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Abstract

The direct impact of the Mississippi River on the open Gulf of Mexico is typically considered to be limited due to the predominantly along-shore current pattern. Using satellite imagery, we analyzed chl $a$ distributions in the northern Gulf of Mexico before and after the passage of two storms: Hurricane Lili and Tropical Storm Barry. Our analyses indicate that storm-induced eddies can rapidly inject large volumes of nutrient-rich Mississippi River water to the open gulf, and lead to phytoplankton blooms. Although these events last only a few weeks, they transport significant amounts of fluvial substances to the ocean. These river-ocean interactions are especially significant in tropical and subtropical regions because receiving waters are typically permanently stratified and oligotrophic.
1. Introduction

The Mississippi River, the largest river in North America, drains more than 40% of the conterminous United States and stretches over 3000 km from Minnesota to southern Louisiana \cite{Milliman and Meade, 1983}. In comparison to seawater, river water contains orders of magnitude higher concentrations of dissolved organic carbon \cite{Guo et al., 1999}, nutrients \cite{Turner and Rabalais, 1994}, trace metals \cite{Shiller, 1997}, and suspended sediments \cite{Milliman and Meade, 1983}, and therefore could be a major source of material to the Gulf of Mexico. The northern Gulf of Mexico however, is characterized by a seasonally shifting eastwards or westwards along-shelf surface current \cite{Walker, 1996; Wiseman et al., 1997; Nowlin et al., 1998} which limits the exposure of the river plume to the open gulf and restricts river impacts to the continental shelf. Therefore, discharge from the Mississippi River plume is usually confined to coastal regions of the northern Gulf of Mexico \cite{Wiseman et al., 1997}. Effects of fluvial flux on dissolved organic carbon \cite{Guo, 1999}, nutrients \cite{Turner and Rabalais, 1994}, trace metals \cite{Shiller, 1997}, chl a \cite{Chen et al., 2000}, and primary productivity \cite{Chen et al., 2000} are typically detected only in near shore regions. The direct impact of the Mississippi River on the chemical mass balance and biological productivity of the open Gulf of Mexico has not been well documented.

In contrast to the highly productive river dominated shelf, the open Gulf of Mexico is permanently stratified, subtropical and oligotrophic sea. The general
circulation of the Gulf of Mexico is dominated by the Loop Current (Figure 1a) which enters the Gulf through the Yucatan Straits, loops clockwise through the southeastern Gulf, and exits through the Straits of Florida [Leipper, 1970]. The Loop Current evolves from the “young state” when it is hugging the northern coast of Cuba, to the “mature state” when it penetrates northward as seen in Figure 1a, and then shifts back to the “young state” by shedding off an anticyclonic eddy [Hetland et al., 1999]. Such eddies usually move west through the central Gulf of Mexico (Figure 1a). On average, 1.5 Hurricanes or tropical storms impact the gulf coast states annually [Neumann et al., 1987] but their effects on the river-ocean interaction and the Gulf of Mexico marine ecosystem are not well known. We analyzed satellite monitored patterns of sea surface chlorophyll \( a \), sea surface height (SSH) anomalies, and the surface currents deduced from SSH anomalies for the northern Gulf of Mexico during Hurricane Lili of 2002 and Tropical Storm Barry of 2001. Both storms led to significant changes in the patterns of these properties in the northern Gulf of Mexico, resulting in the injection of river water into the open Gulf of Mexico and a phytoplankton bloom in the open sea.

2. Data and Methods

SeaWiFS data were obtained from NASA’s Distributed Active Archive Center (DAAC). For this study, Local-area-coverage (LAC) level 1A (L1A) data with 1 km resolution were processed to level 2 using the SeaDAS software [Fu et al., 1998]. Sea surface \( chl \ a \) concentrations were calculated using the OC4 algorithm [O’Reilly, 1998]. Sea surface height (SSH) anomaly and surface current data were obtained from the
Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Research (CCAR) of the University of Colorado.
The data set was generated from model mean and altimeter measurements from the
TOPEX/Poseidon and ERS-2 satellites by CCAR (Le Traon and Morrow, 2001).

3. Results

Generally, the broad scale physical structure of the Gulf of Mexico was similar
before both storms. There were two major positive SSH anomalies, one at the center of
the Loop current in the southeast and the other in the west central Gulf Mexico (Figure
1a). These positive SSH anomalies were the centers of anticyclonic eddies characterized
by clockwise circulating currents. Impacts of SSH anomalies on the shelf were relatively
small and shelf currents flowed along-shore in the northern Gulf of Mexico [Walker,
1996; Wiseman et al., 1997; Nowlin et al., 1998]. The distribution of chl a before each
storm was similar to its annual mean which is characterized by a coastal maximum and
decreasing concentrations offshore (Figure 1b). This chl a pattern is consistent with
along-shore currents and the along-shore dispersion of fluvial nutrients [Walker, 1996;
Wiseman et al., 1997; Nowlin et al., 1998].

The passage of Tropical Storm Barry and Hurricane Lili significantly altered the
pattern of SSH anomalies in the northern Gulf of Mexico. This is reflected by the
generation of an eddy to the southwest of the Mississippi River delta. The eddy likely
resulted from interaction of the delta and a northward boundary current, as shown by
laboratory experiments [Cenedese and Whitehead, 2000] and numerically modeling [Zamudio et al., 2002]. Cenedese and Whitehead’s (2000) experiments also showed eddies would be generated southwest of the delta.

3.1 Tropical Storm Barry

Tropical Storm Barry originated in the eastern Gulf of Mexico on August 2, 2001, moved northwestwards for two days to a point ~ 200 km directly south of Alabama, turned northeastwards on August 5, and made landfall near Destin, Florida on the morning of August 6 (Figure 2a). The storm had maximum sustained winds of 110 km h$^{-1}$, caused a 1.5 to 4 m storm surge, and deposited 13 to 25 cm of rain in the coastal regions.

The interaction between the Mississippi River delta and the boundary currents induced by Tropical Storm Barry caused a positive SSH anomaly (90°W, 28°N) and consequently an eddy with clockwise circulating surface currents near the mouth of the Mississippi River (Figure 2b). As a result, shelf currents flowed southwards to the east and northwards to the west of the SSH anomaly, which led to the injection of Mississippi River plume water into the open gulf. This physical structure persisted for about two weeks (images not shown), resulting in the transport of significant amounts of fluvial nutrients and other dissolved and particulate materials to the open gulf.

This injection of plume water can be seen in the time series of satellite-derived chl $a$ (Figure 3). On August 9, three days after the storm made landfall east of the
Mississippi River delta, a “tongue” of high chl a appeared to the south of the delta. The next few days were too cloudy for the satellite sensor. By August 16, the chl a pattern indicated that the “tongue” had extended southeastward and made a further extension to the southwest. The “tongue” extended farther west by August 17, northwestward by August 18, and northward and eastward by August 19 to become a loop or ring of high chl a water surrounding a large central region of low chl a water. The ring was approximately 30 km wide and 300 km in circumference. The concentration of chl a was as high as 10 µg/l on the axis of the “tongue”. From August 21 onwards, chl a concentration in the southern half of the ring gradually diminished.

3.2 Hurricane Lili

The passage of Hurricane Lili also altered the pattern of SSH anomalies in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Hurricane Lili formed in the Caribbean in late September 2002. It took a northwestward course in the weeks that followed, strengthened to a Category 4 hurricane in the northern Gulf of Mexico, weakened near the Louisiana coast and made landfall near Vermilion Bay, Louisiana, on the morning of October 3, 2002 (Figure 2c). The hurricane had maximum sustained winds of 160 km h⁻¹, caused a 1.5 to 3 m storm surge, and deposited as much as 12 cm of rain in the coastal regions. The hurricane followed a similar course to that of tropical storm Isidore, which made landfall in southern Louisiana a week before.
The interaction between the Mississippi River delta and boundary currents induced by Hurricane Lili generated an elliptical positive SSH anomaly and an associated eddy with clockwise circulating surface currents near the mouth of the Mississippi River (Figure 2d). The shelf currents east of the SSH anomaly flowed southward and led to the injection of the Mississippi River plume water to the open gulf. This SSH anomaly also persisted for about two weeks (images not shown) and led to significant injection of fluvial nutrients and other dissolved and particulate materials to the open Gulf of Mexico.

The injection of the plume water by Hurricane Lili can be seen in the time series of $chl \ a$ images (Figure 4). On October 5, 2002, two days after the passage of Hurricane Lili, a slight southward injection of the Mississippi River plume was observed. On the following two days, the river plume moved slightly southward. Between October 8 and 15, conditions were too cloudy for the satellite sensor. By October 16, the river plume had penetrated south of 27°N. The river plume persisted in the region for the next two days and dispersed gradually thereafter.

4. Discussion

Mechanisms of eddy formation have been the focus of several recent laboratory and numerical experiments [Cenedese and Whitehead, 2000; Zamudio et al., 2002]. These experiments indicate that when a boundary current flows around a cape, even though the Coriolis effect is sufficient to generate an eddy, several additional conditions
are necessary for the eddy to detach from the cape and take a westward drift. These additional conditions include an appropriate water depth and a bottom slope greater than 0.25, conditions met by our study region. Therefore, eddies observed here are very likely generated from the interactions between storm induced boundary currents and the delta.

Although the two storms reported here made landfall from as far east as western Florida and as far west as western Louisiana, they both generated eddies near the Mississippi River delta. In a climatology study, each Gulf coast state was divided into western, central, and eastern regions from Texas to Florida [Muller and Stone, 2001]. On average, each region is impacted by a tropical storm or hurricane every three years [Muller and Stone, 2001] and it is likely that most of these storms would result in eddies similar to those reported here. Therefore coastal eddies of this type will occur more frequently than every three years, perhaps as often as annually.

The high concentrations of chl a in open ocean regions presented above result from the fertilization of seawater by fluvial nutrients and the consequent phytoplankton bloom. The river plume is characterized by high concentrations of dissolved nutrients, whereas gulf waters are permanently stratified and oligotrophic [Turner and Rabalais, 1994]. Reported phytoplankton carbon specific growth rates range from 0.8 to 2.3 d⁻¹ in the river plume [Redalje et al., 1992], at these rates, the biomass could double every 0.87 to 0.3 days, therefore the offshore ocean color features described here reflect production rather than transported biomass. Consequently, these storm induced injections of the river plume fertilize gulf water and stimulate biological production.
Oceanic eddies (cyclonic in northern hemisphere) can enhance vertical mixing, pump up nutrients [McGillicuddy Jr. et al., 1998; Oschlies and Garcon, 1998], and lead to phytoplankton blooms in various marine waters [Pegau et al., 2002; Seki et al., 2001; Signorini et al., 1999; Subrahmanyam et al., 2002; Toner et al., 2003]. Our data indicate that oceanic eddies can also entrain coastal waters thereby enhancing river-ocean interactions. While eddies presented here are anticyclonic and located to the west of the river mouth, cyclonic eddies located to the east of the river mouth could also inject plume water into the open gulf. The time scales of these suggest that fluvial impacts may be larger and extend further than previously thought. Furthermore, as hurricanes and tropical storms are not unique to the northern Gulf of Mexico, this process may also occur near other rivers.

In general, events like these have been excluded or poorly represented in studies of riverine impacts on the oceans [Liu et. al, 2000]. Sea surface temperature (SST) has been used to study river-ocean interactions but was restricted to the winter seasons when there is a significant temperature difference between fresh water and seawater [Walker et. al, 1996; Muller-Karger et al.1991]. With advances in remote sensing of SSH and chl a, it is now practical to monitor and characterize the impact of major storms and include them in flux calculations.

A systematic re-estimation of fluvial flux requires field studies of the injected plumes and is beyond the scope of the present paper. However, to demonstrate the
potential effects of the process described here, we estimated the DOC flux after Tropical Storm Barry. DOC is transported to the coastal ocean by the Mississippi River and is also generated by biogeochemical processes [Guo et al., 1999]. Assuming the DOC concentration was 250 µM in the plume water [Guo et al., 1999] and the depth of the mixed layer was 10 m [Chen et al., 2000], the river plume, which was ~30 km wide (Figure 3) and traveling at ~0.5 m s⁻¹ (Figure 2), might have transported ~5.44 x 10¹¹ g DOC to the open Gulf of Mexico in two weeks. This is more than one fourth of the annual DOC flux of ~2.1 x 10¹² g by the Mississippi River. We based this conclusion on an annual water discharge of 5.30 x 10¹¹ m³ yr⁻¹ [Milliman and Meade, 1983], and freshwater DOC concentration of 330 µM [Guo et al., 1999]. Liu et al (2000) noted that fluxes of carbon to the continental margin could not be balanced by sedimentation and observed offshore export processes, and speculated that the field observations may have missed important export mechanisms. The process presented here may be one of them.
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Figure Caption

Figure 1. A typical distribution of sea surface height (SSH) anomaly, surface current, and sea surface chl a before the passage of the Tropical Storm Barry or Hurricane Lili. The SSH and surface current for August 1, 2001 was shown (a). The sea surface chl a (μg/l) annual mean of between July 2001 to June 2002 was shown (b).

Figure 2. The path of Tropical Storm Barry (a) and Hurricane Lili (c), and a typical pattern of sea surface height anomalies after Barry (08-16-2001 was shown) (b) and Lili (10-08-2002 was shown) (d). The line represents the path, while the number shows the location of the eye on the date of the month (August 2001 for Barry and of October 2002 for Lili).

Figure 3. Evolution of sea surface chl a in the northern Gulf of Mexico after the passage of Tropical Storm Barry. Chl a concentrations (μg/l) were calculated from the SeaWiFS LAC data using the standard SeaDAS algorithm (OC4).

Figure 4. Evolution of sea surface chl a in the northern Gulf of Mexico after the passage of Hurricane Lili. Chl a concentrations (μg/l) were calculated from the SeaWiFS LAC data using the standard SeaDAS algorithm (OC4).
Figure a: Sea surface height (cm)

Figure b: log_{10} chla