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Robust joining approaches for silicon carbide ceramics are critically needed to fabricate leak free joints with high temperature mechanical capability. In this study, titanium foils and physical vapor deposited (PVD) titanium coatings were used to form diffusion bonds between SiC ceramics using hot pressing. Silicon carbide substrate materials used for bonding include sintered SiC and two types of CVD SiC. Microscopy results show the formation of well adhered diffusion bonds. The bond strengths as determined from pull tests are on the order of several ksi, which is much higher than required for a proposed application. Microprobe results show the distribution of silicon, carbon, titanium, and other minor elements across the diffusion bond. Compositions of several phases formed in the joint region were identified. Potential issues of material compatibility and optimal bond formation will also be discussed.
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1. Application – Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems Lean Direct Injector (MEMS LDI) for Advanced Aircraft Gas Turbines

2. Joining Approach – Diffusion Bonding of Silicon Carbide Ceramics With a Titanium Interlayer
   A. Benefits of Approach
   B. Processing Matrix for Joining Technology Development
   C. Results - Microscopy

3. Summary and Conclusions
(Left) Multi-Point Lean Direct Injector accelerates fuel-air mixing and has small recirculation zones with short residence time that reduces NOx emission.

(Center) 3-inch square metal MP-LDI with 45 injectors.

(Right) Detail of fuel and airflow.

SiC laminates can be used to create intricate and interlaced passages to speed up fuel-air mixing to allow lean-burning, ultra-low emissions.

Benefits of Laminated Plates
- Passages of any shape can be created to allow for multiple fuel circuits
- Provides thermal protection of the fuel to prevent choking
- Low cost fabrication of modules with complicated internal geometries through chemical etching

Key Technologies:
- Bonding of SiC to SiC
- Brazing of SiC to Metallic (Kovar) Fuel Tubes
Joining SiC to SiC

Disadvantages of Previous Method of Joining with a Silicate Glass Layer
- Difficult to achieve a uniform layer
- Relatively low strength
- Silicate glass flows and fills in holes and edges where it is not desired
- Due to these disadvantages, previous glass joints were not leak-free

Advantages of Ti Layer Joining
- Uniform Ti layers can be applied
- Ti can be applied by different methods (foil, PVD, and other coating approaches)
- High strength and leak free bonds
- Good high temperature stability

The objective is to develop joining technology that has the following capabilities:
- Joining of relatively large geometries (i.e. 4” diameter disks)
- Leak-free at an internal pressure of 200 psi (1.38 MPa)
- Stability and strength retention at 800°F (427°C)
SiC and Ti Material Combinations:
1. 1.75” diameter α-SiC (CRYSTAR from Saint-Gobain) disks joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) foil
2. 1.75” diameter CVD SiC (TREX Enterprises) disks joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) foil
3. 1” x 2” CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) coupons joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti coating on one of the surfaces
4. 1” x 2” CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) coupons joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) foil
5. 1” x 2” CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) coupons joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti coating on both of the surfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Pressure* (MPa)</th>
<th>Time (hr)</th>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>Cooling Rate (°C/min)</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (materials 1, 2, and 3)</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>24, 24, 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Microscopy &amp; Microprobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (materials 1 and 3)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>24, 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (materials 1 and 3)</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (materials 1, 4 and 5)</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>24, 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*at the minimum clamping pressure for the hot press (except for processing at 50 MPa)
Electron Microprobe Analysis of the “Titanium” Foil

**EDS-1 Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
<th>Atomic %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>5.890</td>
<td>9.9997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>94.110</td>
<td>90.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EDS-2 Precipitate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Mass %</th>
<th>Atomic %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2.885</td>
<td>5.0450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>90.577</td>
<td>89.2144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>3.472</td>
<td>3.1504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>3.066</td>
<td>2.5901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diffusion Bonds Analysis: Microscopy and Microprobe

Effect of Material Combinations and Processing Parameters (to be shown on the following slides):

1. Material Combinations of Ti and Substrate (1250°C and minimum clamping pressure)
   - α-SiC (CRYSTAR from Saint-Gobain) discs joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) foil
   - CVD β-SiC (TREX) joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) foil
   - CVD β-SiC (Rohm & Hass) joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti coating on one of the surfaces

2. Effect of Cooling Rate (1250°C and minimum clamping pressure)
   - 5°C/min and 2°C/min

3. Effect of Higher Processing Temperature
   - 1300°C

4. Effect of a Higher Hot Press Load and Resulting Stress
   - 50 MPa

5. Effect of Ti Type and Thickness
   - 38 micron Ti foil, and ~10 and ~20 micron PVD Ti interlayers
Effects-Slide 1 - Material Combinations of Ti and Substrate
Conditions: 1250 °C, Minimum Clamping Pressure, 2 hr hold, Vacuum, 5 °C/min Cooling Rate

- \( \alpha \)-SiC joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) Ti foil
- CVD SiC (TREX) joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) Ti foil
- CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti Interlayer

Microcracks are present in bonds formed with the Ti foil.

Cracks are submicron.

No microcracks in bonds formed with the PVD Ti interlayer.
Effects-Slide 2 – Effect of Cooling Rate: 2 and 5°C/min
Conditions: 1250 °C, Minimum Clamping Pressure, 2 hr hold, Vacuum

α-SiC joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) Ti foil

A slower cooling rate of 5 °C/min did not alleviate the microcracking.
Effects-Slide 3 – Effect of Higher Processing Temperature
Conditions: 1300 °C, Minimum Clamping Pressure, 2 hr hold, Vacuum, Cooling Rate 2 °C/min

α-SiC joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) Ti foil

CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) joined with a 10 micron PVD Ti Interlayer

Minimal difference from processing at the higher temperature of 1300°C.
Effects-Slide 4 – Effect of Higher Processing Stress
Conditions: 1250 °C, 50 MPa, 2 hr hold, Vacuum, Cooling Rate 2 °C/min

α-SiC joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) Ti foil

CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) joined with ~ 10 micron PVD Ti Interlayer

Minimal difference from processing at the higher stress of 50 MPa.
Effects-Slide 5 – Effect of Ti Type and Thickness
Conditions: 1250 °C, 31 MPa, 2 hr hold, Vacuum, Cooling Rate 2 °C/min

CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) joined with a 1.5 mil (38 micron) Ti foil
CVD SiC (R & H) joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti Interlayer
CVD SiC (R & H) joined with ~20 micron PVD Ti Interlayer

Debonding was observed in the material combination of Ti foil and CVD SiC. In all cases that the Ti foil was used as the interlayer, microcracking was observed. Minimal microcracking was observed when a PVD Ti interlayer was used. Well reacted diffusion bond formed when the thickness of the PVD Ti interlayer was doubled.
Microprobe of α-SiC Reaction Bonded Using Ti Foil
Conditions: 1250 °C, 24 MPa, 2 hr, vacuum, 5 °C/min

Microcracking may be due to the formation of two detrimental phases:

- Phase B Ti₅Si₃Cₓ – Ti₅Si₃ if highly anisotropic in its thermal expansion where CTE(c)/CTE(a) = 2.72 (Schneibiel et al).
- Phase E – Ti₃Al has low ductility at low temperatures. Al can be in the range of 23-35 atm % (Djanarthany et al).

Both phases can contribute to thermal stresses and microcracking during cool down.
Microprobe of TREX CVD SiC Reaction Bonded Using Ti Foil
Conditions: 1250 °C, 24 MPa, 2 hr, vacuum, 5 °C/min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Atomic Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD SiC</td>
<td>Average 45.0724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>Average 27.6739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>Average 7.3882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>Average 6.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase D</td>
<td>Average 1.1908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase E</td>
<td>Average 12.9321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Averages are from 5 different points within the phase

The same detrimental phases of Ti$_5$Si$_3$ (B) and Ti$_3$Al (D) are formed which can contribute to microcracking during cool down.

Note how cracks appear to originate in Phase B or in the core, however they are absent from outer phase (Phase A)
Microprobe of CVD SiC (R & H) Bonded Using PVD Ti
Conditions: 1250 °C, 31 MPa, 2 hr, vacuum, 5 °C/min

The use of a high purity Ti interlayer contributed to a less complex diffusion bond.
Microprobe of CVD SiC Reaction Bonded Using PVD Ti Conditions: 1250 °C, 31 MPa, 2 hr, vacuum, 5 °C/min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVD SiC Average</td>
<td>45.898</td>
<td>54.095</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase A Average</td>
<td>24.686</td>
<td>18.690</td>
<td>56.621</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B Average</td>
<td>3.0282</td>
<td>61.2168</td>
<td>35.7521</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>100.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The undesirable phases of Ti₅Si₃ and Ti₃Al were not formed.

Identity/source of the black phase or voids still needs to be determined.
Initial Strength Tests on Diffusion Bonded CVD SiC with a PVD Ti Interlayer

Initial pull test tensile strengths:
> 23.62 MPa (3.43 ksi)*
> 28.38 MPa (4.12 ksi)*

* failure in the adhesive

The injector application requires a strength of about 3.45-6.89 MPa (0.5 - 1.0 ksi).
The new 1” sample design (partially coated disks) will allow for stresses of 62 MPa (9 ksi) to be applied (due to a large adhesive/pull area compared to the diffusion bond area).
Summary and Conclusions

• A robust method of bonding SiC to SiC has been developed and optimized.

• Diffusion bonds fabricated with the alloyed Ti foil as the interlayer formed microcracks due to the formation of thermally anisotropic and low ductility phases.

• Diffusion bonds fabricated with the PVD Ti coating gave better diffusion bonds than the alloyed Ti foils
  • Bonds were uniform with no delaminations.
  • Preferred phases were formed which resulted in bonds without microcracks.

• The currently planned sub-element tests will further evaluate this bonding method to determine if it is fully capable of meeting the needs of the proposed injector application – uniform, leak-free bonds with stability and strength retention at temperatures up to 800°F.