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Description of the Application: Low Impact Docking System (LIDS)

- Low Impact Docking System (a.k.a. Advanced Docking Berthing System (ADBS))
- Next-generation space vehicle mating system
- Establishes a standard interface for mating spacecrafts that is:
  - compact
  - lightweight
  - reduces the risks associated with mating spacecraft.
- Simplifies spacecraft docking operations by eliminating high-impact loads
- Supports autonomous docking and berthing operations
- Supports a wide range of spacecraft and mating operations.
  - Crew Exploration Vehicle
  - International Space Station

+ The Advanced Docking Berthing System shown on the right is under development at Johnson Space Center. The future for the system is to become the agency’s standard mating system going forward through the Constellation Program.
+ The system offers several advantages over the current Russian built system. Most importantly, it reduces the risks associated with mating.
+ It does this by mating with very low impact, and by offering system redundancy.
+ The system is redundant on every level because it is androgynous. Each joint is a mate between two identical systems.
LIDS Seal Locations: Vehicle Undocked (Hatch Closed)

- Atmospheric Pressure
- Vacuum
- Hatch Window (Static seal)
- Hatch Crank (Dynamic / static seal)
- Hatch Dual Seal (Dynamic seal)
- Tunnel Dual Seal (Static seal)
- Bottom Ring Dual Seal (Static seal)
- Pass-throughs (Static seal)
LIDS Seal Locations: Mechanical Pass Thru

Mechanical Pass-throughs (8 places)
LIDS Seal Locations: Electrical and Pyro Connectors
LIDS Seal Locations: Vehicle Docked (Hatches Open)

- Tunnel Dual Seal (Static seal)
- Main Interface Dual Seal Seal-on-seal (Dynamic seal)
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LIDS Seal Locations: Main Interface Seal

Main Interface Dual Seal
  Seal-on-seal

Vehicle
  Atmospheric Pressure

LIDS
  Vacuum
### Main Interface Seal

**Challenges and Specifications**

Vehicle to vehicle mating requires androgynous (gender neutral) interface
- Androgynous interface requires seal-on-seal configuration
- Seal must accommodate vehicle misalignments and manufacturing tolerances

Seal materials must withstand:
- Atomic oxygen in low Earth orbit
- Particle and ultraviolet radiation
- High number of mating cycles
- Micrometeoroid and orbital debris (MMOD)
- Long duration under vacuum
- Thermal gradients and transients
- Thermal environment: -100 to 100°C
- Operating temperature: -50 to 50°C
- Long periods under mating conditions

Seal specifications:
- Compression force < 100 lbf / linear inch / seal
- Adhesion force \( \sim 0 \) lbf
- Confined width and height requirements
- Leakage < 0.02 lbm \( {\text{AIR}} \) / day under all conditions
Approach

Small-Scale Seal Evaluations
(0.83” O.D.)
Compression Set / Adhesion / Leakage Flow

Medium-Scale Seal Development
(12” O.D.)
Compression / Adhesion / Leakage Flow

Risk Reduction Unit (RRU) and other
Full-Scale Seal Development
(54 to 60 in. dia.)
Compression / Adhesion / Leakage Flow

Engineering Demonstration Unit (EDU)
Seal Testing and Evaluation

Flight Unit Seal
Testing and Evaluation

Material Evaluations
Space Environments Exposure

Numerical Simulations for improved designs and behavior within manufacturing and assembly tolerances
### Seal Concepts Under Development/Evaluation

#### Elastomeric Seals

**Gask-o-seal:**
- Able to form near-hermetic seal
- Able to perform under gapping / misalignment conditions
- Currently flying as static berthing seal on Space Station: Common Berthing Module
- Concerns:
  - Long term space exposure
  - Seal-to-seal adhesion
  - Examining remedies.

#### Gasket Seal:
- Near Term Risk Reduction Unit (RRU) trial seal
- Using to check-out JSC and GRC test hardware

#### Metallic Seals

**Metal Face Seal:**
- Immune to UV, AO, IR effects
- No known adhesion issue
- Concerns:
  - Very low leakage
  - Large diameter, precision flat surfaces

---

![Gask-o-seal](image1.png)

![Gasket Seal](image2.png)

![Metallic Face Seal](image3.png)
Elastomer Material Evaluations

**Evaluation of relevant seal properties**
- Compression set
- Elastomer-to-elastomer adhesion
- Leakage rates

**Space Environments Exposures**
- Understanding / quantifying the effects of
  - Atomic oxygen
  - Ultraviolet and particle radiation
  - Micrometeoroid / orbital debris impacts

**Numerical predictions**
- Mechanical properties of elastomers measured at various temperatures
- Compression load and deformation predicted

**Details provided in the next two presentations**
- Space Environments Effects on Candidate LIDS Seal Materials
- FEA Modeling of Elastomeric Seals for LIDS
## Evaluation of Relevant Seal Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compression Set</strong></td>
<td>• Determines the ability of elastomeric compounds to retain elastic properties after prolonged compression per ASTM Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adhesion</strong></td>
<td>• Quantifies adhesion between two elastomeric samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leakage Flow</strong></td>
<td>• Measure leakage rate of air under vacuum conditions before and after space environments exposures to quantify degradation from AO, UV, ionizing radiation, and MMOD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Medium Scale Flow/Compression Fixture** | • Measures leakage rate of candidate seal geometries and materials in nominal and off-nominal configurations  
  • Quantifies compression and adhesion forces required to mate and demate candidate seal geometries and materials |
Medium-Scale (12”) Gask-O- Seal Compression Tests

Objectives:
• Determine maximum compressive load
• Characterize decay in maximum compressive load on seal
• Measure maximum adhesion on seal as a function of hold time and number of cycles
• Measure compression set

Procedures:
• Compression set test #1
  - Load and hold for 70 hours (using LIDS docking profile)
  - Unload
  - Wait 30 minutes
• Cyclic loading (Cycles 2-21):
  - Load and hold for 30 minutes
  - Unload
  - Wait 30 minutes
• Compression set test #2
  - Load and hold for 70 hours
  - Unload
  - Wait 30 minutes
• Load for 30 minutes (Cycle 22)

Cross-sections of the elastomer seal concepts with elliptical top (left) and flat top (right) sealing surfaces.

Photo of the compression testing of 12” Gask-o-seals in an axially aligned seal-on-seal configuration.
Medium-Scale Compression Video
**Medium-Scale Compression Results**

**Test specimens:**
- Parker Hannifin Gask-o-seal
- Common Berthing Mechanism (CBM) design
- Two compounds
  - S0383-70
  - S0899-50
- Two configurations
  - Elliptical top
  - Flat top

**Results**
- Both compounds showed adhesion before release
- During separation, the S0899-50 elastomer stretched 0.25 / 0.20 inch (elliptical / flat top) beyond the initial contact point
- Compression set results were masked by the high levels of adhesion of the S0899-50 compound

S1853-50 and S0899-50 are identical elastomer compounds with different designations from different divisions within Parker Hannifin. S0899-50 is used throughout this (and other) presentations for uniformity.
Adhesion Forces of Elliptical Top Gask-o-seals

Results

- Adhesion increases with contact duration (70 hrs versus 30 min)
- Adhesion generally decreases with number of mate cycles
- No trends were observed in the adhesion with sealing surface configuration (not shown)
- Adhesion levels of the S0383-70 elastomer seals were stable and lower than those for S0899-50
Medium-Scale Seals

Compression forces required for 58" dual-bead seal-on-seal is predicted to be:

- ~5100 lbf (S0899-50 / Elliptical top)
- ~4600 lbf (S0899-50 / Flat top)
- ~13700 lbf (S0383-70 / Elliptical top)
- ~11400 lbf (S0383-70 / Flat top)
Medium-Scale Leakage Results: Effect of Configuration

**Test Specimens and Setup**
- Parker Hannifin Gask-o-seals
  - CBM design
  - Elliptical top
- Two elastomer compounds
  - S0383-70
  - S0899-50
- Three configurations
  - Aligned seal-on-seal
  - Axial misalignment (0.060 inch)
  - Axial misalignment (0.060 inch) + Angular misalignment (0.040 inch)

**Results**
- The leakage of seals was greatest with both axial and angular misalignments
- When the seals were aligned, the leakage was the lowest
- S0899-50 seals leaked greater than S0383-70
**Full Scale LIDS Seal Test Rig Development**

**Goal:** Evaluate full-scale seal leakage rates under anticipated thermal, vacuum, and engagement conditions

**Features:**
- Static seal-on-seal or seal-on-plate configurations
- Seal diameters:
  - Risk reduction unit: 54"
  - Engineering demonstration unit: 58"
  - Flight unit: TBD
- Simulated environmental conditions
  - Thermal: -50°C to +50°C (shade or sun)
  - Pressure (ΔP):
    - Operational: Ambient pressure to vacuum
    - Pre-flight checkout: 15 psig to ambient
- Engagement conditions
  - Vehicle alignment/misalignment (±0.060")
  - Gapping: Non-uniform clamping engagement (0.040")

**Future:** An actuation system to bring seals together simulating docking
Materials International Space Station Experiment (MISSE 6A and 6B)

Goals and Objectives

• To expose three candidate elastomers to space environments in low-Earth orbit to evaluate their applicability as material for primary mating interface seal for LIDS

• Combined and simultaneous exposure to atomic oxygen, ultraviolet and ionizing radiation, MMOD, and temperature transients in a hard vacuum cannot be replicated in terrestrial laboratories.

Anticipated data

• Leakage rates of three candidate elastomers o-rings after exposure to combined space environments (to be compared with as-received samples).
• Leakage rates after exposure to UV, ionizing radiation, and thermal cycling only under hard vacuum conditions.

• Leakage rate of S0383-70 elastomer o-ring after exposure to thermal cycling only under hard vacuum conditions.

• Leakage rate of S0383-70 with additional post-cure after exposure to combined space environments.

• Leakage rate of S383-70 with a UV/AO protective coating after exposure to combined space environments.

• Adhesion level of pairs of S0383, S0899, and XELA-SA-401 elastomer o-rings after exposure to thermal cycling under hard vacuum conditions (simulating docking conditions).
Schedule

**Material Evaluations**
- Atomic Oxygen, Ultraviolet and Particle Radiation, Micrometeoroid / Orbital Debris Impact
- Ongoing

**Small-scale Seal Evaluations**
- Elastomeric-seal concepts, metallic-seal concepts
- Ongoing

**Medium-scale Seal Evaluations**
- Seals showing promise after small-scale evaluation
- Through 2008

**Full-scale Seal Evaluations (Static System)**
- Rig fabrication out for bid
- Anticipate testing in Spring / Summer 2007

**Full-scale Seal Evaluations (Actuated System)**
- Actuation system out for bid
- Anticipate testing in Summer / Fall 2007

**MISSE 6A and 6B**
- Launch planned for Fall 2007

**1st LIDS Flight**
- Launch planned for 2012
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