Electromagnetic Radial Forces in a Hybrid Eight-Stator-Pole, Six-Rotor-Pole Bearingless Switched-Reluctance Motor

Carlos R. Morrison, Mark W. Siebert, and Eric J. Ho

Abstract—Analysis and experimental measurement of the electromagnet force loads on the hybrid rotor in a novel bearingless switched-reluctance motor (BSRM) have been performed. A BSRM has the combined characteristics of a switched-reluctance motor and a magnetic bearing. The BSRM has an eight-pole stator and a six-pole hybrid rotor, which is composed of circular and scalloped lamination segments. The hybrid rotor is levitated using only one set of stator poles. A second set of stator poles imparts torque to the scalloped portion of the rotor, which is driven in a traditional switched reluctance manner by a processor. Analysis was done for nonrotating rotor poles that were oriented to achieve maximum and minimum radial force loads on the rotor. The objective is to assess whether simple one-dimensional magnetic circuit analysis is sufficient for preliminary evaluation of this machine, which may exhibit strong three-dimensional electromagnetic field behavior. Two magnetic circuit geometries, approximating the complex topology of the magnetic fields in and around the hybrid rotor, were employed in formulating the electromagnetic radial force equations. Reasonable agreement between the experimental results and the theoretical predictions was obtained with typical magnetic bearing derating factors applied to the predictions.

Index Terms—Bearingless motor, electromagnetic device, hybrid motor, magnetic field calculation, and switched-reluctance motor.

I. NOMENCLATURE

\( A_0^c \) Common cross-sectional area between aligned rotor and stator pole, 2\( \times 10^{-4} \) m\(^2\)

\( B \) Flux density (T)

\( B_k^c \) Flux density between stator pole \( k \) and circular rotor lamination stack (T)

\( B_k^s \) Flux density between stator pole \( k \) and scalloped rotor lamination stack (T)

\( E_k^c \) Energy density between stator pole \( k \) and circular rotor laminations (J/m\(^2\))

\( E_k^s \) Energy density between stator pole \( k \) and scalloped rotor laminations (J/m\(^2\))

\( F_k^c \) Magnetic force between circular lamination stack and stator pole \( k \) (N)

\( F_k^s \) Magnetic force between scalloped lamination stack and stator pole \( k \) (N)

\( F_m \) Magnetic force (N)

\( F_{net}^c \) Net downward magnetic force on circular rotor lamination stack (N)

\( F_{net}^s \) Net downward magnetic force on scalloped rotor lamination stack (N)

\( F_{T(lower)}^c \) Magnetic force on circular rotor lamination due to stator poles 1, 2, and 8 (N)

\( F_{T(lower)}^s \) Magnetic force on scalloped rotor lamination due to stator poles 1, 2, and 8 (N)

\( F_{T(lev)} \) Total net magnetic levitating force on the rotor (N)

\( F_{T(lev)}^c \) Total net magnetic levitation force on the circular rotor lamination due to stator poles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 (N)

\( F_{T(lev)}^s \) Total net magnetic levitation force on the scalloped rotor lamination due to stator poles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 (N)

\( F_{u(uni)} \) Total net downward magnetic radial force on rotor (N)

\( F_{u(net)}^c \) Net downward magnetic radial force on the unaligned scallop segment (Fig. 10) (N)
II. INTRODUCTION

There is a need for reliable, fail-safe, robust, compact, low-cost electric motors for applications with high temperatures or extreme temperature variations; switched-reluctance motors possess these characteristics [1]. These motors have been evaluated as high-speed starter-generators [2] and [3]. However, conventional switched-reluctance motors can suffer from undesired vibration due to (1) unbalanced lateral forces on the rotor caused by electrical faults, (2) mechanical offset of the rotor, and (3) uncontrolled pulsed current in one or more shorted coils [4]. A viable solution for mitigating mechanical vibration is to suspend the rotor magnetically via self-levitation. In addition, magnetic suspension of the rotor allows the motor to operate at much higher rotational frequency for a prolonged period. This benefit is due largely to the elimination of friction, as there is no physical contact between the stator and rotor. Consequently, motors incorporating magnetic bearings perform at higher efficiency than motors incorporating ball bearings. Self-levitation also obviates the need for a lubrication system, which has the added benefit of significantly decreasing the weight and complexity of turbomachinery mechanisms.
Methods for simultaneously levitating and rotating a rotor within a single stator in switched-reluctance motors have been proposed in [5] and [6]. In these motors, the technique of using differential stator windings was employed. The studies in [5] and [6] center primarily around the use of a main four-pole winding to rotate an eight-pole rotor while utilizing a two-pole winding to apply radial force to the rotor with all of the 12 stator poles having both windings thereon. A variation on this theme was described in [7], wherein only a single coil on each stator pole (in a 12/8 stator-rotor pole configuration) was employed to achieve motor-bearing action.

Self-levitation (also called self-bearing) of motors has been achieved for nearly every type of electric motor, but is very marginal in performance for switched-reluctance motors with low numbers of poles. The motoring technique disclosed in [8] will simultaneously levitate and rotate a rotor, not only for (18/12) and (12/8) stator-rotor pole combinations, but also (8/6) and (6/4) configurations while employing a single set of coils positioned on each stator pole. The motoring techniques described in [5] to [7] are not applicable to motors having low stator-rotor pole configuration of (8/6) or (6/4) because of the dearth of stator-rotor poles in appropriate positions to apply levitating forces. The hybrid rotor technique described in [8] will assure robust bearingless operation in all four aforementioned stator-rotor pole configurations. With circular laminations on the shaft, levitating force is always assured as the rotor spins.

A disassembled eight-pole stator, six-pole hybrid rotor (motor) is shown in Fig. 1. The rotor lamination stack has a cylindrical portion of its length (indicated by the right arrow in the picture) used for levitation and a scalloped portion (indicated by the left arrow) used for both levitation and motoring. The currents in the coils of the eight-pole stator are controlled by an algorithm that handles both the levitating and motoring functions. During normal operation, two pairs of opposing stator poles (at right angles to each other) levitate the rotor. The remaining two pairs of stator poles exert torque on the six-pole rotor lamination stack to produce rotation. The relative lengths of the circular and multipole lamination stacks on the rotor can be chosen to tailor the performance of the motor for a specific application. For a given overall length, increasing the length of the multipole stack relative to the circular stack results in an increase in torque relative to levitation load capacity and stiffness, and vice versa. For this paper, the rotor has a 2.525×10^{-2}m- (1-in.-) long hybrid segment comprising a 5.883×10^{-3}m- (0.233-in.-) long section of circular laminations for levitation and a 1.937×10^{-2}m- (0.767-in.-) long portion having six salient poles for rotation.

This paper focuses exclusively on the nonrotating magnetic bearing action forces in the (8/6) hybrid motor. Two types of magnetic force measurements were made for the nonrotating rotor in which two diametrically opposed rotor poles were aligned with stator poles. In the first measurement, only one stator pole was energized, and the force on the centered rotor was recorded as a function of current. In this situation, the flux through the excited pole returns through a number of unexcited poles. In the second type of measurement, a proportional-derivative (P-D) algorithm was used to levitate the nonrotating rotor. The rotor was then vertically displaced using a fixture containing a load cell, and the stator coil currents and force exerted on the rotor were recorded for each displacement value. In the corresponding analysis, the measured currents were utilized directly, thus making the calculations independent of the control law. The question this paper now seeks to answer is, can one successfully apply one-dimensional magnetic field analysis to the complex three-dimensional hybrid rotor to obtain the associated electromagnetic radial force?

A. Derivation of General Magnetic Force Equation

Fig. 2 depicts a portion of the rotor and a portion of a stator tooth on which the stator coil is energized to produce a magnetic field flux density $B$. The magnetic field interacts with the rotor at a distance $g$. The magnetic energy $w_m$ is thus given by the volume integral equation

$$w_m = \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \iiint B^2 dv$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\mu_0$ is the permeability of free space. Integration over the gap volume is possible if the magnetic core material is operating within its linear range so that the energy stored in the core can be neglected compared with that in the gap.

Substituting $dv = L' l_{tw} dg$ in (1), and neglecting leakage and fringing, yields

$$w_m = \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \int L' l_{tw} dg$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $L'$ is the stack thickness of the circular laminations, $l_{tw}$ is the width of a stator tooth, and $dg$ is the differential gap spacing. Taking the derivative of $w_m$ with respect to $g$ produces the magnetic force relation

$$F_m = -\frac{dw_m}{dg} = \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} L' l_{tw}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The mathematical form and value of the $B$ field impinging on the asymmetric rotor will be determined next.

III. NONLEVITATED MAGNETIC EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODELING FOR CENTERED ROTOR

Fig. 3 is an axial view of the motor.

A. Circular Lamination Force Contribution

A complex three-dimensional magnetic field topology exists in and around the rotor because of its hybrid design. However, the analysis will be restricted to a one-dimensional approach in order to reduce the mathematical complexity of the static radial force derivation. Each rotor segment (circular lamination stack and scalloped lamination stack) will be treated as an independent entity, and accordingly, we develop a one-dimensional magnetic radial force description for each
rotor segment. The magnetic radial forces will then be summed to obtain the total static magnetic radial force on the rotor.

Fig. 4 depicts the equivalent two-dimensional flux distribution in the rotor’s circular laminations and the eight stator poles. Each stator pole is at a distance $g_0$ (gap width) from the circular lamination stack and each pole shares a common cross-sectional area $A_0^c$ with the circular laminations. Fig. 5 is the corresponding magnetic equivalent circuit of Fig. 4. Both figures will be employed in deriving the static magnetic radial force equations.

The common cross-sectional area $A_k^c$ between the circular lamination stack and each stator pole can be expressed as

$$A_k^c = A_0^c, \quad k = 1...8$$

where $A_0^c = E_l n_w$. The flux at stator pole 1 $\phi_1^c$, produced by energizing coil 1, is distributed equally among the other seven poles. The flux distribution obeys the relation

$$\phi_k^c = \frac{8}{k} \phi_1^c, \quad k = 2...8$$

and for a rotor that is radially centered, the reluctances between the stator poles $k$ and the rotor are related by

$$R_k^c = R_{k+1}^c, \quad k = 1...7.$$  

The total reluctance for the flux passing through the powered pole is

$$R_T^c = R_k^c + R_{||}^c$$

where $R_k^c$ is in series with the parallel reluctances $R_3^c, R_2^c, R_5^c, R_6^c, R_7^c, R_8^c$, and $R_{||}^c$. The parallel reluctance $R_{||}^c$ is

$$R_{||}^c = \frac{R_c^c}{7},$$

and substituting (8) into (7), produces

$$R_T^c = \frac{8}{7} R_1^c.$$  

For a rotor-stator gap $g_0$,

$$R_1^c = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A_0^c}$$

hence, (9) can be rewritten as

$$R_T^c = \frac{8}{7} \left( \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A_0^c} \right).$$  

From the basic magnetic circuit equation, the magnetomotive force

$$mmf = \phi R,$$

and noting that $mmf = ni$, where $n$ is the number of turns in the coil and $i$ is the current in the coil, we can now write the flux expression

$$\phi_k^c = \frac{ni}{R_k^c}. \quad (13)$$

Substituting (11) into (13) yields

$$\phi_1^c = \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{\mu_0 A_0^c n i}{g_0} \right). \quad (14)$$

The flux density at pole 1 can thus be written as

$$B_1^c = \frac{\phi_1^c}{A_0^c} = \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{\mu_0 n i}{g_0} \right). \quad (15)$$

Utilizing (3), we can express the magnetic radial force as

$$F_1^c = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_0^c}{\mu_0} \right) \left( B_1^c \right)^2. \quad (16)$$

for a uniform $B$ field, hence

$$F_1^c = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{49}{64} \right) \left( \frac{A_0^c}{\mu_0} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_0 n i^2 g_0^2}{g_0^2} \right). \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) is the static magnetic radial force due to pole 1 (Fig. 3). The oppositely directed radial forces produced by poles 3 and 7 will sum to zero. Likewise, the resultant magnetic force produced by poles 2, 4, 6, and 8 is zero. Hence, only the radial force at pole 5 will contribute to the resultant force on the rotor. Because of the flux symmetry in the rotor and stator, we can write the magnetic field at pole 5

$$B_5^c = \frac{1}{7} B_1^c,$$

and substituting (15) into (18) yields

$$B_5^c = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{\mu_0 n i}{g_0} \right). \quad (19)$$

The magnetic radial force at pole 5 obeys the relation
\[ F^c_s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_0}{\mu_0} \right) \left( B^c_s \right)^2 \]  

(20)

hence

\[ F^c_s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{64} \right) \left( \frac{A_0^c}{\mu_0} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_0^2 n^2 \omega^2}{g_0^2} \right). \]  

(21)

The net downward magnetic force produced by poles 1 and 5 on the circular laminations is given as

\[ F^c_{net} = F^c_1 - F^c_5. \]  

(22)

Substituting (17) and (21) into (22) yields the net downward magnetic force on the circular lamination stack,

\[ F^c_{net} = \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{A_0^c \mu_0 n^2}{g_0^2} \right) i^2. \]  

(23)

Substituting the actual value of the constants gives

\[ F^c_{net} = 5.037 i^2. \]  

(24)

We now determine the static magnetic radial force on the scalloped laminations by employing some aspects of the previous discussion.

**B. Scalloped Lamination Force Contribution**

Fig. 6 depicts the equivalent two-dimensional flux distribution in the rotor scalloped laminations and their adjacent stator poles. Each rotor pole is at a nominal distance \( g_0 \) from its adjacent stator poles. Four stator poles—2, 4, 6, and 8 (Figs. 3 and 6)—share a common cross-sectional area \( \frac{1}{3} A_0^c \) with four adjacent rotor poles. Fig. 7 is the corresponding magnetic equivalent circuit of Fig. 6. Additionally, in the spirit of rough approximation, we neglect any fringing effects from the rotor to stator poles 3 and 7. The expected large reluctance between the aforesaid poles and their scalloped cavities will result in a relatively small return flux. However, in later sections analyzing the unaligned centered rotor and the aligned levitated rotor, the fringing effect will be included to give a more complete description of the levitation force on the rotor.

Observation of Fig. 3 reveals that only the stator poles labeled as 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 provide significant paths for the circulating magnetic flux, and each pole 2, 4, 6, and 8 has an equivalent, effective common stator-rotor area that is one-third that of stator poles 1 or 5. The common cross-sectional areas between the rotor scalloped lamination stack and a stator poles can be expressed as

\[ A^c_i = A^c_s = A_0^c. \]  

(25)

and

\[ A^c_{2k} = \frac{1}{3} A^c_i = \frac{1}{3} A_0^c, \quad k = 1 \ldots 4. \]  

(26)

The reluctance between the rotor and stator poles for the radially centered rotor is hence given as

\[ R^s_1 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A_1^c}, \quad R^s_2 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A_2^c} = \frac{3g_0}{\mu_0 A_1^c} = 3R^s_1 \]  

(27)

and

\[ R^s_i = R^s_5 \quad \text{and} \quad R^s_{2k} = R^s_2, \quad k = 2 \ldots 4. \]  

(28)

The total reluctance in the magnetic circuit can be written as

\[ R^s_T = R^s_1 + R^s_i \]  

(29)

where \( R^s_i \) is in series with the parallel reluctances \( R^s_2, R^s_4, R^s_5, \) and \( R^s_6 \). The resultant parallel reluctance is thus obtained by

\[
\frac{1}{R^s_T} = \frac{1}{R^s_5} + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{1}{R^s_{2k}}.
\]  

(30)

Substituting (27) and (28) into (30) yields

\[ R^s_T = \frac{3R^s_1}{7} \]  

(31)

and substituting (31) into (29) produces

\[ R^s_T = \frac{10}{7} R^s_1, \]  

(32)

and since

\[ R^s_1 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^c_1}, \]  

(33)

(32) can be rewritten as

\[ R^s_T = \frac{10}{7} \left( \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^c_1} \right). \]  

(34)

Using the magnetomotive force argument, we can derive (35)

\[ \Phi^c_i R^c_s = n i \]  

(35)

from which we obtain the relation
\[
\phi_i^s = \frac{ni}{R^2} . \quad (36)
\]
Substituting (34) into (36) yields
\[
\phi_i^s = \frac{7}{10} \left( \frac{\mu_0 A_i^s ni}{g_0} \right) . \quad (37)
\]
The flux density extant at pole 1 and its adjacent salient rotor pole is thus given by
\[
B_1^s = \phi_i^s = \frac{7}{10} \left( \frac{\mu_0 ni}{g_0} \right) . \quad (38)
\]
The magnetic force is represented as
\[
F_1^s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_i^s}{\mu_0} \right) B_1^s \quad (39)
\]
and substituting (38) into (39) engenders the expression
\[
F_1^s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{49}{100} \right) A_i^s \left( \frac{\mu_0 n^2 i^2}{g_0^2} \right) . \quad (40)
\]
Equation (40) represents the magnetic force, due to stator pole 1, on the aligned salient pole of the rotor. The resultant magnetic force on the rotor, produced by poles 2 and 8, cancels the resultant magnetic force produced by poles 4 and 6. Hence, only the magnetic force at pole 5 will contribute to the resultant force on the rotor. The flux at pole 5 can be expressed as
\[
\phi_5^s = \phi_i^s - \sum_{k=1}^4 \phi_{2k}^s . \quad (41)
\]
The flux relation can be written as
\[
\phi_{2k}^s = \phi_2^s , \quad k = 2..4 , \quad (42)
\]
and substituting (42) into (41) results in the simplified expression
\[
\phi_5^s = \phi_i^s - 4 \phi_2^s . \quad (43)
\]
Flux symmetry consideration allows us to write the relation
\[
\phi_2^s = \frac{1}{4} \phi_i^s , \quad (44)
\]
and substituting (44) into (43) yields
The flux density at pole 5 is thus given as
\[
B_5^s = \frac{\phi_5^s}{A_5^s} = \frac{3}{10} \left( \frac{\mu_0 ni}{g_0} \right) . \quad (45)
\]
and the magnetic force between pole 5 and its adjacent salient rotor pole is given by
\[
F_5^s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_5^s}{\mu_0} \right) B_5^s \quad (46)
\]
Substituting (46) into (47) yields
\[
F_5^s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_5^s}{\mu_0} \right) \left( \frac{\mu_0 n^2 i^2}{g_0^2} \right) . \quad (48)
\]
The net downward magnetic force produced by poles 1 and 5 on their corresponding salient rotor poles is thus given by
\[
F_{net}^s = F_1^s - F_5^s \quad (49)
\]
Substituting (40) and (48) into (49) yield the force expression
\[
F_{net}^s = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{A_1^s \mu_0 h^2}{g_0^2} \right) i^2 \quad (50)
\]
where \( A_0^s = L^i i_n \). Equation (50) represents the net downward magnetic force on the scalloped lamination stack. Substituting the actual values of the constants gives
\[
F_{net}^s = 8.845 i^2 \quad (51)
\]
The total net downward magnetic force on the centered nonlevitated hybrid rotor is the sum of the net downward magnetic force on the circular lamination stack and the net downward magnetic force on the scalloped lamination stack:
\[
F_{t(net)} = F_{net}^c + F_{net}^s \quad (52)
\]
Substituting (24) and (51) into (52) yields
\[
F_{t(net)} = 13.88 i^2 \quad (53)
\]
The fraction of force contributed by the circular lamination segment is
\[
\frac{F_{net}^c}{F_{t(net)}} = \frac{5.037 i^2}{13.88 i^2} = 0.36 = 36\% ,
\]

and the fraction by the scalloped segment is

\[
\frac{F_{net}^s}{F_{t(net)}} = \frac{8.845 i^2}{13.88 i^2} = 0.64 = 64\%.
\]

Note that the circular lamination stack experiences approximately 36\% of the total net downward magnetic force, while the scalloped lamination experience approximately 64\% of said force. The stack lengths of the circular and scalloped laminations are \(L' = 0.23\) and \(L' = 0.77\), respectively. Note that the forces in (54) and (55) are not proportional to the stack lengths. This discrepancy is due to the differences in return paths of the flux in the hybrid rotor. The following section outlines the experimental procedure for obtaining the nonlevitated magnetic radial force on the rotor.

C. Experimental Centered-Force Procedure

The experimental nonlevitated magnetic radial force on the rotor was obtained by mounting the rotor between two load cells (see Fig. 8) while ensuring that the rotor’s axis was radially centered in the stator. A salient rotor pole was aligned with stator pole 1 (see Fig. 3). The preload voltages of the cells were then zeroed to eliminate the preload weight from the data. Stator pole 1 was energized, and the resulting magnetic force on the rotor produced a larger reading on the lower cell and a smaller reading on the upper cell. The difference in the readings gave the net force on the rotor. This procedure was repeated for several values of coil current ranging from 0.982 to 2.027 A. The procedure was repeated with pole 5 as the sole energized pole.

D. Experimental and Theoretical Centered-Force Comparison

The experimental and theoretical magnetic force data are presented in Table I, and the related magnetic force plots are shown in Fig. 9. Because of flux leakage and field fringing effects at the stator and rotor pole edges, uncertainties in geometry, rotor-stator alignment, and material properties, we must apply a derating factor to (53). Reference [9] presents a detailed treatment of the derating factor, wherein a factor of 0.88 was determined for one particular active magnetic bearing. In contrast, a graph in [10] shows a derating factor of 0.6 for our gap \(g_0\) (5\(\times\)10\(^{-4}\) m). This rotor’s configuration is, on the other hand, different from that of a pure magnetic bearing. A derating of 0.87 gives the closest agreement with the experimental results obtained for the hybrid rotor (see (56) and Fig. 9):

\[
F_{t(net)} = (13.88 \times 0.87) i^2.
\]

Fig. 9 depicts the experimental least-squares-fit magnetic force curves for the upper and lower poles, and the theoretical magnetic force curve. In the following section, the nonlevitated unaligned rotor-stator radial force equations are derived for the hybrid rotor.

E. Nonlevitated Unaligned Rotor Analysis

The analysis presented in previous sections clearly demonstrates that one can make a reasonably accurate prediction of electromagnet forces on a radially centered hybrid rotor using two-dimensional visualization and one-dimensional electromagnetic force analysis. With this fact established, we will estimate the radial force on the unaligned radially centered rotor, that is, a rotor that is rotated so that the motoring section has an unfavorable misalignment with the powered stator pole 1, as depicted in Fig. 10. Using a force symmetry argument similar to that presented in earlier sections, it can be shown that only poles 1 and 5 are relevant in determining the net force on the hybrid rotor. The analysis begins by approximating the reluctance between poles 1 or 5 and the adjacent scallop cavities:

\[
R_i^s = \frac{g_a}{\mu_0 A_a} = R_i^s
\]

where \(g_a = \left(\frac{g_h + g_c}{2}\right)\) is the average stator-rotor gap spacing at stator poles 1 or 5 (Fig. 10), \(g_h\) is the distance between a corner edge of the salient stator pole 1 or 5 and either of its adjacent salient rotor pole corner edges, and \(g_c\) is the gap length between the midpoint of either pole face and the base of its adjacent scallop cavity. Here, \(A_a = \left(\frac{A_h^c + A_c^c}{2}\right)\) is the average of the stator pole face area \(A_h^c\) and its adjacent scallop cavity area \(A_c^c\). The face area of pole 1 is \(A_h^c = A_h^s = 2 \times 10^{-4}\) m\(^2\) and the surface area inside a scallop cavity was determined to be \(A_c^c = 4.35 \times 10^{-4}\) m\(^2\). Substituting these values into the average stator-rotor gap spacing and area expressions result in \(g_a = 6.25 \times 10^{-3}\) m and \(A_a = 3.17 \times 10^{-4}\) m\(^2\). We can rewrite \(A_a\) in terms of \(A_h^s\):

\[
\frac{A_a}{A_h^s} = 1.59, \quad A_a = 1.59 \times A_h^s,
\]

and \(g_a\) in terms of \(g_0\):

\[
\frac{g_a}{g_0} = 12.5, \quad g_a = 12.5 \times g_0.
\]

Substituting these values into (57) yields

\[
R_i^s = \frac{g_a}{\mu_0 A_a} = 7.86 - \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A_h^s} = 7.86R_0^s
\]
where $R^s_0$ is the nominal reluctance at the scalloped segment. Substituting the actual value of the constant yields

$$R^s_1 = R^s_5 = 7.86R^s_0 = 1.57 \times 10^7 \text{ H}^{-1}. \quad (61)$$

Here, the estimated reluctance at the unaligned pole is almost eight times that of an aligned pole. Note that this value of the reluctance applies to both poles 1 and 5. The remaining pole reluctances are

$$R^s_2 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_2}, R^s_3 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_3}, R^s_6 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_6}, R^s_8 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_8}, \quad (62)$$

$$R^s_3 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_3} \text{, and } R^s_7 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_7}.$$  

We know that

$$A^s_5 = A^s_7 = A^s_0 \quad (63)$$

hence

$$R^s_5 = R^s_7 = R^s_0 \quad (64)$$

then

$$R^s_2 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_2} = \frac{g_0}{3g_0} = 3R^s_0 \quad (65)$$

where $R^s_{2k} = R^s_2, \ k = 2...4.$

The total parallel reluctance due to poles 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 is given as

$$\frac{1}{R^s_{\parallel}} = \frac{4}{R^s_2} + \frac{2}{R^s_3} + \frac{1}{R^s_5}, \quad (66)$$

and substituting (61), (64), and (65) into (66) gives

$$\frac{1}{R^s_{\parallel}} = \frac{4}{3R^s_0} + \frac{2}{7.86R^s_0} + \frac{1}{7.86R^s_0}. \quad (67)$$

Equation (67) simplifies to

$$R^s_{\parallel} = 0.29R^s_0. \quad (68)$$

hence, the total reluctance of the magnetic circuit through pole 1 is

$$R^s_{\parallel} = R^s_1 + R^s_{\parallel} = 7.86R^s_0 + 0.29R^s_0 = 8.15R^s_0. \quad (69)$$

Substituting $R^s_0 = \frac{g_0}{\mu_0 A^s_0} = 1.99 \times 10^6 \text{ H}^{-1}$ into (69) gives

$$R^s_{\parallel} = 1.63 \times 10^7 \text{ H}^{-1}. \quad (70)$$

The total flux at pole 1 has the form

$$\phi^s_1 = \frac{ni}{R^s_{\parallel}} = \left(6.15 \times 10^{-8} \text{ H}\right)ni \quad \text{H/m}$$

from which we can determine the flux density:

$$B^s_1 = \frac{\phi^s_1}{A^s_0} = \left(6.63 \times 10^{-2} \text{ H/m}^2\right)i \quad (71)$$

The radial force at pole 1 is thus

$$F^s_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A^s_0}{\mu_0}\right)\left(B^s_1\right)^2 = 0.35i^2. \quad (72)$$

The next task is to determine the flux fraction at pole 5, which will allow computation of the magnetic force at pole 5. The difference between this force and the force at pole 1 will give the net magnetic force on the unaligned hybrid rotor.

The fraction of the total flux passing through pole 5 is determined by its reluctance ratio, the combined parallel reluctance of all the return poles divided by the reluctance of pole 5:

$$R^s_{\parallel, \text{ratio}} = \frac{R^s_{\parallel}}{R^s_5} = 6.15 \times 10^{-8} \text{ H} \quad (73)$$

The flux at pole 5 is now obtained by employing (70):

$$\phi^s_5 = R^s_{\parallel, \text{ratio}} \phi^s_1 = 0.037 \cdot \frac{ni}{R^s_{\parallel}}$$

or simply

$$\phi^s_5 = 4.86 \times 10^{-7} i. \quad (74)$$

The force at pole 5 is

$$F^s_5 = \frac{\left(\phi^s_5\right)^2}{2\mu_0 A^s_0} = 4.71 \times 10^{-4} i^2. \quad (75)$$

The net downward magnetic radial force on the unaligned scalloped section of the rotor due to poles 1 and 5 is

$$F^s_{\text{net}} = F^s_1 - F^s_5. \quad (76)$$

Substituting (72) and (76) into (77) produces
\[ F_{u\_net}^s = \left(0.35 - 4.71 \times 10^{-4}\right) i^2 \] \hspace{1cm} (78)

or more simply

\[ F_{u\_net}^s = 0.35i^2. \] \hspace{1cm} (79)

The ratio of the net downward magnetic force on the unaligned scalloped stack to that on the aligned scalloped stack \( F_{\text{net}}^s \) is

\[ \frac{F_{u\_net}^s}{F_{\text{net}}^s} = \frac{0.35i^2}{8.85i^2} = 0.039 = 3.9\%. \] \hspace{1cm} (80)

Note that the unaligned scallop magnetic force load is only 3.9% of the magnetic force load produced by the aligned scallop. This is a reasonable result, considering the large reluctances of the scallop cavities located at poles 1 and 5. Taking into account the contributions of the circular laminations, the total net downward magnetic radial force on the unaligned rotor is

\[ F_{\text{t}(u\_net)} = F_{\text{c}u\_net} + F_{u\_net}^s. \] \hspace{1cm} (81)

We know from (24) and (51) that the aligned net radial force on the circular laminations and scallops are \( F_{\text{c}u\_net} = 5.037i^2 \) and \( F_{u\_net}^s = 8.845i^2 \), respectively, from which the total net downward magnetic force on the aligned rotor is

\[ F_{\text{t}(net)} = F_{\text{c}net} + F_{\text{net}}^s. \] \hspace{1cm} (82)

The ratio of the total net downwards magnetic force of the unaligned rotor to the total net downwards magnetic force on the aligned rotor is

\[ \frac{F_{\text{t}(u\_net)}}{F_{\text{t}(net)}} = \frac{(5.037 + 0.348)i^2}{(5.037 + 8.845)i^2} = 0.39 = 39\%. \] \hspace{1cm} (83)

Equations (80) and (83) highlight the importance of the circular lamination stack; that is, the load capacity in the unaligned orientation is substantially enhanced by the circular lamination segment. In subsequent sections, the general levitation force equations are derived for the hybrid rotor that is displaced vertically from its radially centered position within the stator.

### IV. LEVITATION MAGNETIC EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODELING

The analysis begins by employing the magnetic equivalent circuit depicted in Fig. 11, which relates to the magnetically levitated state of the nonrotating, aligned, vertically displaced hybrid rotor. The rotor was magnetically levitated using stator poles 1, 3, 5, and 7 (Fig. 3).

A. **Levitation Flux Derivation**

We designate the coil currents as \( i_1, i_3, i_5, \) and \( i_7 \) for the respective stator poles 1, 3, 5, and 7. Each coil has \( n \) turns. These currents generate the magnetic fluxes \( \phi_1, \phi_3, \phi_5, \phi_7, \phi_{2,8}, \) and \( \phi_{4,6} \), where \( \phi_{2,8} \) and \( \phi_{4,6} \) represent the return fluxes due to pole pairs (2 and 8) and (4 and 6) on either side of pole 1 and pole 5, respectively. Referencing Fig. 11, (84) to (88) are written by applying the magnetic circuit equation to various closed paths (all of which go through pole 1, which has a permeance \( P_1 \)):

\[ \frac{\phi_3}{P_3} - ni_3 = \frac{\phi_1}{P_1} + ni_1 \] \hspace{1cm} (84)

\[ \frac{\phi_5}{P_5} + ni_5 = \frac{\phi_1}{P_1} + ni_1 \] \hspace{1cm} (85)

\[ \frac{\phi_7}{P_7} - ni_7 = \frac{\phi_1}{P_1} + ni_1 \] \hspace{1cm} (86)

\[ \frac{\phi_{2,8}}{P_{2,8}} + 0 = \frac{\phi_1}{P_1} + ni_1 \] \hspace{1cm} (87)

\[ \frac{\phi_{4,6}}{P_{4,6}} + 0 = \frac{\phi_1}{P_1} + ni_1 \] \hspace{1cm} (88)

Conservation of the magnetic flux assures that the sum of the magnetic fluxes is equal to zero, hence

\[ \phi_1 + \phi_3 + \phi_5 + \phi_7 + \phi_{2,8} + \phi_{4,6} = 0. \] \hspace{1cm} (89)

The solution of (84) to (89) is given by

\[ \phi_1 = \frac{P_1}{P_1 + P_3} \left[ P_3 i_3 - P_5 i_5 + P_7 i_7 \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (90)

\[ \phi_3 = \frac{P_3}{P_3 + P_5} \left[ P_5 i_5 - P_3 i_3 + P_7 i_7 \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (91)

\[ \phi_5 = \frac{P_5}{P_3 + P_5} \left[ P_3 i_3 - P_5 i_5 + P_7 i_7 \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (92)

\[ \phi_7 = \frac{P_7}{P_3 + P_5} \left[ P_3 i_3 - P_5 i_5 + P_7 i_7 \right] \] \hspace{1cm} (93)

...
\[
\phi_{2,8} = \frac{P_{2,8}}{P_T} \left[ P_1 i_1 - P_3 i_3 + P_5 i_5 - P_7 i_7 \right] n \quad (94)
\]

\[
\phi_{4,6} = \frac{P_{4,6}}{P_T} \left[ P_1 i_1 - P_3 i_3 + P_5 i_5 - P_7 i_7 \right] n \quad (95)
\]

where for notational convenience we define the sum of permeances as

\[
P_T = P_1 + P_3 + P_5 + P_7 + P_{2,8} + P_{4,6}. \quad (96)
\]

Equations (90), (92), (94), (95), and (96) are used to derive the electromagnetic levitation force equations for the rotor displaced vertically inside the stator. In subsequent sections, separate magnetic force equations for the circular and scalloped lamination segments are derived.

**B. Levitation Force on the Circular Laminations**

The common cross-sectional area between the circular laminations and pole 1 or 5 is given as \( A_0^c = A_{1w}^c \). The rotor’s vertical displacement \( x \) from its nominal position affects the gap widths \( g_k \), hence

\[
g_1 = g_0 + x \quad (97)
\]

at stator pole 1, and

\[
g_5 = g_0 - x \quad (98)
\]

at stator pole 5. In addition, the gaps at the return flux poles 2 and 8 can be written as

\[
g_{2,8} = g_0 + x \cos(45^\circ) \quad (99)
\]

and for poles 4 and 6

\[
g_{4,6} = g_0 - x \cos(45^\circ) \quad (100)
\]

where \( 45^\circ \) is the angle between adjacent poles. The approximate permeances between the poles and the circular lamination stack are

\[
P_{1}^c = \frac{\mu_0 A_0^c}{g_1}, \quad P_{3}^c = \frac{\mu_0 A_0^c}{g_5}, \quad P_{5}^c = \frac{2 \mu_0 A_0^c}{g_{2,8}}, \quad P_{7}^c = \frac{2 \mu_0 A_0^c}{g_{4,6}}, \quad (102)
\]

and

\[
P_{4,6}^c = \frac{2 \mu_0 A_0^c}{g_{4,6}}, \quad (102)
\]

and applying (96) to the circular laminations,

\[
P_T^c = P_1^c + P_3^c + P_5^c + P_7^c + P_{2,8}^c + P_{4,6}^c. \quad (103)
\]

The flux at pole 5 is obtained by utilizing (92):

\[
\phi_{5}^c = -\frac{P_{5}^c}{P_T^c} \left[ P_1 i_1 - P_3 i_3 + P_5 i_5 - P_7 i_7 \right] n + \left( P_1^c + P_3^c + P_5^c + P_{2,8}^c + P_{4,6}^c \right) i_5 \quad (104)
\]

The equations for the magnetic field, energy density, flux volume, and energy at pole 5 are,

\[
B_{5}^c (x) = \frac{\phi_{5}^c (x)}{A_0^c} \quad (105a)
\]

\[
E_{5}^c (x) = \frac{[B_{5}^c (x)]^2}{2 \mu_0} \quad (105b)
\]

\[
V_{5}^c (x) = A_0^c g_5 (x) \quad (105c)
\]

\[
w_{5}^c (x) = V_{5}^c (x) E_{5}^c (x) \quad (105d)
\]

respectively. The magnetic force on the rotor due to pole 5 is then obtained by differentiating the magnetic energy \( w_{5}^c \) with respect to the rotor displacement, hence

\[
P_{5}^c = -\frac{d w_{5}^c (x)}{dx} = -E_{5}^c (x) \frac{d V_{5}^c (x)}{dx}. \quad (106)
\]

Using (95), the return magnetic flux equation for poles 4 and 6 is

\[
\phi_{4,6}^c = \frac{P_{4,6}^c}{P_T^c} \left[ P_1 i_1 - P_3 i_3 + P_5 i_5 - P_7 i_7 \right] n \quad (107)
\]

where \( P_T^c \) is the sum of permeances at the circular laminations. The equations for the magnetic field, energy density, flux volume, and energy at poles 4 and 6 are,

\[
B_{4,6}^c (x) = \frac{\phi_{4,6}^c (x)}{A_0^c} \quad (108a)
\]

\[
E_{4,6}^c (x) = \frac{[B_{4,6}^c (x)]^2}{2 \mu_0} \quad (108b)
\]

\[
V_{4,6}^c (x) = 2 A_0^c g_{4,6} (x) \quad (108c)
\]

\[
w_{4,6}^c (x) = V_{4,6}^c (x) E_{4,6}^c (x) \quad (108d)
\]
respectively. The magnetic force due to poles 4 and 6 is

\[ F_{4,6}^c = -\frac{dw_{4,6}^c(x)}{dx} = -E_{4,6}^c(x) \frac{dV_{4,6}^c(x)}{dx}. \]  

(109)

The total magnetic force due to pole 5 and its adjacent poles 4 and 6 is thus

\[ F_{T(upper)}^c = F_5^c + F_{4,6}^c. \]  

(110)

Similarly, we can calculate the net downward magnetic force created by poles 1, 2, and 8. The magnetic force at pole 1 is

\[ F_1^c = -\frac{dw_1^c(x)}{dx} = -E_1^c(x) \frac{dV_1^c(x)}{dx}, \]  

and the magnetic force due to the return flux poles 2 and 8 is thus given as

\[ F_{2,8}^c = -\frac{dw_{2,8}^c(x)}{dx} = -E_{2,8}^c(x) \frac{dV_{2,8}^c(x)}{dx}. \]  

(112)

The total magnetic force due to pole 1 and its adjacent poles 2 and 8 is thus

\[ F_{T(lower)}^c = F_1^c + F_{2,8}^c. \]  

(113)

The net magnetic levitation force on the circular laminations, due to poles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 can now be written:

\[ F_{T(upper)}^c = F_{T(lower)}^c. \]  

(114)

Note that for the vertically displaced rotor, the net force on the circular lamination stack, due to poles 3 and 7, is identically zero.

\[ \phi_3^s = \phi_7^s = 0 \]  

(120)

The equations for the magnetic field, energy density, flux volume and energy at pole 5 are, respectively,

\[ B_5^s = \frac{\phi_5^s}{A_0^s}, \]  

\[ E_5^s(x) = \frac{[B_5^s(x)]^2}{2\mu_0}, \]  

\[ V_5^s(x) = A_0^s g_5(x), \]  

\[ w_5^s(x) = V_5^s(x)E_5^s(x). \]  

(121d)

The magnetic force on the scalloped laminations, due to pole 5, is thus obtained by differentiating the magnetic energy \( w_5^s(x) \) with respect to the rotor’s displacement, thus

\[ F_5^s = -\frac{dw_5^s(x)}{dx} = -E_5^s(x) \frac{dV_5^s(x)}{dx}. \]  

(122)

Using (95), the return magnetic flux equation for poles 4 and 6

Here, 51.6° is the angle between the midpoint of stator pole 1 and either of the midpoint of the overlap of its adjacent poles 2 or 8 with their adjacent rotor poles, and similarly for stator pole 5 and its adjacent poles 4 or 6. The approximate fringing permeance \( P_3^s \) or \( P_7^s \) at pole 3 or 7, respectively, is similar in value to that used in (70), hence

\[ P_3^s = P_7^s = \frac{1}{R_{f1}^s} = 6.1509 \times 10^{-8} \text{ H}. \]  

(117)

The remaining permeances are

\[ P_1^s = \frac{\mu_0 A_0^s}{g_1}, P_5^s = \frac{\mu_0 A_0^s}{g_5}, P_{2,8}^s = \frac{2\mu_0 A_{0(2,8)}}{g_{2,8}}, \]  

and \( P_{4,6}^s = \frac{2\mu_0 A_{0(4,6)}}{g_{4,6}} \).  

(118)

The sum of the permeances as defined by (96) is

\[ P_5^s \equiv P_1^s + P_5^s + P_3^s + P_7^s + P_{2,8}^s + P_{4,6}^s. \]  

(119)

Using (92), the equation for the flux at pole 5 is

\[ \phi_5^s = \frac{P_5^s}{P_T^s} \left[ P_3^s i_3 - P_1^s i_1 + P_7^s i_7 \right] + \left( P_1^s + P_5^s + P_3^s + P_7^s + P_{2,8}^s + P_{4,6}^s \right) i_5 \](120)

The equations for the magnetic field, energy density, flux volume and energy at pole 5 are, respectively,

\[ B_5^s = \frac{\phi_5^s}{A_0^s}, \]  

\[ E_5^s(x) = \frac{[B_5^s(x)]^2}{2\mu_0}, \]  

\[ V_5^s(x) = A_0^s g_5(x), \]  

\[ w_5^s(x) = V_5^s(x)E_5^s(x). \]  

(121d)

The magnetic force on the scalloped laminations, due to pole 5, is thus obtained by differentiating the magnetic energy \( w_5^s(x) \) with respect to the rotor’s displacement, thus

\[ F_5^s = -\frac{dw_5^s(x)}{dx} = -E_5^s(x) \frac{dV_5^s(x)}{dx}. \]  

(122)
The equations for the magnetic field, energy density, flux volume, and energy at poles 4 and 6 are, respectively,

\[ B_{4,6}^s = \phi_{4,6}^s / A_{4,6}^s \]  
(124a)

\[ E_{4,6}^s(x) = \frac{[B_{4,6}^s(x)]^2}{2\mu_0} \]  
(124b)

\[ V_{4,6}^s(x) = 2A_{4,6}^s g_{4,6}(x) \]  
(124c)

\[ w_{4,6}^s(x) = V_{4,6}^s(x)E_{4,6}^s(x) \]  
(124d)

and the equation for the magnetic force due to poles 4 and 6 is now given as

\[ F_{4,6}^s = -\frac{dw_{4,6}^s(x)}{dx} = -E_{4,6}^s(x) \frac{dV_{4,6}^s(x)}{dx} \]  
(125)

where \( g_{4,6} = g_0 - x \cos(51.6^\circ) \) and 51.6° is the angle between the midpoint of stator pole 5 and either of the midpoint of the overlap of its adjacent poles 4 or 6 with their adjacent rotor pole. The equation for the total magnetic force due to pole 5 and its adjacent poles 4 and 6 on the scalloped laminations is thus

\[ F_{5}^s(\text{upper}) = F_{5}^s + F_{4,6}^s. \]  
(126)

Similarly, we can calculate the net magnetic force created by poles 1, 2, and 8. The magnetic force at pole 1 is

\[ F_{1}^s = -\frac{dw_{1}^s(x)}{dx} = -E_{1}^s(x) \frac{dV_{1}^s(x)}{dx}, \]  
(127)

and the magnetic force due to the return flux poles 2 and 8 is thus given as

\[ F_{2,8}^s = -\frac{dw_{2,8}^s(x)}{dx} = -E_{2,8}^s(x) \frac{dV_{2,8}^s(x)}{dx}. \]  
(128)

The total magnetic force due to pole 1 and its adjacent poles 2 and 8 is hence

\[ F_{T}^s(\text{lower}) = F_{1}^s + F_{2,8}^s. \]  
(129)

The net magnetic levitation force on the scalloped laminations due to poles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 is thus

\[ F_{T}^s(\text{net\_lev}) = F_{T}^s(\text{upper}) + F_{T}^s(\text{lower}). \]  
(130)

Note that for the vertically displaced rotor, the net force on the scalloped lamination stack due to poles 3 and 7 is identically zero. The total net magnetic levitating force on the vertically displaced hybrid rotor can now be written:

\[ F_{T}^s(\text{net\_lev}) = F_{T}^s(\text{net\_lev}) + F_{T}^s(\text{net\_lev}). \]  
(131)

The experimental measurements and their comparisons with the predictions of (131) are presented in the following sections.

D. Experimental Levitation Force Procedure

A schematic of the experimental setup is displayed in Fig. 12. The hybrid rotor was configured as shown in Fig. 3 and then magnetically levitated, without rotation, using stator poles 1, 3, 5, and 7 and a standard P-D control law. A vernier device, supporting a load cell, effects vertical rotor motion. The rotor was then preloaded to ensure tension in the load cell for both positive and negative vertical displacements and the load cell voltage zeroed, thereby eliminating the combined preload and rotor weight from the data. Fifteen position data points, along with their four coil currents \( i_1, i_2, i_3, \) and \( i_4, \) were recorded.

E. Experimental and Theoretical Levitation Force Comparison

The experimental rotor displacements along with their associated coil currents and the levitation force equations derived above were programmed into Mathematica (Wolfram Research), which generated the predicted force data points. The absolute values of the predicted force data (obtained from (131)) and the experimental force data were then plotted using Excel (Microsoft Corporation). Because of (1) flux leakage and field fringing effects at the stator and rotor pole edges, (2) experimental errors related to the forced rotor displacement, and (3) uncertainties in rotor-stator geometry, alignment and material properties, a derating must be applied to (131). For this data set, a derating of 0.72 gave the closest agreement to the experimental result (see Table II and Fig. 13).

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The electromagnetic radial forces within a novel eight-stator-pole, nonrotating six-rotor-pole bearingless switched-reluctance motor were examined theoretically and experimentally. Each axial rotor segment (circular lamination stack and scalloped lamination stack) was treated as an independent entity, and one-dimensional magnetic force equations were developed for each. The total net magnetic forces on the rotor were obtained by summing the net magnetic forces on each segment and then applying derating
factors of 0.87 for the nonlevitated case and 0.72 for the levitated case. In addition, it was demonstrated analytically that the load capacity of the rotor in the unaligned orientation is substantially enhanced by the presence of a circular lamination segment (this is critical for maintaining rotor stability during the motoring operation). Based on the analysis, we conclude that two-dimensional visualization and one-dimensional magnetic field analysis can be used for estimating electromagnetic levitation forces on the hybrid rotor with a derating factor of about 0.8 applied to the calculated force.
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### TABLE I

**NONLEVITATION MAGNETIC FORCE DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>12.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>14.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>14.76</td>
<td>15.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>17.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>18.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>20.90</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>20.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>22.66</td>
<td>21.13</td>
<td>22.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>24.41</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>23.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>26.18</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>25.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>28.11</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>27.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>30.27</td>
<td>28.53</td>
<td>29.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>31.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>34.33</td>
<td>32.50</td>
<td>33.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>36.55</td>
<td>34.64</td>
<td>35.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>38.95</td>
<td>37.08</td>
<td>37.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>41.28</td>
<td>39.32</td>
<td>40.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>43.54</td>
<td>41.72</td>
<td>42.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>46.03</td>
<td>44.18</td>
<td>44.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>48.77</td>
<td>46.85</td>
<td>47.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>51.37</td>
<td>49.43</td>
<td>49.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>53.99</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>52.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>56.64</td>
<td>54.77</td>
<td>55.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data taken for 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor.

### TABLE II

**LEVITATION MAGNETIC FORCE DATA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Displacement (10⁻⁵ m)</th>
<th>Derated Theoretical Force (N)</th>
<th>Experimental Force (N)</th>
<th>Absolute Displacement (10⁻⁵ m)</th>
<th>Absolute Theoretical Force (N)</th>
<th>Absolute Experimental Force (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>–5.43</td>
<td>–7.51</td>
<td>–8.13</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–4.83</td>
<td>–6.96</td>
<td>–7.34</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–3.93</td>
<td>–6.08</td>
<td>–6.19</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–3.23</td>
<td>–5.03</td>
<td>–5.03</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–2.33</td>
<td>–3.70</td>
<td>–3.61</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–1.43</td>
<td>–2.37</td>
<td>–2.29</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–0.73</td>
<td>–1.20</td>
<td>–1.16</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>7.75</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data taken for 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor.
Fig. 1. Disassembled eight-pole stator and six-pole hybrid rotor of bearingless switched-reluctance motor.

Fig. 2. Side view of circular rotor and stator tooth segment of 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor, where $B$ is magnetic flux density, $g$ is gap width, $l_w$ is tooth width, $r$ is outer radius of rotor laminations, and $v$ is gap volume.

Fig. 3. Axial view of the 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor with rotor and stator pole 1 aligned.

Fig. 4. Equivalent two-dimensional rotor-stator flux distribution for nonlevitated 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor, where for the circular lamination $\phi_k^c$ is magnetic flux between stator pole $k$ and rotor lamination stack, $A_k^c$ is cross-sectional area between stator pole $k$ and rotor lamination stack, and $g_0$ is gap width.

Fig. 5. Magnetic equivalent circuit for nonlevitated 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor, where for circular lamination $R_k^c$ is reluctance and $\phi_k^c$ is magnetic flux between stator pole $k$ and rotor lamination stack.

Fig. 6. Equivalent two-dimensional rotor-stator flux distribution for nonlevitated 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor, where for scalloped lamination $g_0$ is gap width, $\phi_k^s$ is magnetic flux between stator pole $k$ and rotor lamination stack, and $A_k^s$ is cross-sectional area between stator pole $k$ and rotor lamination stack.
Fig. 7. Magnetic equivalent circuit for nonlevitated 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor, where for scalloped lamination $R_s^k$ is reluctance and $\phi_s^k$ is magnetic flux between stator pole $k$ and rotor lamination stack.

Fig. 8. Experimental setup used in obtaining nonlevitation magnetic radial forces for 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched reluctance motor (BSRM).

Fig. 9. Nonlevitation magnetic radial force curves for 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor. Experimental least-squares-fit curves (dotted lines) for upper and lower poles and theoretical curve (solid line).

Fig. 10. Axial view of 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor with rotor and stator pole 1 unaligned.

Fig. 11. Magnetic equivalent circuit for levitated 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor, where $i_k$ is current in coil $k$, $P_k$ is permeance at stator pole $k$, and $\phi_k$ is magnetic flux at stator pole $k$.

Fig. 12. Experimental setup used in obtaining levitation magnetic radial forces for 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched reluctance motor (BSRM).

Fig. 13. Experimental (dotted line) and theoretical (solid line) levitation magnetic radial force curves for 8/6 hybrid bearingless switched-reluctance motor.