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NEXT is:

a Solar Electric Ion Propulsion System
The NEXT System

- Thruster String composed of Thruster/Gimbal Assembly, Power Processing Unit (PPU), and Propellant Management System (PMS) Low Pressure Assembly (LPA)
- High Pressure Assembly (HPA) and DCIU complete system
- Thruster Strings are added for mission performance reasons and for failure tolerance (Nomenclature: N+1)
- Overall system is configurable to meet mission needs
The State of NEXT

• The NEXT project is advancing the capability of ion propulsion to meet NASA robotic science mission needs
• Mission analyses have demonstrated beneficial NEXT application over a range of missions from Discovery to Flagship
• Key ion propulsion system hardware has advanced to a high state of maturity
  – Testing to date is very successful
• The project is striving to ease transition to flight by addressing Dawn lessons learned and user needs
• First-user implementation and cost modeling will help users to assess NEXT, and to focus project resources on higher pay-off activities
NEXT Project Background

• Two-phase project to develop Next Generation Ion (NGI) technology to Technology Readiness Level (TRL) 5/6
  – Sponsored by NASA Science Mission Directorate, conducted under MSFC In-Space Propulsion Technology Program
  – Implemented through a NRA
  – First Phase: 1 year, completed August, 2003
  – Second Phase: Initiated October, 2003
The NEXT Team & Contacts

• **NASA Glenn Research Center** - Technology Project Lead
  – Michael Patterson, Principal Investigator, 216-977-7481
  – Scott Benson, Project Manager, 216-977-7085
  – George Soulas, GRC Thruster Lead, 216-977-7419

• **Jet Propulsion Laboratory** - System Integration Lead
  – Steve Snyder, System Integration Lead, 818-393-7357

• **Aerojet**, Redmond WA - Thruster, PMS, DCIU Simulator
  – Nicole Meckel, Aerojet Project Lead, 425-936-6569
  – Andy Hoskins, Aerojet Project and Thruster Lead, 425-936-6562
  – Randy Aadland, Propellant Management System Lead, 425-936-5251
  – Jeff Monheiser, DCIU Simulator Lead, 425-936-6663

• **L3 Comm ETI**, Torrance CA - PPU
  – Brian Wong, L3 Project Lead, 310-517-6099
  – Phil Todd, PPU Lead, 310-517-6859

• Participation by **APL, Univ. of Michigan, Colorado State Univ.**
  – Carl Engelbrecht, APL
NEXT Capabilities, Benefits and Applications
NEXT significantly improves performance over State-of-Art (SOA) EP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHARACTERISTIC</th>
<th>NSTAR (SOA)</th>
<th>NEXT</th>
<th>BENEFIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max. Thruster Power (kW)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>Enables high power missions with fewer thruster strings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Thrust (mN)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throttling Range (Max./Min. Thrust)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>Allows use over broader range of distances from Sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. Specific Impulse (sec)</td>
<td>3120</td>
<td>4190</td>
<td>Reduces propellant mass, thus enabling more payload and/or lighter spacecraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Impulse ($10^6$ N-sec)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>Enables low power, high $\Delta V$ Discovery-class missions with a single thruster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propellant Throughput (kg)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mission Benefits

• Numerous mission analyses performed during NEXT project have demonstrated mission benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>Performance Finding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discovery - Small Body Missions</td>
<td>Higher net payload mass with fewer thrusters than NSTAR system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vesta-Ceres Rendezvous (Dawn-like)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comet Rendezvous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Deimos Sample Return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Frontiers -</td>
<td>Higher net payload mass than NSTAR, with, Simpler EP System: 2+1 NEXT vs 4+1 NSTAR thrusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comet Surface Sample Return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Frontiers -</td>
<td>&gt; 700 kg entry package with 1+1 NEXT system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Titan Direct Lander</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flagship - Saturn System Missions</td>
<td>&gt; 2400 kg to Saturn Orbit Insertion with 1+1 NEXT system, Earth Gravity Assist and Atlas 5 EELV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Titan</td>
<td>- Doubles delivered mass of chemical/JGA approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enceladus</td>
<td>&gt; 4000 kg to Saturn Orbit Insertion with 3+1 NEXT system, Earth Gravity Assist and Delta IV Heavy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEXT - System & Integration Benefits

• NEXT retains critical heritage to NSTAR, while addressing complex system integration issues encountered on Dawn
• NEXT thruster is very similar to NSTAR thruster in physics, concept, and functions
  – High relevance in transferring NSTAR thruster life and throttling knowledge to NEXT thruster validation
• NEXT PPU encompasses functionality of NSTAR PPU
  – Additional functions simplify system and improve efficiency across throttle table
  – Main advances are in modularity and producability of unit
• Spacecraft integration is simplified by NEXT capabilities and features
  – Less thruster strings per mission total impulse
  – Modular, simplified xenon feed system
  – PPU is compatible with wider baseplate thermal range than NSTAR
  – Gimbal has smaller footprint than NSTAR
System Requirements

- Project Requirements are documented and controlled in:
  - Project Requirements Document (In-Space Req’ts)
  - Technical Requirements & Validation Document (Flowdown)

- Requirements developed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NSTAR Heritage</td>
<td>Functional, design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Space Design Reference Mission</td>
<td>Performance, environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refocus Analyses</td>
<td>Throttling range, 300 kg throughput</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Requirements and design reviewed:
  - System Requirements and Integration Reviews
  - Subsystem and System-Level Design Reviews
  - Independent Review

- Formal Project Documentation
  - Plans: Project, Risk Management, Validation, Assurance
  - System ICD
Thruster

- The Engineering Model (EM) and Prototype Model (PM) NEXT ion thruster designs were derived from a laboratory model 40 cm beam diameter ion thruster developed in 2001 at the NASA Glenn Research Center (GRC)

- The EM thruster design was subsequently developed at GRC

- The PM thruster design was developed at Aerojet under contract to GRC, and matures the NEXT thruster design to ensure full-compliance with structural and thermal requirements, and improve thruster manufacturability
Thruster Characteristics

- 0.54 – 6.9 kW thruster input power
- Ring-cusp electron bombardment discharge chamber
- 36 cm beam diameter, 2-grid ion optics
- Beam current at 6.9 kW: 3.52 A
- Maximum specific impulse > 4170 sec
- Maximum thrust > 236 mN
- Peak efficiency > 70%
- Xenon throughput > 300 kg, 450 kg qualification level
  - Analysis-based capability >450 kg
- Mass is 12.7 kg (13.5 kg with cable harnesses)
**NEXT Thruster**

- Prototype Model Thruster (PM1) delivered by Aerojet to GRC
  - Flight-level design and fabrication processes
- Performance Acceptance Testing successfully completed at GRC
- Comprehensive PM1 environmental test sequence completed at JPL
- Two cycles of acceptance & environmental testing completed
  - Thruster reworked to resolve minor design issues
- PM1 now supporting System Integration Testing
- PM1 thruster to be incorporated into life validation program upon completion of testing

**PM Thruster undergoing Thermal Vacuum Testing at JPL**
Thruster Environmental Testing

• Thermal balance test performed to gather key thruster thermal data over wide range of operating and environmental conditions
  – Develop and validate thruster thermal model
  – Demonstrate thruster operation and temperature margins over large temperature range

• Integrated thruster/gimbal qualification-level vibe testing
  – 10.0 Grms, 3 axes, 2 min/axis
  – No changes in pre- and post-vibe gimbal functional results

• Thruster Thermal/Vacuum test to qualification levels
  – < -120° C cold
  – > 203° C hot (at reference location)
  – 3 cycles with hot and cold dwell
  – Hot and cold thruster starts
Development/Environmental Test Findings

- PM thruster performs within predictions and is consistent with results from multiple EM thrusters
- PM thruster has significant thermal margin on critical components
  - Harness outer layer at thruster body exit needs to be resolved
- PM thruster compatible with dynamic and thermal environments
- Thruster performance was nominal over entire test sequence
- Implementation of EM to PM design and fabrication transition was very successful
- Gimbal is compatible with dynamic environments
PM Thruster Planning

• PM1R to be incorporated into life validation program upon completion of system integration testing
  – Wear test of PM1R

• All thruster drawings and work instructions have been updated at Aerojet
  – Incorporated all redlines
  – Reflects PM1R as-built configuration
  – Released to development level

• PM2 parts and subassemblies to be put into controlled storage for later In-Space or user final assembly
NEXT Thruster Life Validation

- Thruster life validation continuing through EM3 long duration testing and life analyses *(VF16, GRC Bldg 16)*
- Predicted capability exceeds 730 kg xenon throughput
- Highest mission-derived requirement ≈ 300 kg xenon throughput
  - Near-Earth Asteroids: < 200 kg
  - Comet Rendezvous: 260 kg
  - Saturn mission: 225 - 275 kg
  - Comet Sample Return: 300 kg
- > 16,300 hrs, > 334 kg xenon throughput demonstrated to date (as of 6/20/08)
  - > 13.2 x 10^6 N-s total impulse
- Milestones
  - Exceeded NSTAR ELT throughput: Sept. 2007
  - Exceeded 300 kg project design throughput: Mar 2008
- Continued testing and analysis will support FY08/09 competed mission proposals
LDT and Life Validation: NEXT Thruster Discharge Keeper Erosion Rates within Expectations

NEXT Design Mitigates Critical Erosion observed in other Ion Thrusters

DCA Graphite Keeper Erosion Estimates from NEXT Service Life Assessment Model

Prediction assuming continued full power operation

NEXT Design Mitigates Critical Erosion observed in other Ion Thrusters
LDT and Life Validation: NEXT Thruster Accel Aperture Erosion Rates within Expectations

Prediction assuming continued full power operation

NEXT Design Mitigates Critical Erosion observed in other Ion Thrusters
LDT and Life Validation: NEXT Accelerator Groove Erosion Rates within Expectations

NEXT Design Mitigates Critical Erosion observed in other Ion Thrusters
LDT and Life Validation: NEXT Grid Gap within Expectations

NEXT Ion Optics Design Compliant and Stable
LDT and Life Validation: Detailed Throttling Strategy Developed

- Achieves the following:
  - Demonstration of >450 kg throughput per PRD and TRV by end of FY09
  - Total LDT duration > average mission thruster operating time
  - Demonstrate total impulse greater than mission requirement (1.75x10^7 N-sec)
  - Demonstrate intermediate power operation consistent with mission analyses
  - Demonstrate power throttling back to full-power consistent with mission analyses
  - Demonstrate low-power operation (< 0.5 kW) for 2X the average mission analyses duration
  - Operates at known worst-case wear conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Condition Bin</th>
<th>Recommended Duration, kh</th>
<th>Segment Throughput, kg</th>
<th>Total Post-Segment Throughput, kg</th>
<th>Estimated End of Segment Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.52A, 1179V</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>349.7</td>
<td>6/12/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20A, 1021V</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>364.3</td>
<td>9/12/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.52A, 1800V</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>426.0</td>
<td>2/16/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00A, 275V</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>445.9</td>
<td>7/5/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20A, 1800V</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>460.5</td>
<td>10/5/2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>460.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEXT Multi-Thruster Array Test

- **Objectives**
  - Assess thruster and plasma interactions, with sensitivities to thruster spacing, gimbaled thrusters, and neutralizer operating modes

- **Configuration**
  - Four GRC EM thrusters, three operating and one instrumented non-operating
  - Extensive diagnostics to collect data for multi-thruster system modeling and analyses

- **Completed in December 2005 at GRC**
- **Single, Dual and Triple thruster operations conducted**
- **Initial data indicates expected performance was achieved, well-understood operations, without significant sensitivity to system configuration**
Power Processing Unit

- EM PPU fabrication completed
- Integration testing on-going at GRC
- Thorough unit testing to follow
  - Qual-level vibration testing and post-vibe functional
  - Qual-level thermal/vacuum test
  - EMI/EMC tests
- Testing planned to be complete in CY2008
- DCIU to be integrated in next development phase

- Flexible, scalable architecture can be adapted to a wide range of thrusters and missions
- Wide throttle range capability: 250W to 7200W
- > 0.2 kW/kg Specific Power
- Simple thermal interface - 65 C baseplate
Propellant Management System (PMS)

NEXT PMS provides significant volume and mass reduction over DS-1/Dawn approach

NEXT PMS
High Pressure Assembly
(Aerojet)

NEXT PMS
Low Pressure Assembly
(Aerojet)

KEY:
- PFCV with Filter
- Pressure Transducer with Internal Platinum RTD
- Service Valve
- Latching Valve
- FCD Inlet Filter
- Thermal Throttle (Redundant Components)

NEXT PMS
High Pressure Assembly
(Aerojet)

NEXT PMS
Low Pressure Assembly
(Aerojet)
Propellant Management System

• All EM PMS assemblies are complete
  – 2 HPA’s, one Flight-like
  – 3 LPA’s, one Flight-like
  – Non-flight assemblies are identical except for use of lower cost equivalent parts

• All assemblies have completed functional tests

• Flight-like LPA and HPA successfully completed qual-level vibration testing and post-vibe functional testing
  – 14.1 Grms for 2 minutes in each axis

• Qual-level thermal/vacuum testing successfully completed
  – +12 to +70 °C temperature range, 3 cycles

• EM PMS has been delivered to NASA for use in system integration testing
Digital Control Interface Unit

- DCIU Simulators have been completed and are in use in tests
- Laptop-based test equipment, with EM-level PMS pressure loop control cards
- Capable of operating 3 thruster string system
- Validates control algorithms and PMS control card
- Supports
  - PPU input/output testing
  - PMS control during testing
  - Single-String and Multi-String Integration Tests
  - PMS kernel control in Long Duration Test
Gimbal

• Breadboard gimbal
  – Designed and fabricated by Swales Aerospace
  – Flight-like design using JPL-approved materials with certifications
    • Stepper motors have space-rated option
  – Mass < 6 kg
  – Two-axis range of motion: ±19°, ±17°

• Successful functional testing with PM1 engine

• Gimbal passed two qual-level vibration tests and low-level shock tests with minor issues

• Good baseline – few if any modifications needed to move into qual program
  – Need to perform torque margin tests with harness and propellant line routing
Single String and Multi-Thruster System Integration Testing

• **Scope**
  – Verify that the integrated system of NEXT components meets the project requirements
  – Verify the interfaces between the system components

• **Primary Objectives**
  – Demonstrate operation of thruster over throttle table with PPU and PMS
  – Demonstrate operation of system at off-nominal conditions
  – Demonstrate recycle and fault protection operation

• **Status**
  – System Integration Testing was initiated in May 2008.
  – Test will continue though July 2008.

• 104 separate requirements have been flagged for validation
  – Component functionals
  – Performance requirements
  – Environmental requirements
  – Interface requirements
  – Power allocations
NEXT is Nearing TRL6 Validation

- Critical tests have been completed, or are imminent, on high fidelity hardware

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PM1</th>
<th>PM1R</th>
<th>PPU</th>
<th>Feed System</th>
<th>Gimbal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional &amp; Performance Testing</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete*</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qual-Level Vibration Test</td>
<td>Complete*</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>FY08</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qual-Level Thermal/Vacuum Test</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>FY08</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* - Test findings addressed in unit rework

- Single-String and Multi-String System Integration Testing CY2008
- Thruster Life Test: In progress & continuing through FY2010
NEXT System
NEXT IPS 3-String Configuration
## Subsystem Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Current Best Estimate</th>
<th>Basis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>Thruster</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>PM Actual, w/o harness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPU</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>EM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPA</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>EM Actual, w/plate &amp; Test Support Equip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>EM Actual, w/plate &amp; Test Support Equip.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gimbal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Breadboard Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envelope (cm)</td>
<td>Thruster</td>
<td>55 dia. x 44 length</td>
<td>PM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPU</td>
<td>42 x 53 x 14</td>
<td>EM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPA</td>
<td>33 x 15 x 6.4</td>
<td>EM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>38 x 30.5 x 6.4</td>
<td>EM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gimbal</td>
<td>72 cm corner-corner, 61 cm flat-flat</td>
<td>Breadboard Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (W)</td>
<td>Thruster[1]</td>
<td>540-6860</td>
<td>PM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPU</td>
<td>610-7220</td>
<td>Breadboard Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HPA</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>EM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>EM Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gimbal</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1] Input power to the thruster from the PPU.
Transition to Flight
Lessons Learned/Independent Review

• Project activities are being conducted to increase the likelihood of transitioning the NEXT IPS technology to flight in the near-term:
  – Reviewing Dawn IPS ‘lessons-learned’ and implementing strategies to mitigate the likelihood of experiencing similar difficulties;
  – Conducting independent reviews of NEXT technology status with representation from the user community and incorporating the feedback into the development plan;
  – Identifying additional technology development and validation activities which may be of value in transitioning the TRL6 IPS technology to flight and reduce barriers to 1st-user implementation (reduce non-recurring costs, etc.).

• The NEXT project has placed particular emphasis on key aspects of IPS development with the intention of avoiding the difficulties experienced by the Dawn mission in transitioning the NSTAR-based technology to an operational ion propulsion system
Lessons Learned

• Detailed review of Dawn (provided by IPS manager) and NSTAR lessons-learned conducted

• NEXT systematically attacking issues identified under these programs – example
  – Documentation
    • Dawn – inadequate Thruster and PPU documentation
    • NEXT – EM PPU manufactured by flight production group with all documentation (manufacturing drawings and assembly instructions) now under configuration control;
    • NEXT PM thruster design and assembly documentation has been updated with PM1R changes and placed under design control for future build cycles
Lessons Learned

• Additional examples
  – Propellant Management
    • Dawn – Complex, bulky, and required extensive modification to satisfy requirements
    • NEXT – DS-1 and Dawn feed system engineers heavily involved in NEXT design from project initiation; PMS design incorporates lightweight, compact design
  – Thruster
    • Dawn – Complex design elements, difficult to manufacture and assemble; long duration test results impacted flight configuration
    • NEXT – Thruster designed for manufacturability and assembly; extensive testing to evaluate erosion mechanisms conducted on EM hardware – resulting in modifications implemented on both EM and PM hardware and presently under extensive evaluations prior to committing to qualification build
Technology Readiness

- Programmed FY08 In-Space activities will bring NEXT to a high state of readiness for FY08/09 AO’s
  - Complete functional and qual-level environmental testing of key system elements
  - Thruster Long Duration Test has exceeded throughput requirement of 300 kg
  - System Integration Test with most mature hardware products

- NEXT is approaching TRL 6 in CY 2008
  - Key proposal requirement in AO guidance
Transition to Flight Strategy

• Successfully complete all planned technology development activities for NEXT

• Reduce as much first user risk as future resources will allow. Work with users to jointly identify, address, and mitigate risks.

• Involve mission centers in upcoming system integration testing and the Project Validation Review

• Establish in-place NEXT ion thruster hardware at Aerospace Corp. in CY08 for independent technology assessments

• Continue interactions with mission stakeholders to support mission studies using the NEXT IPS
Summary

• NEXT project activities through 2007 have brought next-generation ion propulsion technology to a sufficient maturity level

• In-Space Propulsion Technology tasks will complete the majority of the NEXT technology validation in FY08