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A pressurization system model was developed for a generic bimese Two-Stage-to-Orbit Reusable Launch Vehicle using a crossfeed system and operating with densified propellants. The model was based on the pressurization system model for a crossfeed subscale water test article and was validated with test data obtained from the test article. The model consists of the liquid oxygen and liquid hydrogen pressurization models, each made up of two submodels, Booster and Orbiter tank pressurization models. The tanks are controlled within a 0.2-psi band and pressurized on the ground with ambient helium and autogenously in flight with gaseous oxygen and gaseous hydrogen. A 15-psi pressure difference is maintained between the Booster and Orbiter tanks to ensure crossfeed check valve closure before Booster separation. The analysis uses an ascent trajectory generated for a generic bimese vehicle and a tank configuration based on the Space Shuttle External Tank. It determines the flow rates required to pressurize the tanks on the ground and in flight, and demonstrates the model's capability to analyze the pressurization system performance of a full-scale bimese vehicle with densified propellants.

I. Introduction

To meet the goals for a next-generation Reusable Launch Vehicle (RLV), a unique propulsion feed system concept was identified using crossfeed between the Booster and Orbiter stages. Crossfeed refers to the flow of propellants from the Booster tanks to the Orbiter tanks. The crossfeed system allows the Booster and Orbiter engines to draw propellant only from the Booster tanks during the first part of the ascent. After the propellant flow is transitioned to the orbiter tanks, the Booster is staged, and the Orbiter, with full tanks, proceeds to orbit (Fig. 1). In this particular design concept, a passively activated check valve is used to terminate the flow between the stages. As the Orbiter tank isolation valve is opened to initiate flow from the orbiter tankage to the orbiter engines, the higher pressure in the main propulsion system (MPS) line resulting from the hydrostatic head and pressure scheduling of the orbiter tankage causes the check valve to close. After flow through the crossfeed line is terminated, the disconnects are closed to isolate and seal the crossfeed sections from the exterior. Then the vehicles perform the separation maneuver, and the orbiter continues on to orbit.

Figure 1. Crossfeed system concept
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As part of the Space Launch Initiative (SLI) TA-8 MPS Crossfeed Demonstration project\textsuperscript{2,3}, a model was developed to analyze transient flow in the crossfeed system. The model consisted of a pressurization system model and a crossfeed system model. A subscaled water flow test article was also designed and built to demonstrate the crossfeed concept. This test article provided test data that were correlated to the pressurization and crossfeed system models. As the goal of the crossfeed system is to reduce the weight and Design, Development, Test, and Evaluation (DDT&E) of a Two-Stage-to-Orbit (TSTO) Reusable Launch Vehicle (RLV) while increasing its safety and reliability, the pressurization system performance of a full-scale bimese TSTO RLV was modeled using the validated pressurization system model of the water flow test article. This paper presents the approach and results of this modeling.

II. Modeling Approach

A pressurization system model consisting of a tank thermodynamic model and a pressurization line model was developed for the crossfeed subscale water flow test article in Huntington Beach, California. This model was used to predict the performance of the pressurization system for the test article. Following the completion of the subscale water flow tests, the model was correlated to the test data. The validated model was then updated to a full-scale generic bimese vehicle with cryogenic propellants.

The tank thermodynamic model is a lumped parameter model that consists of four nodes: ullage, liquid, tank wall facing ullage, and tank wall facing liquid (Fig. 2). The tank wall is split into two nodes because of the large temperature difference between the two wall sections and the resulting heat conduction across their interface. Although thermal stratification exists in these nodes, the model assumes uniform temperatures in the ullage and liquid. The pressurization system model determines the thermodynamic properties (pressure, temperature, volume, and mass) of the nodes as a function of time from start of ground prepress to main engine cutoff (MECO). These properties are governed by the conservations of mass and energy, the equation of state, and the thermophysical properties of the fluids\textsuperscript{4,5,6}.

The pressurization system models were developed using EASY5 PC-Win32 and the General Purpose Library. EASY5 is a software used to model, analyze, and design dynamic systems characterized by differential, and algebraic equations\textsuperscript{7}. EASY5 models can be assembled in two ways: (1) using primitive functional blocks, such as summers, dividers, and integrators, from the General Purpose library, or (2) using application-specific components from the gas dynamics, basic hydraulic, thermal-hydraulic, multiphase fluid libraries, etc. The pressurization system models for the TSTO RLV were based on the flight-verified models of the Space Shuttle and Delta IV. To take full advantage of the knowledge and experience gained in modeling the Shuttle and Delta IV pressurization systems, the TSTO RLV’s models were developed from the basic governing equations using the General Purpose Library.

The pressurization system models for the bimese TSTO RLV consists of the LO\textsubscript{2} tank and LH\textsubscript{2} tank pressurization models. Each model is made up of a Booster submodel and an Orbiter submodel. A representative EASY5 block diagram is shown in Fig. 3 for the liquid oxygen (LO\textsubscript{2}) Booster tank model. The diagrams for the LO\textsubscript{2} Orbiter, liquid hydrogen (LH\textsubscript{2}) Booster, and LH\textsubscript{2} Orbiter tank models are identical to that of the LO\textsubscript{2} Booster tank, except for their input data, which are specific to the types of propellants and vehicle stages.
In addition to the primitive functional blocks, a number of Fortran components were created to calculate the ullage pressure, time rate of change of ullage temperature, and heat-transfer rates between the ullage, liquid, and tank wall. These Fortran components were used in a Fortran model developed in parallel with the EASY5 model to check out the EASY5 pressurization system models.

The thermophysical properties of the propellants (oxygen and hydrogen) and prepress gas (helium) are obtained from the database of the National Institute of Standards and Technology. They are entered in the Fortran components and determined by table lookup using one- and two-variable interpolation subroutines.

Initiation and termination of ground prepress are achieved by using a square wave generator. The square wave output signal, which represents the prepress flow rate, is set to a positive value from the start of prepress to T-0 and to zero thereafter. Initiation of in-flight pressurization is achieved by using a step function generator. The step function output signal, which represents the pressurization flow rate, is equal to zero from the start of prepress to engine start and to a positive value thereafter. For both ground prepress and in-flight pressurization, ullage pressure control is achieved by using a deadband controller. The controller output is positive when the ullage pressure falls to the minimum pressure and is zero when the ullage pressure reaches the maximum pressure of the control band.

A number of tabular functions are used to provide various inputs to the model. The propellant density and specific heats and the tank wall specific heat are given as a function of temperature in single-column one-dimensional tables. The ascent trajectory, tanking tables, and liquid properties are provided in multicolumn one-dimensional tables. In the ascent trajectory, the LO₂ and LH₂ flow rates from the Booster and Orbiter tanks, vehicle axial acceleration, and ambient pressure are given as a function of time. In the tanking tables, the liquid height, liquid surface radius, wall area, and wall mass are provided as a function of liquid volume.

### III. Analysis Conditions

A pressurization system analysis was performed for the full-scale generic bimodal vehicle. The analysis is divided into two parts: (1) LO₂ Booster and Orbiter tanks; and (2) LH₂ Booster and Orbiter tanks. The analysis determines the tank thermodynamic conditions from start of ground prepress to Orbiter MECO. Since the Booster tank is staged after crossfeed flow termination, the analysis ends at staging for the Booster tank, but continues to MECO for the Orbiter tank.

The tanks are pressurized on the ground with ambient gaseous helium (GHe). In flight, the LO₂ tanks are autogenously pressurized with gaseous oxygen (GO₂) and the LH₂ tanks with gaseous hydrogen (GH₂). For both ground prepress and in-flight pressurization, the ullage pressure is maintained within a 0.2-psi control band. To satisfy the Booster engine inlet pressures, the Booster ullage pressures are set at

- LO₂ Booster ullage: 21 ± 0.1 psig
- LH₂ Booster ullage: 36 ± 0.1 psig

To ensure crossfeed check valve closure when the Orbiter isolation valve opens, a 15-psi pressure difference is maintained between the Booster and Orbiter tanks. As a result, the set points of the Orbiter ullage pressures are

- LO₂ Orbiter ullage: 36 ± 0.1 psig
- LH₂ Orbiter ullage: 51 ± 0.1 psig
The analysis considers the case where one Orbiter engine is out, so that all five Booster but only four Orbiter engines are operating at liftoff. It is based on an operation sequence that consists of a 6-second staggered start transient, Orbiter engine throttling, Booster engine throttle down before crossfeed termination and shutdown after Booster staging, and Orbiter engine shutdown. The main events of this sequence are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time, Seconds</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-6.000-0.000</td>
<td>Engine start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Liftoff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.000-62.000</td>
<td>Orbiter engine throttling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.000-97.000</td>
<td>Booster engine throttle down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.200-100.600</td>
<td>Booster engine shutdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.000-110.000</td>
<td>Orbiter isolation valve opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113.000-116.000</td>
<td>Disconnect valve closing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.100-117.100</td>
<td>Booster/Orbiter separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.000-120.000</td>
<td>Orbiter engine mixture ratio change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.000-126.000</td>
<td>Booster engine shutdown/begin glide back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345.000-353.800</td>
<td>Orbiter engine shutdown/begin low-g coast</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the start of ground prepress, the tank conditions are given by Table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>LO₂</th>
<th>LH₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent ullage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ullage pressure, psig</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ullage temperature, R</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid temperature, R</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall temperature (facing ullage), R</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall temperature (facing liquid), R</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The timeline for tank pressurization is defined as follows:

- Booster and Orbiter prepress from T-120 s to T-0 s (liftoff)
- Booster pressurization from T-6 s to T+126 s (Booster MECO)
- Orbiter pressurization from T-6 s to T+353.8 s (Orbiter MECO)

IV. Results and Discussions

Using the conditions established in Section IV, the pressurization system model determines the thermodynamic conditions in the Booster and Orbiter propellant tanks from the start of prepress to Orbiter MECO. The ullage pressure, sump pressure, and ullage mass were plotted in Figs. 4 through 9 for the LO₂ and LH₂ Booster tanks, and Figs. 10 through 15 for the LO₂ and LH₂ Orbiter tanks.

On the ground, the LO₂ tanks are pressurized with 1 lb/s and the LH₂ tanks with 2 lb/s of GHe. The GHe consumption during prepress totals 21 lbₐ for the LO₂ Booster tank, 53 lbₐ for the LH₂ Booster tank, 30 lbₐ for the LO₂ Orbiter tank, and 69 lbₐ for the LH₂ Orbiter tank.

On the Booster side, the LO₂ tank is pressurized with 26.1 lbₐ/s and the LH₂ tank with 4.5 lbₐ/s, resulting in an ullage mass residual of 2142 lbₐ in the LO₂ tank and 667 lbₐ in the LH₂ tank at staging. On the Orbiter side, the LO₂ tank is pressurized with 14 lbₐ/s and the LH₂ tank with 3 lbₐ/s, amounting to 3185 lbₐ in the LO₂ tank and 858 lbₐ in the LH₂ tank at Orbiter MECO.
Figure 4. LO₂ Booster ullage pressure

Figure 5. LO₂ Booster sump pressure

Figure 6. LO₂ Booster ullage mass

Figure 7. LH₂ Booster ullage pressure
Figure 8. LH₂ Booster sump pressure

Figure 9. LH₂ Booster ullage mass

Figure 10. LO₂ Orbiter ullage pressure

Figure 11. LO₂ Orbiter sump pressure
Figure 12. LO₂ Orbiter ullage mass

Figure 13. LH₂ Orbiter ullage pressure

Figure 14. LH₂ Orbiter sump pressure

Figure 15. LH₂ Orbiter ullage mass
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The maximum tank pressures are obtained at the sumps where the pressure heads are largest. They are about 45 psig at the LO₂ Booster sump, 39 psig at the LH₂ Booster sump, 79 psig at the LO₂ Orbiter sump, and 65 psig at the LH₂ Orbiter sump. They occur when the ullage gage pressures, liquid height, and vehicle acceleration combine to give the largest sump pressures: this happens around liftoff for the Booster tanks, and at about T+90 seconds for the Orbiter tanks.

From T-0 to the start of Orbiter tank outflow, the Orbiter ullage pressure rises by 5.8 psi in the LO₂ tank and 9.5 psi in the LH₂ tank. The combined effects of a decreasing ambient pressure during ascent and a constant ullage volume prior to Orbiter tank outflow cause this pressure rise. The constant ullage volume maintains the ullage pressure in absolute pressure at the levels reached during prepress, even without flight pressurization. Once flows from the Orbiter tanks are initiated and the Orbiter ullage pressures start decaying, in-flight pressurization reestablishes ullage pressure control in the tanks.

V. Conclusions

The pressurization system model developed using EASY5 for a generic bimese TSTO RLV was used to determine the pressurization requirements for the LO₂ Booster and Orbiter tanks and LH₂ Booster and Orbiter tanks. Using a generic trajectory that provides ascent data from liftoff to Orbiter MECO, the model determine the GHe requirement for ground prepress and the GO₂ and GH₂ requirements for in-flight pressurization. It shows that the tanks can be adequately pressurized to meet engine inlet pressure requirements and crossfeed valve operating conditions. The model also demonstrates its capability to perform a pressurization system performance for a generic bimese vehicle with densified cryogenic propellants and can be used to design the pressurization systems of future bimese TSTO RLV’s.
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