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Abstract— Wireless mobile networks suffer connectivity loss when used in a terrain that has hills, and valleys when line of sight is interrupted or range is exceeded. To resolve this problem and achieve acceptable network performance, we have designed an adaptive, configurable, hybrid system to automatically route network packets along the best path between multiple geographically dispersed modules. This is very useful in planetary surface exploration, especially for ad-hoc mobile networks, where computational devices take an active part in creating a network infrastructure, and can actually be used to route data dynamically and even store data for later transmission between networks. Using inspiration from biological systems, this research proposes to use ant trail algorithms with multi-layered information maps (topographic maps, RF coverage maps) to determine the best route through ad-hoc networks at real time. The determination of best route is a complex one, and requires research into the appropriate metrics, best method to identify the best path, optimizing traffic capacity, network performance, reliability, processing capabilities and cost. Real ants are capable of finding the shortest path from their nest to a food source without visual sensing through the use of pheromones. They are also able to adapt to changes in the environment using subtle clues. To use ant trail algorithms, we need to define the probability function. The artificial ant is, in this case, a software agent that moves from node to node on a network graph. The function to calculate the fitness (evaluate the better path) includes: length of the network edge, the coverage index, topology graph index, and pheromone trail left behind by other ant agents. Each agent modifies the environment in two different ways:

- Local trail updating: As the ant moves between nodes it updates the amount of pheromone on the edge.
- Global trail updating: When all ants have completed a tour the ant that found the shortest route updates the edges in its path.

The purpose of the local updating is mainly to avoid very strong pheromone edges to be chosen by every ant and hence to increase exploration and hopefully avoid locally optimal solutions. The global updating function gives the shortest path higher reinforcement, which is a higher amount of pheromone on the edges of the path.

In addition the agents are provided with some capabilities not present in real ants, but likely to help solving the problem at hand. For example each ant is able to determine how far away nodes are, what the RF coverage index is, topology favorable index and they all have a memory of which nodes they have already visited. Furthermore, we add the estimated values for next node by tracking the speed of current mobile units. The simulation shows that the method is feasible and more reliable. It is feasible way to avoid nodes congestion and network interruptions without decrease much of network performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In planetary surface exploration, human, robots are all moving objects in the field. Since they are all carry computation capability and are connected each other, they are motion wireless network nodes. The robots usually equip with computer as a part of their components and human equip with backpack that has computer in it. The motion feature and the tuff terrain surface of the explorations make the task hard. The surface that we are exploring has hills and valleys. When the network nodes are moving, they may be go beyond line of sight, they may be in the location that has the multi-path, they may be in the place that radio signal is absorbed. All those phenomenon become the road blocks of wireless network construction. Not only they degrade the performance of the wireless network, but also they causes the interruption of the connections. In our tasks of planetary surface explorations, many methods are utilized and each solve certain problems[1,2]. In this paper, we present a new method to solve the performance and interruption problems of such network. We have implemented the simulation
software to get proximate results. We know that there are existing routing algorithms in the commercial products. The most of them are not designed for our situation. Some proactive routing schema, like Destination Sequenced Distance Vector (DSDV) [3], continuously update the routing tables of mobile nodes consuming large portion of the network capacity for huge routing table data. This reduced the available capacity of the network for actual data communication. The on demand routing protocols like on-demand Ad Hoc On-demand Distance Vector and Dynamic Source routing [4,5], on the other hand, uses routing discovery, and require the actual communication to be delayed until the route is determined. This may not be suitable for our real time data and multimedia communication that require bandwidth and speed. Our exploration requires that sensors readings be broadcasted to the nodes in real time and requires that network has bandwidth of transmitting images and sometime videos. Inspired by ant trail phenomena, ant algorithms is proposed Gianni Di Caro [3]. The algorithms can be used in the network routing by adaptively learning the best paths and increase performance of the networks. However, when the network topology has dynamic feature, pure ant based routing couldn’t perform well since the nodes can not start communication until the ant to discover the best path and provide the routes, which will keep the data store in the buffer until the ants come back with optimal paths. In other cases, if the nodes that carry ants suddenly disconnect from rest of network due to moving out of the RF coverage area or just because of the multi-paths confusion, the waiting time could be infinite. Marwaha, et al proposed a hybrid method to solve such problems by combining AODV and ant (ant-AODV) [2]. This method is able to reduce the end-to-end delay, but still added more overhead to the network and didn’t resolve the problems such as in the situation when the mobile nodes move out of RF coverage, in another words, it possess the same shortcoming as AODV has in such case. We propose the method that uses ant algorithms with RF map and GPS information. We can calculate the moving speed of nodes and predict the future point of the nodes to forecast the future connectivity. This reduced the chances that ants are cut out of network and the sudden network interruptions. We do also added some overhead to the basic ant algorithms because of those calculation but not as significant. We have compared our result with others and the performance is better in the situation that some nodes move out of RF coverage. Our methods ensure the network connectivity and performance even with some nodes cut out. Because we only update the whole routing table when there is a prediction that some nodes may be out of the network, the portion of network capability is not used by updating messages as often as the AODV does. The simulation shows that the method can achieve a better end-to-end delay time in comparison with the AODV, and Hybrid algorithm that presented by Marwaha, et al.

2. Routing Protocol

There are different routing methods in the wireless networks; dynamic and static. Both routing rout the packets through routing table. In the dynamic routing, rather than computing the entire path to a destination, it merely selects the next hop leading to that destination, and relies on the next hop machine to select a further hop that gets the packet closer to its destination. Independent hop-by-hop routing requires that all machines have a consistent view of how to reach all destinations in the network. If consistency is lost, two or more machines (presumably routers) can form a routing loop, and the packet never makes it to its destination.

To achieve consistency, a network administrator can either manually configure each machine with a pre-computed set of routes that he or she knows to be consistent, or the machines can communicate routing information to each other through some kind of protocol. The first approach is known as static routing, and the second as dynamic routing.

Static routing has some enormous advantages over dynamic routing. Chief among these advantages is predictability. Because the network administrator computes the routing table in advance, the path a packet takes between two destinations is always known precisely, and can be controlled exactly. With dynamic routing, the path taken depends on which devices and links are functioning, and how the routers have interpreted the updates from other routers.

Additionally, because no dynamic routing protocol is needed, static routing doesn’t impose any overhead on the routers or the network links. While this overhead may be minimal on an FDDI ring, or even on an Ethernet segment, it could be a significant portion of network bandwidth on a low-speed link. Consider a network with 200 network segments. Every 30 seconds, as required by the RIP specification, the routers all send an update containing reach ability information for all 200 of these segments. With each route taking 16 octets of space, plus a small amount of overhead, the minimum size for an update in this network is over three kilobytes. Each router must therefore send a 3 Kb update on each of its interfaces every 30 seconds. As you can see, for a large network, the bandwidth devoted to routing updates can add up quickly.

The chief advantages of dynamic routing over static routing are scalability and adaptability. A dynamically routed network can grow more quickly and larger, and is able to adapt to changes in the network topology brought about by this growth or by the failure of one or more network components.

With a dynamic routing protocol, routers learn about the network topology by communicating with other routers. Each router announces its presence, and the routes it has
available, to the other routers on the network. Therefore, if you add a new router, or add an additional segment to an existing router, the other routers will hear about the addition and adjust their routing tables accordingly. You don't have to reconfigure the routers to tell them that the network has changed. Similarly, if you move a network segment, the other routers will hear about the change. You only need to change the configuration of the router (or routers) that connect the segment that moved. This reduces the chance that errors will occur.

The ability to learn about changes to the network's configuration has implications beyond adding new segments or moving old ones. It also means that the network can adjust to failures. If a network has redundant paths, then a partial network failure appears to the routers as if some segments got moved (they are now reached via alternate paths), and some segments have been removed from the network (they are now unreachable). In short, there's no real difference between a network failure and a configuration change. Dynamic routing allows the network to continue functioning, perhaps in a degraded fashion, when a partial failure occurs.

Static routing has higher performance in comparison with dynamic routing. We will use dynamic routing in our experiment and simulation even though the dynamic routing has the disadvantage of the degraded performance. Since we know the static routing is not suitable for the hassle environment where the connection loss is the main concern, the static routing will no ensure of connectivity in moving nodes and ad hoc network.

Dynamic routing needs to calculate the routing paths. This is a very time-consuming task if we use traditional way to calculate the cost of all the paths and selected the best one. There calculation could be NP complete problem. And furthermore, to pass the routing tables to the network will cost capacity of the network. In addition, some other overhead will be added if we consider balancing the network traffic for the routing. When the ant trail algorithm is used, we use small data grams traveling on the network to find the best route instead of calculating it, plus the parallel feature of the processing, the decrease on the calculation cost is substantial.

We adopt ad hoc vector routing protocol proposed by Nokia; it deals with route table management. Route table information must be kept even for short-lived routes, such as are created to temporarily store reverse paths towards nodes originating RREQs. AODV uses the following fields with each route table entry:

- Destination IP Address
- Destination Sequence Number
- Valid Destination Sequence Number flag
- Other state and routing flags (e.g., valid, invalid, repairable, being repaired)
- Network Interface
- Hop Count (number of hops needed to reach destination)
- Next Hop
- List of Precursors
- Lifetime (expiration or deletion time of the route)

### 3. Bio-inspired Ant Trail Algorithms

We use the phenomenon of ant trail when ants are looking for food to improve our network routing. Since ants can always find the best path in this way, we will program some “ants” in the network to find the best path for us. The method of ant trail network routing include three steps:

- Choosing next node to travel.
- Updating trip time list.
- Calculate changing in the network

We will need to update the routing table to keep the information up-to-date. The reason is that the nodes are all moving and the routing table should be changing along the moving of nodes. Some nodes may be just out of the signal coverage area, some node may be in the less favorite area where multiple paths, echo, weak signal, and noise may play a role to decrease the reliability of network connectivity. However, as we had mentioned earlier that the information updating has cost of the network capacity, we need to be careful on how often to update the network routing table. In our experiments, we make frequency of the update a variable so that we can set it to different value in the field test. The updating consist of updating next node, updating the node RF coverage and favorite situation, updating the topography map, and the RF coverage map, some of those are local updating without have any impact on the network traffic. We need to consider updating the amount of pheromone on the edge each time the ants move through it.

To evaluate the goodness of each path, we need to quantify the value of path by traveling time through it. In the computer software world, they are list and stack, stack store the history of the trip time and the list store the updated trip time, we also call it trail metrics. In another words, the ants are the small data gram moving in the network to pioneer the rout and find out the cost. The procedures of ant's activities are as follows:

1. Every node sends out a "forward ant" to a randomly selected destination at regular intervals. The ant maintains a history-stack of nodes visited on route as well as the elapsed times.

2. Ants select the next hop using probability information in the routing tables. If a chosen next
node has already been visited, a uniformly random selection among the neighbors is applied. A tiny exploration probability allows ants to choose the next hop with even probability given to all neighbor nodes.

3. Cycles are removed from the history-stack when detected. The predicted unreachable nodes are removed from history stack and from network so it not counted when ants go for next trail.

4. When the destination node is reached a "backward ant" is generated with the same memory as the "forward ant". The "backward ant" follows the found path in the opposite direction by popping nodes from the history-stack.

5. At each node $k$ coming from node $f$, the backward ant updates the routing table by increasing the probability of $P(d_j)$ associated with node $f$ when the destination is $d$ and decreasing $P(d,n)$ of the other neighbors. The ant also updates a list $Trip_k$ with values taken from the history-stack. Trip elapse times from node $k$ to all nodes visited before node $k$ on the forward ant's path is used to update the corresponding sample means and variances.

Trip times are used as an indication of goodness of a taken path because it is proportional to the number of hops, link capacities and processing speed of nodes crossed on the way. Important to note is that forward ants have the same queuing priority as data, but that backward ants have higher priority to faster propagate accumulated information. Links on congested paths will be given only a little, very delayed reward. When the ants pick the path to travel, they pick the link with the most pheromone deposit as priority route. And the pheromone is update after they go through the link.

The goodness and stability of an observed trip time $T$ is evaluated on the basis of the estimated mean and variance values stored in the list Trip. This is used to compute by how much the routing table should be adjusted. The implementation of the ant trail algorithms can be summarized in following steps.

1. {Initialization}
   Initialize trip_history and Trip_time, and topography RF coverage map.
   {Construction}
   For each ant $k$ (currently in state $i$) do repeat
   choose, in probability, next hop to move into.
   append the chosen move to the $k$-th ant's set table.
   until ant $k$ has completed its solution.
   end for

2. {Trail update}

   For each ant move do
   Compute the cost
   update the trail matrix Trip_time, push history.
   end for

3. {Terminating condition}
   If not (end test) go to step 2

4. Experiments and Simulations

In order to understand whether this method improves the network performance, we conducted an experiment. We used common simulation environments so that comparison of results with others will be easy. We used the NS-2 as a network simulator to simulate the protocols. Popular CSMA/CA is used to transmit these packets. The simulated network has 50 mobile nodes within an area of 1500m by 300m with speed of 0-10m/s. We apply a topography map on the top of the area. The RF coverage and the signal reachable index are preset in each point of the area with the resolution of one meter. The simulation was run multiple times with 6 different pauses. Each pause time 0, 30, 120, 300 and 600 seconds. The purpose to use pause is to reset the source and destination so that the network is not always transmitting packet from and to same, or same sets of nodes. Also, the pause can clear the buffer for the next run. After each pause, the new destination is selected and speed is re-established between 0 and 10m/s. Source nodes and destination nodes are chosen at random in uniform distribution. When the nodes move, we track it by its coordinate, represented as $(x, y)$. Since those coordinates are very similar to the GPS coordinates, instead of Longitude and Latitude, they are $x$ and $y$, we can simulate the GPS driven RF coverage map. When a node moves to the coordinate where no FR coverage, we set the node as unreachable. Since the nodes have speed associate with, we can calculate and predict when the nodes will be in the unreachable location. When a node is unreachable, we will update the routing table globally. Because we don't need ants to detect the unreachable situation, the network performance will be greatly improved. The location predictions save the unreachable detection for ants, which save the most time consuming task.

We have distinguished the global and local update, the local updates doesn't cost the capacity of the network, global updates cost the capacity of network. We limited the global updates by ants algorithms in comparison with the AODV algorithms. We only use AODV in the very limited way so that we reduced the network traffic and updating time
CONCLUSION

We have presented a new method to route wireless network packets to increase network performance. The experiments shown that the method reduced the end-to-end delay by a noticeable amount of time. The feature of our method is to use the combination of Ant tail algorithms and AODV routing algorithms with minimum global routing table updates. We added the artificial intelligence by predicting the unreachable nodes by calculating the next location of node movement and remove them out of network virtually. With the help of topography map, we can predetermined the location that not covered by the RF signal. The comparison charts have been drew to compare the method with some other improved wireless network and we have shown that by simulation results.

FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

When we are doing the experiment, we noticed that this method, while improving the end-to-end delay, intend to direct the network traffic in same rout when network topology not change, which lead to the unbalanced traffic if the network used in heavy traffic situation. We will do some more experiment and get better-balanced network loads. The solution may be relying on changing the probability method of choosing the next nodes, and the calculation of the trip time. The bandwidth performance tests should be done and we haven’t done it due to time constrains, which will be another future development item.
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