UWB Tracking Algorithms – AOA and TDOA

Abstract

Ultra-Wideband (UWB) tracking prototype systems are currently under development at NASA Johnson Space Center for various applications on space exploration. For long range applications, a two-cluster Angle of Arrival (AOA) tracking method is employed for implementation of the tracking system; for close-in applications, a Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA) positioning methodology is exploited. Both AOA and TDOA are chosen to utilize the achievable fine time resolution of UWB signals.

This talk presents a brief introduction to AOA and TDOA methodologies. The theoretical analysis of these two algorithms reveal the affecting parameters’ impact on the tracking resolution. For the AOA algorithm, simulations show that a tracking resolution less than 0.5% of the range can be achieved with the current achievable time resolution of UWB signals. For the TDOA algorithm used in close-in applications, simulations show that the (sub-inch) high tracking resolution is achieved with a chosen tracking baseline configuration. The analytical and simulated results provide insightful guidance for the UWB tracking system design.
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Motivation
(UWB Fine Time Resolution to Precise Tracking)

- 1 ns $\rightarrow$ 1 foot, 3 ps $\rightarrow$ 1 mm (ranging, linear)
- Tracking (positioning in 2D/3D) resolution (nonlinear)
- Long Range application: AOA (Angle of Arrival)
- Proximity Application: TDOA (Time Difference of Arrival)
Angle of Arrival (AOA)

\[
\frac{\sin \alpha}{a} = \frac{\sin \beta}{b} = \frac{\sin \gamma}{c}
\]

\[
T\left[ \frac{d \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{\sin(180^\circ - (\theta_1 + \theta_2))}, \frac{d \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2}{\sin(180^\circ - (\theta_1 + \theta_2))} \right]
\]
Two Cluster Design

Long Range Assumption: $r_1, r_2 >> a$

- $c \cdot_{12} \cdot a \cos \cdot_{1}$
- $\cdot_{1} \cdot \arccos (c \cdot_{12}/a)$

- $c \cdot_{43} \cdot a \cos \cdot_{2}$
- $\cdot_{2} \cdot \arccos (c \cdot_{43}/a)$
Tracking Simulation
Perfect TDOA Information

Default Setting:
- Cluster Size $a=15$ meters
- Baseline Size $d=50$ meters
- Tracking Range $r=610$ meters (2000 feet)
- Tracking Angle $\theta=0\sim180$ degree
Two-Cluster-AOA-Tracking (perfect TDOA)

- Antenna Position
- Actual Position
- Tracked Position
Resolution vs. Angle

Error Norm (m) vs. Angle of Target

- Tracking Error
- Max Error
- Mean Error
- Min Error
Default Setting:

- Cluster Size $a = 15$ meters
- Baseline Size $d = 50$ meters
- Tracking Range $r = 610$ meters (2000 feet)
- Tracking Angle $\theta = 30^\circ \sim 150^\circ$ degree
- TDOA Noise Level $\sigma = 10$ picoseconds
Two-Cluster-AOA-Tracking (noisy TDOA)
Resolution vs. Affecting Parameters

\[ \text{MSE} = f(a, d, r, \sigma) \]

- **a** – cluster size (distance between two antennas)
- **d** – baseline size (distance between two receivers)
- **r** – tracking range (distance from target to origin, angle)
- **\( \sigma \)** – TDOA noise level (standard derivation of TDOA)
Resolution vs. Cluster Size

The distance between two antennas in one cluster (m)

Average Error Norm (m)

- Perfect TDOA
- Noisy TDOA
The distance between two clusters (m)

Average Error Norm (m)

Resolution vs. Baseline Size

Perfect TDOA

Noisy TDOA
Resolution vs. Range

The Tracking Range (m)

Average Error Norm (m)

Perfect TDOA
Noisy TDOA
Resolution vs. TDOA Noise

Average Error Norm (m) vs. Std of TDOA Noise (ns)
AOA Summary

- Analysis shows that AOA algorithm can achieve fine tracking resolution using TDOA estimates with low noise level.
- Analysis provides guidance for system design to improve the tracking resolution.
  - To increase the cluster size.
  - To decrease the noise level of TDOA estimates (hardware/DSP techniques).
Time of Arrival (TOA)
Drawbacks of TOA

- Ranging: requires duplex transmission and incurs overhead (Asynchronization)
- Synchronization: hard to achieve the synchronization precision between the transmitter and receiver; 1 microsecond synchronization error can easily translate into 300 meters of range error
Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)

Hyperbola: \[ b^2 x^2 - a^2 y^2 = a^2 b^2 \]
Time Difference of Arrival (TDOA)
Advantages of TDOA

- No synchronization between Tx and Rx
- Simplex (one-way) data estimation
- Cross-correlation works well to obtain TDOA
TDOA Equations (2D)

\[ D_{12} = \tau_{12}c = \sqrt{(x_1 - x)^2 + (y_1 - y)^2} - \sqrt{(x_2 - x)^2 + (y_2 - y)^2} \]

\[ D_{13} = \tau_{13}c = \sqrt{(x_1 - x)^2 + (y_1 - y)^2} - \sqrt{(x_3 - x)^2 + (y_3 - y)^2} \]

\[ D_{23} = \tau_{23}c = \sqrt{(x_2 - x)^2 + (y_2 - y)^2} - \sqrt{(x_3 - x)^2 + (y_3 - y)^2} \]
TDOA Algorithm

- Taylor Series Expansion Least Squares Iterative Algorithm
  (Initialization problem and convergence problem)

- Two-Stage Weighted Least Squares Algorithm
  (one more receiver)
Resolution Analysis (setting)
Resolution Analysis (MSE)

\[ \text{MSE} = \frac{c^2 \sigma^2 r_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} (a_i^2 + b_i^2)}{r^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i^2 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3} a_i b_i \right)^2 \right)} \]

\[ a_i = \cos \phi_i + \frac{r_i - r_0}{r} \cos \theta \]

\[ b_i = \sin \phi_i + \frac{r_i - r_0}{r} \sin \theta \]

\[ r_i = \sqrt{r_0^2 + r^2 - 2r_0 r \cos(\theta - \phi_i)} \]
Tracking Simulation Demo

Orbit Tracking

- Tracking Resolution vs. TDOA noise
- Tracking Resolution vs. Receiver Configuration
- Tracking Resolution vs. Dynamic Reference
2D UWB Tracking (std=0.01)
## Error Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Deviation of TDOA (ns)</th>
<th>Maximum Error (m)</th>
<th>Average Error (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>60.0461</td>
<td>4.9656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>7.5611</td>
<td>0.5468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.7218</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The tracking error is linear to the standard deviation of TDOA data
2D UWB Tracking (std=0.01)
TDOA Summary

- TDOA algorithm can achieve fine tracking resolution with UWB fine time resolution
- Tracking resolution is proportional to TDOA noise level
- Receiver antenna configuration matters
- Dynamic reference can improve the tracking resolution
Future Work

- **AOA**
  To study the scalable baseline configuration to increase the tracking coverage

- **TDOA**
  To improve the tracking resolution using enhanced algorithm (Totally Least Square Algorithm)
  To study the optimal receiving antenna configuration

- **AOA and TDOA**
  Extend the tracking dimension (from 2D to 3D)