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Abstract

The on-orbit management of liquid hydrogen planned for the return to the moon will introduce new considerations not encountered in previous missions. This paper identifies critical liquid hydrogen sensing needs from the perspective of reliable on-orbit cryogenic fluid management, and contrasts the fundamental differences in fluid and thermodynamic behavior for ground-based versus on-orbit conditions. Opportunities for advanced sensor development and implementation are explored in the context of critical Exploration Architecture operations such as on-orbit storage, docking, and trans-lunar injection burn. Key sensing needs relative to these operations are also examined, including: liquid/vapor detection, thermodynamic condition monitoring, mass gauging, and leak detection. Finally, operational aspects of an integrated system health management approach are discussed to highlight the potential impact on mission success.

Introduction

The recent unveiling of NASA’s Exploration Architecture reveals a scenario that will mark a first in space operations: the extended storage and handling of large quantities of liquid hydrogen on-orbit. The closest precedent has been much shorter coast periods of Centaur upper stages for some missions (e.g., 33 min for the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter (ref. 1). The on-orbit management of liquid hydrogen planned for the return to the moon will introduce new and critical considerations not encountered in previous missions.

Figure 1 provides a conceptual operations timeline for the earth-to-orbit phase of the mission (refs. 2 and 3). First, the Cargo Launch Vehicle (CaLV) delivers the Lunar Surface Access Module (LSAM) and the Earth Departure Stage (EDS) to low earth orbit (LEO). The Crew Exploration Vehicle (CEV) is delivered to LEO next on a separate Crew Launch Vehicle (CLV). Finally, the CEV, LSAM, and EDS rendezvous on-orbit and begin the trans-lunar injection burn.

The elapsed time between the CaLV lift-off and the docking of the CEV, LSAM, and EDS could be from hours to days depending on a variety of factors including mission planning, pre-launch anomalies, and weather conditions. During this period the liquid hydrogen/liquid oxygen departure stage is subjected to a low-gravity orbital environment that poses unique challenges for liquid hydrogen system management.

Similar low-gravity cryogenic fluid management challenges result from the operational need for a fueled CEV/SM (service module) to remain at the International Space Station (ISS) for periods up to six months, with the capability to leave the ISS within minutes (ref. 4).

From a commercial space perspective, NASA Administrator Michael Griffin has consistently stated his interest in promoting private sector development of space transportation elements such as earth-orbiting fuel depots (ref. 5). These depots could replenish space vehicles bound to the moon or Mars with liquid hydrogen and other required propellants that typically make up half the weight of an earth-launched vehicle, thus substantially reducing launch costs. New liquid hydrogen sensor technologies will be necessary to enable orbital depots, and will also likely find application in ground-based systems supporting the emerging hydrogen economy infrastructure.

The objective of this paper is to identify critical liquid hydrogen sensing needs from the perspective of reliable on-orbit cryogenic fluid management. It is hoped that these identified needs will provide some insight into opportunities for advanced sensor development and implementation in support of the Exploration Architecture, as well as emerging commercial applications.

Liquid Hydrogen System Issues

All ground-based liquid hydrogen systems are predicated on decades of experience in a normal gravity environment, resulting in well known and manageable conditions:

Liquid/Vapor Distribution

Liquid settles at bottom of the tank, and the gaseous ullage collects at the top, resulting in a well defined flat interface. This predictable distribution of liquid and vapor permits critical operations such as liquid transfer from the tank bottom, gaseous venting from the tank top, active cooling by condensation of ullage vapor, and estimation of the liquid quantity via liquid level measurement.

Thermal Stratification

In general, the temperature distribution in both the liquid and vapor is horizontally stratified due to buoyancy forces.
The liquid-vapor interface is at saturation temperature dictated by the tank vapor pressure, with the ullage being increasingly superheated as a function of distance above the interface. If the tank pressure is maintained constant (e.g., by controlled venting) all of the liquid below the interface is also at saturation temperature. If the tank is being pressurized, the bulk of the liquid is subcooled at distances below the interface. This thermal stratification behavior allows reliable operations such as long term storage and pressurized liquid expulsion.

**Heat Transfer**

Heat leak from the environment can be quantified for a cryogenic tank as a function of environment conditions and fill level. The dependency on fill level is primarily due to two factors: higher heat leak paths from the tank penetrations (generally located at the top of the tank), and higher heat transfer rate at portions of the tank wall in contact with the liquid. Once quantified, the predictable heat leak performance of a liquid hydrogen tank allows reliable storage management and good estimation of the amount of hydrogen lost to boiloff over any period of time.

**Insulation Integrity**

Insulation performance can be monitored by measurement of the vacuum level in the insulated vacuum jacket of the tank. Any physical damage to the outer surface of the tank, or leakage from within the tank, will be immediately evident from a rise in vacuum jacket pressure. The timely indication of degraded insulation performance is critical to minimizing loss of hydrogen from the tank.

**Leak detection**

Hydrogen leaks will quickly vaporize, warm, diffuse, and rise in an air environment due to buoyancy effects. This behavior allows placement of a minimum number of leak detectors in key locations. Reliable detection of hydrogen leaks is critical to both operational safety, and maintenance of hydrogen quantity.

Most of these conditions are also relevant in the induced acceleration environment of a launch vehicle under thrust. On-orbit, however, none of the above conditions are valid. Instead, a new set of conditions exists that must be addressed by a combination of system design, operational procedures, and advanced sensors to insure mission success. Table 1 summarizes the difference in key conditions for ground-based versus on-orbit liquid hydrogen systems.

**Sensor Considerations**

Figure 2 illustrates some of the fundamental fluid behavior differences between a ground-based liquid hydrogen tank under normal gravity conditions and one in an orbital environment. These differences in fluid behavior, along with the other conditions summarized in Table 1, point to the need for advanced sensing capabilities to insure reliable orbital operations.

Key sensing needs include: liquid/vapor detection, thermodynamic condition monitoring, mass gauging, and leak detection. In addition, several sensor system design drivers and desirable characteristics must be addressed such as: lightweight construction, low power draw, high reliability, multifunctional capabilities, and compatibility with integrated health system management configurations.
Liquid/Vapor Distribution

Perhaps the most critical sensing need for extended management of liquid hydrogen on-orbit is the capability to detect the location of liquid and vapor within the tank. This capability is vital to key low-gravity operations such as venting for pressure relief and liquid transfer. For example, without reliable knowledge of the liquid/vapor distribution, significant quantities of liquid hydrogen can be inadvertently vented. Other concerns include injection of pressurant directly into the liquid resulting in insufficient pressurization; and/or ingestion of large quantities of vapor during engine firings. Any of these scenarios could potentially result in loss of mission.

Some generic sensing approaches to address this issue include: Combinations of operational procedures, liquid acquisition devices, and preferentially placed sensors; An array of point sensors of sufficient quantity and placement; Optical or other techniques that rely on differences in vapor and liquid refraction, transmission, reflection, etc.

Thermodynamic Condition Monitoring

Information on the thermodynamic condition of a cryogen, conventionally measured by temperature and pressure, is vital for extended on-orbit storage and cryogenic fluid management. Without detailed knowledge of thermodynamic conditions in all regions of a cryogenic tank, the behavior of the system under a variety of operations cannot be predicted. Some critical system behaviors and parameters related to thermodynamic conditions include: pressure control (ref. 7), ullage collapse (ref. 8), engine feed conditions, active cooling, and explosive boiling (ref. 9).

A single sensor (properly located) is generally sufficient to characterize the pressure for all cryogenic contents within a closed tank. However, multiple temperature sensing locations are needed due to the uncertain temperature distribution caused by the lack of buoyancy forces in low gravity. An array of temperature sensors, or innovative techniques for measurement of temperature dependent fluid properties, would allow inference of thermodynamic conditioning.

Mass Gauging

Accurate prediction of the quantity of liquid hydrogen remaining in a propellant tank is critical for mission operations. Traditional ground-based mass gauging techniques use point sensors or a capacitance probe to detect or infer the liquid level. Normal gravity insures that the interface defined by the liquid level separates the ullage gas in the upper region of the tank, and the liquid region below. The mass of liquid hydrogen in the tank can then be readily estimated with knowledge of the average liquid density and tank geometry.

In a low gravity environment, mass gauging techniques cannot assume a priori knowledge of the liquid-vapor distribution nor the geometry of the interface(s). Successful mass gauging methods must integrate data throughout the entire tank volume to arrive at an acceptable estimation of fill level. Proposed methods include: arrays of point sensors, optical/RF/microwave techniques, and acoustic/pressure wave methods.

Leak Detection

The potential for hydrogen leaks pose contamination, safety, and propellant loss risks. The characteristics of the plume formed by a hydrogen leak in space will vary based on a variety of vehicle, environmental, and leak source conditions. This variability complicates the detection of hydrogen
leaks both on-orbit and in lunar transit. Possible methods to
detect leaks may depend on optical/visual monitoring, point
sensors in key locations, and/or internal tank monitoring
(e.g., mass gauging).

Summary Considerations

Table 2 summarizes primary liquid hydrogen system
parameters that need to be addressed. Also included are criti-
cal operations supported by each parameter, and some of the
key issues that need to be resolved to implement a sensor
based solution. Note that in general, it would be highly desir-
able to have more than one parameter measured or inferred by
the same multifunctional sensor array (e.g., liquid/vapor detec-
tion, temperature, and mass gauging).

| TABLE 2.—PRIMARY PARAMETERS, SUPPORTED OPERATIONS, AND KEY ISSUES |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Parameter                | Operations          | Issues                    |
| Liquid/vapor detection   | Venting, pressuriza-
                          | Spatial resolution,      |
                          | tion, engine feed       | response time             |
| Thermodynamic            | Storage, engine     | Spatial resolution,      |
| condition                | feed                | accuracy                  |
| Mass gauging             | Engine feed         | Accuracy, uncertainty     |
| Leak detection           | Storage, vehicle    | Source identification,    |
|                         | maneuvers           | false negatives           |

Spatial resolution is a key issue for both liquid/vapor detec-
tion and thermodynamic condition monitoring. Because of the
complex liquid and temperature distributions possible in a
low-gravity environment, complete data throughout the tank
internal volume is necessary. For liquid/vapor detection, fast
response time (e.g., milliseconds) is also critical to capture
fluid movements during periods of induced acceleration.

Since temperatures in the fluid will change in times scales
of seconds to minutes, sensor accuracy is more critical than
response time for thermodynamic condition monitoring.
Changes in the liquid temperature of one degree Centigrade or
less can have significant impact on the thermodynamic behav-
ior of the system.

Key issues for mass gauging include both accuracy and
uncertainty. An accurate estimate of liquid mass is critical to
assessing whether sufficient propellant remains to support
planned mission operations. Additionally, many currently pro-
posed methods rely on fluid distribution assumptions that
introduce potentially high uncertainty in the estimate of liquid
mass.

For leak detection, the ability to pinpoint the source of the
leak is critical in identifying appropriate corrective action.
Also, the avoidance of “false negatives” (i.e., a leak is not
detected by the sensor system) is paramount.

Integrated System Health Management

Key to maximizing the utility of any liquid hydrogen
system sensor for space exploration is compatibility with an
integrated system health management (ISHM) architecture. A
liquid hydrogen system ISHM would enable automated real-
time monitoring of critical system parameters, and ideally take
corrective action when necessary to insure proper system
operation. In the event that human intervention is necessary,
the ISHM could also provide diagnostic data and procedural
recommendations.

As a conceptual example of how a liquid hydrogen ISHM
system might function, consider the Earth Departure Stage
(EDS) of the Cargo Launch Vehicle (CaLV) as illustrated in
figure 3 (ref. 10). Assume that the EDS liquid hydrogen tank
is populated with an array of sensors that provide the neces-
ary data to monitor liquid/vapor distribution, thermodynamic
conditions, leakage, and liquid mass. Further assume that the
EDS has been delivered to low earth orbit awaiting docking
with the CEV. Below is a hypothetical mission scenario of
how an ISHM system could operate under these conditions.

On-Orbit Storage

Orbital heat leak into the EDS hydrogen tank is absorbed
primarily by the liquid, raising the average liquid temperature.
Information on the thermal stratification (i.e., temperature
distribution) of the liquid from the sensor array indicates that
some of the liquid is subcooled relative to the tank pressure.
Based on this data, the ISHM reduces tank pressure as needed.
by energizing a fluid mixer in the hydrogen tank to bring the contents into equilibrium.

Later in the mission, the sensor array detects superheated liquid on the sun-facing side of the tank. This condition can lead to explosive boiling and potential over-pressure of the tank. To mitigate this risk, the ISHM again energizes a mixer to eliminate the superheat.

Eventually, there is insufficient subcooling in the liquid to lower pressure by mixing. If a cryocooler has been integrated into the EDS hydrogen system, the ISHM begins active cooling of the tank and mixes the contents as necessary to lower pressure. If no active cooling capability exists, settling thrusters are fired by the ISHM to position the ullage gas over the vent port. Once the liquid/vapor detection function confirms the location of the ullage, the ISHM vents gas until the desired pressure is reached, and the thrusters are then deactivated.

**Docking**

Prior to docking with the CEV, the ISHM assesses the condition of the EDS liquid hydrogen system, predicts the effects the docking maneuver will have on the system, and prepares accordingly. Cryocooler and mixer operations are shut down at the earliest possible time to conserve power since the induced acceleration of the docking will provide mixing, and potential ullage positioning, for final pressure control adjustment.

An external leak detection sensor indicates a minute quantity of hydrogen is still coming from the tank following final venting for pressure control adjustment. The ISHM correlates this data with a colder than anticipated temperature down stream of the vent valve, and issues a command to cycle the valve. Once the ISHM has confirmed that this action has corrected the leakage problem, the docking sequence is initiated.

After docking, in preparation for pressurization of the tank for engine feed to begin trans-lunar injection, optimum tank pressure is reached by the ISHM based on all the parameter data supplied by the sensor array. Mass gauging confirms that propellant remains within acceptable margins to meet mission objectives.

**Trans-Lunar Injection**

As pressurization of the hydrogen tank begins prior to engine firing, the ISHM collects data on the internal tank conditions. The degree of subcooling of the bulk liquid is carefully monitored, and modified if necessary by mixing or other techniques to mitigate ullage collapse. Ullage collapse occurs when subcooled liquid comes in sudden contact with the liquid-vapor interface causing a rapid pressure drop to the saturation pressure value corresponding to the liquid temperature. During pressurized or pressure assisted expulsion, ullage collapse can cause disruption of propellant flow to the engines.

As the engines are fired, the temperature of the liquid leaving the hydrogen tank is monitored to insure the inlet temperature to the engines is within design range. The ISHM also senses temperatures throughout the bulk liquid (i.e., detailed temperature distribution) and takes any preemptive action necessary to insure the outlet temperature remains acceptable throughout the expulsion process. The mass gauging function insures that propellant usage is following the mission profile, otherwise the ISHM takes action to either correct the situation or provide critical data for human intervention decisions.

**Concluding Remarks**

The need for new sensor systems to enable cryogenic fluid management for the NASA’s Exploration Architecture has been advocated, with particular emphasis on the orbital issues associated with the EDS liquid hydrogen tank. Sensor systems used for ground-based liquid hydrogen tanks are inadequate for extended low gravity cryogen management due to the fundamental differences in fluid and thermodynamic behavior.

Key required capabilities include: liquid/vapor detection, thermodynamic condition monitoring, fill level/mass gauging, and leak detection. Furthermore, the integration of these capabilities into an Integrated Health Management System can significantly reduce risk and increase the likelihood of mission success during critical operations such as on-orbit storage, docking, and trans-lunar injection.

A final issue for consideration are the trade-offs associated with alternative solutions that are not sensor-based. From a system integration standpoint, a new sensor system must demonstrate significant advantages over other design and operational approaches in order to be selected for implementation. Table 3 summarizes potential alternative methods for addressing the key on-orbit liquid hydrogen management issues raised in this paper, along with advantages and disadvantages of each approach. It is likely that an optimum solution will incorporate a hybrid approach that leverages the inherent advantages of integrated sensors, overall system design, and modified operational scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Alternatives</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquid/vapor distribution</td>
<td>Extensive use of settling thrusters</td>
<td>Predictable liquid/vapor distribution</td>
<td>Increased propellant mass for thrusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermodynamic conditions</td>
<td>Extensive use of mixer and/or fluid circulation</td>
<td>Maintains equilibrium conditions throughout the tank</td>
<td>Increased power, mass, and complexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass gauging</td>
<td>Active cooling: no venting</td>
<td>Constant mass until engine fire</td>
<td>Power and mass req’s; no real-time fuel gauge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak detection</td>
<td>Monitor static tank pressure</td>
<td>Simple implementation</td>
<td>Some leaks may go undetected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>Alternatives</td>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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