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Abstract:

Space debris is a worldwide-recognized issue concerning the safety of commercial, military, and exploration spacecraft. The space debris environment includes both naturally occurring meteoroids and objects in Earth orbit that are generated by human activity, termed orbital debris. Space agencies around the world are addressing the dangers of debris collisions to both crewed and robotic spacecraft. In the United States, the Orbital Debris Program Office at the NASA Johnson Space Center leads the effort to categorize debris, predict its growth, and formulate mitigation policy for the environment from low Earth orbit (LEO) through geosynchronous orbit (GEO).

This paper presents recent results derived from the NASA long-term debris environment model, LEGEND. It includes the revised NASA sodium potassium droplet model, newly corrected for a factor of two over-estimation of the droplet population. The study indicates a LEO environment that is already highly collisionally active among orbital debris larger than 1 cm in size. Most of the modeled collision events are non-catastrophic (i.e., They lead to a cratering of the target, but no large scale fragmentation.). But they are potentially mission-ending, and take place between impactors smaller than 10 cm and targets larger than 10 cm. Given the small size of the impactor these events would likely be undetectable by present-day measurement means. The activity continues into the future as would be expected. Impact rates of about four per year are predicted by the current study within the next 30 years, with the majority of targets being abandoned intact (spent upper stages and spacecraft). Still, operational spacecraft do show a small collisional activity, one that increases over time as the small fragment population increases.

1.0 Introduction

The growth of orbital debris in low Earth orbit (LEO) and beyond has continued since the beginning of the space age. The launching into orbit and abandonment of spent satellites (i.e., upper stages and spacecraft (payloads)) at end-of-life has certainly contributed to that growth. However, more insidious sources such as accidental explosions of satellites and release of material or objects by design or accident have contributed to much higher fluxes of orbiting debris over the years. Though these objects are generally much less massive than their intact parents, they still represent dangerous possible impactors. Existing international programs of orbital debris study are dedicated to impact testing with accompanying simulations [1-3], remote and in-situ measurements of the near-Earth environment [4-9], and debris environment modeling [10-27]. National safety standards with respect to the protection of spacecraft from
orbital debris impacts have grown around these research efforts [28-31]. Recognizing the issue as international has led to cooperation in the study of space debris through the Inter-agency Space Debris Coordination Committee (IADC) and the United Nations (UN), and to international debris mitigation standards [32,33].

This paper describes the issue of orbital debris and past work on modeling efforts of the LEO debris environment. It presents current results of a study encompassing the historical period through the near future. It confirms that the inclusion of smaller debris objects (down to 1 cm) in the calculation of collision rates among objects in LEO may be necessary to better understand the dangers of the environment.

2.0 Debris Identification – Known Sources

The space debris environment includes both naturally occurring meteoroids and objects in Earth orbit that are generated by human activity. The spatial extent of the environment ranges from LEO (200 km through 2000 km) well past GEO (33,000 km through 39,000 km), though the current interest extends generally up to the GEO region. These orbiting human-made objects are termed orbital debris and include objects from sub-microns to meters in cross section. Known sources of orbital debris in LEO include the following,

- spent intact satellites past end-of-life,
- mission-related debris (i.e., objects released in the course of spacecraft deployment and operations),
- fragments of intact satellites resulting from accidental or intentional explosions and collisions,
- radiator coolant droplets (i.e., sodium potassium, NaK) from re-orbited and ejected RORSAT nuclear cores,
- solid rocket motor exhaust products,
- ejecta from micro-particle impacts with intact satellite and fragment surfaces,
- and paint flakes (i.e., intact satellite and fragment surface degradation products).

For the most part the sources of space debris span the size regimes noted in Table 1. Naturally occurring meteoroids display a terrific range in sizes due to their sources, asteroidal and cometary dust and fragments [34]. But it must be remembered that for both meteoroids and orbital debris the particle size and flux are negatively correlated. As for meteoroids larger than 1 cm, they are much less populous in LEO than orbital debris as shown in Figure 1.

The fragmentation or breakup process is responsible for over 60% of all cataloged objects in LEO today (i.e., the greatest source of larger than 10-cm debris). In particular, accidental propulsion-related explosive events involving upper stages have been confirmed in 85 breakup events of a total of 190 to date, and are the most important source of the present-day fragment population. Other sources of fragmentation include spacecraft battery explosions, deliberate explosions or collisions, accidental on-orbit collisions, anomalous breakups, and breakups with unknown causes.
Fragmentation debris has the largest range in size (sub-micron through tens of meters).

Only three accidental collisions between cataloged objects from different missions have been verified. Earlier work in debris studies foresaw the advent of accidental collisions becoming a major source of debris and a grave danger to operational spacecraft in the future. The collision process has been studied through on-orbit events and empirical calculations [36,37], and controlled ground tests [1-3]. Collisions termed ‘catastrophic’ result in the complete fragmentation of impactor and target (impactor mass is smaller than target mass by definition). This has been shown to occur empirically when the impact energy per target mass exceeds 40 J/g,

\[0.5 M_{\text{impactor}} V_{\text{impact}}^2 / M_{\text{target}} > 40 \text{ J/g} \]  

Collisions are ‘non-catastrophic’ if the above conditions are not met. Here the impactor is destroyed and the target is cratered, and though this can lead to an end-of-mission of an operating spacecraft, it does not generally result in a large number of lethal fragments being released into the environment.

Another source identified in the early 1990s is a population of sodium potassium (NaK) droplets. These were caused by Soviet RORSAT vehicle nuclear reactor ejections through the 1980s. This source has been studied extensively [38-43]. The droplets were leaked through opened radiator tubing and formed nearly spherical shapes while in their initial liquid form. They are believed to range in diameter from about 0.5 mm to over 5 cm, and are easily identifiable by their polarized radar signatures [4]. Their shape and density give them a relatively small area-to-mass ratio and a low orbital decay rate. The number larger than 1 cm is estimated to be about 20,000. Given the altitudes of ejection (most events at 900 km to 1000 km), most of these are still in orbit and will remain so for decades.

The combustion products of solid rocket motor (SRM) firings are also known debris sources. Major uses of SRMs have historically been as LEO-to-GTO (geosynchronous transfer orbit) boosters and as GTO-to-GEO circularizers [44,45]. Aluminum oxide (Al₂O₃) included as an additive in the propellant escapes the chamber as dust during burn and as much larger particles at the end of burn. Particles are estimated to range in size from 100 µm dust to 5 cm ‘slag’ or ‘char’ [46].

Other sources in the micron to hundreds of micron range include ejecta caused by micro-particle impacts on larger surfaces and paint flaking or surface degradation due to aging of materials in space [47,48].

2.1 Debris Measurements

The United States Space Surveillance Network (SSN) comprises a family of radar systems dedicated to the cataloging (i.e., reliable tracking) of orbiting objects. Though other groups possess cataloging systems in various stages of advancement [49-51], the
SSN is still the main source of information of objects generally considered to be larger than 10 cm in average cross section in LEO. The cataloged objects in LEO orbit currently number over 9000. The sources of orbital debris of this size are primarily spent intact satellites, mission-related debris, fragmentation debris, and a few larger NaK droplets. Recently, radar systems of shorter wavelength and high power have begun to bring this minimum tracked size down to 5 cm but coverage is still very limited [52].

Smaller debris, larger than about 1 cm but smaller than 10 cm in average cross section, must be viewed statistically. This is currently done most efficiently with ground-based radar systems. In the United States, the Haystack and Haystack Auxiliary (HAX) radars have been the primary viewers of objects to about 5 mm and 1 cm, respectively, in LEO since the early 1990s [4,5]. With estimated numbers in the hundreds of thousands it is unlikely that objects of this size will ever be fully tracked and cataloged. There are three major known sources of this debris. The most numerous and widely distributed is again debris from on-orbit breakups. The others are NaK droplets and SRM slag.

Yet smaller debris, on the order of microns to several millimeters, can currently only be reliably sampled in-situ. That is surfaces returned from space have been examined for these micro-particle impacts. Regular inspections of the NASA Space Shuttle selected surfaces are performed after each mission [6,7]. Returned Hubble Space Telescope (HST) solar panels yield impacts [8]. Surfaces from missions dedicated to impact studies such as LDEF and EURECA have also been recovered and analyzed [9]. These data represent the limits of human observation of debris. Though it is reasonable to assume that smaller debris exists, they are perhaps swamped by the meteoroid environment. But with the threshold of observation is also the threshold of concern for most space activities (The Space Shuttle and ISS have critical surfaces vulnerable to a few millimeter-sized objects, Extra Vehicular Activity (EVA) suits are vulnerable to 200 μm debris.)

3.0 Orbital Debris Models

Worldwide efforts to categorize the debris environment, through radar and optical measurements on the ground, debris-collecting experiments (in-situ) in orbit, and ground impact tests are paralleled by modeling efforts. These programs make use of the measurement data and simulate debris populations in the past, current, and future environment.

There are several emphases in modeling that have evolved in the orbital debris field. In order of shortest-to-longest time scale modeling, the first is collision risk assessment to valuable orbiting assets (e.g., For NASA the International Space Station and Space Shuttle are the primary assets.). One set of impactors of concern are other cataloged objects. Risk of orbit conjunction is determined via covariance information provided by cataloging agencies [10,11]. Another concern to space assets are uncataloged fragments from recent breakups. The evolution of a debris cloud is of primary importance here. In LEO a cloud becomes randomized in argument of perigee and right ascension of
ascending node within a few months or years after creation. The days to weeks after breakup can see a dense pack of hundreds of thousands of fragments larger than 1mm that, if passing through the orbit of a space asset, could present a high probability of collision. Specialized risk analysis codes have been designed for the purpose of statistically analyzing risk from young debris clouds [12-15].

Engineering models are designed to provide accurate results, in a timely fashion, of the debris environment that will be encountered by a spacecraft in the present and near-future (i.e., on time-scale of decades). These models, which are publicly available, are often used by spacecraft designers and operators, and debris observers [16-18]. These models have also served as base debris flux models for other programs, such as various high-fidelity spacecraft risk analysis codes [19,20] and mitigation policy compliance codes [21,22].

Long-term debris environment models, which contribute to the engineering model environments, are most pertinent to this paper. These models generally encompass timeframes in the distant future, with 100-year projection periods being the norm. The premise of these models is to extend recent launch and debris generation rates to estimate the future growth of the debris environment. The main uncontrolled aspect of the future environment appears to be accidental collisions. A 10-cm lower limit to the sizes of the impactors and targets in the collision calculations has been adopted by all long-term models [23-27]. The main reasons for this have been the constraints of computing time and memory size, and more importantly, the realization that it is these collisions between larger objects that are most likely to result in fragments, also larger than 10 cm, which will become dangerous impactors themselves. Given the statistical nature of future collision events, all models also apply Monte Carlo processing to the future environment, so that the average collision rate can be discerned from an ensemble of futures. The historical period, which is, of course, well understood (in the 10-cm population), is taken as the initial condition for all futures.

Long-term studies require several choices of future activities, that of naturally occurring phenomena such as solar flux, atmospheric density and scale height, and that of human endeavors, such as launch rates, insertion altitudes of choice, and new spacecraft technology. Cyclical natural phenomena are generally tied to the past [53]. The prediction of human activity in space within the next decade is very difficult, let alone predictions over centuries. But as in other fields (e.g., climate and population predictions) the purpose of the long-term debris environment model is to attain a prediction of future events assuming certain practices remain in place. Results of such studies then become the basis for policy decisions. Already, long-term debris environment modeling has influenced national and international safety standards regarding debris generation and intact payload and upper stage safing as noted previously in this paper.

3.1 History of Long-term Debris Environment Modeling at NASA
NASA has a long history of modeling the debris environment beginning in the late 1970s amid concerns for crew safety. Simplified stand-alone breakup models and orbital propagators that included the lowest order terms in gravity and drag were developed. By the late 1980s NASA initiated the EVOLVE series of programs which applied Monte Carlo processing to estimate future fragmentations for the first time. Five distinct versions of the EVOLVE code were completed over the next decade. All were 1-dimensional models in LEO in the sense that collision events were assigned within spherical altitude shells from 200 km altitude to 2000 km altitude.

Advances in the supporting models within EVOLVE were driven by added observational data. For the fragmentation model this meant a longer period of object decay profiling during high and low solar activity and additional ground breakup test data. The main result was a fragment area-to-mass distribution for each size range; an advance beyond the spherical fragment modeling of the past [54]. A new orbital element propagator was developed by comparing predicted decay files and long-term orbital evolution to actual ones of a number of intact objects in orbit [55]. This led to the addition of higher order gravity terms, an oblate Earth, a rotating atmosphere, advanced lunar and solar gravity effects, and solar radiation pressure effects with Earth shadowing. Other advances included fragment initial $\Delta V$ distribution and traffic file upgrades [56].

The final version of the EVOLVE model included the new propagator and a tagging of collision pairings by type: intact-on-intact, intact-on-fragment, fragment-on-fragment. Still, EVOLVE remained a 1-dimensional model in the collision calculation. This restricted the fidelity of the collisional breakup pairings since only pair type could be identified, not individual objects.

The model LEGEND (LEO-to-GEO Environment Debris model) was developed in the early 2000s to address the above concerns and is now the standard NASA model for long-term orbital debris environment studies. LEGEND includes the traffic, breakup, and LEO propagator models of the final EVOLVE model, but also a geosynchronous orbital element propagator that extends the range of this model. The 3-dimensional character of LEGEND is realized in the propagators noted above, and in the consideration of collision pairs individually. This is done through an efficient 3-dimensional algorithm for collision pairing in altitude, longitude, and latitude [56]. This type of calculation requires a much higher level knowledge than has been needed in the past of launch and maneuver traffic, and also fragmentation orbital position of historical events. This is an ongoing priority for the historical launch and fragmentation event files that are inputs to LEGEND.

The supporting computer models of LEGEND include the 2001 NASA Standard Breakup Model, the 3-dimensional propagators for non-GEO and GEO orbits, and the RORSAT sodium potassium droplet model. Supporting input files for the historical environment include a set of yearly historical launch traffic and fragmentation event files and NOAA solar flux files. The future environments require projected versions of models of solar flux, launch traffic, and satellite explosion rates.
At this time the LEGEND package does not include models for SRM slag, ejecta, or paint flake generation and propagation. These are important sources of debris and work is progressing on their study. However, the main purpose of LEGEND has been defining environmental growth due to orbit insertions and fragmentations into the distant future. It is the included sources that have been shown to populate the environment with large objects (i.e., \( \geq 10 \) cm), objects that can be considered as dangerous impactors. And it is this model, which is adapted for the work reported here.

### 4.0 Debris Environment and Risk to Spacecraft

This paper explores the risk to robotic spacecraft due to orbital debris. As noted above many long-term studies have been completed on this subject, but the present study extends the work in two directions. First this study investigates collision rates among objects larger than 1 cm. The previous lower limit among all long-term modeling projects is objects larger than 10 cm in size. The 10-cm limit was chosen historically for two reasons, 1) to allow timely calculations over long-term studies with existing computer systems, and 2) because these larger objects have always been considered most important to evaluate since their fragmentation was deemed most likely to generate large fragments that would themselves become dangerous impactors. But recent observations have indicated that there could be a small number of collisions involving small impactors (i.e., < 10 cm) and large targets (i.e., \( \geq 10 \) cm) that have already taken place [57]. And there is the knowledge that a small impactor can cause a catastrophic fragmentation of a spacecraft [2].

Second, while previous studies have performed the risk analysis within the future environment of 100 years or more, this paper includes the past in the analysis period and limits the future period through year 2035. This provides an opportunity to combine the past environment, formed by known launches and explosion events, with a statistical derivation of possible collision events during that time. It brings confidence to the modeling process and provides a smooth transition between the known past environment and the near-term future.

The projection limit of about 30 years is routinely used in engineering models for the purpose of providing users with environments that show growth, but are close enough to the present so that technology advancements and changes in mitigation measures can be justifiably deemed minor. Thus the future period through 2035 in this study is considered to reasonably adhere to today’s launch rates, insertion altitude choices and mitigation practices.

The mitigation standards or guidelines in place in LEO today focus on several points,

- the safing of upper stages and spacecraft at end-of-life (e.g., the evacuation of fuel and oxidizer tanks and lines, the decoupling of batteries),
- the limiting of mission-related debris, and
the reduction of upper stage and spacecraft perigee altitudes at end-of-life to assure a 25-year or less orbital lifetime.

Some of these points are implemented partially. For example, while upper stage safing and elimination of mission-related debris is a desired procedure in the United States, compliance is not yet mandatory for all programs. The same is true in Europe. The 25-year de-orbit rule has, so far, been implemented sparingly, even among government programs.

4.1 Study Parameters and Analysis

NASA’s long-term debris environment model LEGEND was adapted for this work. Summaries of model parameters, specific to this study, are listed in Table 2. The chosen launch traffic is from the 8-year period, 1999 through 2006. Crewed vehicles and associated mission-related debris is not included in this study. Spacecraft of three constellations launched in the historical period are given 8-year operating periods, and then disposed of according to each plan. These constellation spacecraft are not launched in the projection period, nor are their upper stages. Other (non-constellation) spacecraft are considered operational for 5 years after orbit insertion. This has been the general historical rule for spacecraft in LEO [58]. In addition, upper stages are considered spent immediately after orbit insertion.

The sources of debris available to LEGEND at this date include spent upper stages and spacecraft, mission-related debris, explosion and collision fragments, and NaK droplets. Breakup events contribute the great majority of objects in LEO larger than 1 cm, over 99%. In the historical period of this study (1957 through 2006) verified explosion and collision events are assigned as they occur. Additional accidental collision events among 1-cm and larger objects (i.e., debris and NaK droplets) are determined statistically. Event assignment through the pair-wise comparison of object positions in 5-day intervals is described in [59] and references therein. All future events are determined statistically. For explosions, families of intacts are graded by history of accidental explosions and recent trends of safing, and assigned probabilities of explosion accordingly. The frequency of accidental collisions between objects larger than 1 cm is determined as noted in the historical period.

Fragments created by the described events are deposited via a version of the NASA Standard Breakup Model. Those larger than 1 cm are treated as potential impactors. Non-catastrophic collisions, which generally fragment the small impactor and crater the much larger target create few if any 1-cm or larger fragments.

SRM slag, ejecta, and paint flakes are known to be produced in the near-Earth environment. But they are not included as debris here, as NASA currently has no validated models for these sources. However, the present study is concerned with the larger than 1-cm population as a collision source. Only SRM slag could be large enough in size to contribute to the collision rate. So, as a caveat to this work, it must be
noted that the inclusion of a SRM slag model would most likely increase the collision rates calculated.

Those collision rates are averaged over 200 Monte Carlo iterations of the LEGEND process and tabulated in Table 3. To summarize a few main points, the averaged LEGEND result with the stated study parameters shows that during the study period,

- During the historical period collisions between two objects larger than 10 cm (i.e., two cataloged objects), occur with an average rate on the order of what has been observed (i.e., three in the last 50 years).
- By the end of the projection period collisions between objects larger than 10 cm have occurred with an average rate that is similar to that of previous NASA studies [59].
- The number of collisions among 1-cm and larger objects passing through LEO is nontrivial at present in the future.
- Collisions between small impactors (< 10 cm) and large targets (≥ 10 cm) make up nearly 95% of all events.
  - Of these events, about 98% are non-catastrophic.
  - Of these events, NaK droplets make up between 16% (end of historical period) and 13% (end of projection period) of the impactors, the remainder being fragments. This relative rate appears to be dropping over time.
  - Of these events, between 5% and 9% involve targets that are operational spacecraft. This relative rate appears to be dropping over time.
- Nearly 5% of all collision events are catastrophic.
  - Of those events, 30% involve small impactor on large target pairings.

The majority of these modeled events would be unlikely to be observed -- non-catastrophic events occurring between what would be un-cataloged small impactors and cataloged targets. A more detailed view is presented in Table 4. In over 90% of these cases the target is an intact object (i.e., an upper stage, spacecraft, or mission-related debris). Operational spacecraft populate a small subset of these targets, amounting to about 5%. So it is the population of large abandoned intact objects in LEO that serve as the main targets for small debris, and this activity is not trivial in occurrence. Though largely undetected, such impacts have been recently suggested by the study of a few ‘anomalous events’, which resulted in slight ephemeris changes of intact objects [60].

The modeled overall collision rate continually increases over time towards a rate of four events per year by the end of the analysis period (Figure 2). The larger than 1 cm NaK collision rate appears to stabilize after the final deposit of droplets in 1989 to a nearly constant rate. According to this study, a rate of about one NaK impact every four years would be expected at least through 2035.

Figures 3 and 4 further categorize the activity by LEO altitude. NaK droplets account for about 16% of all events by the end of the year 2006 and are of course found in the altitude regions 800 km through 1050 km where the droplets are clustered at that date.
The overall collision rate within the altitude range 950 km through 1050 km is significantly increased due to the NaK. By the end of the year 2035 general collision events have increased nearly fourfold and spread in altitude range. NaK droplets, in particular, continue to affect the collision rates of lower altitudes as they decay out of orbit.

Particular target and impactor characteristics are depicted in Figures 5 and 6. Catastrophic collision events tend to occur between objects of similar size and mass, while the non-catastrophic events are likely with impactors that are much smaller and less massive than their targets. This is expected from the energy-to-mass ratio noted in Equation 1 for catastrophic collisions. In cases where this mass relationship does not hold, a very high or low impact velocity dominates the event. Impact velocities in LEO can range between 100 m/s to over 15 km/s depending on the target and impactor orbits and orientations of impact. Vertical striations towards the right in both Figures 5 and 6 show particular intact targets or families of targets that are collisionally active in the model. For example in Figure 6, the target points at 8300 kg are Russian Zenit upper stages, which have a 41 m² average cross sectional area and populate high inclinations (≥ 65°) and altitude ranges, mostly from 600 km through 900 km. The target characteristic lengths (masses) to the left in Figures 5 and 6, respectively, show no striations. This is because they are smaller (lighter) fragments, and therefore irregular in size and mass.

5.0 Summary and Conclusions

This work was performed with the NASA long-term debris environment model, LEGEND, adapted for the prediction of collisions among objects larger than 1 cm. The NASA Standard Breakup Model deposited the fragments of the calculated explosions and collisions. NaK (sodium potassium) droplets were generated by the NASA NaK model, newly corrected for a factor of two over-estimation of the droplet population. Solid rocket motor (SRM) slag and surface degradation particulates (ejecta and paint flakes) were excluded in this work. NASA, at present, has no verified models of either. The only known source of 1 cm objects would be the SRM slag, which would then likely increase the collision rates determined here. Also excluded were any vehicles or mission-related debris associated with crewed missions. This work was intended as a general study of collision risks in the high traffic regions of LEO.

This study models collisional events among objects in LEO larger than 1 cm throughout the years 1957 through 2035. The activity occurs in regions of high traffic in LEO, the altitude bands 600 km to 1000 km and 1400km to 1500 km. The risk to LEO spacecraft in the near future is a continuation of that which has been occurring throughout the past. The overall effect is an increasing collision rate to a handful of events per year by the end of 2035, this assuming only standard mitigation techniques are applied throughout the period (e.g., moderately successful upper stage safing and mission-related debris suppression as in the last decade).
Non-catastrophic collisions between small impactors (< 10 cm) and large targets (≥ 10 cm) are by far the dominant mode of collisions in the modeled environment. In reality these events would be unlikely to be observed, as the effect is a destruction of an untracked impactor and some crater damage to a much larger target.

The only objects in the study generally smaller than 10 cm are NaK droplets and most of the breakup fragments. It is the fragment population that dominates the impactors. And that population continues to grow throughout the study through statistically determined explosions and catastrophic collisions. Meanwhile, the static population of NaK droplets decays in orbit. This results as a decrease over time in the percentage of NaK droplet collision events with respect to the total number.

The targets of these events are found mostly in the population of abandoned intacts. Families of spent upper stages and spacecraft are identifiable in this analysis as likely participants. There is a much smaller collision rate associated with operating spacecraft. But the conditions of the study -- the general 5-year operational lifetimes for spacecraft (8-year for constellation spacecraft) and the 8-year cyclic launch rate – limit the operational spacecraft activity with respect to the ever-growing number of abandoned intacts. Still a non-catastrophic impact on an operational spacecraft could compromise the mission. The rate of catastrophic events shows a slower growth than that among objects larger than 10 cm. But, the small impactor would be less likely to be detected.
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Figure 1. Debris flux vs. diameter as determined by multiple instruments and studies. (reproduced from Ref. [23])
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Figure 6. Masses of impactors and targets for all 200 Monte Carlo iterations in the study for years 1957 through 2035.
Table 1: LEO Space debris source size ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbital debris source</th>
<th>Size range</th>
<th>How observed</th>
<th>Primary instrument (United States)</th>
<th>Estimated number on-orbit (2006AD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Payloads and rocket bodies past end-of-life</td>
<td>&gt; 5 cm</td>
<td>Tracked and cataloged</td>
<td>SSN radars</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mission-related</td>
<td>&lt; 1 m</td>
<td>Tracked and cataloged</td>
<td>SSN radars</td>
<td>1270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments of on-orbit explosions and collisions</td>
<td>&lt; 1 m</td>
<td>Tracked and cataloged, &lt; 10 cm</td>
<td>SSN radars, Haystack &amp; HAX radars</td>
<td>&gt; 1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium potassium coolant droplets</td>
<td>~ 1 mm to 5 cm</td>
<td>Observed statistically</td>
<td>Haystack &amp; HAX radars</td>
<td>~55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid rocket motor char, slag, and dust</td>
<td>~ 100 μm to 5 cm</td>
<td>Observed statistically</td>
<td>Returned surfaces*, ground-based tests</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejecta and paint flakes (degradation products)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 mm</td>
<td>Observed statistically</td>
<td>Returned surfaces*</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meteoroids</td>
<td>&lt; 1 cm</td>
<td>Observed statistically</td>
<td>Returned surfaces*, ground-based optical and radar measurements</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Space shuttle, ISS module, HST solar panels, Eureka & LDEF surfaces
Table 2: LEGEND parameters used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region of space studied</td>
<td>LEO (200 km altitude through 2000 km altitude)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study period</td>
<td>1957 through 2035 (79 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris sources included</td>
<td>Operational and spent payloads and rocket bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mission-related debris (MRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fragments (explosions and collisions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium potassium droplets (NaK) treated as solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris sources excluded</td>
<td>Solid rocket motor slag ($\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ejecta and paint flakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic cycle for projection</td>
<td>1999 through 2006 (8 years) cycled through 2035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constellation spacecraft</td>
<td>Launched in historical period only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orbcomm stationkeeping ‘off’ at end-of-mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iridium perigee lowered to 225 km at end-of-mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Globalstar re-orbited to 1515 km x 1515 km orbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar flux cycle for projection</td>
<td>Repeated 11-year cycle based on curve fit of historical daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>measured flux [53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded objects in launch file</td>
<td>Space Shuttle, International Space Station, Progress vehicle,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mission-related debris associated with these crewed vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational spacecraft definition</td>
<td>Constellation spacecraft ($\leq$ 8 years in orbit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-constellation spacecraft ($\leq$ 5 years in orbit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation measures applied</td>
<td>Standard LEGEND future explosion rate based on recent past activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collisional avoidance among operational constellation members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The 25-year guideline not explicitly applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monte Carlo iterations within study period</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Test summary of average collision events with standard deviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Historical period 1957 through 2006 (50 years)</th>
<th>Total period 1957 through 2035 (79 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions by impactor/target size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥ 10cm, Impactor ≥ 10cm</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target ≥ 10cm, Impactor &lt; 10cm</td>
<td>27.67</td>
<td>98.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target &lt; 10cm, Impactor &lt; 10cm</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions (All)</td>
<td>29.39 (StDev 5.05)</td>
<td>104.53 (StDev 26.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>1.63 (StDev 2.19)</td>
<td>5.75 (StDev 6.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions (both objects ≥ 10 cm)</td>
<td>1.41 (StDev 1.21)</td>
<td>5.03 (StDev 2.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>0.89 (StDev 0.95)</td>
<td>2.94 (StDev 1.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions (Target ≥ 10cm, Impactor &lt; 10cm)</td>
<td>27.67 (StDev 4.88)</td>
<td>98.32 (StDev 26.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>0.45 (StDev 0.70)</td>
<td>1.68 (StDev 1.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions (operational spacecraft)</td>
<td>2.42 (StDev 1.64)</td>
<td>5.02 (StDev 2.49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>0.18 (StDev 0.43)</td>
<td>0.30 (StDev 0.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions (NaK)</td>
<td>4.48 (StDev 1.87)</td>
<td>11.89 (StDev 3.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>0.15 (StDev 0.37)</td>
<td>0.36 (StDev 0.60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Non-Catastrophic small impactor on large target collision events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Historical period 1957 through 2006 (50 years)</th>
<th>Total period 1957 through 2035 (79 years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ave # collisions</td>
<td>27.22 (StDev 4.85)</td>
<td>96.64 (StDev 24.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target is intact (upper stage, spacecraft, MRD)</td>
<td>25.34 (StDev 4.72)</td>
<td>86.99 (StDev 19.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target is operational (spacecraft)</td>
<td>1.77 (StDev 1.33)</td>
<td>4.16 (StDev 2.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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