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Introduction: To better understand the behavior of the Mars CO2 ice seasonal polar caps, and in particular interpret the the Mars Express Omega observations of the recession of the northern seasonal cap, we present some simulations of the Martian Climate/CO2 cycle/water cycle as modeled by the Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD) global climate model.

The model: the climate model is based on the LMD General Circulation model (Forget et al. JGR, 1999). It includes a complete water cycle parametrisation that take into account sublimation, condensation, cloud formation and transport of water vapor and water ice (Montmessin et al., JGR, 2005) and that have been validated through comparisons with the MGS TES dataset. The CO2 cycle is also represented (Forget et al. Icarus, 1998) with some representation of the CO2 ice clouds. A recent improvement is the hability to take into account the CO2 gas depletion in the polar night (and enrichment over the subliming cap). For this purpose, an exact mathematical representation of the gaz motion in GCM “sigma” coordinates has been written, and a parameterisation of the vertical mixing due to to non-condensible gaz buoyancy has been included.

At the LPSC conference, we also plan to present results from a version of the model that includes a detailed representation of the stratification of the 3 components seasonal deposits (CO2 ice, water ice, dust) in order to better represent the composition of the first millimeters that are sensed by Omega and other instruments in orbit. Below we present preliminary results obtained with the baseline version of our model.

Preliminary results: The Omega observations provides an unprecedented view of the water ice components of the seasonal polar caps(Schmitt et al., this issue). Although most of the mass of the caps is CO2 ice, these observations indicate that water ice tends to recondense on CO2 ice in spring and be left behind, forming a water ice bright cap surrounding the main CO2 ice cap. Figures 1-4 show some GCM prediction of this water ice frost layer. More detailed comparison with the Omega observations will be presented at the conference.

**Figure 1:** Zonal mean surface ice layer thickness (micrometers) as predicted by the GCM as a function of time (sol since Ls=0°; Sol 192 and sol 515 are N. summer and winter solstice, respectively). In most location, the ice is covered or mixed with much larger amount of CO2 ice, but water ice can appear at the edge of the CO2 cap or when the cap is recessing (see below).

**Figure 2:** A model based representation of the apparent surface water ice at the surface at longitude 0°E. The contours represent the CO2 ice thickness (kg/m2). Color shaded is the surface water frost thickness (micrometers), but only shown where no CO2 ice is present or if CO2 ice is subliming (possibly leaving a water ice rich apparent surface layer). This is where Omega is expected to detect it.
**Figure 3:** Maximum surface ice thickness (micrometers) predicted by the GCM.

**Figure 4:** A polar projection of the water ice surface layer (in micrometers) predicted at Ls=180 (fall equinox). The black contour illustrate the underlying topography. Because of the asymmetric transport into the polar regions due to atmospheric stationary waves triggered by the topography, the water frost cap is highly asymmetric, with mostly ice in the “cryptic region”. Could water ice affect the seasonal cap microphysics and explain the location of the cryptic region? The link between water ice and microphysics remains unclear to us. Nevertheless, it will be very interesting to see if such an asymmetry is detected by Omega when the southern spring cap will be observed.