Strength, Fatigue, and Fracture Toughness of Ti-6Al-4V Liner From a Composite Over-Wrapped Pressure Vessel

Jonathan A. Salem, Brad Lerch, John C. Thesken, and Jim Sutter
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Richard Russell
Kennedy Space Center, Kennedy Space Center, Florida

March 2008
Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) program plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program operates under the auspices of the Agency Chief Information Officer. It collects, organizes, provides for archiving, and disseminates NASA's STI. The NASA STI program provides access to the NASA Aeronautics and Space Database and its public interface, the NASA Technical Reports Server, thus providing one of the largest collections of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. Results are published in both non-NASA channels and by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- **TECHNICAL PUBLICATION.** Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counterpart of peer-reviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.

- **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM.** Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.

- **CONTRACTOR REPORT.** Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- **CONFERENCE PUBLICATION.** Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or cosponsored by NASA.

- **SPECIAL PUBLICATION.** Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.

- **TECHNICAL TRANSLATION.** English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services also include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results.

For more information about the NASA STI program, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at [http://www.sti.nasa.gov](http://www.sti.nasa.gov)

- E-mail your question via the Internet to help@sti.nasa.gov

- Fax your question to the NASA STI Help Desk at 301–621–0134

- Telephone the NASA STI Help Desk at 301–621–0390

- Write to: NASA Center for AeroSpace Information (CASI) 7115 Standard Drive Hanover, MD 21076–1320
Strength, Fatigue, and Fracture Toughness of Ti-6Al-4V Liner From a Composite Over-Wrapped Pressure Vessel

Jonathan A. Salem, Brad Lerch, John C. Thesken, and Jim Sutter
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Richard Russell
Kennedy Space Center, Kennedy Space Center, Florida

Prepared for the
Aging Aircraft 2008
sponsored by the NASA, FAA, U.S. Department of Defense, and ICAA
Phoenix, Arizona, April 21–24, 2008

National Aeronautics and
Space Administration

Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

March 2008
Acknowledgments

The authors thank Chris Burke for mechanical testing, Joy Buehler for metallography, Terry McCue for microprobe analysis, Rick Rogers for X-ray, Mark Jaster for heat treatments, Derek Johnson for chemistry, Ralph Corner for dynamic modulus, Tony Kapucinski for specimen machining, and Ramesh Kalluri for useful discussions.

This report is a preprint of a paper intended for presentation at a conference. Because changes may be made before formal publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

Level of Review: This material has been technically reviewed by technical management.

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information
7115 Standard Drive
Hanover, MD 21076–1320

National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

Available electronically at http://gltrs.grc.nasa.gov
Strength, Fatigue, and Fracture Toughness of Ti-6Al-4V Liner From a Composite Over-Wrapped Pressure Vessel

Jonathan A. Salem, Brad Lerch, John C. Thesken, and Jim Sutter
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Richard Russell
National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Kennedy Space Center
Kennedy Space Center, Florida 32899

Summary

It was demonstrated by way of experiment that Composite Over-wrapped Pressure Vessel (COPV) Ti-6Al-4V liner material can sustain the expected service loads and cycles. The experiments were performed as part of investigations on the residual life of COPV tanks being used in Space Shuttle Orbiters. Measured properties included tensile strength, compressive strength, reversed loading cycles to simulate liner proof strains, and cyclic fatigue loading to demonstrate the ability to sustain 1000 cycles after liner buckling. The liner material came from a salvaged 40 in. Columbia (orbiter 102) tank (SN029), and tensile strength measurements were made on both boss-transition (thick) and membrane regions (thin).

The average measured yield strength was 131 ksi in the boss-transition and membrane regions, in good agreement with measurements made on 1970’s vintage forged plate stock. However, Young’s modulus was 17.4±0.3 Msi, somewhat higher than typical handbook values (~16 Msi). The fracture toughness, as estimated from a failed fatigue specimen, was 74 ksi√in, in reasonable agreement with standardized measurements made on 1970’s vintage forged plate stock. Low cycle fatigue of a buckled test specimen implied that as-imprinted liners can sustain over 4000 load cycles.

Introduction

Composite Over-Wrapped Pressure Vessels (COPV) are used to supply helium and nitrogen to space shuttle systems such as the Reaction Control System (RCS), Environmental Control and Life Support System (ECLSS), Main Propulsion System (MPS), and the Orbital Maneuvering System (OMS). The gases are stored under high pressure and thus catastrophic failure must be avoided. To save weight and enhance reliability, the vessels consist of a titanium liner that is over-wrapped with Kevlar fibers. Over time, the titanium liner may degrade by cyclic fatigue and the Kevlar overwrap may degrade by creep relaxation and environmental decay.

The COPV’s in Endeavor (orbiter 105), Atlantis (orbiter 104), and Discovery (orbiter 103) have exceeded the original design certification (10 years) and thus much investigation into the reliability of these COPV’s has been conducted. This paper summarizes mechanical testing, metallography and chemical analysis of the titanium-6 percent aluminum-4 percent vanadium (Ti-6Al-4V) liner in COPV SN029 (designated as debris article 2152) which supported operation of Space Shuttle Columbia.
Experimental Procedures

Test Specimen Extraction

The Columbia 40 in. Orbital Maneuvering System tank SN029 was sectioned into hemispheres along the polar axis and the composite over wrap removed to expose the Ti-6Al-4V liner. Three plaques from the relatively thick boss-transition region were excised and machined into flat, 4 in. long sub-sized ASTM E08 (ref. 1) tensile specimens by electro-discharge machining (EDM) and surface milling. Both equatorial and polar orientations were removed, as shown in figure 1. Because of the relative thickness of the boss region, the specimens are believed to represent mill annealed Ti-6Al-4V without cold work despite the autofrettage cycle.

In addition, four plaques were removed from the membrane region and sectioned into a total of 40 polar and equatorial tensile specimens with the original tank surfaces and curvature. Because of the thinness of the membrane region, the specimens are believed to represent mill annealed Ti-6Al-4V subjected to cold work (1.2 percent biaxial strain) via the autofrettage cycle.

Chemistry and Metallography

Remnant pieces from cutting the gage section into tensile blanks were used for chemical analysis and to produce metallographic views in polar, equatorial and surface (radial) directions relative to the liner axes. The specimens were polished by using a solution of 300 ml colloidal silica (60/40 solution with H2O), 30 ml H2O2 and 30 ml NH4OH, and etched by using Kroll’s reagent (2 ml HF, 8 ml HNO3, 90 ml H2O for 3 to 5 sec).

During tank sectioning, a distinct blue oxide layer with dark brown spots was observed on the inside of the tank. The dark spots were speculated to result from either weld splatter or corrosion. The source of the blue oxidation, as reported in the data pack for COPV SN022, was poor atmospheric control during solution treatment and aging of the liner after welding. The data pack indicated that no alpha case1 was present, and that witness coupons exhibited acceptable tensile properties. The data pack also indicated that the blue layer was removed from the tank exterior by polishing with an abrasive pad (i.e., Scotchbrite) followed by dipping in acid.

![Figure 1.—Removal pattern for tensile specimens: (a) boss-transition region and (b) membrane region.](image)

1Alpha case is the oxygen, nitrogen or carbon enriched, alpha stabilized, brittle surface which results from elevated temperature exposure.
The depth and chemistry of the spots were investigated via metallography and etching with a solution of 2 ml HF and 98 ml H₂O. Polished cross sections of the oxide layer with a nickel protective coating were analyzed by EDS (energy dispersive spectroscopy) and x-ray mapping.

**Tensile Testing**

Tensile specimens were tested in stroke control at 0.025 in. per minute with a fixed grip system. Upon yield, the stroke rate was increased to 0.060 in. per minute. This typically resulted in a stress rate of 125 ksi per minute. The curvature of the membrane region specimens was ignored and the specimens straightened somewhat by clamping in the grips. Strains were determined with an extensometer and strain gages. Yield strength was determined by the 0.2 percent offset method described in ASTM E08 (ref. 1). Young’s modulus in tension and compression was determined by linear regression of stress-strain data over a variety of regions because the liners are subjected to a range of loads from post-yield tension during autofrettage to compression at zero pressure.

In addition, Young’s modulus in compression was determined by loading three boss-transition specimens as described previously to ~50 percent of the yield strength in tension followed by 50 percent in compression.

Besides measuring the static modulus of the material, the dynamic modulus and Poisson’s ratio were determined between room temperature and 1650 °F by using impulse excitation per ASTM E1876 (ref. 2).

**Cyclic Loading**

**Autofrettage simulation**

The behavior of the titanium liner during autofrettage influences the residual stress in both the Kevlar overwrap and liner, and thereby influences vessel life. In order to better understand the residual stress levels in the Kevlar overwrap and the observed behavior of another COPV, SN011, during burst testing, tensile specimens were loaded to simulate proof cycles and the burst cycle. Because the vessels are subjected to biaxial stresses, equivalent stresses for uniaxial testing were estimated by assuming isotropy and using von Mises criterion, constancy of volume, Hook’s law and effective Poisson’s ratio based on the fraction of plasticity:

\[
\varepsilon_{eq} = \frac{1}{(1 + \nu_{eff}) \sqrt{2}} \sqrt{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^2 + (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)^2 + (\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1)^2}
\]

(1)

\[V_i = V_f\]

(2)

\[\varepsilon_3 = \left(\frac{-\nu_{eff}}{1 - \nu_{eff}}\right)(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)\]

(3)

\[\nu_{eff} = \nu_e \left(\frac{\varepsilon_e}{\varepsilon_T}\right) + \nu_p \left(\frac{\varepsilon_p}{\varepsilon_T}\right)\]

(4)

where the equivalent, elastic, plastic, principal direction \((i = 1, 2, 3)\), and total strains are given by \(\varepsilon_{eq}, \varepsilon_e, \varepsilon_p, \varepsilon_T\) respectively, and \(\nu_e, \nu_p, \nu_{eff}\) are the elastic, plastic and effective values of Poisson’s ratio. \(V_i\) and \(V_f\) are the initial and final volumes of an element of material.

During the testing it was noted that the Ti-6Al-4V exhibited a Bauschinger effect, and several additional load cycles were performed to investigate the effect.
Fatigue loading

During boroscope inspections of several vessels at White Sands Test Facility (WSTF), the ripple patterns shown in figure 2 were observed. This pattern was attributed to either buckling of the liner during proof cycling or imprinting of the over-wrap pattern on the liner. In either event, the resultant ripples imply larger than ideal surface strains and thus some simulation of the fatigue life was deemed necessary. Similar but smaller patterns were noted on SN029 during inspections at NASA Glenn Research Center.

Measurements of the imprints on SN029 via the dial gage in figure 3 indicated a maximum displacement of ±0.002 in. from the nominal centerline over a typical spacing of 1.25 in.
To simulate liner loading, a tensile specimen that had been loaded to the proof strain and buckled was subjected to fatigue loading between a tensile strain corresponding to the Maximum Expected Operating Pressure (MEOP) and the compression strain corresponding to a total biaxial strain range of 0.7 percent. The test was performed in load control to avoid extensometer slippage, loss of system control, and contaminant damage of the test specimen. Extensometer slippage occurred only once, on the 49th cycle. The initial loading was estimated from autofrettage simulation cycles and had to be adjusted to obtain the desired strain. The actual loading was between approximately 100 and –60 ksi for the first 2,134 cycles and between 105 and –75 ksi for the balance of specimen life.

Results

Chemistry and Metallography

Table 1 gives chemical analysis results for the boss-transition region. The chemistry meets MIL-T-9047G requirements. Metallographic views of the boss-transition region are shown in figure 4. Planes normal to the polar and equatorial axes exhibit similar structure, however, the liner surface plane exhibits a coarser structure that does not correlate with either polar or equatorial views implying some variation in grain size through the thickness. This difference in grain size was also noted during x-ray diffraction measurements: diffraction patterns from the shell surface exhibit discontinuous Debye rings whereas patterns from the depth exhibited continuous lines, implying a grain structure difference. Views of the membrane region, which are more consistent, are shown in figure 5. Additional metallography of the boss transition region did not reveal a consistent through-thickness difference in structure. The microstructures in figures 4 and 5 are typical of those exhibited by Ti-6V-4Al.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88.97</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observation of the cross section of the spots shown in figure 6 did not indicate significant depth or microstructural anomalies. The oxide layer was very thin (< 1 μm) and no alpha case was apparent. Of more interest was the small, transgranular cracks emanating from the interior surface into alpha grains in the membrane region, as shown in figures 7 and 8. The cracks were speculated to be due to increased oxygen content.

Further inspection using scanning electron microscopy indicated a network of transgranular cracks on the oxidized surface, as shown in figure 9. No cracks were apparent on the exterior surface (fig. 10), however, as discussed previously, the SN022 data pack indicates that the oxide layer was removed by polishing with an abrasive pad followed by an acid dip.

The average depth (into the tank surface) of 21 cracks emanating from the interior edge of metallographic planes normal to the equatorial direction of the membrane region was 10±4 μm. Observation of 28 cracks on planes normal to the polar direction indicated a significantly smaller average crack size of 5±3 μm. A maximum crack length of 20 μm was observed. Much smaller, much less frequent cracks could be observed emanating from the tank exterior, implying that the polishing and etching process (described in the SN022 data pack) reduced the crack size. The bulk of the most visible cracks appeared to be nearly normal to the equatorial direction, however, cracks could be observed at other angles and determination of any bias in the crack pattern would require more significant sampling.

In contrast to the membrane region, metallographic sections of the boss-transition region revealed no surface cracking, likely a result of the lower stresses during autofrettage and service. Because of concerns that stresses and thus cracking around the dimples/impressions are higher, an imprint was sectioned and measurements of the cracks were performed. The average crack depth from 30 observations along the interior edge of metallographic planes normal to the equatorial direction was 7±4 μm. Observation of 30 cracks on planes normal to the polar direction indicated a similar crack depth of 7±5 μm. A maximum crack depth of 18 μm was observed.
Figure 4.—Metallographic views from the boss-transition region at two magnifications: (a) in the equatorial direction, (b) in the polar direction, and (c) into the vessel surface (radial).
Figure 5.—Metallographic views from the membrane region at two magnifications: (a) in the equatorial direction, (b) in the polar direction, and (c) into the vessel surface (radial).
Figure 6.—(a) and (b) Interior surface of liner showing blue heat tint and presence of spots. Dashed line indicates location of metallographic views. (c) Cross section of the spot.

Figure 7.—Small surface cracks emanating from the tank interior at the spot: (a) as-polished and (b) etched.
Figure 8.—Small surface cracks emanating from the tank interior away from the spot: (a) as-polished and (b) etched.

Figure 9.—Cracks on the inner surface of the liner as viewed with (a) secondary and (b) back scattered electrons.

Figure 10.—Exterior surface of the liner as viewed with (a) secondary and (b) back scattered electrons. Cracks are not visible.
Chemical analysis of the spots via EDS indicated carbon, as shown in figure 11, implying that the spots are carbonaceous stains rather than corrosion. Chemical analysis of the oxygen content near the interior surface via EDS and microprobe x-ray mapping did not indicate increased oxygen or carbon content, as shown in figures 12 and 13. All elemental maps, with the exception of nickel, exhibit a uniform distribution from the interior to the edge, implying insignificant compositional change. Thus chemical variation cannot be used to construe embrittlement.

Figure 11.—Spots as viewed with (a) backscattered and (b) secondary electrons. (c) Chemical analysis indicating the presence of carbon.

Figure 12.—(a) Cross section of oxide layer.
Figure 12.—Concluded. (b), (c) elemental line scans of the near surface region.
Figure 13.—X-ray composition maps near the oxidized (interior surface) of the vessel: (a) back-scattered electron image, (b) nickel, (c) aluminum, (d) vanadium, (e) oxygen, and (f) titanium. For (a), lighter colors imply higher atomic number elements. For (b) through (f), Reds and yellows imply high concentrations of the specified element, while blues and blacks imply low concentrations.

The gradual change in nickel is due to smearing of the coating during polishing. Thus the hypothesis that the microcracks are due to increased oxygen content, contaminant alpha grain embrittlement and the autofrettage cycle cannot be established. The cause of the cracks is unknown, but they may be due to the brittle oxide layer and the autofrettage cycle.
**Tensile Properties**

Tensile properties are summarized in tables 2 and 3. The 0.2 percent yield strength, ultimate strength and elongation are comparable to that reported for annealed sheet and bar (ref. 3), and to that measured at NASA Glenn Research Center on 3.5 in. thick alpha-beta forged plate manufactured in 1970 (table 4) (ref. 4), implying that the autofrettage cycle has little effect on the tensile-ductility properties. Annealing of membrane specimens did not change the measured tensile properties. Typical stress-strain curves are shown in figure 14. Strains beyond 10 percent were not recorded due to extensometer range limitations. No strength dependence upon orientation was apparent, and excellent tensile ductility was exhibited relative to Ti-6Al-4V with and without elevated temperature oxygen exposure (ref. 5).

However, Young’s modulus in tension and compression, as measured via an extensometer, were slightly higher than that reported (16.0 Msi in tension (ref. 3)). The measured tensile modulus of boss region was 17.5±0.3 Msi, in agreement with measurements of 17.2±0.21 Msi made in the 1980’s at GRC on 1970’s vintage alpha-beta forged plate. The measured compressive modulus of one boss-transition specimen taken to yield was 17.4 Msi, whereas that previously reported is 16.5 Msi for compression (ref. 3). Also, tensile and compressive moduli of three specimens that were cycled once between approximately ±50 ksi prior to strength testing were even higher at 17.6±0.4 and 17.8±0.5 Msi, respectively, due to the narrow, low stress used and the dependence upon the range of data used in regression. Membrane specimens exhibit lower elastic modulus, however, the large initial curvature is likely responsible.

### Table 2.—Tensile Properties of the Boss-Transition Region, 1 Inch Gage Section

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN029 2152</th>
<th>Young’s modulus, $E$ Msi</th>
<th>0.2% Yield strength, $S_{y2}$ ksi</th>
<th>Ultimate strength, $S_u$ ksi</th>
<th>Fracture stress, $S_f$ ksi</th>
<th>Elongation $\varepsilon_f$ %</th>
<th>Area reduction, $RA$ %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polar axis, (Longitudinal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-A</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>139.1</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-B</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-C</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>131.0</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1-D</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>121.6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-F</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>138.0</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-G</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>137.4</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2-H</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial axis, (Transverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-A</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>129.9</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>120.3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-B</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>138.4</td>
<td>121.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-C</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-D</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>–135.2</td>
<td>–135.6</td>
<td>Loaded in compression to 1 percent, strain control.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-E</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>Loaded in tension to 2 percent, strain control.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-G</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>129.5</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>Strain controlled simulation of SN011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>138.5</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std Dev</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV, %</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not included in average values.*

*Maximum value observed during simulation, not included in the average.*

### Table 3.—Tensile Properties of the Membrane Region, 1 Inch Gage Section
### Table 4.—Young’s Modulus, Yield Strength, Ultimate Strength, Fracture Strength, Elongation, Area Reduction and Fracture Toughness of 1970 Vintage Alpha-Beta and Beta Ti-6Al-4V Plate Forged to 3.5 Inch Thickness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN029 2152</th>
<th>Young’s modulus, (E) Msi</th>
<th>0.2% Yield strength, (S_y) ksi</th>
<th>Ultimate strength, (S_u) ksi</th>
<th>Fracture stress, (S_f) ksi</th>
<th>Elongation, (\epsilon_f)%</th>
<th>Area reduction, (RA)%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polar axis, (Longitudinal)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>129.4</td>
<td>146.9</td>
<td>126.8</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>130.9</td>
<td>146.6</td>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-10</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>150.8</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>130.4</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>131.4</td>
<td>149.7</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>147.0</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3-5(b)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>145.5</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4-5(b)</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial axis, (Transverse)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-8</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>147.6</td>
<td>130.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-2</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>133.3</td>
<td>151.2</td>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>132.5</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>129.7</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-10</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-3</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>149.4</td>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4-1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>128.3</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>123.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>17.1(^a)</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std Dev</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV, %</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Large initial curvature of the test specimens likely lowered the measured Young’s modulus. Elongation is the average of measurements from both sides of the specimen.

\(^b\)Annealed at 635 °C for 2 hr in argon.
Figure 14.—Typical tensile stress-strain curve for the (a) boss-transition region and (b) membrane region with strain recorded to 10 percent.

The effect of range of data used in regression, which is generally less than 2 percent, can be seen in table 5. A consistent difference in modulus between polar (L) and equatorial (T) orientations can also be seen in the data, however, the difference is not significant above an 89 percent level, and thus not significantly different for engineering purposes (significance at 95 percent confidence).

To confirm the extensometer results, one specimen was strain gaged on both sides and loaded in the elastic range (±85 ksi). The estimated Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio were 17.7 Msi and 0.27 respectively in tension, and 17.8 Msi and 0.28 respectively in compression, in good agreement with the extensometer results. Dynamic Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio as determined via resonance of two wide beams from the boss region were 17.3 Msi and 0.26 at room temperature, again in reasonable agreement. Measurements on six narrow beams gave Young’s modulus of 17.1±0.2 Msi. The effect of temperature on the dynamic Young’s modulus, shear modulus and Poisson’s ratio are shown in figure 15. Young’s modulus and shear modulus as functions of temperature can be described by

\[
E(\text{Msi}) = 17.425 - 0.0042 \, T \quad (75 \text{ to } 1650 \, ^\circ\text{F}) \quad (5)
\]

\[
G(\text{Msi}) = 6.886 - 0.0016 \, T \quad (75 \text{ to } 1650 \, ^\circ\text{F}) \quad (6)
\]
### Table 5.—Effect of Stress Range on Calculated Young’s Modulus for the Boss-Transition Region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN029</th>
<th>Young’s modulus, E, Msi</th>
<th>Data range used in regression, ksi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 to 65</td>
<td>10 to 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1A</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1B</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1C</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1D</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2F</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2G</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2H</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1A</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1B</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1C</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1D^</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(compression)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1E</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2G</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average =</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std Dev =</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV, % =</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^Not included in average value.

Figure 15.—Young’s modulus, shear modulus and calculated Poisson’s ratio as a function of temperature for the boss-transition region.

$$T$$ is the temperature in °F. Possible explanations for the elevated Young’s modulus are texture developed during forging of the tank hemispheres and effects of autofrettage. In order to check for texture, which was not strongly evident in metallographic sections, the basal plane pole figures in figures 16 and 17 were constructed. Although the pole figures indicate different basal plane distributions in the membrane and boss-transition regions, relatively weak multiples-of-random-distribution of ~1.85 were measured (versus 1.0 for random material), implying that the measured properties, which are comparable to those of relatively thick forged plate, are representative of Ti-6Al-4V without heavy texture.
Figure 16.—Alpha phase pole figure of the boss transition region.

Figure 17.—Alpha phase pole figure of the membrane region.
Cyclic Loading

Bauschinger effect and proof cycle simulation

The Bauschinger effect is the reduction of yield strength after loading past yield in the opposite direction. It can be particularly significant for aluminum and titanium alloys. An example of the effect for the Ti-6Al-4V liner is shown in figure 18 where, after yielding in compression, tensile yield occurs more gradually and at substantially lower stresses (~85 ksi) than the usual 130 ksi. This results in a change in load sharing between the Kevlar overwrap and liner with multiple yield cycles, as occurred during the testing of SN011 (ref. 6).

Simulation of burst testing of vessel SN011

To experimentally simulate the burst testing of COPV SN011 at WSTF and thereby explain some of the observations (ref. 6), equations (1) to (4) were applied for a vessel, biaxial proof strain of 1.2 percent, which is typically used in autofrettage. This gives an equivalent uniaxial strain of 2 percent. The post-autofrettage compressive strain was based on MEOP and the observed biaxial strain range of 0.7 percent during burst testing of SN011. This gives an equivalent uniaxial strain of 1 percent.

The uniaxial simulation is shown in figure 19. The test implies that the liner does exhibit reduced yield when reloaded to near proof pressure, and thus explains the observation of low vessel yield during burst testing. The Ti-6Al-4V liner material specimen, however, did sustain the desired loads and strains without catastrophic failure.

![Figure 18.—Load cycle exhibiting the Bauschinger effect. The first cycle was compression. The second cycle was tension followed by compression.](image-url)
Figure 19.—Uniaxial, strain controlled simulation of SN011 burst test.

Figure 20.—Load history of the buckled test specimen.

Post-buckling fatigue loading

The proof and buckling cycles are shown in figure 20, and the severely buckled specimen is shown in figure 21. The specimen exhibited a maximum unconstrained bending displacement of 0.024 in., whereas upon clamping in fixed grips the displacement was reduced to 0.008 in., which is somewhat larger than that observed on the surface of SN029 (fig. 3).

The post-buckling, cyclic load-controlled history is shown in figure 22. A uniaxial strain range of 1 percent was sought based on the biaxial operating range of 0.7 percent and equations (1) to (4). Initial
calculations based on figure 19 did not provide sufficient strain range due to work hardening of the material. Thus subsequent blocks of 1000 cycles were applied with increasing load levels until 1 percent was attained.

Some strain ratcheting was apparent after each increase in the load range, and a loss of strain range can be seen before failure. This is the result of a fatigue crack becoming large enough to cause a non-uniform strain across the section. The buckled tensile specimen survived ~2,100 cycles at >0.8 percent uniaxial strain range followed by ~2,400 cycles at >0.96 percent uniaxial strain range. The stress levels corresponding to the strain ranges in each block were \(-56\) to 99 ksi for 0.80 percent, \(-57\) to 99 ksi for 0.82 percent, \(-69\) to 110 ksi for 0.97 percent, \(-77\) to 105 ksi for 0.96 percent, and \(-81\) to 105 ksi for 0.99 percent.

Final failure occurred from a corner crack (crack dimensions of \(a = 0.09\) in. and \(c = 0.11\) in.) at the start of the transition radius, well away from the buckle after 4,536 cycles, as shown in figure 23. The expected strain range as a function of applied cycles for a smooth specimen subjected to rotational bending at 170 °F can be estimated from (ref. 7):
Figure 23.—Fractured test specimen and fatigue crack.

\[
\frac{\Delta \varepsilon}{2} = 2.8519\left(2N_f\right)^{-0.90337} + 0.01987\left(1 - 8.042 \times 10^{-6}\sigma_0\right)^{2N_f} \left(1 - 8.042 \times 10^{-6}\sigma_0\right)^{-0.12293}
\]

where \(\Delta \varepsilon\) is the applied strain range, \(N_f\) is the applied cycles, and \(\sigma_0\) is the applied mean stress. If the function is assumed to be applicable to the COPV liner, then a 1 percent strain range is expected to have a 13,000 cycle life, implying that either specimen configuration (tensile versus bending) and preparation, and/or the buckle has reduced the measured fatigue life.

In addition to the post buckling fatigue test, several remnant tensile specimens were cyclically loaded to failure in strain control between 0.0058 and –0.0041. Unfortunately, due to a less than optimal design for studying low cycle fatigue, all the specimens failed from the transition radius despite attempts to smooth the radius and slightly reduce the gage section. Nevertheless, the samples had longer failure lives than required for this COPV application: for five membrane specimens, the average cycles-to-failure was 3,592 ± 721, with a range of 3,045 to 4,744 cycles. Testing of one boss region specimen resulted in 12,494 cycles to failure.

Fracture Toughness Estimation

Failure of the buckled specimen from a fatigue crack provides an opportunity to estimate fracture toughness. The failure load (105 ksi) and the crack size were used with the design codes AFGROW (ref. 8) and NASGRO (ref. 9) to calculate the results in table 6. The codes give a stress intensity of 60 to 86 ksi√in under conditions of net section yield, and the NASGRO results vary surprisingly with the configuration solution chosen. Despite the net section yield and thin section used (0.182 by 0.248 in.), the estimates are in reasonable agreement with plane strain fracture toughness data generated via ASTM E399-81 (71 to 92 ksi√in shown in table 4 for alpha-beta forged and mill annealed material) and maximum stress intensities measured with thin sheets (ref. 10). However, the estimates are somewhat higher than the 50 ksi√in value reported for the instability asymptote of fatigue crack growth curves generated with 1 in. thick compact tension specimens (ref. 11).

It is noteworthy that the measured fracture toughness of Ti-6Al-4V is a strong function of the operational procedure and crack extension used, and it is difficult to measure \(K_{IC}\) per ASTM E399 with a thickness less than 1 in. This is a result of the large ductility and R-curve exhibited by Ti-6Al-4V, in combination with the use of 2 percent crack extension, rather than a plane stress to plane strain transition effect. The use of a crack extension based on the specimen size results in thick specimens exhibiting higher, rather than lower, values of measured fracture toughness because the longer extension drives the result up the R-curve.
TABLE 6.—ESTIMATES OF FRACTURE TOUGHNESS DETERMINED WITH AFGROW AND NASGRO. $K_I(a)$ AND $K_I(c)$ ARE THE STRESS INTENSITIES AT THE $a$ AND $c$ CRACK TIPS. $\phi(a)$ AND $\phi(c)$ ARE THE CORRESPONDING PARAMETRIC ANGLES USED IN THE CALCULATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code and crack configuration</th>
<th>$K_I(a)$ Ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$</th>
<th>$K_I(c)$ Ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$</th>
<th>$\phi(a)$</th>
<th>$\phi(c)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFGROW 1070</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot; 3020</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASGRO CC01</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot; CC05</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot; CC09</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This can be observed in results from 0.5 in. thick specimens made from the material used to generate the data in table 4: The 0.5 in. specimens indicated conditional fracture toughness values of $K_Q = 67.6\pm 2.7$ ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$ for alpha-beta forging and $62.6\pm 6.7$ ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$ for beta forging, somewhat lower than measurements from thicker specimens (table 4).

Munz (ref. 10) et al. measured $K_Q$ values and crack growth resistance curves for forged and annealed Ti-6Al-4V plate and found that $K_Q$ associated with thickness ranging from 0.080 to 1.65 in. fell within the band of the R-curve, and that small changes in the extension (0.008 in.) resulted in large changes in the stress intensity (20 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$), clearly implying that crack extension rather than thickness dominates measured values over a wide thickness range. If an absolute extension is used, then more consistent values might be attained, regardless of sheet thickness. However, further study is needed to determine the best method for characterizing fracture toughness of Ti-6Al-4V for use with analyses such as NASGRO.

Conclusions

To improve modeling efforts of the shuttle COPV’s, a detailed characterization of the Ti-4V-6Al liner material was conducted. Chemical, microstructural, static and cyclic properties were investigated. The chemistry of the liner is normal and there was no evidence of alpha case or measurable surface embrittlement. The mechanical properties are consistent with those of other annealed Ti-6Al-4V.

Tensile specimens subjected to cyclic loading failed from corner cracks, but at lives greater than those expected in service. Cyclic loading of a buckled, boss-transition region tensile specimen at loads similar to those expected in COPV service indicated more than adequate lifetime. Fatigue failure occurred from a corner crack that developed in the transition radius rather than in the buckled region.

The observed, surface oxidation and the microcracks on the tank interior did not degrade the measured tensile properties: excellent elongation was exhibited. The microcracks do not appear to be the result of measurably increased oxygen or carbon very near the interior surface. Their cause is currently unknown, however, the oxide layer and autofrettage cycle are suspected.

For engineering purposes, Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio of the Ti-6Al-4V in SN029 are 17.5 Msi and 0.28, for both tensile and compressive loading. The measured Young’s modulus is somewhat higher than values in published literature, but agrees with 1980’s measurements made at GRC on 1970 vintage Ti-6Al-4V forged plate. The increased values were not due to a strong texture. The yield strength, ultimate strength and nominal fracture toughness in the boss-transition region were 130 ksi, 135 ksi and 74 ksi $\sqrt{\text{in}}$, in good agreement with literature and tests performed at GRC on 1970 vintage Ti-6Al-4V forged plate.

\(K_Q\) values are those that don’t meet ASTM E399 requirements. In this case, the requirements not meet were associated with plastic zone size and stable crack extension (i.e. 2.5 ($K_Q/SYS$) and $P_{max}/P_Q$).
Appendix

True stress-strain tensile properties for the mill annealed Ti-6Al-4V materials described in table 4 are given in table A1, and the microstructures are shown in figures A1 and A2. The following equations were used for true stress at maximum load, \( \sigma_u \), true fracture stress, \( \sigma_f \), true uniform strain at maximum load, \( \varepsilon_{ut} \), true uniform plastic strain at maximum load, \( \varepsilon_{up} \), and the true fracture strain, \( \varepsilon_f \):

\[
\sigma_u = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{A_u} \quad \text{(A1)}
\]

\[
\sigma_f = \frac{P_f}{A_m} \quad \text{(A2)}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{ut} = \ln \frac{A_u}{A_o} \quad \text{(A3)}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{up} = \ln (1 + e_{1p}) \quad \text{(A4)}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_f = \ln \frac{A_o}{A_m} \quad \text{(A5)}
\]

where \( A_o \) is the initial cross sectional area, \( A_m \) is the minimum area after fracture, \( e_{1p} \) is the longitudinal plastic strain at maximum load, \( L_u \) is the length a maximum load and \( A_u \) is the uniform area:

\[
A_U = \frac{L_o}{L_u} A_o \quad \text{(A6)}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Young’ modulus, Msi</th>
<th>% Offset yield strength, ksi</th>
<th>True stress at max load, ( \sigma_u ), ksi</th>
<th>True fracture stress, ( \sigma_f ), ksi</th>
<th>True uniform total strain at max load, ( \varepsilon_{ut} )</th>
<th>True uniform plastic strain at max load, ( \varepsilon_{up} )</th>
<th>True Fracture Strain, ( \varepsilon_f )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Alpha-beta forged and mill annealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.2±0.2</td>
<td>125.3±4.2</td>
<td>128.4±3.7</td>
<td>131.1±3.3</td>
<td>134.0±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.3±0.1</td>
<td>121.4±1.0</td>
<td>125.8±1.1</td>
<td>129.5±1.2</td>
<td>133.5±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Beta forged and mill annealed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A1.—Microstructure of 1970 vintage alpha-beta Ti-6Al-4V plate forged to 3.5 in. thickness.
Figure A2.—Microstructure of 1970 vintage beta Ti-6Al-4V plate forged to 3.5 in. thickness.
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