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Abstract

The Ares-I launch vehicle represents a challenging flex-body structural environment for control system design. Software filtering of the inertial sensor output will be required to ensure control system stability and adequate performance. This paper presents a design methodology employing numerical optimization to develop the Ares-I bending filters. The filter design methodology was based on a numerical constrained optimization approach to maximize stability margins while meeting performance requirements. The resulting bending filter designs achieved stability by adding lag to the first structural frequency and hence phase stabilizing the first Ares-I flex mode. To minimize rigid body performance impacts, a priority was placed via constraints in the optimization algorithm to minimize bandwidth decrease with the addition of the bending filters. The bending filters provided here have been demonstrated to provide a stable first stage control system in both the frequency domain and the MSFC MAVERIC time domain simulation.
Introduction

The Ares-I vehicle represents a challenging flexible body structural environment for control system design. There are several unique characteristics of the Ares-I that the control system design task has to take into account. First, Ares-I vehicle is aerodynamically unstable; the center of pressure of the vehicle is ahead of the center of gravity of the vehicle [1]. Second, the vehicle mass properties vary during the flight. Third, the minimum weight design [2] leads to a long thin-wall cylinder vehicle configuration which is very flexible. The large flex response in the feedback loop may cause control structure interaction and eventually result in instability if excessive control gain and incorrect phasing are present.

To achieve desired performance for Ares-I control system with guaranteed robust stability, the control design can be divided into four phases: (1) Optimal rigid performance control system designs which include a phase plane control design for roll channel and PID controller designs for pitch and yaw channels [3], (2) Sensor location and blending selection to reduce flex response [4], (3) Flex bending filter design to guarantee robust stability and performance and (4) High fidelity nonlinear simulation to verify stability and performance of the overall Ares-I control system [5]. These four design phases are not necessarily performed in sequence; for example, flex bending filter and PID control designs can be combined into an iterative design phase. Due to the length constraint of this paper, only the filter design will be described; PID control gains and sensor location is assumed given and will be detailed in a later section.

Digital filter designs have been extensively investigated in the literature. Applications of filter design have been found in control and dynamics engineering [7]-[11]. Traditional digital filter designs have been performed in continuous-time domain and then converted to the discrete-time domain [7][9]. The most popular analog filter design approaches include Butterworth, Chebyshev and elliptic filter. Direct digital filter design methodologies are also available in the literature [8]. These methodologies have been used for open-loop system designs by shaping filters to meet the open-loop performance specifications in the frequency domain. Unfortunately, most of the closed-loop system stability/performance requirements cannot be directly mapped into the open-loop system specifications. Thus, even if the filter design satisfies the open-loop system specification, it may not meet the closed-loop system requirements. Ultimately, engineers still have to modify the filter designs manually until all the closed-loop system specifications, such as stability, performance, and robustness, are met.

It is conceivable that there exists more than one 'open-loop filter design which will satisfy all the closed-loop requirements. Then, the question is raised: which filter design is the best one? In the past three decades, engineers and mathematicians have devoted their efforts to answer this question by developing modern control theory. In general, modern control design methodologies
utilize optimization processes to determine the filter/controller designs that provide the best closed-loop performance according to different system metrics. The H\textsubscript{2} control method minimizes the 2-norm of the closed-loop system, which does not guarantee closed-loop stability margins. The H\textsubscript{\infty} control method generates the optimal filter based on the minimum of the maximum of the closed-loop singular value. However, it may still not meet the closed-loop stability margin requirements. The constrained H\textsubscript{2} optimization method \cite{10,11} expands the design space by including the closed-loop stability margin requirements. In order to preserve the convexity of the problem, the infinite-dimensional Youla parameterization is approximated by a finite number of orthogonal basis functions. Typical orthogonal basis functions include the FIR, Laguerre, and GOBF \cite{12}. The orthogonality of these basis functions may limit its application space. For example, all the orthonormal basis functions either have no zeros or pole dependent zeros in their filter architecture. Recently, a robust controller design methodology was proposed using a numerical constrained optimization approach to maximize stability margins while meeting performance requirements \cite{16}-\cite{19}. This novel control design methodology has then successfully used to design a single robust CMG flex filter set for multiple International Space Station (ISS) stages \cite{16} and robust flex filters and a PID controller for the entire time varying Orbiter Repair Maneuver operation \cite{18}.

The paper addresses the Ares-I control system/structural dynamic interaction problem, the use of constrained optimization for filter development, analytical model development based on Saturn heritage, stability and margin requirements definition, and time domain performance demonstration.

**Ares I Control System**

Ares-I uses a single five-segment solid rocket booster for the first stage, a derivative of the space shuttle's solid rocket booster. A liquid oxygen/liquid hydrogen J-2X engine derived from the J-2 engine used on Apollo's second stage will power second stage. First stage control is accommodated by Thrust Vector Control (TVC) for the pitch and yaw axes, and Reaction Control System (RCS) for the roll axis. Based on the fact that vehicle flexibility is significantly reduced for the 2\textsuperscript{nd} stage and not much flexibility is present in the roll channel \cite{13,14}, only the pitch/yaw attitude control system in the 1\textsuperscript{st} stage of the ascent flight is considered in this paper. Because of the symmetry of the vehicle and small cross coupling, pitch and yaw attitude control systems are assumed identical \cite{13,14}.

The Ares-I attitude control system model as shown in Figure 1 includes the Ares-I dynamics and attitude-hold controller modules. The Ares-I dynamics modules consist of both rigid and flex dynamics models \cite{13,14}; the dynamics modules receive actual gimbal angles and output
attitude and rate gyro measurements. The attitude error and rate error signals from the difference between command attitude/rate and desired attitude rate are filtered by the attitude and rate filter, correspondingly. The filtered error signals are sent to the PID controller as shown in Figure 2 to generate the commanded gimbal angles, which drive the gimbal dynamics [20].

Figure 1. Ares-I Attitude Control System Model

Both the PID gains and flex filters influence the Ares-I stability margin. With the assumption that the PID gains have been selected for rigid-body performance, the Ares-I controller design
task can be reduced to determining the bending filter parameters to meet rigid and flex stability margins. The bending filter design procedure is detailed in the next sections.

**Constrained Optimization Filter Design**

It has been previously demonstrated in multiple space applications [16]-[19] that bending filters can be designed numerically using a constrained optimization framework. The design parameters are the coefficients of bending filters. For example, if an \( n \)th order transfer function architecture is selected for both attitude and rate filter, the total number of design parameters is \( 4n \). A set of feasible parameters must satisfy the following constraints

(C1). The filter itself must be stable and minimal phase to guarantee stability and performance.

(C2). The bandwidth of the bending filter should be greater than that of the PID controller to avoid rigid performance degradation.

These constraints can be used to set the upper and lower bounds for the design parameters [16].

The primary objective of ARES-I control system design is to provide sufficient stability margins in the presence of various uncertainties while maintaining adequate system response. The stability margin criteria from [14] are used in this paper:

(O1). The closed-loop Ares-I control system must be robustly stable under mass property, flexibility and atmosphere characteristics variation.

(O2). At least 6 dB gain margin and 30 degree phase margin for rigid only control system.

(O3). At least 6 dB gain margin of the peak amplitude for gain stabilized bending modes.

(O4). At least 45 degree phase margin for phase stabilized bending modes.

Gain stabilization of a flexible mode refers to a filter design where the flex mode amplitude is attenuated to an extent to not cause a stability concern. Phase stabilization of a flexible mode refers to a filter design where the phasing of the first mode does not cause a stability concern. In the latter case, the control system may actively damp the structure flexure.

ARES-I control systems must also ultimately demonstrate robustness to uncertainties in the plant. The goal is to design bending filters robust to structural frequency, mode shape, mass property and aerodynamics characteristics uncertainty. In this paper, only mass property variation and structural frequency uncertainty are considered; mass property variation is modeled using frozen time rigid dynamics for flight time at [10:10:120 129] seconds and structural uncertainty is modeled via bending mode frequency shift from nominal of +/-10% at a 5% increment. In all, a total of 70 frozen systems (\( G \)) are used to represent 1st stage flight.

Once design objectives and constraints are identified, the bending filter design task is ready to cast as the following constrained optimization problem
The filter design criteria ((C1) and (C2)) can be formulated as inequality constraints; the design objective, can be cast either as an inequality constraint, \( g(x) \), or as an objective, \( f(x) \), in the above multi-objective constrained optimization problem. In general, these objectives are competing, for example, maximizing gain margins usually will diminish phase margins; therefore, there is no unique solution to this problem. Therefore, Pareto optimality [23] must be applied to characterize the objectives; a weighted sum strategy has been used to convert the multi-objective problem into a single objective optimization problem.

Ares-I Filter Design

Preliminary Ares-I filter designs will be presented in this section to demonstrate the constrained optimization design methodology. There are three assumptions made for the bending filter design. First, the attitude control PID gains, designed to meet rigid body performance requirements, were not part of the design trade for flex body stability. Second, the structural model, defined at lift-off, was used throughout the entire 1st stage. Third, only a single sensor location in the Instrumentation Unit is considered. Alternative sensor location designs are not included here as the purpose of this paper was only to document attitude control design feasibility using pre-existing instrumentation.

Filter Design:

A single bending filter was designed for use during the entire 1st stage flight. As this design cycle involved only feasibility, gain scheduled filters were not used. Subsequent design cycles will consider gain scheduling to improve performance. 8th order filters were used for both
attitude and rate channels. It is also assumed that a 1% damping exists in the flex bending model and PD gains are provided as shown in Figure 1 in the following analysis.

![Figure 1. PD gains](image)

The stability margin results are summarized in Figure 2 to 4. The resulting Ares-I control system are stable with 10% frequency uncertainty for all flight time and possess 6 dB gain margin and 31 degree phase nominal stability margin for flight time < 120 second. The nominal Ares-I control system possesses 56 degree nominal phase margin for the gain stabilized bending mode 1 and 2, and 6 dB gain margin of the peak amplitude for other gain stabilized bending modes. If 10 percent frequency variation exists, the gain margins reduce to 5 dB and the phase margins remain 31 degree phase margin for flight time < 120 second; phase margin for the 1st and 2nd bending modes are down to 44 and 53 degree and the gain margin of the peak amplitude for other gain stabilized bending modes is down to 4 dB. The Nichols chart for the nominal Ares-I control system shown in Figure 5 demonstrates the stability margin, gain margin and phase margin at max-Q.
Figure 2. Overall Stability Margin

Figure 3 Stability Margin for the 1st Bending Mode
Figure 4. Stability Margin for the 2nd Bending Mode

Figure 5. Nichols Charts for Nominal Ares-I Control System at Max Q
This filter design has been verified via a high fidelity nonlinear MAVERIC [5] simulation. The results presented herein were generated using MAVERIC version 2.5 and version 2.5 of the Ares-I vehicle simulation. MAVERIC input data files were configured as follows:

- Fuel slosh and flex enabled
- Use all modes from liftoff or burnout structural model with 0.5% modal damping
- Use primary sensor location (node 6002) and SRB excitation node 718
- Apply “1-cos()” wind gust near max-Q to induce engine gimbal response

The nominal response of the filter design has been demonstrated in Figure 8 to 13 [24]. Figures 8 and 9 show pitch and yaw gimbal response, respectively, for rigid body simulations with and without the bending filters included. This comparison illustrates the degree of rigid body performance impact when the bending filters are included. Figures 10 and 11 show pitch and yaw gimbal response, respectively, when comparing rigid body (with filters) versus flexible body (with filters). This comparison illustrates the performance impact due to including flexible body effects. The liftoff modes were used in the flexible body model for this comparison. Figures 12 and 13 show pitch and yaw gimbal response, respectively, when comparing flexible body responses for liftoff modes versus burnout structural modes. Notice that there is not much difference in the engine gimbal responses for the two sets of flex modes. This demonstrates control system robustness to variations in flexible body modes.
Figure 8. MAVERIC 6DOF Pitch Gimbal Rigid Body Response

Figure 9. MAVERIC 6DOF Yaw Gimbal Rigid Body Response
Figure 10. MAVERIC 6DOF Pitch Gimbal Rigid and Flex Body Response

Figure 11. MAVERIC 6DOF Yaw Gimbal Rigid and Flex Body Response
Figure 12. MAVERIC 6DOF Liftoff vs. Burnout Flex Pitch Gimbal Response

Figure 13. MAVERIC 6DOF Liftoff vs. Burnout Flex Yaw Gimbal Response
The MAVERIC 6-DOF simulation results for Ares-I first stage ascent flight show the control system to be stable in the time-domain including the effects of fuel slosh, body bending, and a wind gust injected near max-Q.

Summary

The Ares-I launch vehicle represents a challenging flex-body structural environment for control system design. Software filtering is required to ensure control system stability and adequate performance. This paper presents a design methodology employing numerical optimization to develop the Ares-I bending filters. The filter design methodology was based on a numerical constrained optimization approach to maximize stability margins while meeting performance requirements. The resulting bending filter designs achieved stability by adding lag to the first structural frequency and hence phase stabilizing the first Ares-I flex mode. To minimize rigid body performance impacts, a priority was placed via constraints in the optimization algorithm to minimize bandwidth decrease with the addition of the bending filters. The bending filter designs provided here have been demonstrated to provide a stable 1st stage control system in both the frequency domain and time domain simulation.
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