to be perfectly regular, but unless we extend the slow-rotation calculation to higher orders (or approach the problem in a way that avoids the slow-rotation expansion) we cannot solve the r-mode problem completely for nonbarotropic stars. However, we have shown how the r-mode eigenfrequencies can be estimated using only the solution to the singular mode problem, where they manifest themselves as zero-step solutions.

The case in favor of our approach has been argued (we believe convincingly) in the previous sections. In addition, we can provide one further piece of evidence. In our previous study [8], it was pointed out that there is a striking similarity between the eigenfunctions of modes in barotropic and nonbarotropic stars. For example, the metric variable $h(r)$ for an $l = m = 2$ r-mode of a nonbarotropic uniform density star was very similar to that of the axial-led hybrid mode corresponding to the Newtonian $l = m = 2$ r-mode. This is exactly what one would expect if the two represent a related physical mode-solution. We can now extend this comparison to the case of polytropic stars. The relevant data are shown in Figure 3. We believe these data provide further support for the relevance of our nonbarotropic relativistic r-mode results.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND CAVEATS

We have discussed the calculation of r-modes of relativistic nonbarotropic stars, shedding new light on a problem that has been associated with some confusion in the literature. We have shown how the seemingly singular problem can (in principle) be regularized, using standard ideas from boundary layer theory and viscous fluid flows, and how one can nonetheless estimate the eigenfrequencies of the desired r-modes from the singular mode problem. There are however issues that remain to be resolved, two of which merit particular comment.

Kojima’s equation admits a continuous spectrum of singular solutions whose collective physical perturbation is however non-singular. The time-dependence of the collective perturbation is complicated, but includes a position
FIG. 3: The eigenfunction for an r-mode of a relativistic nonbarotropic star is compared to the corresponding axial-led hybrid mode of a barotropic model. In each comparison, the equilibrium model is chosen to be the same: a relativistic polytrope of compactness $M/R = 0.2$ and polytropic index $n = 0.5$ (left panel) or $n = 1.0$ (right panel). The left panel shows a case in which the nonbarotropic mode is regular while the right panel shows a case in which the nonbarotropic mode is singular (the singular point is close to the surface at $r_0 = 0.913R$). The functions are all normalized so that $h(R) = 1$. dependent frequency contribution, and possible power law decay with time. At certain frequencies within the continuous spectrum one can find perturbations that behave like stable modes, whose physical manifestation is again non-singular (the zero-step solutions). We have argued in this paper that the underlying physical problem can however be regularized by considering higher order rotational corrections. The effect of such regularization on the continuous spectrum and zero-step solutions is as yet unclear. If the zero-step solutions become regular normal modes then this would be physically interesting. The fate of the rest of the continuous spectrum is unknown; it may remain, vanish, or break up into discrete normal modes.

The second issue is of relevance should we want to assess the astrophysical importance of the r-modes we have computed. In order to do this we need to estimate the timescale on which the mode grows due to gravitational wave emission [9, 32]. This calculation requires knowledge of the perturbed fluid velocity in order for the relevant canonical mode-energy to be evaluated. In the notation of [8], we need the variable $U(T)$. We know from Eq. (4.21) in [8] that

$$(\alpha - \tilde{\omega}) U = -ah$$

Clearly if we were to use our mode solution to Eq. (5), the corresponding result for $U$ would necessarily be singular, blowing up like $1/(\alpha - \tilde{\omega})$ at the singular point. In accordance with the arguments in Sections II and III above, it is easy to argue that the “physical” solution $U(T)$ will be smoothed out by including higher order terms near the singular point and thus be regular at all points inside the star. However, solving this higher order problem is difficult. We can in principle avoid having to solve the higher order problem by solving instead the time-dependent initial value problem for the physical velocity perturbation. The physical velocity perturbation, just like the metric perturbation, will be non-singular. Unfortunately solution of the initial value problem is very difficult if one does not have a full analytic solution for the singular mode problem (see [16] where the same issues are discussed for the differential rotation problem). This may well mean that we cannot meaningfully estimate the gravitational radiation reaction timescale for the singular nonbarotropic modes discussed in this paper.

Acknowledgments

KHL acknowledges with thanks the support provided by a Fortner Research Fellowship at the University of Illinois and by the Eberly research funds of the Pennsylvania State University. This research was also supported in part by NSF grant AST00-96399 at Illinois and NSF grant PHY00-90091 at Pennsylvania. NA is a Philip Leverhulme Prize fellow and also acknowledges support from the EU programme “Improving the Human Research Potential and the Socio-Economic Knowledge Base” (research training network contract HPRN-CT-2000-00137). ALW holds a National
Research Council Research Associateship Award at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center.

[1] K.H.Lockitch and N.Andersson, gr-qc/0106088
[21] It should be noted that in a Newtonian nonbarotropic star the r-mode eigenfunctions are fully computable only at order \( \Omega^2 \). In contrast, as discussed in this paper, the r-modes of a nonbarotropic relativistic star are partially computable already at order \( \Omega \).
[22] If a mode varies continuously along a sequence of equilibrium configurations that starts with a spherical star and continues along a path of increasing rotation, it is natural to call the mode axial if it is axial for the spherical star. Its parity cannot change along the sequence, but \( l \) is well-defined only for modes of the spherical configuration.
[29] Unfortunately, there is a systematic error in the values of \( \alpha \) presented in Table 1 of Ref. [8]. The terms \( (1 - 2M_0/R) \) and \( (1 - 2M_0/R(r/R)^2) \) were mistakenly interchanged in Eqs. (5.2), (5.4) and (5.7) which led to numerical values of \( \alpha \) that were too large by about 5%. Our post-Newtonian calculation was unaffected by this error and our conclusions remain unchanged. We thank Johannes Ruoff for bringing this to our attention.
[30] The thickness of this boundary layer depends on the exact nature of the higher order terms in the slow rotation expansion, only one of which is included in Eq. (24).
[33] This paper is a slightly revised version of a preprint by Lockitch and Andersson [1]. In particular, we incorporate recent improvements in our understanding of the nature of continuous spectra obtained from studies of differential rotation [15, 16].