Active and adaptive systems and methods to prevent loss of control incidents by providing tactile feedback to a vehicle operator are disclosed. According to the present invention, an operator gives a control input to an inceptor. An inceptor sensor measures an inceptor input value of the control input. The inceptor input is used as an input to a Steady-State Inceptor InputlEffector Output Model that models the vehicle control system design. A desired effector output from the inceptor input is generated from the model. The desired effector output is compared to an actual effector output to get a distortion metric. A feedback force is generated as a function of the distortion metric. The feedback force is used as an input to a feedback force generator which models the loss of control inhibitor system (LOCIS) force back to the inceptor. The LOCIS force is felt by the operator through the inceptor.
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METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LOSS OF CONTROL INHIBITOR SYSTEMS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/515,215 filed Oct. 28, 2003, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

ORIGIN OF THE INVENTION

The invention described herein was made by an employee of the United States Government and may be manufactured and used by or for the Government of the United States of America for governmental purposes without the payment of any royalty thereon or therefor.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to man-machine compatibility with respect to closed-loop control of vehicles. Specifically, the present invention relates to tactile feedback systems. More specifically, the present invention relates to an improved and intuitive tactile feedback to the vehicle operator on the status of the vehicle’s controls that counters the current trend of utilizing control-by-wire and/or power controls that greatly reduce the operator’s “feel” for the limitations imposed on the control system by failures, configuration idiosyncrasies, seldom encountered and unanticipated operating conditions, and design limitations that can result in catastrophic loss of vehicle control.

2. Description of the Related Art

Many vehicle control systems use hydraulic, mechanical, or electrical power to augment the operator’s control forces to reduce the operator’s workload for maneuvering the vehicle. But the more work done by the power system, the less tactile information is being transmitted back through the inceptor to the operator on the status of the control system.

For example, the operator will not detect the increase in control forces on a system in need of lubrication as readily because the power system is providing much of the additional force required. For large vehicles, such as commercial aircraft, there is further masking of information by the system compliance, the stretching of cables, the bending of the mounting brackets and push rods, and the over-travel springs on the actuator servo valves.

The latest advance in vehicle control technology to be widely implemented is the control-by-wire, which replaces the force transmitting mechanical linkage between the inceptor and the effector with a wire transmitting the inceptor generated electric signal commanding the effectors to act. A multitude of benefits are attributed to the adoption of control-by-wire technology, but the compromise was the acceptance that tactile feel for the status of the flight control system were either not needed, or could be provided through alternate sensory channels. One of the anticipated consequences of replacing the mechanical linkage with wire, was the resulting incidences of operators, when unexpectedly encountering a life threatening situation, responding by moving their inceptors at such a rate that the effectors could not keep up, markedly increasing the phase delay between the inceptor input and the associated effector output. Phase delay is a key factor in the experiencing of loss of control associated with the aircraft-pilot or operator-vehicle coupling phenomena.

Loss of control from aircraft-pilot coupling is the result of the pilot trying aggressively to help the aircraft either recover from an upset, or to acquire a new flight path because of an impending threat on the original course. Under such circumstances, the pilot will choose to act like an additional stability augmentation system in order to more responsively acquire the desired flight path, and quickly dampen any overshoots resulting from the recovery maneuver. The consequences of the phase delay are that while the pilot’s inceptor inputs are trying to enhance the stability, the resulting effector output may be doing just the opposite because of the phase delay.

The invention fills the void created by the adoption of control-by-wire technology in tactile feedback through the inceptor to the vehicle operator on the status of the critical functions of the vehicle control system.

“Loss of Control” was the single largest fatal accident category for the worldwide commercial jet fleet from 1994 through 2003, and has consistently been either the first or second largest fatal accident category since the beginning of accident data collection. Specific factors that cause loss of control are many and varied, but a common indicator for several recent aircraft accidents has been the lack of awareness by the pilot of anomalous behavior of the flight control system, caused by a control surface not following the pilot’s commands to the level of accuracy needed to maintain control of the aircraft.

With the degree of technical sophistication involved in assuring that today’s aircraft are easily controllable, with redundancy providing fail-safe operations even after one or two failures of key components, there continues to be room for improvement. Recent advances in flight control designs, such as fly-by-wire, have eliminated the pilot’s tactile feel for a jammed or frozen control surface, or for hitting the control surface deflection stop. Generally, there is little or no restraining force on the cockpit inceptors to alert the pilot for exceeding the control surface rate limits. Such tactile feedback, which was an implicit pilot cue in early aircraft, is substantially eroded with the introduction of the fully powered hydro-mechanical control systems, and completely eliminated with the introduction of fly-by-wire control systems.

Other vehicle types are adapting control systems that utilize control-by-wire technology. The control-by-wire (CBW) control systems, when used as part of the vehicle’s primary maneuver controls, are subject to the same challenges that have been experienced in air vehicles. For example, the loss of tactile feedback to the operator on deteriorating conditions of the control system and the anticipated vehicle response, which can lead to loss of control unless appropriately compensated for by the operator.

An approach to addressing the problems noted above is to provide the vehicle operator with tactile feedback on deteriorating conditions with the vehicle controls. Specifically, one way this can be accomplished is by providing increasing resistance to the inceptor proportional to the severity of the deterioration, the vehicle operator will initially be alerted by the increased resistance felt during control application, with the resistance ultimately increasing to thereby maintain safe control of the vehicle.

There have been attempts to address the problems noted above. For example, U.S. Pat. No. 6,339,419, issued to Jolly et al., refers to a magnetically controllable system. In Jolly '419, a haptic interface system using force feedback and a magnetically-controllable device that provides resistance forces opposing joystick movement is disclosed. The system includes a computer system that runs a program similar to a
magnetically controllable device varies the feedback force to counter operator vehicle coupling loss of control conditions.

It is a further objective of the present invention to alert the operator, through tactile feedback generated by increasing the friction force on the inceptor as a function of the severity of the condition, where the loss of control conditions can include, but are not limited to, displacement and rate limiting of the effector; misrigging or misalignment of the inceptor to effector relationship; motion limiting increase in resistance of the effector, which is not reflected at the inceptor; a deterioration of control/response harmony as a result of actuation of a safety-enhancing/envelope-limiting system; a deterioration of control/response harmony as a result of unanticipated degradation of the control system under unexpected operating conditions; aggressive inceptor inputs by the operator which are outside the design-operating envelope of the control system and would result in a potential loss of control; inceptor inputs by the operator which are outside the safe-operating envelope of the control system as a result of a failure/degradation in power system driving, the effector and would result in a potential loss of control. The criticality of these conditions is appropriately reflected by a difference between an anticipated effector response to an inceptor input and the actual effector response.

The present invention includes active and adaptive systems and methods to prevent loss of control accidents and incidents by providing advisory information via tactile feedback to a vehicle operator on the status of the vehicle’s control functions for a broad range of debilitating conditions.

According to the present invention, an operator gives a control input to an inceptor. An inceptor sensor measures a value, inceptor input, of the control input. The inceptor input is used as an input to a Steady-State Inceptor Input/Effector Output Model that models the vehicle control system design. The model is used to generate a desired effector output from the inceptor input. The desired effector output is compared to an actual effector output. Actual effector output is measured by an effector sensor.

A distortion metric is generated from the comparison of the desired effector output to the actual effector output. A feedback force is determined from an evaluation of the distortion metric. Generally, the feedback force is a function of the distortion metric. The feedback force is used as an output to a feedback force generator which generates a loss of control inhibitor system (LOCIS) force back to the inceptor. The LOCIS force is felt by the operator through the inceptor.

Other features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following detailed description taken in conjunction with the following figures, wherein like reference numerals represent like features.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 shows a loss of control inhibitor system according to the present invention in block diagram form.

FIG. 2 shows a Steady-State Inceptor Input/Effector Output Model according to the present invention.

FIG. 3 shows inceptor/effector responses with and without a loss of control inhibitor system according to the present invention.
While this invention is susceptible of embodiments in many different forms, there are shown in the drawings and will herein be described in detail, preferred embodiments of the invention with the understanding that the present disclosure is to be considered as an exemplification of the principles of the invention and is not intended to limit the broad aspect of the invention to the embodiments illustrated.

The present invention includes active and adaptive systems and methods to prevent loss of control accidents and incidents by providing advisory information via tactile feedback to a vehicle operator on the status of the vehicle’s control functions for a broad range of debilitating conditions. Vehicles incorporating control-by-wire, that is, the inceptor’s input command signal to the effector is sent by other than mechanical means, are generally void of any tactile feedback through the inceptor regarding control anomalies, and therefore have the most to gain from application of the invention.

FIG. 1 shows a loss of control inhibitor system according to the present invention in block diagram form. In the system, an operator 110 gives a control input 120 to an inceptor 130. An inceptor sensor 140 measures a value, inceptor input, $\delta_{x}$ 200, of the control input 120. In various preferred embodiments, the inceptor sensor 140 measures inceptor 130 position and/or inceptor 130 rate. The inceptor input 200 is used as an input to a Steady-State Inceptor Input/Effecter Output Model (the Model) 150, that models the vehicle control system design.

The Model 150 is defined by the vehicle control system team. Typically, the Model 150 is based on prior design experience, man-machine studies, simulations, and prototype tests. FIG. 2 shows a Steady-State Inceptor Input/Effecter Output Model according to the present invention. The Model 150, shown in FIG. 2, shows inceptor input, $\delta_{x}$ 200 on the horizontal axis 210 and effecter output, $\delta_{y}$ 220 on the vertical axis 230. An effecter to inceptor design gain, $K_{s}$ 240 can be defined as change in effecter output 220 divided by the change in inceptor input 210, or $d\delta_{y}/d\delta_{x}$. A tolerance band, $\Delta\delta_{y}$ 250 can be defined as a range of allowable effecter outputs 220 for a given inceptor input 210. Maximum effecter output, $(\delta_{y})_{MAX}$ 260 is also shown. Other forms for model 150 may also be used. For example, the model 150 may include data look-up tables or other formulas, equations, or other suitable modeling techniques known in the art.

Returning to FIG. 1, the Model 150 is used to generate a desired effecter output, $(\delta_{y})_{D}$ 160 from the inceptor input 200. The desired effecter output 160 is compared to an actual effecter output, $(\delta_{y})_{A}$ 170. Actual effecter output 170 is measured by an effecter sensor 180. In various preferred embodiments, effecter sensor 180 measures effecter 185 position and/or effecter 185 rate.

Under normal operations, the inceptor input 200 and effecter output 220 values will lay within the tolerance band 250 of the Steady-State Inceptor Input/Effecter Output Model 150, resulting in a distortion metric value, E, 190 that will provide no additional feedback to the operator 110 from the subject invention. However, degradation in the control system 100 integrity and/or levels of Inceptor 130 activity under circumstances not envisioned during the design and development phase of the vehicle, which cause the inceptor input 200 and/or effecter output 220 values to exceed the tolerance band 250 of the Steady-State Inceptor Input/Effecter Output Model 150, will result in feedback, to the operator 110 from the subject invention.

Generally, the difference between the desired effecter output 160 and the actual effecter output 170 is the value of the distortion metric, E, 190, which, with the force feedback curve, sets the magnitude of the tactile feedback through the inceptor to the operator. The distortion metric, E, 190 is generated from the comparison of the desired effecter output 160 to the actual effecter output 170. A feedback force, $F_{f}$ 300 is determined from an evaluation of the distortion metric 190. Generally, the feedback force 300 is a function of the distortion metric. In a preferred embodiment, the distortion metric 190 and the feedback force 300 can be determined as follows:

$$F_{f} = f(E),$$

where $f(E)$ is a predetermined feedback force curve 330 for the vehicle.

By using the distortion metric 190 as the input to the force feedback curve 330, the system 100 is an active and adaptive feedback control system. The system 100 can adapt to changes in the electromechanical linkages between the inceptor 130 and the effecter 185. Furthermore, the system 100 actively compares desired effecter output 160 to the actual effecter output 170, which, in other words, compares what should be happening in the system 100 to what is actually happening in the system 100 and taking corrective measures when the two are sufficiently different.

Feedback force 300 is used as an input to a feedback force generator 310 which generates a LOCIS force 320 back to the inceptor 130. The LOCIS force 320 is felt by the operator 110 through the inceptor 130. The feedback force generator 310 can be of any type known in the art, including, but not limited to, the magnetically controllable devices discussed above.

FIG. 3 shows inceptor/effecter responses with and without a loss of control inhibitor system 100 according to the present invention. In the conditions shown, namely, a normal vehicle, a vehicle with a jammed effecter, a vehicle with a misriggered effecter, a vehicle with wear, and a vehicle with a rate limiting function, LOCIS force 320 is shown as a function of inceptor input 200. Without the present invention, LOCIS force 320 is directly proportional to inceptor position. With the present invention, LOCIS force 320 varies with each of the conditions, yet remains the same for a normally operating vehicle.

In various preferred embodiments, the vehicle having the present invention can be an aircraft, where the inceptor 130 is the aircraft yoke and or the rudder pedals and the effecter 185 is any one or combination of the control surfaces. In other various preferred embodiments, the vehicle having the present invention can be an automobile, where the inceptor 130 is the steering wheel and or the brake pedal and the effecters 185 are the vehicle wheels and brakes.

While specific embodiments have been illustrated and described, numerous modifications come to mind without
What is claimed is:

1. A loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle, said system comprising:
   an inceptor for receiving a control input from an operator of said vehicle,
   an effector for effecting a control output in response to said control input from said operator of said vehicle,
   an inceptor sensor, wherein said inceptor sensor measures a value of said control input,
   an effector sensor, wherein said effector sensor measures an actual effector output of said effector,
   means for modeling a relationship between said control input and said control output for a predetermined range of conditions, wherein said modeling means produces an expected effector output from said control input,
   means for determining a distortion metric, wherein said distortion metric comprises a difference between said expected effector output and said actual effector output, and
   means for providing a feedback force to said inceptor, wherein said feedback force is a function of said distortion metric.

2. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said inceptor sensor comprises a position transducer.

3. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said effector sensor comprises a position transducer.

4. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said value of said control input comprises an inceptor position.

5. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said value of said control input comprises an inceptor rate.

6. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said actual effector output comprises an actual effector position.

7. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said actual effector output comprises an actual effector rate.

8. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said vehicle is an airplane.

9. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 8, wherein said inceptor is a yoke.

10. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 8, wherein said inceptor is a rudder pedal.

11. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 1, wherein said vehicle is an automobile.

12. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 11, wherein said inceptor is a steering wheel.

13. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 11, wherein said effector is a brake.

14. The loss of control inhibitor system for a vehicle according to claim 13, wherein said effector is a brake.

15. A method of inhibiting loss of control in a vehicle, said method comprising the steps of:
   receiving a control input via an inceptor from an operator of said vehicle,
   effecting a control output via an effector in response to said control input from said operator of said vehicle,
   measuring a value of said control input,
   measuring an actual effector output of said effector,
   modeling a relationship between said control input and said control output for a predetermined range of conditions,
   producing an expected effector output from said control input using said relationship,
   determining a distortion metric, wherein said distortion metric comprises a difference between said expected effector output and said actual effector output,
   determining a feedback force as a function of said distortion metric, and
   providing a said feedback force to said inceptor.

16. The method of inhibiting loss of control in a vehicle according to claim 15, wherein measuring a value of said control input comprises measuring an inceptor position.

17. The method of inhibiting loss of control in a vehicle according to claim 15, wherein measuring a value of said control input comprises measuring an inceptor rate.

18. The method of inhibiting loss of control in a vehicle according to claim 15, wherein measuring an actual effector output comprises measuring an effector position.

19. The method of inhibiting loss of control in a vehicle according to claim 15, wherein measuring an actual effector output comprises measuring an effector rate.

20. The method of inhibiting loss of control in a vehicle according to claim 15, wherein measuring an actual effector output comprises measuring an effector rate.