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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30</td>
<td>Continental Breakfast</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td>Welcome and Review Process, Panel Chair &amp; NRC Staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:15</td>
<td>NASA Capability Roadmap Activity</td>
<td>Jan Aikins, NASA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30</td>
<td>14.0 Advanced Modeling, Simulation, and Analysis Overview</td>
<td>Erik Antonsson, JPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-Sub-Team Presentations-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:45</td>
<td>14.2 Operations Modeling</td>
<td>Ron Fuchs, Boeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Break -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45</td>
<td>14.3 Multi-Spectral Sensing (UV-Gamma)</td>
<td>Mike Lieber, Ball Aerospace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15</td>
<td>14.4 System Integration</td>
<td>Walt Brooks, NASA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Lunch -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45</td>
<td>14.5 M&amp;S Environments and Infrastructure</td>
<td>Mark Gersh, LMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:15</td>
<td>Co-Chair Summary</td>
<td>Tamas Gombosi, U. Mich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Break -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:15</td>
<td>Open Discussion</td>
<td>NRC Panel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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To provide the capability for scientists and engineers and program managers to work together in a virtual environment, using simulation to model the complete system of phenomenology/ observations/ hardware system/ operations/ data system and analysis before commitments are made to conduct particular missions or produce physical products.
What does ‘modeling’ mean?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Real world behavior</th>
<th>Analytical model of real behavior</th>
<th>Numerical ‘model’ of analytical model</th>
<th>‘Model’ of performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                     | \( U_i = V_{ex} \ln \left( \frac{M_i}{M_f} \right) + U_i \) | \( U_i = 0 \)
\( V_{ex} = 4000 \)
\( M_i = 1000 \)
\( \Delta o \ 1 \)
\( \Delta U_n = V_{EX} \Delta M/M_n \)
\( M_{n+1} = M_n - \Delta M \)
\( 1 \ END \) | |

**Physicist**
(1) Numerical analyst/
(2) Project engineer

Answer: It depends on your experience/ background

Lesson 1: We must always check our semantics when we talk across disciplines
The AMSA roadmaps include capabilities in Science modeling, Engineering modeling for Mission development, Operations modeling and Science Data analysis.

Drivers for these roadmaps
- The Vision for Space Exploration
- The New Age of Exploration: NASA Strategic Objectives for 2005 and Beyond
- A Journey to Inspire, Innovate, and Discover: President’s Commission Report
- Design Reference Missions

These roadmaps present a new future technical paradigm for NASA
- Invert [experiment primary / analysis and simulation secondary] relationship throughout NASA business
- Focus on end-to-end systems modeling for increased efficiency
- Provide viable approach to allow NASA to field aggressive new missions

Roadmaps build on existing limited demonstration of capabilities
- SIM use of IMOS
- Earth Science Modeling Framework
- Space Weather Modeling Framework
Current NASA Development Approach

• “Test what you build, build what you test”
  – Heavily oriented toward test environments for proving out designs
  – Some (minimal) use of simulation and modeling in routine use
  – Reliance on simulation and modeling for disaster analysis (Columbia)

The use of Advanced Modeling & Simulation as the basis for NASA’s engineering, operations and science advancement represents a major departure from current NASA practice
Some Specific Examples

- **Engineering**
  - Systems level (multidisciplinary) analysis is performed in early studies (pre-phase A / phase A)
    - Characterized by GSFC-IMDC, JPL-Team X, JPL-Team I
    - Based on table lookup, simple models
    - Point design
    - Exclusive of real technology input
  - Detailed design
    - Integration limited to COTS packages (e.g., TeamCenter)
    - IMOS (Integrated Modeling of Optical Systems) used widely within NASA
    - Virtually no handoff from Preliminary design
    - No link to operations
    - No feedback of engineering data for model validation

- **Science**
  - Some experimental coupling between Ocean Circulation and Atmospheric modeling
  - Coupling of the Sun, corona, energetic particles, heliosphere, magnetosphere and ionosphere
  - Some experiments with data assimilation in weather modeling
Project Characteristics
-- Current Practice --

Studies  Pre-project  Ph A  PhB  Ph C/D  Ph E

Product Knowledge

Decision criticality
So what? What’s wrong with this situation?

• NASA current approach is at the limit of fulfilling system design demands. Evidence:
  – Shuttle failures were not anticipated and were poorly understood until after disasters
  – Missions such as SIM (Space Interferometry Mission)
    ○ System performance requirements are EXTREME
    ○ Project has already recognized need for reliance on modeling
• Future missions, even more demanding, require simulation
  – Large apertures that cannot be deployed or tested in 1g
  – Ultra stable platforms requiring precision formation flying that cannot be tested except in space
  – Assessments of instrument performance from highly demanding vantage points (eg, earth from L1, L2) that cannot be tested except in space
  – Complex, inter-dependent systems of systems for missions such as human exploration of Mars
• Expand and complete an AMSA-based systems approach to science & discovery, engineering design, hardware development and mission operations
  – Such an approach has already demonstrated in pockets within NASA
  – Testing still plays an important role, but the use of Modeling and Simulation creates a *predictive capability* that NASA’s test-based approach can never provide

• Follow the lead of private aerospace companies and other Federal Agencies in moving to simulation-based systems development
**Project Characteristics**

--- Desired Change ---

**Added Value Of AMSA**

*Product Knowledge*

*Decision criticality*

Acquire a greater understanding of product performance and reliability earlier in the program, when critical decisions must be made.
Specific examples

Boeing: Seeing and working with reality before it exists

Ames Research Center: Columbia post-disaster analysis
Specific Examples- End-to-end integration

Solid Earth Research Virtual Observatory (SERVO)

- Observations ~TBytes/day
- Archive
- Downlink

100 TeraFLOPs sustained

Tier 1
- Fully functional problem solving environment
- Goddard
- Ames
- JPL

Tier 2
- Tier 2 Center
- Center
- Center
- Center

Tier 3
- Institute
- Institute
- Institute

Data cache
- 100 - 1000 Mbits/sec

Tier 4
- Plug and play composing of parallel programs from algorithmic modules
- On-demand downloads of 100 GB in 5 minutes
- 10^6 volume elements rendering in real-time

1 PB per year data rate in 2010
- Distributed Heterogeneous Real-Time Datasets
- Program-to-program communication in milliseconds
- Approximately 100 model codes
- Workstations, other portals
Summarizing: Situation Today

Characterized by
- Camps of system development disconnected
- Limited AMSA capability within each camp
- Little to no feedback from practice to models for improvement
- “Test and hope for the best”
**Desired Situation**

### Vertical Integration

- **Phenomenology modeling**
  - Atmosphere dynamics
  - Atmosphere chemistry
  - Ocean circulation

- **Desired observables**

- **Mission development**

- **Mission operations**

- **Data archiving, analysis, distribution**

### Horizontal Integration (interdisciplinary)

- Highly capable models in all camps of systems development
- High capability bridging between camps
- Highly integrated modeling within camps
- Simulate cradle-to-grave performance of entire system
- Provide deliberate feedback from flight practice to improve models
Summary

It is FAR better to simulate a system and crash it in a virtual environment

Than to

Build a poorly understood system and crash it in the real world
Top Level Assumptions

- Fundamental ASSUMPTION: That commercial progress in High Capability Computing and NASA access to that resource will continue
  - Grid computing will become essential infrastructure
  - Continual exponential increases in computational power (especially via parallelism), communication bandwidth, and storage capacity (peta- to yotta-scale data storage)
- Problem complexity will increase and simplification must come from “system of systems” approach (c.f. increased complexity in aircraft industry)
- Delivery dates for AMSA depend on the specific AMSA application. Dates shown correspond to the driving missions launch dates. Actual AMSA need dates are shown in separate table.
- NASA cannot accomplish this program without partnering with other agencies and industry and academia to develop the key components
- Examples and terminology tailored to SMD missions can be applied similarly for exploration and aeronautics.
Roadmap Approach

• **Advanced Modeling, Simulation and Analysis** is a broad and diverse roadmapping topic with significant application challenges.
  – Practiced widely throughout the aerospace, defense, and educational sectors
  – Largely unstructured and uncoordinated, poorly documented, verified and validated
• **Public input given high priority**
  – 17 Presentations to team leads in Public Workshop; additional 31 white papers submitted but not presented
  – 25 Invited presentations to the full team during workshops.
• **Team formation is critical element of roadmapping success**
  – Team membership distributed throughout industry, academia, NASA and other government institutions, cross-cuts science, engineering and operations
  – Team-building practiced throughout with weekly telecons and 3 2-day workshops
• **Additional reference material accumulated, reviewed analyzed, and archived**
  – Design reference missions
  – Related reports sponsored by other agencies
  – Capability needs documents published within NASA
• **Final roadmaps developed by sub-teams with membership appropriate to the members’ expertise**
**Roadmap Process Steps**

1. **Capability Roadmap Kickoff**  
   9/28/04
   - Establish Team
   - Build Preliminary Plan

2. **Public Workshop**  
   11/30/04

3. **Team Workshop 1**  
   1/8-9/05

4. **Team Workshop 2**  
   2/10-11/05

5. **Team Workshop 3**  
   3/10-11/05

6. **NRC Interim Review**  
   4/5/05

7. **Incorporate changes**

8. **Deliver Draft Roadmap**

9. **Review SRM/CRM drafts**

10. **Align to other Roadmaps; Estimate Costs**

11. **NRC Summary Review**

12. **Deliver Final Product**
Current State-of-the-Art for Capabilities (1/2)

- **Scientific Modeling and Simulation**
  - Sophisticated Capabilities
    - Astrophysics
    - Earth Science
    - Space Physics
  - Significant developments in integrating using frameworks
    - Earth Science Modeling Framework
    - Space Weather Modeling Framework

- **Operations Modeling and Simulation**
  - Work-flow modeling, particularly for ground processing
  - Event tree/sequence generation for mission operations
  - Resource planning/scheduling for communications and other operations assets
  - "Purpose built training simulators"
Current State-of-the-Art for Capabilities (2/2)

- **Engineering Modeling and Simulation**
  - Some use of M&S for technology investment decisions
  - Sophisticated disciplinary modeling capability, such as
    - Structures
    - CFD
    - Thermal
  - Limited numerical optimization capabilities
  - Limited multidiscipline integration
    - Preliminary design centers
    - IMOS (Integrated modeling for Optical Systems)

- **System Integration**
  - Limited integration between observables and science modeling:
    - Observing System Simulation Experiments (OSSE), primarily for weather
    - Solid Earth Research Virtual Observatory
  - No known integration between science, engineering and operations
  - Modeling and Simulation Environments and Infrastructure
  - State-of-capability in high performance computing (Columbia at ARC)
  - Largely COTS-based environments for software and simulation
• All AMSA capability needs can be traced directly back to the following top-level strategic documentation
  – Design Reference Missions
  – The Vision for Space Exploration
  – A Journey to Inspire, Innovate, and Discover: President’s Commission Report
  – The New Age of Exploration: NASA Strategic Objectives for 2005 and Beyond
  – NASA Enterprise Strategies
  – National Research Council Reports

• Traceability Spreadsheets were developed to establish, track, and communicate linkages between design reference missions, science measurement needs, and critical AMSA capabilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>launch Date</th>
<th>Mission description</th>
<th>AMSA driver</th>
<th>AMSA impact (at a minimum)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ESS  | Solar Orbiter | 2014 | • 3-axis stabilized spacecraft will use VGA every third orbit to obtain an increasingly slanting solar orbit at 0.2 AU out of the ecliptic plane to heliographic latitudes of 30-38 degrees  
• Close approach every 5 months  
• Perihelion “Hover” period of orbit will allow imaging of solar storm buildup over several days | Solar Electric Propulsion to be validated on ESA SMART-1 mission in 2003  
• High temperature thermal management to accommodate solar intensity 25x than seen at Earth | electric propulsion modeling and thermal modeling |
| ESS  | L-Band MEO InSAR Constellation | 2014 | Constellation of s/c in MEO to measure land surface topography. Interferometry for vector deformation measurement with global coverage. | Lightweight deployable radar antenna and structure (ex, deployable membrane, L-band, 10m x 40m area) with antenna flatness of lambda/20. Large aperture electronically scanning arrays -low mass (<2-4kg/sq-m structure + aperture + electronics)  
Pointing knowledge of approx. 0.01deg and control of approx. 0.05deg, free-flying satellite of 3000-15,000km elevation, repeat track to better than 100-200m accuracy. | End-to-end systems modeling; large aperture structure and deployment modeling |
| ESS  | High Resolution CO2 | 2014 | One spacecraft in LEO carrying laser absorption instrument | Autonomous narrowband (~100 kHz) optical heterodyne receiver control, using platform attitude feedback/control. Spacecraft attitude knowledge ~10 micro radians for updating the receiver bandwidth | Attitude control system modeling |
| ESS  | MEO - Global Tropospheric Aerosols | 2016 | One s/c in MEO, Measure in five spectral bands from 180 GHz to 2.5 THz.  
Provide global coverage with horizontal resolution of 50 km.  
Provide vertical resolution of 1-3 km.  
Provide smart sensor response to atmospheric events. | Cryocooler for ~10 mW heat load at T=4 K,  
Antenna system for scanning Earth’s limb with ~2 km vertical and ~20 km horizontal resolution at 200 GHz, and reflector surface accuracy of ~10 micrometers.  
2.0-2.5 THz HEB radiometer with < ~2000 K noise temperature, >2GHz IF bandwidth.  
Antenna system with ~4x2 m primary reflector, with ~10 micrometer surface accuracy. | End-to-end systems modeling; large aperture structure and deployment modeling; thermal modeling |
| ESS  | Wide Swath LIDAR | 2017 | One s/c in LEO carrying laser altimeter | Efficient dissipation of multi-kW heat loads on orbit. | thermal modeling |
| ESS  | Quantum Gravity Gradiometer | 2018 | One s/c in LEO carrying the QGG instrument | Gravitational Reference Sensor with a test mass isolated to less than 1.E-15 m/s**2 rms over 100 seconds and a measurement system for providing a measure of the spacecraft position with respect to the test mass with accuracy of 1 nanometer rms over 100 seconds  
Micro-Thruster system to adjust the spacecraft position to stay centered on the test mass to within 1 nanometer rms over 100 seconds, with thruster requirement of 2-100 micro-Newton with step size 0.1 micro-Newton and noise less than 0.01 micro-Newton rms over 100 seconds. | Attitude control system modeling; micro-propulsion modeling |
### Mission Drivers - examples and complete list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full AMSA list</th>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDO</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPOESS</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LISA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Trop Wind</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSR</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISE</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crewed CEV</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Orbiter</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPOP/JIM</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHS</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPF-C</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con-X</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Manned</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV Obs.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Trop Aerosols</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Column Ozone</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPF-I</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar manned base</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo InSAR Constellation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN-space construction</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-Diamond</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO Global Precip</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life finder</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titan SR</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mars Manned</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mission Timeline

- **2010 SDO**
- **2010 LISA**
- **2015 lunar manned**
- **2015 lunar manned base**
- **2015 UV Obs.**
- **2015 Global Trop Wind**
- **2015 Planetary Imager**
- **2016 Global Aerosols**
- **2018 Total Column Ozone**
- **2019 TPF-I**
- **2019 Life finder**
- **2019 Titan SR**
- **2019 Mars Manned**
- **2020 Geo InSAR Constellation**
- **2020 IN-space construction**
- **2023 L1-Diamond**
- **2025 GEO Global Precip**
- **2025 Life finder**
- **2027 Titan SR**
- **2030 Mars Manned**
Capability Team 14: Advanced Modeling, Simulation and Analysis Roadmap Team

**Earth-Sun System**
- 2016 MEO GTA
- 2017 WS Lidar
- 2018 QGG Geo InSAR Constellation

**Solar System Exploration**
- 2019 TPF-I Form Flying
- 2020 In-space Construction
- 2018 Total Column Ozone
- 2021 MC
- 2023 L1-Diamond
- 2021 MC
- 2025 GEO Global Precip

**Universe (astrophysics)**
- 2019 Lunar manned base
- 2025 Life Finder
- 2016 MEO GTA
- 2017 WS Lidar
- 2018 QGG Geo InSAR Constellation

- 2016 MEO GTA
- 2017 WS Lidar
- 2018 QGG Geo InSAR Constellation

- 2016 MEO GTA
- 2017 WS Lidar
- 2018 QGG Geo InSAR Constellation

**14.1 Scientific Modeling and Simulation**
- 24 hour SW forecast
- Full Earth Observatory simulation and data assimilation environment

**14.2 Operations Modeling**
- Fully integrated with human behavior models

**14.3 Engineering Modeling**
- Formation Flying

**14.4 Integration**

**14.5 M&S environments and infrastructure**
- All major legacy codes converted to modern environments
- Operations modeling: computational optimization of responses

**Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone**
- Ready to Use

2020 2025 2030
Capability 14.1:  
Scientific Modeling and Simulations

Speaker:  Tamas Gombosi, Lead  
Tsengdar Lee  
John Rundle
• The ability to simulate complex natural and anthropogenic phenomena, and to forecast and predict unanticipated outcome
  – M&S is a new instrument of learning and understanding new phenomena
  – Pursue integrated science models (ESMF, SWMF) to integrate science disciplines.
  – Anomaly detection in the environment
• The ability to visualize the results and outcomes of simulations
• The ability to assimilate (ingest) large data sets into simulations, and set the parameters for them
• The ability to mine large data sets for new and unexpected information from space mission data.
**Exploration and discovery** motivates looking in places that are previously unexamined.

**Classic tools** of exploration are **telescopes**, which look outwardly into space, and **microscopes** that look inwardly to finer and finer detail.

**Simulations** have become an **indispensable tool** for probing and exploring phenomena that are currently outside of our experience.

**Models can be used to explore virtual environments** of the moon, Mars and the space environment before we get there.
**New Vistas in Exploration** - will lead to new kinds of science and generate new discoveries.

**Measurements will rely on models** – to capture, analyze, and characterize features of this environment for interpretation

**Models were always part of NASA’s culture of exploration**

**A New Paradigm** - Recent major advances in computational capabilities allow numerical simulations to plan, conduct and analyze NASA missions.

**Natural Systems are Complex** - Simulations of the coupled earth-planetary models and the space environment are essential components of understanding and forecasting
• **What missions are driving the requirements?**
  – InSAR Constellation and Global Precipitation Measurement missions (2014)
  – Solar Dynamics Observatory (2009)
  – Heliospheric Sentinels (2013)
  – Jupiter orbiters and Outer planets/Kuiper belt mission (~2017)
  – NGST (2015)
  – Robotic and human exploration of the Moon (2010-2020)
  – Robotic and human exploration of Mars (2010-2030)
  – Protostellar disks and planet formation mission, Saphir (2020+)

• **Additional Assumptions that the team used that drove the need for the capability**
  – We are presenting our best estimates for the science drivers, but we have not had a chance to coordinate with the strategic roadmaps yet.
  – VSE is interpreted in a broader sense
  – Grid computing will become essential infrastructure
  – Moore’s law continuing and storage capacity will proportionally increase
  – Problem complexity will increase and simplification must come from “system of systems” approach (c.f. increased complexity in aircraft industry)
Simulation technology
- Simulations: Routine simulations with $\sim 10^6$ cells
- Computing resources: TFlops
- Visualization: Routine visualization of all simulations and data via post-processing of simulations and data
- Data volume: Store in federated data bases and distribute 10 Pbyte of data

Science capabilities
- Space: 0.25 Re, millions of computational cells; kinetic simulations with 1 billion particles
- Atmosphere: 1 degree resolution for climate, 0.25 degree for weather simulations
- Ocean: 0.1 degree resolution (Earth Simulator)
- Solid earth: millions of interactions (Green’s functions), fault length scales of several km
- Astrophysics: Solve protostellar & planetary disk models with 3D MHD problems with 10 million cells and multiple species
14. Advanced Modeling Simulation and Analysis

14.1 Scientific Modeling and Simulation

14.1.1 Simulate natural and anthropogenic phenomena
- Corona / Heliosphere/ SEP model
- Global geospace model
- Space Weather modeling framework

14.1.2 Assimilate large data sets
- L1 Data assimilation

14.1.3 Visualize simulation results
- Interactive HDTV quality

14.1.4 Distribute and mine large data sets
- 100 Pbyte, 100 Gbit/s

Missions
- 2010 SDO
- 2014 Solar Orbiter
- 2014 JPOP/JIM
- 2014 IHS
- 2015 Lunar Manned

Major Decision
- Ready to Use

Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- 2005
- 2010
- 2015

SDO
- 1 hour forecast

Space weather Modeling Environment
- 3 hour forecast
### Capability 14.1: SM&S Space Weather Roadmap

**Missions**
- 2020 Lunar manned base
- 2023 L1-Diamond
- 2030 Mars manned mission

#### 14.1 Scientific Modeling and Simulation

1. **14.1.1 Simulate natural and anthropogenic phenomena**
2. **14.1.2 Assimilate large data sets**
   - Data assimilation
   - Sensitiveness
3. **14.1.3 Visualize simulation results**
4. **14.1.4 Distribute and mine large data sets**
   - 1 Ebyte, 1 Tbit/sec

**Ready for use**
- L1
- 24 hour forecast

**Major Decision**
- Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- Ready to Use

**Timeline**
- 2020
- 2025
- 2030
14. Advanced Modeling Simulation and Analysis

14.1 Scientific Modeling and Simulation

14.1.1 Simulate natural and anthropogenic phenomena

14.1.2 Assimilate large data sets

14.1.3 Visualize simulation results

14.1.4 Distribute and mine large data sets

Missions

CloudSAT
CALIPSO
Aquarius
OCO
NPOESS
GPM
Hydros
Global Trop Wind

Major Decision

Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone

Ready to Use

2005
2010
2015

100 Pbyte, 100 Gbit/s
**Capability 14.1: SM&S Full Earth Observatory**

**Missions**
- 2018 Total Column Ozone
- Geo InSAR Constellation
- 2025 GEO Global Precip

14.1 Scientific Modeling and Simulation

14.1.1 Simulate natural and anthropogenic phenomena
- Fully coupled composition model
- Hi-res Model including cloud and aerosol

14.1.2 Assimilate large data sets
- Fully Coupled Data assimilation

14.1.3 Visualize simulation results...
- Dynamic Sensory feedback

14.1.4 Distribute and mine large data sets
- 1 Ebyte, 1 Tbit/sec

**Timeline:**
- 2020
- 2025
- 2030

**Major Decision**
- Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- Ready to Use
### Capability 14.1: SM&S

**Goals and Milestones (v1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Capability</th>
<th>By 2015</th>
<th>By 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Coupled Air-Sea-Land model for weather and climate simulations</td>
<td>Simulations &amp; Data assimilation</td>
<td>Routine simulations with 1B degrees of freedom</td>
<td>Routine simulations with 1B degrees of freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Crustal dynamics models for earthquakes and plate motion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Predictive coupled space environment model to simulate space storms and SEP events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comprehensive planetary hazard models to support human exploration</td>
<td>Visualization</td>
<td>Capability to resolve HDTV quality in a streaming and interactive environment</td>
<td>Capability to resolve HDTV quality in a streaming and interactive environment with full sensory feedback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cosmological and galactic dynamics models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Disk magnetosphere interactions, protostellar disk and planetary formation models</td>
<td>Data volume</td>
<td>Capability to store and distribute 100 Pbyte of data from simulations or observations, and to provide streaming data at 100 Gbit/s</td>
<td>Capability to store and distribute 1 Exa-byte of data from simulations or observations, and to provide streaming data at 1 Tbit/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validated, coupled Sun-to-Earth space environment model to simulate space storms and SEP events</td>
<td>Validated, predictive Sun-to-Earth space environment model to provide 3 hours forecast of solar storms and SEP events</td>
<td>Validated, interactive predictive Sun-to-Earth space environment model to provide 24 hours forecast of solar storms and SEP events to support human activities on the Moon</td>
<td>Validated, interactive predictive Sun-heliosphere space environment model to provide 72 hours forecast of solar storms and SEP events to support human exploration of Mars and robotic exploration of the outer planets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustal dynamics models for earthquakes and plate motion</td>
<td>Validated, predictive simulation of interacting active faults in a region the size of California at a scale of 1 km resolution, to provide 5 years forecast of earthquakes larger than 5.</td>
<td>Validated, predictive simulation of interacting active faults in a region the size of California at a scale of .1 km resolution, to provide 2 years forecast of earthquakes larger than 5, with capability of full data assimilation in real time using interferometric radar data.</td>
<td>Validated, predictive simulation of interacting active faults in a region the size of California at a scale of .01 km resolution, to provide 6 months forecast of earthquakes larger than 4, with capability of full data assimilation in real time, and real time, streaming, immersive visualization of simulation data merged with observed interferometric data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupled Air-Sea-Land model for weather and climate simulations</td>
<td>Validated model of probabilistic predictions of future climates and transitional climate change at several hundred kilometer resolution Full four-dimensional variational data assimilation of aerosol particles, trace gases and satellite properties. Routine, validated predictions of climate anomalies, such as El Nino, 6-12 months in advance.</td>
<td>Integrated earth system model with interactive hydrology, dynamic vegetation and biogeochemistry producing validated results as several hundred kilometer resolution.</td>
<td>Earth system modeling suite, validated through extensive and comprehensive data assimilation systems employing observations from space-based earth monitoring systems. This modeling system will produce probabilistic predictions of regional manifestations of global changes based on scenarios of human activity, including population changes, energy technology strategies and water use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cosmological and galactic dynamics models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capability 14.1: SM&S
Maturity Levels – Technology

- Leading technology candidates
  - Grid computing
  - Leadership class computing system
  - Immersive and interactive visualization
  - Frameworks
  - Federated data bases
  - Web service architectures for distributed/coupled models

- Key gaps between current state-of-the-art and required performance levels
  - Distributed, grid based computing portal that enables to build, run and analyze integrated simulations
  - Collaboratories
  - Model infrastructure tools for high spatial, resolution, and temporal simulations
Capability 14.1: Priorities
Capability 14.2 M&S for Operations

Speaker: Ron Fuchs, Lead
Erik Antonsson
Capability 14.2 Description: M&S for Operations

- Simulation of all aspects of missions for the purpose of requirements development, training, mission rehearsal, anomaly resolution, validation of subsystems and systems, or developing human-system interfaces.
  - Includes interfaces to scientific and engineering M&S
  - Includes Human-in-the-loop simulations
• NASA missions are increasing in complexity and inter-dependency
  – Human exploration systems must evolve into tightly integrated partnerships between humans and machines.
  – Increasingly large quantities of data, upon which decisions are based, present needs for models, visualization, situational awareness and decision aids to support human operations in space.
  – Robotic exploration systems require modeling of instrument and spacecraft systems for scheduling, control, mission operations and anomaly resolution.
  – Operations models must be introduced early in the design cycle.
  – Operations modeling depends on the science and engineering models. Development of operations must be done in concert with the development of the science goals and engineering systems.
  – Communications and information management must be included.
  – Models of human biomechanics and human factors must be included.

• Complex missions lead to geometric increase in potential risks
  – Realtime simulations of operations are needed to meet safety targets for human spaceflight.
  – Future missions require training and scenario evaluation for ground controllers and in-space flight operations for both mission execution and anomaly resolution.

• Budget pressures will increasingly stress the ability to meet goals
  – Operations costs have dominated human spaceflight operations.
  – M&S Can reduce these costs by reducing amount of live testing
Benefits of Capability 14.2 M&S for Operations

Reduced Risk – mistakes are made during development and training in the virtual world rather than the real world.

Sound system requirements – essential to the systems engineering process that has been shown to result in better cost effectiveness of programs.

Improved Performance – “Optimal” overall human-machine integration during all phases of a program.

Rapid understanding of anomalies - Simulations are the basis for reconstructing an understanding of systems during anomalous events.

Preflight understanding of communication limitations - Impact of communication time-of-flight delays can be evaluated.
• What missions are driving the requirements?
  – All manned missions
    ○ CEV
    ○ Human Lunar
    ○ Human Mars
  – Missions requiring a system of systems approach
    ○ Lunar Robotic
    ○ Robotic Mars
    ○ Air Transportation System

• Additional Assumptions that the team used that drove the need for the capability
  – The increasing challenge of future NASA missions will dictate the need for more integrated system of systems approaches
  – Budget pressures will constrain live testing and experimentation to levels that will significantly increase mission risk without a robust M&S environment
  – Greater international participation will be the norm for ambitious programs, which implies needs ranging from new collaboration techniques to improved export control
Capability 14.2: Current State-of-the-Art

- **Requirements Development**
  - Simulators at the individual system level
  - Manual interfaces between many system simulated components
- **Training**
  - Purpose-built single task trainers
  - Limited integrated system training capability
- **Mission Rehearsal**
  - Good representation of today’s relatively simple missions
- **Human-System Interfaces**
  - Trial and error approach
  - High cost development due to large numbers of labor intensive trials
- **Anomaly Resolution**
  - Good representation of portions of the systems
- **Subsystem Validation**
  - Purpose built testing environments that substitute for prohibitively expensive live testing of specific components
- **General**
  - Lack of integrated simulations makes development and analysis of systems of systems difficult
### Capability 14.2: Maturity Level Assessment

Numbers represent average current TRL for each area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Part-task constructive</th>
<th>Part-task with embedded humans</th>
<th>Largely integrated with embedded humans</th>
<th>Fully integrated with human behavior models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements Development</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Rehearsal</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly Resolution</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human-System Interfaces</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsystem Validation</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Types of Operational M&S
## Capability 14.2: CEV Requirements

### Types of Operational M&S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement Development</th>
<th>Mission Rehearsal</th>
<th>Anomaly Resolution</th>
<th>Human-System Interfaces</th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Subsystem Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part-task constructive</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part-task with embedded humans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Largely integrated with embedded humans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fully integrated with human behavior models</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High Risk to Mission**

- Requirements Development
- Mission Rehearsal
- Anomaly Resolution
- Human-System Interfaces
- Training
- Subsystem Validation

**Low Risk to Mission**
### Capability 14.2: Mars Human Requirements

#### Types of Operational M&S

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part-task</th>
<th>Part-task with embedded humans</th>
<th>Largely integrated with embedded humans</th>
<th>Fully integrated with human behavior models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Risk to Mission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission Rehearsal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomaly Resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human-System Interfaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsystem Validation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Risk to Mission</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- Low Risk to Mission
- High Risk to Mission

**Notes:**
- Part-task constructive
- Part-task with embedded humans
- Largely integrated with embedded humans
- Fully integrated with human behavior models
• NASA needs an more integrated approach to M&S
  – Distributed simulation capabilities
    ○ Distributed simulation across long distances (space)
  – Networks tying NASA Centers, international, and industry partners
  – Coupled training simulators
  – Ability to handle data that has many levels of restriction (proprietary, classified, ITAR, …)
• NASA needs a virtual development/production/test/operation environment
  – Virtual system development to expand options and reduce costs
  – Standards for seamless transition of software from virtual to real environments without redevelopment
  – Test programs integrated with modeling and simulation approach
• NASA needs affordable human inclusion in M&S
  – Better simulation of human-machine interface systems
  – Models of human behavior
• NASA needs some new tools
  – System of systems analysis capabilities
  – Communications and information management system models
### Capability 14.2: M&S for Operations Roadmap

**ESMD**

**14.2 Operations Modeling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distributed simulation across space</th>
<th>Part-task constructive</th>
<th>Part-task with embedded humans</th>
<th>Largely integrated with embedded humans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Networks tying NASA Centers and partners</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupled training simulators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handle data that has many levels of restriction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual system development</td>
<td></td>
<td>initial</td>
<td>robust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stds for seamless transition of software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test programs integrated with M&amp;S</td>
<td></td>
<td>partial</td>
<td>full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better simulation of human-machine interfaces</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models of human behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td>initial</td>
<td>refined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System of systems analysis capabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and info management models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ready to Use</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Timeline**

2005

2010

2015
### Capability 14.2: M&S for Operations Roadmap

#### ESMD

**Major Decision**

**Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone**

**Ready to Use**

**Capability 14.2: M&S for Operations Roadmap**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>14.2 Operations Modeling</th>
<th>Fully integrated with human behavior models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distributed simulation across space</td>
<td>![initial]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Networks tying NASA Centers and partners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupled training simulators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handle data that has many levels of restriction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual system development</td>
<td>![expanded]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stds for seamless transition of software</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test programs integrated with M&amp;S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better simulation of human-machine interfaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Models of human behavior</td>
<td>![improved]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System of systems analysis capabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications and info management models</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Human Mars Mission 1**

**Human Lunar Mission 1**

**Robotic Mars Mission 1**

**Robotic Mars Mission n**

**Lunar Robotic Mission n**

**ESMD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Capability 14.2: Metrics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirements Development</th>
<th>Time to evaluate a candidate architecture’s cost, performance and risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mission Rehearsal</td>
<td>Effectiveness in creating a realistic environment as judged by participants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Anomaly Resolution       | Time to ascertain root cause  
                          Time to develop corrective actions |
| Human-System Interface   | % of time correct decisions are made  
                          Consistency of decisions across crew members |
| Training                 | Time to train to desired proficiency levels  
                          Length of time training effects are retained |
| Subsystem Validation     | % of subsystems that can be fully tested  
                          Risk of subsystem failure due to lack of validation |
Many of the Operations M&S areas overlap with the Systems Engineering needs, particularly in requirements derivation and testing. The technologies developed need to be coordinated across these areas.

Operations M&S must be integrated with the engineering M&S processes and tools to make relevant trades during the entire system life cycle, but particularly during the design phase.
1. NASA needs an more integrated approach to M&S
   - Distributed simulation capabilities
     ○ Distributed simulation across long distances (space)
   - Networks tying NASA Centers, international, and industry partners
   - Coupled training simulators
   - Ability to handle data that has many levels of restriction (proprietary, classified, ITAR, …)

2. NASA needs some new tools
   - System of systems analysis capabilities
   - Communications and information management system models

3. NASA needs a virtual development/production/test/operation environment
   - Virtual system development to expand options and reduce costs
   - Standards for seamless transition of software from virtual to real environments without redevelopment
   - Test programs integrated with modeling and simulation approach

4. NASA needs affordable human inclusion in M&S
   - Better simulation of human-machine interface systems
   - Models of human behavior
Capability 14.3 Engineering Modeling

Presenter: Mike Lieber
Thomas Zang
Charles Norton
Karen Fucik
In this section we propose advanced engineering modeling and simulation for addressing the following questions:

- **How could NASA reduce overall mission risk, maximize resources, and enhance overall system engineering processes for future missions?**
  - By evolving current integrated models to a Large-Scale System Modeling architecture and using them early in the design process.

- **How do we better address unexpected and sometimes catastrophic events?**
  - By development of Anomalous Behavior Models with expert system oversight.

- **Given the environmental difficulties and cost of system ground testing, how does NASA best insure future mission success?**
  - By developing and validating Virtual System Test models.

- **How does NASA determine the quality and bounds on modeling predictions?**
  - By developing Uncertainty Models from rigorous mathematics and firm understanding of relationship to performance models.

- **How can NASA best utilize robotics in space for assembly and servicing?**
  - By developing interactive and dynamic machine-machine models to pre-assemble/service in a virtual environment.
The Challenge to Reduce Development Cost - Industry Experience

Traditional Design Process

- Design
- Certification
- Engineering Support
- Eliminate Failure Modes

Robust Design Process

- Design
- Certification
- Engineering Support
- Eliminate Failure Modes

Test-Fail-Fix Cycle Representing 73% of Cost

REDUCE BY FACTOR OF 4

Similar experiences in auto and jet Engine industries

* Borrowed from Rocketdyne/Boeing presentation
14.3.1 Large-scale system modeling

- Rapid integrated model deployment, cradle-to-grave, evolutionary, hierarchical structure, discrete event, hybrid system modeling, advanced data structures.
- Imbedded data management, design space exploration/ multiple optimization engines.
- Distributed grid computing, distributed collaboration.

14.3.2 Anomalous Behavior Models

- Failure modes and effects, mitigation, real-time anomaly resolution, sabotage evaluation.
- AI driven “agents of doom” for scenario generation.
- High-fidelity predictions of performance under damaged/ abnormal conditions.
14.3.3 Virtual System Testing
- Modeling the untestable, updates flight large-scale model, test definition (reverses paradigm)
- Selective replacement testing with modeling, HIL emulation.
- Robotic exploration and virtual world interactions.

14.3.4 Uncertainty Modeling
- Supports V&V with advanced modeling techniques for characterizing and propagating system uncertainty.
- Characterizes modeling error bounds.

14.3.5 Robotics manufacturing and servicing
- Dynamically replicated virtual environment for assembly, servicing and repair in space.

14.3.6 Visualization
- Converting data into knowledge. Dynamic, multidimensional.
14.3.1 Large-scale system modeling

- Rapid integrated model deployment, design traceability throughout life cycle.
- Increased design knowledge leads to better system decisions (enables system trades with respect to performance, risk, and costs).
- Increased multidisciplinary communication.
- Decreased number of "test-fail-fix" cycles.

14.3.2 Anomalous behavior models

- Minimize failure modes and consequences in the design phase.
- Anticipate and avert incipient failure during operations.
- Real-time Identification of alternative failure recovery paths.
14.3.3 Virtual System Testing
- Modeling the untestable, the unobservables, enhanced visualization.
- Cost/schedule benefit.
- Robotic path planning in remote environments optimizes resource.

14.3.4 Uncertainty Modeling
- Supports V&V, confidence builder for decision maker, design robustness, reflects true environments.

14.3.5 Robotics manufacturing and servicing models
- End-to-end evaluation of machine-machine dynamics for design feedback and system engineering optimization and failure predictions.

14.3.6 Visualization
- Enhanced communication tools.
- Facilitates understanding of model and results.
14.3.1 Large-scale system modeling
- Remaining heritage to bucket brigade approach and “test-fail-fix” approaches.
- Integrated modeling, like JPL IMOS, picemeal developed in parallel with program resulting in many architectural gaps.
- Tie in weak or missing to optimization engines, comprehensive data management, cost and risk linkage, rapid deployment, science and operations.
- Cradle-to-grave system capability not part of mission cycle.

14.3.2 Anomalous behavior models
- Not typically part of engineering cycle except as part of parameter variability studies.
- Modified versions of models for post-mortem or emergency response.

14.3.3 Virtual System Testing
- Capability very scale dependent.
- Complete end-to-end virtual system not in place.
14.3.4 Uncertainty Modeling

- Many COTS tools for propagation of probabilistic uncertainties.
- Underlying parametric uncertainties poorly characterized.
- Modeling of non-probabilistic uncertainties, e.g., model fidelity uncertainty, is very primitive and often mathematically unsound.

14.3.5 Robotics manufacturing and servicing models

- Complete dynamics models exist for robotics systems but incomplete characterization for prediction of machine-machine processes.
- Architecture advancements required for complete assembly/servicing scenario.

14.3.6 Visualization

- Embedded into commercial design modeling tools, exists as separate packages and tool libraries,
  high-end/experimental systems appropriate for large data sets on parallel computers for time-dependent 3D modeling.
- Design space exploration visualization just starting to emerge.
14.3.1 Current Engineering – Discipline and Integrated System Modeling

- System allocations, and requirements flowdown, system and trade definition
- Performance predictions
- Discipline models
- Integrated end-to-end system model
- Risk assessment and analysis
- Design process
- Scene
- Update and validate models
- H/W technology experiments
- System design
- Specifications

Science models
Operations models
Disturbances parameters

Image description:
- Diagram showing the flow of disciplines and models in the context of current engineering and integrated system modeling.
14.3.1 Future Engineering modeling – Large-Scale System Modeling

Specifications

System allocations, and requirements flowdown, system and trade definition

Performance predictions

Design space exploration tool and data management

Discipline models

Integrated end-to-end system model

Risk assessment and analysis

Design process

Update and validate model, Automated system identification, System model defines experiments

Science models

Disturbances parameters

Operations models

Cost model

Scene

System design

• Uncertainty models
• Virtual test environment
• Anomaly models
• Robotics mfg/srvg

H/W technology experiments
14.3.1 Large-Scale System Modeling (LSSM) Environments Enables Future Missions

- Much of mission costs are committed within the first part of the development cycle.
- LSSM environments proposed for the future provide early in-the-process knowledge for reducing mission cost and risk.
  - Much of current modeling resources not used efficiently.
Current missions - Just-in-time modeling, serial modeling support

- Discipline/developmental integrated models, Monte Carlo methods
- Local computing

Current missions:
- Spitzer
- Chandra

14.3.1 Current Modeling Support Over Mission Cycle

Requirements | Design and Development | Manufacture and test | Flight Operations

- Concept performance
- Coarse predictions/error budgets
- Refined models
- Final predictions/error budgets
- Operations models

Science models

- Bucket brigade or limited capability IM
- Coarse predictions/error budgets
- Concept performance

Coarse predictions/error budgets

Ad hoc system ID, model update

Subsystem flowdown

Subsystem testing

Final predictions/error budgets

Complete system testing

- Targeting/maneuevering
- Mid-course corrections
- Anomaly resolution
- Data processing

Science flowdown

Coarse cost

Subsystem flowdown

Ad hoc system ID, model update
14.3.1 Future of Modeling - Cradle-to-Grave System Engineering Support

Current missions
Complexity increasing, subsystem coupling, ground testing constraints (environmental, programatics)

Future missions -
Large-scale integration, cradle-to-grave, rapid prototyping, multiple models, expert systems, uncertainty bounds, distributed computing, anomalous behavior models, model-driven testing.

- LISA
- TPF-I
Assumptions for Capability 14.3 - Engineering Modeling

- Modeling is part of all programs at many levels and scales.
  - "State-of-the-art" is actually state-of-the-practice, ie, exceptions can be found.
- Detailed engineering technology/discipline models are discussed in other roadmaps. Detailed modeling needs align with technology needs.
- System engineering roadmap will cover cost and risk modeling whereas AMSA includes integrating these into large scale modeling architecture.
- Current COTS discipline tools will evolve to support general engineering analysis tools with a broad market, but not a NASA-driven market.
- Historical trends will continue in terms of engineering system and technology complexity increasing.
- Engineering CBS includes design-driven Operations models that are critical to engineering process, such as Anomalous Behavior and Robotics Assembly/ Servicing.
- Modeling of human-machine interaction is covered under other Operations CBS.
- Examples and terminology tailored to SMD missions, with an instrument focus, but have clear analogies for exploration and aeronautics.
- Technology identified on capability timeline charts is developed several years prior to program infusion.
Drivers for Capability 14.3 - Engineering Modeling

- **Large scale system modeling** driven by large, technically complex programs but useful to all missions:
  - LISA, TPF, Black Hole Imager, SAFIR, Planet Imager, Life Finder, Explorer Vision
- **Virtual test environment** drivers same as above with planetary exploration missions especially critical drivers.
14.3 Engineering modeling

14.3.1 Large-scale systems modeling (LSSM)
- Phase 1: LSSM definition
- Phase 2: LSSM implementation
- Phase 3: LSSM fully operational

14.3.2 Anomalous behavior models
- Failure modes
- RT anomaly resolution
- Abort/Damage Analysis
- Expert systems

14.3.3 Virtual test environments
- Virtual Robotics Env
- Model Driven Testing
- Space Environmental Eng Model

14.3.4 Uncertainty models

14.3.5 Robotics mnfg/servicing models
- Virtual interactive environments
- Dynamic, multiscale

14.3.6 Visualization
- Abort/Damage Analysis
- Space Environmental Eng Model

Missions
- 2010 LISA
- 2013 MSR
- 2014 TPF-C
- 2014 Con-X
- 2015 UV obs.
- Lunar Robotic Mission 1
- Mars Precursor 2

SMD-SSE

Ready to Use

Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone

2005
2010
2015
Capability 14.3: Engineering Modeling

Missions
- 2019 TPF-I
- 2020 In-space Construction
- 2023 L1 - Diamond
- 2025 Life Finder
- 2027 Titan SR

14.3 Engineering modeling

14.3.1 Large-scale systems modeling (LSSM)
- Distributed grid/ collaboration
  - Multiple optimization engines
  - Adv Technology models
  - AI oversight
- Phase 4 - LSSM 2nd generation
- FF
- SS/AN
- Phase 5 - 2nd generation fully functional
- AT TPS

14.3.2 Anomalous behavior models

14.3.3 Virtual test environments

14.3.4 Uncertainty models

14.3.5 Robotics mfg/ servicing models

14.3.6 Visualization

- Ready to Use
- Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone

- 2020
- 2025
- 2030
## Capability 14.3 Engineering Modeling- Goals and Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engineering</th>
<th>Today’s Capability</th>
<th>2010-2015</th>
<th>2016-2020</th>
<th>2021-2035</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Large-scale system modeling</strong></td>
<td>Bucket-brigade Developing integrated system modeling, significant discipline modeling &amp; optimization, approximate models</td>
<td>Cradle-to-grave models, rapid model deployment, imbedded data management, integrated cost models, selected advanced discipline models, MDO</td>
<td>Seamless model evolution through design phases, integrated risk models, design traceability, additional advanced discipline models, agent-based.</td>
<td>Distributed, MDO, environment for optimization, advanced data management, cost/ risk integrated, science and operations, cradle-to-grave models, rapid prototyping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virtual test environment</strong></td>
<td>Widely varies, not baseline approach. Fit tool for manufacturing</td>
<td>Human exploration hazard models</td>
<td>Robotic assembly testing</td>
<td>Expansive HWIL, max modeling/ min testing, auto sys ID/ model update, order of magnitude reduction I&amp;T.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anomalous behavior models</strong></td>
<td>Typical using current models with some add-ons as mishap investigation.</td>
<td>Subsystem AI agent of doom. High-fidelity abort &amp; damage analysis.</td>
<td>Full system AI agent of doom.</td>
<td>Explore full failure/ anomaly mode space during design. AI agent of doom. Real-time isolation and resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Robotics mfg/ servicing (MS) models</strong></td>
<td>Commercial mainly, minimal space-based modeling (servicing), Mars exploration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Virtual toolset enabling dynamic assessment of designs for space/ planetary based MS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visualization technology</strong></td>
<td>3D, small-scale dynamic visualization, single discipline analysis.</td>
<td>Multidiscipline analysis, design space exploration</td>
<td>Interactive design steering, design space exploration agents</td>
<td>Hologram, instant visualization of dynamic events at multiple scales.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capability 14.3: Related technologies / dependencies
Capability 14.4 Integration

Speaker: Walt Brooks, Lead
Ron Fuchs
Mark Gersh
Loren Lemmerman
Integration occurs recursively at all levels

- **Definition:** In this section we treat a level of integration of ops, eng and sci. that enables a full system simulation enabling mission optimization in engineering, ops and science
  - Assumes that progress is being made at the science, engineering and operations level, each of which has their own internal integration challenges
  - **Customer:** A primary customer of this is Systems engineering
  - uses this capability in a “mixed” initiative mode to stimulate engineering design trades

- **Motivation:** Goals of defining and supporting a focus on integration
  - -product/capability that will not emerge through normal science or engineering processes
  - decisions support in full system simulation

- **Essential Eventual ability to assess risk and cost across the entire mission**

- **State of the art now is mixed mode**
  - Deep analysis with heuristics simple models not yet characterized the holes in this process - have not characterized where we have sufficient fidelity
  - Huge high fidelity codes are “manually” integrated using Viper
  - Trusted legacy codes - keeping them vital moving to new platforms - V&V
Capability 14.4 Description: Integration

14.4: Integration across Areas

MAP Modeling Environment

ESMF

Core Integration Team

External NRA Proposals

Integrated modeling in Area 1
Modeling 1

Integrated modeling in Area 2
Modeling 2

Integrated modeling in Area 3
Modeling 3

Integrated modeling in Area N
Modeling N
• Mission design phase you gain more complete insight into feasibility creating better costs estimates and risk assessments
  – Model inputs that didn’t exist before so that all major technical issues and subsystems are handled analytically and interact dynamically as opposed to using approximations and manual integration
  – Allows you to explore design optimization earlier, more realistically and to explore a larger design space
• During anomaly resolution allows rapid response with self consistent underlying assumptions
  – Integration insures rapid response and eliminates the labor intensive and sometimes insurmountable issues associated with linking complex models that have been developed in the absence of a framework
• Directly validated a fully integrated system
  – Individual validation of models ignores the linear and non linear interactions of the subsystems and systems of systems
Capability 14.4: Requirements /Assumptions

• Missions driving the requirements
  – Engineering
    ○ CEV
  – Complex operations
    ○ Moon Mars spirals -
  – Reference Science list
    ○ “whole” earth Model
    ○ Large aperture telescopes-TPF,…

• Additional Assumptions that the team used that drove the need for the capability
  – Discipline model development will continues and that integration at the component level
  – NASA cannot do this on its own we will partner with other agencies and industry and academia to develop the key components
    ○ There are some areas in which NASA is the world leader and these models must continue to be developed
  – Somebody has to be responsible
  – You don’t integrate in the absence of a problem/reqts
  – Infrastructure will exist and be supported within the agency to facilitate the process of developing this
• Integration is occurring within science and engineering sub discipline disciplines-
  – a few selected examples of focused science and engineering integration
  – Specific Examples
    ○ IMOS
    ○ ESMF
    ○ SWMF
    ○ Mars EDL

• The Infrastructure tools required for science and engineering teams in compute, viz and networks are just adequate to handle this first tier of integration - full system integration will require several orders of magnitude increase in these capabilities
  – Computing -TFLOPS
  – Networks-Gbps
  – Viz-Tbyte data sets

• Archives, collaboration and integration tools are marginally integrated
• Standards and protocols are emerging within communities there is no focus on bringing these together at a system level
Capability 14.4: Need Statement / Gap analysis
Capability 14.4: Integration Roadmap

14.4 Integration

14.4.1 V&V "OSSE"
- Standards and protocols
- Infrastructure
- Model migration
- Archive Data repository

14.4.2 Standards

14.4.3 Infrastructure
- Model migration

14.4.4 Archive Data repository
- Preparation
- Data migration

2005
- Major Decision

2010
- Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- Ready to Use

2015
- Ready to Use
- Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone

- 2010 SDO
- 2014 Solar Orbiter
- 2014 CO2
- 2014 MEO InSAR
- 2012 Merc. Lander
- 2014 TPF-C
- 2014 Con-X
- 2015 Lunar Manned
- 2014 JPOP/JIM
- 2015 UV obs.
Capability 14.4: Integration Roadmap

**SMD-ESS**
- 2016 MEO GTA
- 2017 WS Lidar
- 2018 QGG
- 2021 MC
- 2023 L1-Diamond

**SMD-SSE**
- 2019 TPF-I
- 2020 In-space Construction
- 2019 Lunar manned base
- 2027 Titan SR
- 2030 VSSR

**SMD-Universe**
- 2019 Lunar manned base
- 2025 Life Finder

14.4 Integration

- 14.4.1 V&V “OSSE”
- 14.4.2 Standards and protocols
- 14.4.3 Infrastructure
- Model migration
- 14.4.4 Archive Data repository

- Major Decision
- Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- Ready to Use

2020  2025  2030
• Identify metrics (specify for technology or sub-capability)
  – Number of models integrated
  – Acceptance and use by broad system engineering community
  – Success in using initial integration to contribute to near term missions
  – Migration of the tools to next generation missions and spirals
  – Acceptance and eventual “commercialization”
  – Reduction in the number and disparity of models
    ◦ -evolution of standard models that are V&V

• Figures of merit for the technology
  – Radical reduction in the cost of mission development and time to “market”/solution
  – Ability to have a complete view of the system and it’s sensitivities and interactions
  – Ability to query and to make broad system trades while maintaining the relevant “physics”
- **Assessment of current state-of-the-art of capability**
  - Description of how key component technologies or sub-capabilities are integrated to provide the capability
  - Current Capability Readiness Level (CRL) (Note: In limited cases where CRLs do not apply, other appropriate methodologies may be used to assess capability readiness)
  - Capability development needed to achieve CRL required by a mission; level of performance and expected deliverables
  - Need date
  - (THIS CAN BE A TABLE)
Capability 14.4: Related technologies/dependencies

- Assessment of current state-of-the-art of key component technologies
  - Leading technology candidates
  - Current technology readiness levels (TRLs)
    - Define TRL for specific capabilities (Note: In limited cases where TRLs do not apply, other appropriate methodologies may be used to assess capability readiness)
    - What current/planned capabilities is this being applied to?
  - Key gaps between current state-of-the-art and required performance levels
  - Need date to reach required TRL for key technology (or text description of readiness level)
Capability 14.4: Priorities
### Capability 14.5: M&S environments and infrastructure

**Speaker:** Mark Gersh, Lead

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dave Bader</td>
<td>Irene Qualters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Gersh</td>
<td>Dan Reed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsengdar Lee</td>
<td>Ricky Rood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Meacham</td>
<td>Quentin Stout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Norton</td>
<td>Thomas Zang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capability 14.5 Description: M&S environments and infrastructure

- Specifies processes, specialized infrastructure, and technology required to enable successful development and implementation of modeling and simulation constructs
  - Product model libraries and data repositories
    - Hierarchies of model components with static and dynamic behavior attributes
    - Geographically distributed but logically coherent
  - Verification, Validation & Accreditation new capabilities
    - Processes using modeling & simulation to test
    - Testing and calibrating models & simulations
  - Simulation tools and environments
    - Visualization tools
    - Data assimilation techniques
  - Modeling application tools, methods and environments
    - Modeling frameworks
    - Software engineering
    - Parallelization of codes
    - Legacy code integration
  - Model-based contracting
    - Going beyond digital text to facilitate procurement transactions between customer and supplier
• Captures capabilities and technologies that “crosscut” and span the science, engineering, operations, and integration elements
  – Capabilities and technologies extend commercially available abilities
  – Raises visibility, focuses attention and insight

• Identifies issues that transcend individual elements
  – Every mission affected by each crosscutting theme
  – Cost- and time-to-solution considerations dictate that activities identified as cross-cutting be approached in a consistent manner

• Recommends resolution approaches that benefit the broad constituency
  – Provides vehicle for sustainable leverage from cross agency and industry collaborations
• Recognize computational community and technology will continue to march forward and NASA cannot dictate pace

• **Standards & Protocols:** will continue to evolve driven by standards bodies, professional societies, government intervention, and marketplace dynamics

• **Information Security and Access:** systematic vigilance, commercial and federal standards and best practices followed

• **Availability of infrastructure capabilities assumes progressive technology trends**
  – **Computing trends:** massively parallel systems, hybrid computing architectures
  – **Communication trends:** exponential growth in traffic, universal high bandwidth
  – **Data storage and management:** peta- to yotta- scale data storage, development of scalable management tools and methodology
  – **Integration technology and capability:** tools continually expand their range of applicability and scale
Capability 14.5: Current State-of-the-Art

- Product model libraries and data repositories
  - Rudimentary, discipline-explicit libraries with little cross domain integration
  - Creation of some generic, tailor-able components

- Verification, Validation & Accreditation
  - Little methodology and directives for using M&S within VV&A processes
  - Limited use of M&S techniques in VV&A

- Simulation tools and environments
  - DoD High Level Architecture Run Time Environment supports military operational war fighting simulations
  - Highly limited to domain specific implementations

- Modeling applications and tools, methods, environments
  - Fragmented; difficult to integrate multidisciplinary models
  - Very few models are implemented in scalable parallel codes
  - Data management is more document driven than granulized to the object level

- Model-based contracting
  - Mostly research constructs and prototype demonstrations
  - No defined legal or organizational policies and procedures in place
Capability 14.5: Need statement / Gap Analysis
14.5 M&S environments and infrastructure

14.5.1 Product model libraries and data repositories
- Toolboxes of framework models
- Frameworks mature, usable in multiple science domains

14.5.2 VV&A new capabilities
- Many codes converted to framework compatibility
- Several validated science and engineering codes

14.5.3 Simulation tools and environments
- Codes written for scalable parallelization and used in frameworks
- Integrated codes operate as more complex science and engineering models

14.5.4 Modeling applications and tools, methods, environments

14.5.5 Model-based contracting
- Frameworks widely used in simulation environments, including HWIL & HIL
- 1000X computation, communication, storage

End-to-end simulation capabilities
- Validated operational codes integrated into validated software environment
- Validation of software environment code

Data assimilation in multiple modules
- Immersive VR based on accurate science-based models with assimilated data

Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- Ready to Use
- Major Decision

2005
- 2010 SDO
- 2014 Solar Orbiter
- 2014 CO2
- 2014 MEO InSAR

2010 LISA
- 2012 Merc. Lander
- 2013 MSR
- 2013 VISE
- 2013 Titan Exp.
- 2014 JPOP/JIM
- 2014 TPF-C
- 2014 MEO
- 2014 CO2
- 2014 Solar Orbiter

2014 MEO
- 2014 CO2
- 2014 Solar Orbiter

2015 UV obs.
- 2015 Lunar Manned
### 14.5 M&S environments and infrastructure

#### 14.5.1 Product model libraries and data repositories
- Validation of integrated systems of science codes

#### 14.5.2 VV&A new capabilities
- Planetary and Heliospheric simulation, data assimilation, prediction
- Data assimilation of real-time data from multiple high-bandwidth sources

#### 14.5.3 Simulation tools and environments
- All major legacy codes converted to highly scalable, software environment friendly

#### 14.5.4 Modeling applications and tools, methods, environments
- Validated system-of-system codes, including human systems
- Faster-than-real time hi-fi predictive simulations incorporating all sources
- 10^6 times computation, communications, storage, & power

#### 14.5.5 Model-based contracting
- Operations modeling: computational optimization of responses to anomalies as they are detected, including human effects

---

**Timeline:**
- **2020:** Ready to Use
- **2025:** Major Event / Accomplishment / Milestone
- **2030:** Major Decision
## Capability 14.5: Maturity Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product model libraries and data repositories</td>
<td>Individualized meta data models and model libraries Data repositories logically and physically distributed</td>
<td>Meta data Standards Model interfaces Logical Data Architecture</td>
<td>Full data life cycle</td>
<td>Full system life cycle implemented for selected model communities</td>
<td>Full system life cycle for all mission critical modeling communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verification, Validation &amp; Accreditation new capabilities</td>
<td>No process No use of automation Ad hoc unit-level complexity</td>
<td>Uniform systematic Unit-level complexity</td>
<td>Uniform systematic Subsystem-level complexity</td>
<td>Uniform systematic System-level complexity</td>
<td>Uniform systematic Systems-of-systems-level of complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation tools and environments</td>
<td>Virtual reality demo projects Data assimilation typically ad hoc manner.</td>
<td>VR quite common Data assimilation techniques expanded</td>
<td>High fidelity VR Mature science-based unit data assimilation for single data modes Simulations run in software frameworks</td>
<td>Use of hifi VR with systems-level data assimilation incorporating restricted data modes</td>
<td>Systematic use of hifi VR using system of system models with science-based assimilated multimodal real-time data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeling applications and tools, methods, environments</td>
<td>Demo frameworks, Parallel codes available for some components, most based on legacy codes.</td>
<td>Frameworks used by selected communities. Parallelization tools expand their range of usefulness.</td>
<td>All new codes are written for software environment with parallelization.</td>
<td>Major legacy codes replaced by scalable parallel ones which run in software environment.</td>
<td>Systematic use by all M&amp;S developers for full lifecycle of NASA missions. Complete complex models run efficiently on highly parallel systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model-based contracting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Intellectual Property/ITAR and Data Rights**
  – Envision a marketplace of models interfacing within a bazaar of simulations
  – Sharing and integrating best of breed will rule the day

• **Enabling Partnerships**
  – NASA must leverage extensive DOD and DOE experience and efforts in “high-end” M&S policies, procedures, and infrastructure
  – NASA must exploit COTS software when available and fund needed functionality as an extension to commercial capability
  – NASA, along with other Agencies, must support university and industrial research to help achieve capabilities

• **Human Resources Development**
  – Success requires cultural change in Agency attitudes and available abilities catalyzed by focused training and education of civil servants and contractors

• **Sustained software infrastructure maintenance**
  – Incorporate funding mechanism to support full system life cycle including maintenance and evolution of M&S tools used throughout Agency
  – Create suitable career paths for people designing and maintaining software infrastructure
• AMSA is about fundamentally changing the way NASA does technical business
  • To lower risk of future demanding missions
  • To enable classes of missions not doable with today’s modeling technology
  • To improve decision-making throughout NASA by enabling end-to-end system simulations.
• Key capabilities are
  • Scientific modeling simulation
  • Operations modeling
  • Engineering modeling and simulation
  • Integration
  • M&S environments and infrastructure
## Capability 14: Driving Missions

### Full AMSA list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Science</th>
<th>Operations</th>
<th>Engineering</th>
<th>Integration</th>
<th>M&amp;S Env.&amp; infra.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPP</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDO</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPOESS</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LISA</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Trop Wind</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSR</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VISE</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crewed CEV Mission 1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar Orbiter</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPOP/JIM</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHS</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPF-C</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con-X</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Manned</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV Obs.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Trop Aerosols</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Column Ozone</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPF-I</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar manned base</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geo InSAR Constellation</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN-space construction</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-Diamond</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEO Global Prec</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life finder</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titan SR</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key technical challenges:

- Major challenges in meeting required technologies/capabilities

- Alternatives or offramps
### AMSA relationship to other CRMs - Overview

| AMSA Area                          | High Energy Power & Propulsion | In-space Transportation | Advanced telescopes & observatories | High-capacity telecom /information transfer | Robotic access to planetary surfaces | Human planetary landing systems | Human Health and support systems | Human exploration systems and mobility | Autonomous systems and robotics | Transformational Spaceport and Range | Scientific instruments/sensors | In-situ resource utilization | Advanced modeling and simulation | Systems engineering cost/ risk analysis | Nanotechnology/ advanced concepts |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| High Energy Power & Propulsion   | *                               |                         |                                     |                                             |                                        |                                |                                 |                                        |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| In-space Transportation          | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                |                                 |                                        |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Advanced telescopes & observatories | *                             | *                       | *                                  |                                             | *                                      |                                |                                 |                                        |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| High-capacity telecom /information transfer | *                             | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                |                                 |                                        |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Robotic access to planetary surfaces | *                             | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                |                                 |                                        |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Human planetary landing systems  | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Human Health and support systems | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Human exploration systems and mobility | *                             | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Autonomous systems and robotics  | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Transformational Spaceport and Range | *                             | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Scientific instruments/sensors   | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| In-situ resource utilization      | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Advanced modeling and simulation | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Systems engineering cost/ risk analysis | *                             | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |
| Nanotechnology/ advanced concepts | *                               | *                       | *                                  |                                             |                                        |                                | *                               | *                                      |                                   |                                  |                                  |                             |                                   |                                   |                                  |                                  |

**Legend:**
- **Moderate Relationship**
- **No Relationship**
- **Critical Relationship**
# Relationship to other CRMs-Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advanced Modeling, Simulation, Analysis capability</th>
<th>Capability Flow and Criticality</th>
<th>Related Roadmap</th>
<th>Nature of Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Scientific modeling and simulation engineering modeling and simulation All instrument/sensor types |  | Scientific Instruments & Sensors | *Enables Systems architecture studies  
*Provides applications for science discovery and analysis  
*Enables instrument design tradespaces  
*Allows end-to-end instrument design and performance assessment |
| Engineering modeling and simulation |  | Systems engineering and cost/risk analysis. | *Provides advanced modeling techniques for all aspects of project  
*Provides frameworks for tying multiple models together |
| Operations modeling and simulation |  |  | Requirements determination, and expansion of the trade space |
| Engineering modeling and simulation |  | Advanced telescopes and observatories | Provides understanding of system trades and risks across implementation approach  
Enables system level assessment of size and stability (mechanical & thermal) properties from both passive and active approaches |
| Engineering modeling and simulation |  |  | Provides advanced mission system and subsystem level modeling, simulation and analysis tools to analyze and do design trades on future telescope and observatory architectures and systems. |
| Engineering modeling and simulation Operations modeling and simulation System Integration |  |  | Provides advanced modeling, simulation and analysis software and hardware tools for highly integrated end to end modeling (structural, thermal, optical, control, …) |
| M&S Environments and Infrastructure |  |  | Provides infrastructure tools that enable efficiently managed data for future advanced telescopes and observatories |
| Engineering modeling and simulation |  | Nanotechnology and advanced concepts | Provides multi-scale modeling for materials, devices and systems |
| Engineering modeling and simulation |  | Robotic access to planetary surfaces | Provides EDL modeling (CFD) for entry systems  
Provides EDL Control sys modeling for entry systems  
Provides planetary atmospheres modeling for designing entry controls systems |
<p>| Scientific Modeling and Simulation |  |  |  |
| Engineering modeling and simulation |  |  | Provides TPS modeling for TPS design |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advanced Modeling, Simulation, Analysis capability</th>
<th>Capability Flow and Criticality</th>
<th>Related Roadmap</th>
<th>Nature of Relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engineering modeling and simulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Space Communications</td>
<td>Improved modeling and manufacturing process increases power efficiency for RF communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering modeling and simulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Autonomous Systems, Robotics, and Computing Systems</td>
<td>High fidelity terrain modeling and analysis; Model-based detection for ISHM; Logistics: Modeling of failure mechanisms; ISHM: V&amp;V methods for models;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M&amp;S Environments and Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collaborative information analysis and sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Activity plan development and analysis; Autonomous Science Analysis, Predictive Modeling, and Optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering modeling and simulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>High Energy Power and Propulsion</td>
<td>Autonomous Control (Nuc Power) Design/Model; Heat Rejection System design analysis and trades; Shield design analysis and trades.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering modeling and simulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Human Health &amp; Support Systems</td>
<td>Space Human Factors Models &amp; simulations; Design tools &amp; requirements; Maintain, improve risk assessment models/ Analyze proposed mission architectures; Risk analysis model for med events; Med simulation model (testbed); Biomedical models of human systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas where SRMs either mentioned modeling or the topic area need in general</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps, where SRMs did not mention modeling, nevertheless modeling should be applied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View of AMSA support indicates if an SRM explicitly identified how the AMSA CRMs would aid their goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified topics are based only on data within SRM documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# AMSA Relationship to SRMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broad Topics Captured</th>
<th>SRM Teams</th>
<th>宇航员与机器人</th>
<th>火星与机器人</th>
<th>太阳系探索</th>
<th>搜索地球样的行星</th>
<th>飞行系统测试</th>
<th>国际空间站</th>
<th>宇宙探索</th>
<th>地球科学 &amp; 应用</th>
<th>太阳系连接</th>
<th>航空技术</th>
<th>教育</th>
<th>核能系统</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aero-assist, Aero-capture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human-in-the-loop (EDL training, field experiments, virtual testbeds, flight tech.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary Atmospheres and/or Interior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Space Propulsion and Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacecraft / Aircraft System Validation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated Rendezvous and Docking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SRM Identification of AMSA Support</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Some</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Some</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Areas where SRMs either mentioned modeling or the topic area need in general</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaps, where SRMs did not mention modeling, nevertheless modeling should be applied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View of AMSA support indicates if an SRM explicitly identified how the AMSA CRMs would aid their goals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified topics are based only on data within SRM documents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Make changes to roadmaps based on verbal feedback from NRC review

Receive the draft Strategic Roadmaps

Review and Assess all applicable Strategic Roadmaps and their requirements for AMSA capability

Make changes to AMSA roadmaps to ensure consistency with Strategic Roadmaps requirements

Develop rough order of magnitude cost estimates for the AMSA Capability Roadmap

Prepare for 2nd NRC Review which will focus on 4 additional questions:
  - Are there any important gaps in the capability roadmaps as related to the strategic roadmap set?
  - Do the capability roadmaps articulate a clear sense of priorities among various elements?
  - Are the capability roadmaps clearly linked to the strategic roadmaps, and do the capability roadmaps reflect the priorities set out in the strategic roadmaps?
  - Is the timing for the availability of a capability synchronized with the scheduled need in the associated strategic roadmap?

Deliver Draft Roadmap

Review SRM/CRM drafts

Align to other Roadmaps; Estimate Costs

NRC Summary Review

Incorporate changes

Deliver Final Product