ABSTRACT: Diffusion bonds of silicon carbide (SiC) were fabricated using several different types of titanium (Ti) based interlayers between the SiC substrates. The interlayers were an alloyed Ti foil, a pure Ti foil, and a physically vapor deposited (PVD) Ti coating. Microscopy was conducted to evaluate the cross-sections of the resulting bonds. Microprobe analysis identified reaction formed phases in the diffusion bonded region. Uniform and well adhered bonds were formed between the SiC substrates. In the case where the alloyed Ti foil or a thick Ti coating (i.e. 20 micron) was used as the interlayer, microcracks and several phases were present in the diffusion bonds. When a thinner interlayer was used (i.e. 10 micron PVD Ti), no microcracks were observed and only two reaction formed phases were present. The two phases were preferred and fully reacted phases that did not introduce thermal stresses or microcracks during the cool-down stage after processing. Diffusion bonded samples were evaluated with the non-destructive evaluation (NDE) methods of pulsed thermography and immersion ultrasonic testing. Joined SiC substrates that were fully bonded and that had simulated bond flaws in the interlayer were also evaluated using immersion ultrasound. Pull testing was conducted on the bonds to determine the tensile strength. To demonstrate the joining approach for a complex multilayered component for a low NOx injector application, the diffusion bonding approach was used to join three 4” diameter SiC discs that contained complex fuel and air flow channels.
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Joining Motivation

Improve Ceramic to Ceramic and Ceramic to Metal Joining Methods
- allow for the fabrication of complex shapes and structures.
- allow for easier integration of ceramics components into engine systems.
Ceramics can be either monolithics or fiber reinforced composites.

Examples of Component Applications Benefiting from Joining Technology
- components for aeronautic and ground based engine applications (i.e. ceramic turbine vanes, blades, injectors, rotors, combustor liners, valves, and heat shields) and fusion reactor components, optical space components, and bilayer armor.

Benefits – simplified component fabrication, enabling technology, increased operating temperatures, improved efficiency, reduced cooling requirements, reduced weight, higher creep resistance, and higher strength than current technologies.
SiC laminates can be used to create intricate and interlaced passages to speed up fuel-air mixing to allow lean-burning, ultra-low emissions.

**Key Enabling Technologies:**
- Bonding of SiC to SiC
- Brazing of SiC to Metallic (Kovar) Fuel Tubes

**Benefits of Laminated Plates**
- Passages of any shape can be created to allow for multiple fuel circuits
- Provides thermal protection of the fuel to prevent choking
- Low cost fabrication of modules with complicated internal geometries through chemical etching
Current Approach of Joining SiC With a Ti Layer

Advantages of Diffusion Bonding Using a Ti Layer

- Uniform Ti layers can be applied
- Ti can be applied by different methods (foil, PVD and other coating approaches)
- High strength and leak-free bonds
- Good high temperature stability

The objective is to develop joining technology that has the following capabilities necessary for the injector application:

- Joining of relatively large geometries (i.e. 4” diameter discs)
- Leak-free at an internal pressure of 200 psi (1.38 MPa)
- Stability and strength retention at 800°F (427°C)
SiC and Ti Material Combinations:
1. 1.75” diameter $\alpha$-SiC (CRYSTAR from Saint-Gobain) discs joined with a 38 micron alloyed Ti foil
2. 1.75” diameter CVD SiC (TREX Enterprises) discs joined with a 38 micron alloyed Ti foil
3. 1” x 2” CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) coupons joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti coating on one of the surfaces
4. 1” x 2” CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) coupons joined with a 38 micron alloyed Ti foil
5. 1” x 2” CVD SiC (Rohm & Hass) coupons joined with ~10 micron PVD Ti coating on both of the surfaces (20 micron total PVD Ti)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Temp.</th>
<th>Pressure*</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Atmosphere</th>
<th>Cooling Rate</th>
<th>Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (materials 1, 2, and 3)</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>24, 24, 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Microscopy &amp; Microprobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (materials 1 and 3)</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>24, 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (materials 1 and 3)</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (materials 1, 4 and 5)</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>24, 31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>vacuum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Microscopy &amp; Microprobe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*at minimum clamping pressure for the hot press
Alloyed Ti Foil

Microprobe from the cross-section of alloyed Ti foil (averages taken from several points near the edge and at the center of the foil)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Ratio</td>
<td>Grey Phase</td>
<td>10.196</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>86.774</td>
<td>2.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (%)</td>
<td>Grey Phase</td>
<td>5.999</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>90.632</td>
<td>3.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atomic Ratio</td>
<td>White Phase</td>
<td>4.841</td>
<td>1.850</td>
<td>76.507</td>
<td>16.803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (%)</td>
<td>White Phase</td>
<td>2.748</td>
<td>2.172</td>
<td>77.084</td>
<td>17.997</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ti-6Al-4V (weight %)

Grey phase – Alpha alloy
White phase – Beta alloy
Secondary Electron Image of the Diffusion Bond - Alloyed Ti Foil and Trex CVD SiC

Diffusion Bond

Microcracks

SiC
Microcracks formed regardless of the substrate and variations in the processing: higher temperatures, higher pressures, slower cooling rate.

Microcracking may be due to thermal stresses during cooling down from processing:
- Phase B (same in all three micrographs) - $\text{Ti}_5\text{Si}_3\text{C}_x$ ($\text{Ti}_5\text{Si}_3$) is highly anisotropic in its thermal expansion where $\text{CTE}(c)/\text{CTE}(a) = 2.72$ (Schneibelt et al).

- Central core of diffusion bond has concentrated alpha and beta Ti alloy phases. The alpha phase has an anisotropic thermal expansion which is 20% greater along the c-axis (Boyer, Welsch, and Colling). Also, the beta phase has a thermal expansion that is 6x higher in the temperature range of 600-1000°C (5.8x10-5/°C) compared the thermal expansion below 600°C (9.2x10-6/°C) (Elmer et all).
20 Micron Ti Interlayer

Microcracking is still present due to the presence of Ti₅Si₃CX.
Naka et al suggest that this is an intermediate phase.

10 Micron Ti Interlayer

No microcracking or phase of Ti₅Si₃CX is present.

Thin interlayers of pure Ti down-selected as the preferred interlayer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>56.426</td>
<td>17.792</td>
<td>25.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>35.794</td>
<td>62.621</td>
<td>1.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td>58.767</td>
<td>33.891</td>
<td>7.140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>56.621</td>
<td>18.690</td>
<td>24.686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td>35.752</td>
<td>61.217</td>
<td>3.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>56.426</td>
<td>17.792</td>
<td>25.757</td>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
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<tr>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>56.621</td>
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<td>Phase B</td>
<td>35.752</td>
<td>61.217</td>
<td>3.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pulsed Thermography Overview

Light pulse uniformly heats sample surface

Thermal energy diffuses into the sample and is uniformly emitted from surface

Increase IR radiation at the surface due to the presence of a subsurface defect
• Presence of bonding was detected in the pulsed thermography images from front and back of the SiC disks.
• Results regarding bond quality are inconclusive due to surface conditions (i.e. reflections).
Immersion Ultrasonic Testing Basics

- A high frequency ultrasonic pulse (U) enters specimen.
- Some of the signal is reflected back at the bond interface (R) while the remaining energy is transmitted (T) and eventually reflected at the back surface of the sample.
- The amount of energy reflected at the interface depends on the acoustic impedance (z) mismatch between the materials at the interface.
- Well bonded, similar materials will result in very little or no reflection at the interface while a disbond or air gap will cause more of the energy at the interface to reflect back.
Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) Method of Ultrasonic Immersion Shows Very Good Bonding of 1” Discs

Clay on backside of sample to verify that ultrasound reached backwall

0.65” Diameter PVD Ti Coating

Ultrasonic C-scan Image of Bonded Discs

Ultrasonic C-scan Image of Bonded Discs
Close-up View of PVD Ti Coatings on SiC

On Less Polished SiC

On Highly Polished SiC

The coating is more dense on the highly polished SiC.
The High Strength Bonds Greatly Exceed the Application Requirements

1” x 1” Bonded Substrates

- Pull test tensile strengths:
  - > 23.6 MPa (3.4 ksi)*
  - > 28.4 MPa (4.1 ksi)*
  - * failure in the adhesive to the test fixture

1” Diameter Discs with a 0.65” Diameter Bond Area

- Pull test tensile strengths:
  - 13.4 MPa (1.9 ksi)
  - 15.0 MPa (2.2 ksi)
  - Slightly higher strength from the highly polished SiC suggest that a smoother surface contributes to stronger bonds or less flawed SiC.
  - Failures are primarily in the SiC substrate rather than in the bond area.

The injector application requires a strength of about 3.45-6.89 MPa (0.5 - 1.0 ksi).
Failure was in the SiC substrate rather than the bond. The bond was pulled out intact from the failing SiC substrate.

Failure was primarily in the SiC as failure started in one substrate crossed through the bond region and continued in the other SiC substrate.
Ultrasonic Immersion on Bonded Hexoloy SiC with Known/Simulated Flaws

Two sets 1” x 1” SiC substrates were joined with a Ti interlayer that had different sized patterns cut out.

Illustration of Ti foil (grey) with cut patterns (white).

Ultrasonic C-scan @10MHz reveals areas of no bonding with a slightly increased reflection at the bond interface. Contrast is limited.

The poor NDE images may be due to:
• thicker SiC substrates - 0.25” compared to the 60 mill thick CVD SiC
• the lower density - 3.1 g/cm^3 vs. 3.21 g/cm^3 for the CVD SiC
• higher porosity 2-3% closed porosity vs. no porosity for the CVD SiC
Three Part 10 cm (4”) Diameter SiC Injector

Stacking Sequence
Top to Bottom

Top Surfaces

Small Fuel Holes

Fuel Swirler Detail

Bottom Surfaces

Large Air Holes

PVD Ti Coated

Detail
Next Slide
Detail of the Thickest Injector Substrate
(~0.635 cm thick)
Summary of Results and Future Studies

Summary of Results:

- Diffusion bonds fabricated with the alloyed Ti foil as the interlayer formed five to seven reaction formed phases in the bond.
  - Microcracks due to the formation of thermally anisotropic phases.
- Diffusion bonds fabricated with the 10 micron PVD Ti coating gave better diffusion bonds than the alloyed Ti foil.
  - Fewer and preferred phases were formed which resulted in bonds without microcracks.
- NDE method of ultrasonic immersion shows good, uniform bonding.
- Strengths are well above the application requirements.

Future Studies:

- Future efforts will further evaluate this bonding method to determine if it is fully capable of meeting the needs of the proposed injector application – uniform, leak-free bonds with stability and strength retention at temperatures up to 800°F.
- Develop and characterize Kovar to SiC joining.
- Apply joining techniques to component fabrication and support in-house component testing.