Abstract

NASA Marshall Space Flight Center's Propulsion Systems Department (PSD) is four months into a fifteen month Knowledge Management (KM) initiative to support enhanced engineering decision making and analyses, faster resolution of anomalies (near-term) and effective, efficient knowledge infused engineering processes, reduced knowledge attrition, and reduced anomaly occurrences (long-term). The near-term objective of this initiative is developing a KM Pilot project, within the context of a 3-5 year KM strategy, to introduce and evaluate the use of KM within PSD.

An internal NASA/MSFC PSD KM team was established early in project formulation to maintain a practitioner, user-centric focus throughout the conceptual development, planning and deployment of KM technologies and capabilities within the PSD. The PSD internal team is supported by the University of Alabama's Aging Infrastructure Systems Center of Excellence (AISCE), Intergraph Corporation, and The Knowledge Institute.

The principle product of the initial four month effort has been strategic planning of PSD KM implementation by first determining the "as is" state of KM capabilities and developing, planning and documenting the roadmap to achieve the desired "to be" state. Activities undertaken to support the planning phase have included data gathering; cultural surveys, group work-sessions, interviews, documentation review, and independent research. Assessments and analyses have been performed including industry benchmarking, related local and Agency initiatives, specific tools and techniques used and strategies for leveraging existing resources, people and technology to achieve common KM goals.

Key findings captured in the PSD KM Strategic Plan include the system vision, purpose, stakeholders, prioritized strategic objectives mapped to the top ten practitioner needs and analysis of current resource usage.

Opportunities identified from research, analyses, cultural/KM surveys and practitioner interviews include: executive and senior management sponsorship, KM awareness, promotion and training, cultural change management, process improvement, leveraging existing resources and new innovative technologies to align with other NASA KM initiatives (convergence: the big picture).

To enable results based incremental implementation and future growth of the KM initiative, key performance measures have been identified including stakeholder value, system utility, learning and growth (knowledge capture, sharing, reduced anomaly recurrence), cultural change, process improvement and return-on-investment.

The next steps for the initial implementation spiral (focused on SSME Turbomachinery) have been identified, largely based on the organization and compilation of summary level engineering process.
models, data capture matrices, functional models and conceptual-level systems architecture. Key elements include detailed KM requirements definition, KM technology architecture assessment, evaluation and selection, deployable KM Pilot design, development, implementation and evaluation, and justifying full implementation (estimated Return-on-Investment).

Features identified for the notional system architecture include the knowledge presentation layer (and its components), knowledge network layer (and its components), knowledge storage layer (and its components), User Interface and capabilities.

This paper provides a snapshot of the progress to date, the near term planning for deploying the KM pilot project and a forward look at results based growth of KM capabilities within the MSFC PSD.

Background and Overview

NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center's Propulsion Systems Department (PSD) is engaged in a fifteen month knowledge management pilot project. The University of Alabama’s Aging Infrastructure Systems Center of Excellence (AISCE) and Intergraph Corporation developed a KM evaluation proposal, focusing on specific PSD operational processes and propulsion components and secured funding through a grant award to execute a KM pilot project. The pilot project involves the evaluation of the “as is” state of KM capabilities within the PSD and development of strategy to achieve the desired “to be” state. The tactical approach involves deploying a highly focused proof of concept KM tool suite applied to the PSD design engineering analysis process and the failure and anomaly investigation/resolution process, specifically as they pertain to SSME turbomachinery. This focused approach enables demonstration of KM system functionality against measurable success criteria and results based outward growth of KM capabilities as additional resources and sponsorship are obtained.

The near-term objective of this initiative is a working KM Pilot project, within the context of a 3-5 year KM strategy, to introduce and evaluate the use of KM within PSD. Desired results from implementing the PSD KM pilot include improvements in:

- Knowledge access – Effective, efficient, informed engineering decision making, analytical processes and products
- Responsiveness – faster resolution of anomalies and reduction in anomalies
- Prevention – reduced attrition of knowledge capital.

The Strategic Plan establishes a vision, blueprint and approach to develop, initiate, and execute an effective knowledge management strategy for PSD. The plan is much broader and more ambitious than the tightly focused SSME turbomachinery Pilot initiative being implemented in calendar year 2006.

The PSD KM team follows a four-phased KM Strategic Deployment Roadmap as depicted in Figure 1 below. The roadmap is based on more than 5 years of cumulative industry research, leveraging the best practices for a successful KM strategy and deployment.

This approach allows PSD to roll out a robust knowledge management strategy and system—properly aligned with the NASA, MSFC and PSD strategies.

![Figure 1: KM Strategic Deployment Roadmap](image-url)
The top three priorities for the PSD KM Strategic Plan are:
1. Improve safety, reliability, and quality
2. Reduce risks / impact of knowledge attrition associated with NASA's ageing workforce
3. Align operations and resources with Agency, NASA field centers and industry partners.

Project Scope
The specific scope of work for the MSFC PSD KM effort is:
- Research PSD KM requirements
- Develop a KM Strategic Plan for implementation of improved knowledge management capabilities for PSD
- Define a single integrated web-enabled application to meet these requirements
- Develop a proof-of-concept pilot of the integrated KM application
- Test the effectiveness and efficiencies of this pilot
- Estimate the financial and non-financial benefits to be derived from full implementation of the integrated KM application

This project's focus is to facilitate KM for specific pre-defined activity flows identified by PSD leadership.

Approach & Methodology
The Team's strategic approach was to complete all required activities in four phases, as shown in Figure 2 below. All phases are results-driven within the framework of KM Enterprise Architecture.

![Figure 2: Four-phased approach to building the KM Pilot](image)

Progress-to-Date
The principle product of the initial four month effort has been strategic planning for the PSD KM implementation by first determining the “as is” state of KM capabilities, followed by developing, planning and documenting the roadmap to achieve the desired “to be” state.

The PSD KM Strategic Plan follows the Enterprise Architecture concept, shown in Figure 3 below. This concept is a guiding framework for design and development of operational processes and their supporting information technologies. It addresses strategy, operations (people and process) and technology in that order.
The Plan describes 35 steps, grouped into the three Enterprise Architecture levels:

- 15 steps (numbered blue circles) at the strategic level
- 9 steps (numbered green circles) at the operational (process) level
- 11 steps (numbered red circles) at the technology level.

A summary of the 35 Enterprise Architecture steps follows:

### Strategic Steps

The Strategic KM Plan steps are:

1. Establish PSD KM system Target Vision *completed*
2. Define PSD KM system Purpose *completed*
3. Develop PSD KM system Objectives *completed*
4. Prioritize PSD KM system Objectives *completed*
5. Align PSD KM mission and objectives with MSFC and NASA missions and objectives
6. Charter and form a PSD KM Management Team
7. Align PSD KM Management Team with other NASA KM initiatives.
8. Leverage other existing and future KM resources.
9. Establish Key Performance Indicators (KPI's)
10. Develop a Stakeholder Management strategy and plan
11. Develop a Cultural Change strategy and plan
12. Develop a Risk Management strategy and plan
13. Determine what knowledge content is to be captured & managed (explicit & tacit)
14. Identify potential Communities of Practice
15. Audit, measure, and reiterate improvements

### Operational (Process) Steps

The Operational (Process) KM Plan steps are:

16. Define start-up, maintenance, and expansion processes for PSD Knowledge Management implementation and support
17. Identify and prioritize PSD mission-oriented, mission-critical processes to be supported by knowledge management
18. Identify and prioritize PSD support processes to be supported by knowledge management.
19. Identify and prioritize PSD strategic management processes to be supported by knowledge management.
20. Capture and model "As Is" process activities and details.
22. Identify areas of process waste (wait time, rework, etc.).
23. Design alternative "To Be" process.
24. Perform rough-order-of-magnitude cost-benefit analysis for implementation of "To Be" process.

Technology Steps

The PSD KM solution should be compatible with and leverage the existing NASA / MSFC infrastructure (hardware, software, networking, account management, etc.) wherever possible and appropriate to do so. The Technology KM Plan steps are:

25. Leverage existing collaborative technologies while embracing new tools that support the PSD mission.
26. Integrate collaborative technologies and new tools into PSD's KM framework.
27. Integrate the PSD KM system with other NASA applications where possible to do so. (Integration efforts undertaken should add high value to knowledge capital, workflow, efficiency and output.)
28. Implement a robust and NASA-tuned taxonomy and thesaurus.
29. Improve upon and maintain the NASA-tuned taxonomy and thesaurus to enhance value to the organization.
30. Negotiate better access to mission required vendor / supplier’s databases and knowledge bases to support PSD in execution of its NASA mandated charter.
31. Link departmental portal/user interface efforts to processes, workflows, work product, knowledge-bases, repositories, communities of practices, other NASA entities, and vendor/suppliers to achieve greater collaboration and competitive advantage.
32. Leverage existing technologies that exist within NASA Agency, NASA Centers, MSFC and its contractors and vendors.
33. Build upon existing infrastructure (physical and application) to accelerate solution delivery time and reduce costs for the MSFC PSD KM Systems.
34. Leverage new / emerging technologies to support and optimize PSD operational requirements, capabilities and mission.
35. Put a PSD IT Portfolio Management Strategy and program in place to effectively manage the MSFC PSD technology investments.

Strategic Planning Activities

Activities undertaken to support the Team’s structured methodology for Phase 1 (Completed January 31, 2006) included data gathering, through documentation review, individual interviews, cultural surveys, group work-sessions, and independent research.

Assessments and analyses, including industry benchmarking, related local and Agency initiatives, specific tools and techniques used, and strategies for leveraging existing resources, people and technology to achieve common KM goals.

Data Gathering

The PSD KM team followed a structured sequence for data gathering. More specifically, the team:

- Reviewed NASA / MSFC / PSD documents / artifacts to become familiar with current PSD operations.
- Performed independent research into KM best practices, lessons learned, and other
NASA KM initiatives and web sites.

✓ Facilitated a two-day Group Work-Session for PSD Strategic KM Planning:
✓ Produced a target PSD KM System Vision
  ▪ Developed the PSD strategic KM objectives
  ▪ Assessed current use of PSD resources to achieve the PSD KM System Vision
✓ Surveyed personnel to assess PSD's KM and organizational change culture.
✓ Conducted a series of structured interviews, in which we:
  ▪ Identified high-level process activities
  ▪ Defined and validate process steps
  ▪ Captured process details and data sources

Assessment and Analysis

During and after data gathering, the team performed assessment and analysis activities. Team member activities included:

✓ Creation of summary-level Activity Models for activities identified during interviews and normalized variations among multiple Activity Models of like activities.
✓ Developed a Process Analysis Data Matrix (Figure 4 below) and populated it with data collected from the process detail capture interviews the team identified:
  ▪ 15 external data sources including 8 from the SSME tech server, 2 from Boeing web pages, and 5 from other external web sites.
  ▪ 34 internal data sources including 15 from MSFC web pages, 18 from other NASA web pages, and 1 from the “Jetson” server.
  ▪ 28 custom software applications.
  ▪ The team also identified requirements for 11 interfaces to other NASA Centers, 20 interfaces to external legacy systems and 18 interfaces to internal legacy systems.

![Process Analysis Data Matrix](image)

**Figure 4: Process Analysis Data Matrix**

✓ Analyzed and “normalized” responses for process steps
✓ Defined summary-level Process Models for activities identified by team members during individual interviews and “normalized” variations among multiple Activity Models of like activities. The summary level Activity Model is shown in figure 5 below.
Developed a PSD Data Sources Analysis Matrix to help gauge patterns and frequencies of use of each data source.

Defined a summary-level KM conceptual data model to describe graphically the data sources required by our proposed single integrated web-enabled KM application. The conceptual data model is shown below as figure 6.

**Key Findings**

Key findings captured during the PSD KM Strategic Planning process included the system vision, purpose, stakeholders, prioritized strategic objectives mapped to the top ten practitioner needs and analysis of current resource usage.

**System Vision**

The PSD KM team defined the following KM strategic system vision:

"The PSD Knowledge Management (KM) System provides complete and immediate access to NASA's and the aerospace industry's collective intellect in accomplishing NASA's mission."
The team defined the following KM strategic system vision:

*The PSD KM System serves as a single source to disseminate and infuse intellectual assets into PSD strategies, processes, methods and operations. It enables cutting edge, world-class propulsion system design and development capability.*

Benefits

The PSD KM System benefits include:

- Improved safety
- Optimized resource utilization
- Improved efficiency
- Improved engineering discernment
- Improved hardware readiness assessment
- Enhanced core capabilities of PSD
- Reduced costs
- Congress
- Academia
- Department and division management
- Project Managers
- Safety and Mission Assurance
- Subcontractors
- Database owners
- IT
- Information security

Stakeholders

The PSD KM stakeholders are the individuals who are impacted, (both voluntarily and involuntarily), by the PSD KM system. The Stakeholders are represented by:

- Engineering (Design, Analysis, Test, Manufacturing, Component, Systems)
- Configuration Management
- Logistics
- Chief Engineers
- Program Managers
- Other NASA centers and HQ
- Astronauts
- Taxpayers

Strategic Objectives and Top Ten Practitioner Needs

The team constructed a comparative table (Figure 7 below) to the level of alignment between the day-to-day (tactical) Top Ten Needs, identified during the interviews, and the eight Strategic Objectives defined by participants in the Strategic Assessment & Planning Event.

*Figure 7: Comparison of Strategic Objectives and Top Ten Practitioner Needs*
Other Findings

Cultural Change and KM Surveys identified those areas that require management attention if KM is to be successful. Respondents rated themselves collectively as “adequate” and trending towards “good” in the areas of cultural change. They perceived themselves as being culturally ready to accept change.

Responses to 23 of 46 KM survey questions identified a need for immediate attention in the following major categories:

- Management commitment to KM (6 questions)
- Processes supporting KM (8 questions)
- Organizational structure supporting KM (4 questions)
- KM Awareness, Training, and Education (5 questions)

Opportunities

Opportunities identified from research, analyses, cultural / KM surveys and practitioner interviews include executive and senior management sponsorship, KM awareness, promotion and training, cultural change management, process improvement, leveraging existing resources and new innovative technologies to align with other NASA KM initiatives (convergence: the big picture).

PSD identified significant opportunities, based upon KM “best practices” to:

- Align its operations and data more closely with NASA Headquarters and Centers through integration and knowledge sharing technologies, techniques, tools, and practices.
- Establish virtual and formal Communities of Practices across engineering disciplines and harness both individual and team tacit and explicit knowledge.
- Scan, capture, index, and store electronically selected existing hard-copy content to provide timely access when required. This will decrease content retrieval times and increase its individual and team output efficiency.
- Create and allow easy access to selected NASA internal databases and repositories utilizing "single login" (LDAP) technology while adhering to NASA IT security policies.
- Design, develop, deploy and leverage a personalized, user-centric, customizable workspace environment to support increased individual task level accessibly and output.
- Leverage its knowledge management initiative as an enabler for cultural change and transformation to better achieve goals and mission.
- Leverage its knowledge management initiative to improve the effectiveness of communications channels among agency, centers, vendors and suppliers.
- Leverage its knowledge management initiative as an enabler to combat loss of explicit and tacit knowledge due to personnel transfer or retirement. Institutional memory is lost as personnel and records are moved or replaced.
- Leverage its knowledge management initiative to enhance core capabilities through procedural tutorials and computer base training modules.
The team linked focused recommendations to specific findings and opportunities, as shown in the Table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings / Opportunities</th>
<th>Recommendations / Resolutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Existing processes not enforced. Multiple variations of same processes. Some interviewees believed there are no processes.</td>
<td>Implement a process capture and improvement program. Model and document &quot;As Is&quot; and &quot;To Be&quot; processes. Define key performance indicators (KPIs).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 No organized method to capture and reuse tacit knowledge.</td>
<td>Establish a PSD KM system with interactive improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 No easy way to access explicit knowledge from contractors and vendors.</td>
<td>Investigate DoD Managed Space Shuttle Support - Master Data Management (MDM) application and framework.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Lack of single sign-on capability creates a psychological barrier to use of existing systems, regardless of the actual time and effort required to access these systems using multiple sign-ons.</td>
<td>Investigate and leverage NICE (Lightweight Directory Application Protocol [LDAP]) MSFC initiatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 No common collaborative environment for sharing information by disciplines and no structured way to leverage existing pockets of collaboration.</td>
<td>Leverage existing and new collaboration tools. Link portal / users interfaces / collaboration to processes, workflow, work product, knowledge bases, repositories and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 CAIB report noted that NASA does not exhibit the characteristics of a learning organization and requires significant changes to its culture for future success. In contrast, PSD staff perceive themselves as ready and willing to make changes.</td>
<td>Implement a program of cultural change to evolve PSD into a learning organization culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 No system for &quot;pedigree&quot; / revision control of knowledge artifacts.</td>
<td>Implement enterprise content management (ECM), document management, and taxonomy (meta-data).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 No existing PSD taxonomy for KM system</td>
<td>Investigate, leverage and adopt either JPL or Xerox taxonomy so as to align with other NASA operations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Findings / Opportunities</th>
<th>Recommendations / Resolutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 No existing PSD KM system but many KM initiatives occurring within NASA units</td>
<td>Design KM system that works for PSD. Simultaneously, investigate, adopt, and leverage learnings and best practices from other NASA operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 No existing PSD Enterprise Content Management System component for KM system</td>
<td>Investigate, leverage and adopt either Documentum or Vignette ECM to align with other NASA operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Many disparate systems and applications within PSD and other NASA units</td>
<td>Design PSD user interface and KM system that work overall systems convergence and integration, leveraging IT investments across PSDother NASA operational units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 No PSD IT Portfolio Management currently in place to leverage full benefits of existing and new technologies</td>
<td>Implement a PSD Portfolio Management Program to align KM investments with other NASA efforts and maximize ROI (cost avoidance).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Limited existing PSD formal management, measurement and structured growth of knowledge assets.</td>
<td>Investigate, adopt and use KM best practice techniques and tools (i.e knowledge audits, SWOTs, knowledge mapping, best practices, continuous process improvement, KM success criteria, ROI analysis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Limited existing PSD formal management, measurement and structured growth of organizational - departmental performance metrics (KPIs)</td>
<td>Investigate, adopt and use best practice measurement techniques and tools (i.e. proven success criteria for customer, financial, operational (process), and organizational learning metrics (KPIs)).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Limited existing PSD IT search capabilities in place to locate knowledge and artifacts efficiently.</td>
<td>Implement a PSD Intelligent Search capability for users as part of KM / ECM system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8 below shows the relationships of individual opportunities/recommendations listed above to the three overlapping levels (strategic, operational, and technical) of the Enterprise Architecture. Importance may be discerned by proximity of the individual opportunities/recommendations to the center of the diagram. Enterprise architecture priorities are based on relevance and alignment between the PSD KM team developed strategic objectives, and these opportunities/recommendations.

**Figure 8: Relationship of Findings/Opportunities to Enterprise Architecture levels.**

**Measurements:**

To enable results-based incremental implementation and future growth of our KM initiative, we have identified key performance measures that include stakeholder value, system utility, learning and growth (knowledge capture, sharing, and reduced anomaly recurrence), cultural change, process improvement and return-on-investment.

The objective of performance measurement is to improve the performance of the PSD organization, not merely individual performance. To facilitate acceptance of performance measurement, the PSD KM team will recommend recognition and rewards for managers and workers who use measurement to improve verifiable performance.

The concept of performance measurement is straightforward: You get what you measure; and you can't manage a program or project unless you can measure it. Key Performance Indices (KPI) measurements focus on what is to be accomplished and provides feedback on progress toward objectives. If results differ from objectives, PSD can analyze the gaps in performance and make adjustments. KPI's provide a framework for both performance management and cultural change management and, therefore, overall evolution of the organization.
Next Steps

PSD's next steps for the initial implementation spiral (the KM Pilot, focused on SSME Turbomachinery) have been identified, largely based on the organization and compilation of summary level engineering process models, data capture matrices, functional models and conceptual-level systems architecture. Key elements include:

- Detailed KM requirements definition
- KM pilot architecture and technology assessment, evaluation and selection
- Deployable KM Pilot design, development, implementation and evaluation
- Justifying full implementation (estimated Return-on-Investment)

The next steps are identified as Phases 2 through 4 in Figure 9 below.

The steps, approach, and methodology that will be used to perform the work required to complete this project are outlined below.

Next Steps - Phase 2

Phase 2 of the next steps is defining the KM Pilot Requirements. The following steps will be completed during this phase:

1. KM Architecture Guidelines / Requirements
2. NASA IT Standards, Architecture, and Security
3. Content Management
4. Taxonomy/ontology
5. Linguistics
6. Business Rules
7. Personalization for Users and Disciplines
8. Vendor assessments: performance, qualifications, vision, and ability to execute

In order to complete the KM system requirements, the Intergraph team will continue to further refine and decompose the two processes already defined at the top level for the KM pilot implementation as they specifically pertain to the SSME Turbomachinery. These processes are the:

- PSD Design Engineering Analysis Process
- Failure / Anomaly Analysis Process

Working through the PSD Executive sponsor, the Intergraph KM team will continue interview sessions with selected PSD team members. The decomposition effort will be accomplished using the same IDEF 3 modeling tools used to build the present process models.

The KM technology evaluation and selection process is a series of logical steps following Intergraph’s five-phased SOLUTION ENGINEERING™ methodologies framework as shown in figure 10 below.
A project plan, including a schedule, will be developed to define the detailed tasks required to complete the process modeling, requirements definition, technology assessment / evaluation, vendor coordination, test scenarios and scripts, results analysis, vendor selection and implementation.

As a result of the Phase 2 effort described above, the following documents (reports) will be developed:

- System Requirements Document (SRD)
- Process Models
- Data Model
- KM Software Evaluation Matrix
- Requirements Traceability Matrix
- KM Technology Recommendations

Upon PSD concurrence, KM technologies will be incorporated into the KM pilot functionality and evaluated against pre-defined metrics.

Next Steps - Phase 3

Phase 3 of the next steps will be to formulate the PSD KM Pilot system architecture using proven technologies and IT architecture patterns (a pattern is a proven way to solve a recurring problem). The process is organized into a series of steps:

- Develop architecture alternatives
- Select components
- Select architecture
- Develop top-level architectural solution

PSD KM system features identified for the notional system architecture will likely include a knowledge presentation layer (and its components), a knowledge network layer (and its components), a knowledge storage layer (and its components), and the User Interface. A notional system architecture is shown below as figure 11.
After step 3, the Intergraph KM team will brief PSD executive sponsors on the outcome and the chosen solution architecture.

**Next Steps – Phase 4**

Intergraph follows the Capability Maturity Model (CMM) Level 3 methodology to develop software systems. Because this system is a conceptual prototype, some of the steps will not be formalized; however, all development processes will be followed. The primary steps to be completed during this phase are:

- Conduct SRR of the system requirements Spec from Phase 3
- Generate Software Design Spec (SDS)
- System and Software preliminary Design
- Conduct Preliminary Design Review (PDR)
- Complete System and Software Design
- Conduct Critical Design Review (CDR)
- Software Coding and Unit Test
- System Integration and Test
- System Deployment/Training

**Next Steps – Next Development Iteration**

The next Turbomachinery KM project iteration will include more advanced training concepts which will allow trainees to learn to use the PSD Failure / Anomaly Analysis process using a "case study" environment. The precise requirements for this capability will be explicitly defined under the system requirements development phase of the next project iteration. Conceptual training capabilities would include:

- The ability to train new employees on relevant Turbomachinery KM related processes via Computer-Based Training (CBT) techniques using on-line, interactive technology.
- The ability to present a case study of a past turbomachinery mishap to the trainee for analysis using the PSD Failure / Anomaly Process. Access to the relevant data and processes would be provided to allow the trainee to analyze a known failure/anomaly in order to learn how to proficiently use the PSD analysis process and the KM system.
In Conclusion
PSD will maintain and incrementally build upon its KM successes and systems in order to add “high value” capabilities to processes, workflow, efficiency, quality and volume of work output.

PSD will continue to align its department focus with the agency Mission, Vision and Strategy by strengthening its core competencies and capabilities through the use of enabling technologies and knowledge management.

PSD will continue to embrace knowledge / change management techniques, best practices, tools and technologies as key enablers of organizational transformation, the creation of a knowledge sharing culture, and transform itself into a learning enterprise.
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MSFC PROPULSION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT (PSD)

Knowledge Management (KM) Initiative

Collaborate... Communicate... Innovate... Motivate
MSFC PSD KM Strategic Plan

Strategic Plan Steps

✓ Establish PSD KM system Target Vision *(completed)*
✓ Define PSD KM System Purpose *(completed)*
✓ Develop PSD KM System Objectives *(completed)*
✓ Prioritize PSD KM System Objectives *(completed)*
  • Align PSD KM mission/objectives with NASA/MSFC KM missions and objectives
  • Charter and form a PSD KM Management Team
  • Leverage NASA existing and future KM resources.
  • Establish Key Performance Indicators (KPI’s)
  • Develop Stakeholder Management Strategy and Plan
  • Determine what knowledge content is to be captured and managed (both explicit and tacit)
  • Identify potential Communities of Practice (CoP)
  • Results-Based, Deployment of KM System Functionality
MSFC PSD KM Strategic Plan

Operational Plan Steps

• Define start-up, maintenance, and expansion processes for PSD Knowledge Management implementation and support

• Identify and prioritize PSD mission-oriented processes to be supported by Knowledge Management

• Identify and prioritize PSD support processes to be supported by Knowledge Management
Technical Plan Steps

- Leverage existing collaborative technologies
- Integrate new collaborative technologies
- Integrate PSDs KM system with other NASA applications
- Implement NASA-tuned taxonomy and thesaurus
- Improve upon and grow the NASA taxonomy / thesaurus
- Negotiate better access to mission enabling contractors' databases and knowledge bases
- Link departmental portal/UI efforts to achieve greater collaboration
- Build upon existing infrastructure (physical and application) to accelerate solution delivery time and reduce costs for MSFC PSD KM Systems.
- Implement innovative, cutting edge solutions for tacit knowledge capture
- Incrementally deploy using a results driven methodology
MSFC PSD KM Strategic Plan

KM Long-Term Strategic Deployment Roadmap

Iterative Process

Phase 1
- Infrastructure Evolution
  - Analyze existing NASA, MSFC, and PSD infrastructure
  - Align KM and operational strategy

Phase 2
- KM Systems Analysis, Requirements, Design, and Development
  - Design the KM infrastructure
  - Audit existing knowledge assets and systems
  - Define the KM team
  - Create the KM blueprint
  - Develop/augment the PSD KM system

Phase 3
- Deployment of Knowledge Management System
  - Deploy the KM solution using a results-driven incremental methodology
  - Manage change culture and reward structure

Phase 4
- Evaluation of Knowledge Management Initiative
  - Evaluate performance
  - Measure ROI
  - Incrementally refine PSD’s KM system functionality
MSFC PSD KM Strategic Plan

Next Steps
MSFC PSD KM PILOT
Project Roadmap

Phase 2 – KM Pilot Requirements Definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Phase</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>1 Qtr FY06</th>
<th>2 Qtr FY06</th>
<th>3 Qtr FY06</th>
<th>4 Qtr FY06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Develop PSD KM Strategic Plan</td>
<td>12 Wks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Define Requirements for KM Pilot</td>
<td>16 Wks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Design KM Pilot Architecture</td>
<td>4 Wks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Develop &amp; Evaluate KM Pilot</td>
<td>26 Wks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. KM Architecture Guidelines / Requirements
2. NASA IT Standards, Architecture, and Security
3. Content Management
4. Taxonomy
5. Linguistics
6. Documentation Schemata
7. Business Rules
8. Personalization for Users & Disciplines
9. Vendor Qualifications, Vision, Ability to Execute
Phase 3 – KM Pilot Architecture Design

1. Develop Architectural Alternatives
2. Select Components
3. Select Architecture
4. Develop Top-Level Architectural Solution:
   - Aligned With Key Business Drivers- Supports Business Rqmmts.
   - Based On Proven Architectural Patterns
   - Well Defined To Allow Next Step Actions
   - Standards-based, Secure, Scalable, And Extendible
MSFC PSD KM PILOT Project Roadmap

Phase 4 - KM Pilot Development & Evaluation

1. Conduct PDR of the System Requirements Spec (from Phase 3)
2. Generate Critical Design Review (CDR)
3. Conduct Critical Design Review (CDR)
4. Code the software and unit test
5. System Integration and Test
6. System Deployment
7. Training
8. Notional Concepts of User Interface
"Managing Knowledge for Successful Mission Operations"

MSFC PROPULSION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT
Conceptual / Notional User Interface & System Architecture

Collaborate... Communicate... Innovate... Motivate
Configurable Points of Entry

✓ Integration with Existing web page (SSO)
✓ Desktop tool (ICON)
✓ Launch Bar
Communities of Practice (CoP) Benefits

- Find experts to help you solve your problems
- Share information Agency-wide
- Collaborate with people of similar disciplines
- Share information in a secure collaborative environment
- Expand your data collection capabilities
- Expand your knowledge of your disciplines
Communities of Practice – Collaboration
File Sharing, Tools, Favorites, Discussions & Chat
Group Calendar, Tasks, & Reminders, Discussion Forums

Executive Summary
Knowledge Management can be defined as the systematic and explicit management of knowledge-related activities, practices, programs, and policies within the enterprise.
Communities of Practice – Collaboration
Pictures, Photographs, & Images
Communities of Practice – Collaboration
“Ask a Question”, Advanced Search

Ask a Question: Select Experts
Select the experts you would like to consult and click "Send Question" below.

Experts

Paul Caraccio
I am a Consulting Manager for RobotArms products targeted towards the Automobile industry. I was the Team Lead on the large projects we have... (more)

Stats
Rating: ★★★
Avg. Reply: 29 mins
Prev. Answers: 20

Matt Marsh
I am a technical consultant and work mostly with custom industries... (more)

Send Question
Can't find the right expert? Enter a new one.

Ask a Question: Related Resources
Here is a list of resources that might answer your question. Review the list or click "Continue" to find an expert who can answer your question.

Continue

Related Resources

- Deploying in a manufacturing environment
  This covers deploying our automation system for auto manufacturer customers

- Safety process during implementation
  Q: Besides the required safety procedures, what are other recommended

Did this answer your question? Yes

Edit Rule: Request for proposal

Subject: Contains: Request for Proposal

When the asked: Business Rules:

- Response time to exchanges
- Message information is kept private

Add

01/26/2002
by Admin...

04/25/2002
by Lindsay...
Communities of Practice – Collaboration
Tacit Knowledge Capture Process

Answered
Hi Sandra, there are a few good examples of our system customized for auto manufacturers. I was the marketing representative for the deployment team at Toyota and we have a great case study of the entire process, including time to market, specific customer quotes.

Attached is the case study, which just may address all your questions. Let me know if you need any other information.

AMV folks want to chat with some of our existing auto customers, we can make that happen as well.

Derrk

Attachments
industry.pdf - 31 KB

Next Steps:
- Ask a follow-up question
- Nominate this conversation to be a Best Practice
MSFC PSD KM PROJECT
Conceptual User Interface

Graphic “Drill Down” Capability
Browse Assembly Level
MSFC PSD KM PROJECT
Conceptual User Interface

High Pressure Fuel Turbopump
- View

Graphic “Drill Down” Capability
Browse Assembly Level
MSFC PSD KM PROJECT
Conceptual User Interface

Graphic "Drill Down" Capability
Browse Assembly Level
MSFC PSD KM PROJECT
Conceptual User Interface

First Stage Turbine Blade
- View Component

Graphic “Drill Down” Capability
Browse Assembly Level
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Conceptual User Interface

Find Number: 0123456789
Quantity (per build): 123456
Drawing Number: 7890-1234
Material: Super Alloy

Graphic “Drill - Down” Capability
Component Details View
MSFC PSD KM PROJECT
Conceptual User Interface

Graphic "Drill - Down" Capability
Resources, Links, Technical Data
MSFC PSD KM Pilot Project Information -

Paul Caraccioli
Combustion Devices Design & Development Branch
Propulsion Systems Department
NASA / MSFC / ER82
Paul.A.Caraccioli@nasa.gov
256.544.0964

Dr. Randy K. Smith
Department of Computer Science
University of Alabama
PSmith@cs.ua.edu
205.348.6363

Bill Mommsen
Intergraph Corporation
Security, Government & Infrastructure (SGI) Division
Bill.Mommsen@intergraph.com
256.730.8179
Questions

"Knowledge Management is getting the right information to the right people at the right time."

-- NASA Strategic Plan for Knowledge Management