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Detailed information of the flow-fields in the secondary flowpaths and their interaction with the primary flows in gas turbine engines is necessary for successful designs with optimized secondary flow streams. Present work is focused on the development of a simulation methodology for coupled time-accurate solutions of the two flowpaths. The secondary flowstream is treated using SCISEAL, an unstructured adaptive Cartesian grid code developed for secondary flows and seals, while the mainpath flow is solved using TURBO, a density based code with capability of resolving rotor-stator interaction in multi-stage machines. An interface is being tested that links the two codes at the rim seal to allow data exchange between the two codes for parallel, coupled execution. A description of the coupling methodology and the current status of the interface development is presented. Representative steady-state solutions of the secondary flow in the UTRC HP Rig disc cavity are also presented.
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NEED FOR COUPLED ANALYSIS

- Typical Gas Turbine Engine
  - Multi-Stage Compressor and Turbine Section with Interstage Cavities
  - Powerstream/Mainpath/Primary: Flow Above Blade Platforms
- Powerstream and Secondary Flow Interact in Strong, Complex Manner, and Affect
  - Engine Power, Efficiency
  - Component Life (Mechanical and Thermal Loads)
- Drive for Higher Power/Efficiency at Reasonable Component Life
  - Details of Primary and Secondary Flows Needed
  - At Design, Off Design, and During System Transients
PRIMARY-SECONDARY COUPLING
SCHEMATIC

Moving interface

Stator stage

Moving interface

Interface between primary and secondary flow at rim seal

Rotor stage

Rotor-stator disc cavity

Purge/coolant flow

Interstage labyrinth seal
NEED FOR COUPLED ANALYSIS

- Interaction Has Different Aspects in Different Parts Compressor Section
  - Effect of Interstage Cavity Flows on Primary Flow
  - Windage Losses

- Turbine Side
  - Coolant Flow Optimization for Efficiency
  - Primary Gas Ingestion in Cavities Must be Prevented at All Times
  - Effects of Coolant Flow on Power Stream
OBJECTIVES AND PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

- To Develop a Validated Set of Codes for Coupled, Transient Solutions of Primary and Secondary Flows in Multi-Stage Machines
  - Use Existing, Validated Codes for Different Streams: SCISEAL for Secondary, MS-TURBO for Primary
  - Develop Interfacing Algorithms for Coupling the Codes
  - Graphical User Interface for Ease of Use

- Use of Separate Codes
  - Widely Different Flow Physics in Primary and Secondary Flows
  - Validated Codes Available, Specifically Developed for Each Flow Stream
  - Capabilities Offered by the Combinations
COUPLED CODE METHODOLOGY

Power Stream
TURBO: density based, finite volume, structured grids, time-accurate, multiple blade rows, high speed flows

Cavity/Secondary Flow
SCISEAL-U: pressure based, finite volume unstructured, adaptive Cartesian grids, time accurate, conjugate heat transfer, turbulence, low speed flows
SIMULATION ENVIRONMENT

- Plug-n-Play Modules Attached to DTF
DESCRIPTION OF SCISEAL CODE

Salient Features

• Unstructured Grid Topology with Mixed Elements
• Fully Implicit Pressure-Based, Finite Volume
• Cartesian Velocities, Non-Staggered Arrangement
• Sequential Solution Procedure with SIMPLEC-M for Velocity-Pressure Coupling
• Conjugate Gradient Solvers for Linear Systems
• Flow, Conjugate Heat Transfer, Turbulence
• Links with Grid Adaptor for Solution-Based Adaptation
CARTESIAN PRISM/QUAD GRIDS

- Conventional Unstructured Tetra
  - Difficult to Generate Quality Volume Grids
  - Difficult to Control Clustering and Aspect Ratio for Viscous Flows Near Walls, Shear Layers, etc.
  - Require ~5x Cells than Similar Resolution Hexa
  - Non-Trivial Adaptive Remeshing, Moving Grids, etc.

- Adaptive Cartesians
  - Showed Remarkable Results for 3D Euler Equations Solved for Complex Configurations
  - Inefficient for N-S Equations as Very Large Number of Cells is Generated in Boundary Layer Region

- Adaptive Cartesians-Prism/Quads
  - Most Promising Approach for Fully Automated Simulations of Viscous, Turbulent Flows
VOYTEX GROWTH AND 
UNSTRUCTURED ADAPTIVE GRID

- Van Dyke (An Album of Fluid Motion) examples of unsteady vortex dynamics (macro and microscales)
- Unstructured, solution-adaptive simulations

Incompressible

\[ t = 6.53 \text{ ms}, \nu = 24.0 \text{ ft/s} \]

Compressible

81. Growth of vortices on an accelerated plate.
ADAPTIVE GRIDS

- Unsteady Vortex Shedding from a Flap
PROBLEM DEFINITION
Stage 1-2 Cavity, T56 Engine Turbine Section
SOLUTIONS ON FINEST GRID

Stage 1-2 Cavity

(a) Streamline Plot (Lines in the Main-path Have Been Suppressed). Note Outgoing Flow in Both Rim Seals

(b) Temperature Field in the Cavity
CODE COUPLING/INTERFACE ISSUES

• Solution Methodology/Codes
  – Pressure or Density-Based/Primary Variables
  – Flux Calculation Methods
  – Boundary Condition Treatment

• Coupling Level
  – At Subiteration Level
  – Equation Level (For Continuity Equation) May be Needed

• Interface Placement
  – Location Should Minimize Grid Changes
  – SCISEAL Grid Fixed, TURBO Grid Time-Varying

• Interpolation Routines
  – Needed for Data Transfer from One Code to Other
  – Conservation of Fluxes
CURRENT INTERFACE STRATEGY

- Placement of Interface Along the Rotor Hub Wall
  - Intersects Rim Seal Open Area
  - Minimizes Grid Interface Distortion
- Data Type to be Exchanged
  - Fluxes Calculated by TURBO ⇒ Used Directly in SCISEAL Boundary Cells
  - Variables from SCISEAL ⇒ Interpolated and Used in the Ghost Layer at Interface Boundary in TURBO
  - Ensures Flux Conservation
CURRENT STATUS, PLANS

- Interface Algorithm and Code Modifications are in Place
  - Debugging Completed
- Will be Used for Time-Accurate Flows in UTRC H.P. Turbine Rig
  - Stator and Rotor Plus Disc Cavity Combination
  - Experimental Data Used for Validation
- Development of a GUI Needed for Ease of Use of Unstructured Code (SCISEAL)
- Steady State Preliminary Results for UTRC HP Rig Completed
DETAILS OF THE FLOWFIELD IN H.P. RIG
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UTRC H.P. Rig

Steady-State Solutions, Static pressures

Powerstream
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Observations

- Purge Flow $m_p$ Measured at Different Pressure Ratios $P_{op} / P_{oi}$
- Maximum Purge Flow Rate = 1.6% of Powerstream at $P_{op} / P_{oi} = 1.04$
- Minimum (0) Purge Rate ~ $P_{op} / P_{oi} = 0.85$
- 2D, Axisymmetric, Steady State Solutions Obtained
  - Several Different $P_{op} / P_{oi}$ Values
  - Computed Purge Flow ~ 0.95% of Powerstream at $P_{op} / P_{oi} = 1.04$
  - Cutoff of Purge Flow Seen at $P_{op} / P_{oi}$ Near $P_{op} / P_{oi} = 0.86$
UTRC H.P. Rig

Streamlines at different pressure ratios

\[ \frac{P_{op}}{P_{oi}} = 1.04 \]

\[ \frac{P_{op}}{P_{oi}} = 0.872 \]
SUMMARY

- Interaction Between Mainpath and Secondary Flow Important; Need Detailed Information for Design and Performance Predictions

- A Numerical Methodology is Being Developed
  - Validated Codes for Two Flow Streams: SCISEAL and MS-TURBO
  - Interfacing Algorithms/Routines for Coupling
  - Validation Against UTRC Data and Other Experiments

- Geometries of H.P. Rig Obtained, Steady State Results in Progress

- Unsteady Simulations are Being Setup

- Plans to Give Software to OEM for Release and Eventual Incorporation in Design Cycle