Provenance in Data Interoperability for Multi-Sensor Intercomparison

Abstract As our inventory of Earth science data sets grows, the ability to compare, merge, and fuse multiple datasets grows in importance. This requires a deeper data interoperability than we have now. Efforts such as Open Geospatial Consortium and OpenDAP (Open-source Project for a Network Data Access Protocol) have broken down format barriers to interoperability; the next challenge is the semantic aspects of the data.

Consider the issues when satellite data are merged, cross-calibrated, validated, inter-compared and fused. We must match up data sets that are related, yet different in significant ways: the phenomenon being measured, measurement technique, location in space-time or quality of the measurements. If subtle distinctions between similar measurements are not clear to the user, results can be meaningless or lead to an incorrect interpretation of the data. Most of these distinctions trace to how the data came to be: sensors, processing and quality assessment. For example, monthly averages of satellite-based aerosol measurements often show significant discrepancies, which might be due to differences in spatio-temporal aggregation, sampling issues, sensor biases, algorithm differences or calibration issues. Provenance information must be captured in a semantic framework that allows data inter-use tools to incorporate it and aid in the interpretation of comparison or merged products.

Provenance Semantics

Key Matchup Characteristics in Multi-Sensor Intercomparison

- **Measured Parameter**
  - Physical Phenomena
  - Measurement Technique (e.g. ultraviolet vs. infrared ozone)
  - Processing Algorithm

- **Space-Time Alignment**
  - Synoptic: Geostationary satellite, models
  - Time-averaged: Gridded satellite data
  - Sun-synchronous: Polar-orbiting satellites
  - Diurnally varying: Low-inclination orbits
  - Climatological

- **Data Quality**
  - Pixel-level: e.g. cloud-contaminated
  - Geographically varying: e.g. latitude-dependent, esp. models
  - Physiographically varying: e.g. aerosols over land and water

Matchup Reasoning Use Cases

- **Parameter Matchup**
  - Given two parameters, can they be safely compared, based on their provenance? Merged? Fused?"

- **Quality Screening and Weighting**
  - Apply congruent quality screening to compare two parameters
  - Apply quality-based weighting to merge or fuse two parameters

Semantic web technology allows us to encode our knowledge of measurement characteristics, phenomena measured, space-time representation, and data quality attributes in a well-structured, machine-readable ontology and rulesets. An analysis tool can use this knowledge to show users the provenance-related distinctions between two variables, advising on options for further data processing and analysis.

An additional problem for workflows distributed across heterogeneous systems is retrieval and transport of provenance. Provenance may be either embedded within the data payload, or transmitted from server to client in an out-of-band mechanism. The out of band mechanism is more flexible than provenance information that can be accommodated, but it relies on a persistent framework and can be difficult for legacy clients to use. We are prototyping the embedded model, incorporating provenance within metadata objects in the data payload. Thus, it always remains with the data. The downside is a limit to the size of provenance metadata that we can include, an issue that will eventually need resolution to encompass the richness of provenance information required for data intercomparison and merging.

Provenance Mechanics

As data and services become more interoperable and remotely accessible, multi-sensor intercomparisons are more likely to result from multiple chained workflows. The mechanics of distributed provenance now become more important.

**Embedded Approach:** Provenance is embedded as a metadata attribute in the data payload

**Example shown from the OpenDAP/WCS Gateway Project**

**Out-of-Band Approach:** Provenance is available via separate request
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