Know Thy Learner: User Characteristics Underlying Effective Videogame-Based Training

by

Karin A. Orvis
Know Thy Learner: 
User Characteristics Underlying 
Effective Videogame-Based Training

Karin A. Orvis
Old Dominion University
Consortium Research Fellows Program, ARI

Daniel B. Horn
James Belanich
U.S. Army Research Institute
for the Behavioral and Social Sciences

Paper presented at the
MODSIM World Conference
September 2007,
Virginia Beach, VA.
Games and the Army: More than FPS Games!

- Approximately 200 different jobs in the U.S. Army
  - Combat and non-combat skills

- One of the largest training organizations in the world
  - 1,714 courses, 451,000 seats
  - Targets various skill areas, such as physical, vocational, cognitive, and leadership skills

- PC videogame-based training tools
  - Immersive, motivational, economical, effective(?)
  - When are they appropriate?
Effective Training Game Design

To build effective training games, you have to understand the role of three parts of the training system: training game, training domain, and trainee.
Research Questions

- Are (young) adults gamers?

- Does gaming experience impact a trainee's:
  - Performance?
  - Motivation?
  - Satisfaction?

Gaming Experience

- Some proponents of training games argue that younger adults (Soldiers) are part of the “digital” or “twitch” generation, having grown up using computers and playing videogames (e.g., Prensky, 2001).

- The Entertainment Software Association (ESA) reports that 69% of American heads of households play computer and/or videogames.

- “65% of college students reported being regular or occasional game players” (Jones, 2003).
Gaming Experience: West Point Cadets

Are most young Soldiers “gamers”?

Participants and Procedure

* First-year U.S. Military Academy cadets across a two-year period

* Participants used an online, FPP game as part of a tactics training exercise – America’s Army (AA)

* Includes both single- and multi-player sections
  - **Single-player**: Introduces game-specific tasks (e.g., character movement)
  - **Multi-player**: Form small teams and play a series of collaborative missions
Gaming Experience: West Point Cadets

Percentage of responses in each category

Year 1
- Extensive: 18%
- None: 17%
- Moderate: 22%
- Limited: 43%

Year 2
- Extensive: 20%
- None: 12%
- Moderate: 20%
- Limited: 48%

Some cadets had extensive videogame experience. However, a majority had little or no experience in the prior year.
Participants

- Over 10,000 (non-deployed) U.S. Army Soldiers
  - 5,248 enlisted
  - 4,796 officers

Survey - Sample Survey of Military Personnel

- 85 total questions
- 15 of which applied directly to our interests—investigating demographics, videogame and/or computer usage

When you are not deployed, how often do you participate in the following activities?
Enlisted Soldier Activity Frequency

High frequencies in Exercising and TV/Movies.
Many Soldiers are *not* frequent gamers.

High frequencies in Exercising, TV/Movies, & Reading.
Why Should This Matter?

- There may be an influence of a trainee's prior videogame experience on performance and motivation in game-based training
  - Gamer vs. non-gamer
  - Genre-specific effects
Why Should This Matter?

- Genre-specific effects
Research Findings on Prior Gaming Experience

Year 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Low Gaming Experience</th>
<th>High Gaming Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training Satisfaction</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease in Using Interface</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Cohesion</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours Played</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Low Gaming Experience</th>
<th>High Gaming Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training Satisfaction</td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease in Using Interface</td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team Cohesion</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Motivation</td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours Played</td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missions Won</td>
<td><img src="image19" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image20" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☆ = p < .05
Specific Gaming Experience (Year 2)

- AA
- FPP
- Simulators
- Fantasy, Adventure
- Military Command/Strategy
- Sports/Racing
- Fighting

No relationship for Puzzles, MMORPGs, or Life Simulations

- Satisfaction
- Ease
- Team
- Training Motivation
- Hours Played
- Missions Won
Conclusion

- Not everyone is a gamer!

- Game ‘literacy’
  - Affects outcomes in game-based training environments
  - Specificity of prior gaming experience matters
  - Use of pre-training interventions

Learner success can be facilitated if individual deficiencies are identified and addressed before training.
Questions?

Dr. Karin Orvis
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VI. Infrastructure, Integration, and Issues Session

From Infrastructure to Integration: Modeling, Simulation, and Game-Based Learning in the 21st Century Classroom