Ascent Heating Thermal Analysis on the Spacecraft Adaptor (SA) Fairings and the Interface With the Crew Launch Vehicle (CLV)

Xiao-Yen Wang, James Yuko, and Brian Motil
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

January 2009
Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) program plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program operates under the auspices of the Agency Chief Information Officer. It collects, organizes, provides for archiving, and disseminates NASA's STI. The NASA STI program provides access to the NASA Aeronautics and Space Database and its public interface, the NASA Technical Reports Server, thus providing one of the largest collections of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. Results are published in both non-NASA channels and by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:

- **TECHNICAL PUBLICATION.** Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA counterpart of peer-reviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.

- **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM.** Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.

- **CONTRACTOR REPORT.** Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.

- **CONFERENCE PUBLICATION.** Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or cosponsored by NASA.

- **SPECIAL PUBLICATION.** Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and missions, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.

- **TECHNICAL TRANSLATION.** English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services also include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results.

For more information about the NASA STI program, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI program home page at [http://www.sti.nasa.gov](http://www.sti.nasa.gov)

- E-mail your question via the Internet to help@sti.nasa.gov

- Fax your question to the NASA STI Help Desk at 301–621–0134

- Telephone the NASA STI Help Desk at 301–621–0390

- Write to:
  NASA Center for AeroSpace Information (CASI)
  7115 Standard Drive
  Hanover, MD 21076–1320
Ascent Heating Thermal Analysis on the Spacecraft Adaptor (SA) Fairings and the Interface With the Crew Launch Vehicle (CLV)

Xiao-Yen Wang, James Yuko, and Brian Motil
Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

Prepared for the 2008 Thermal and Fluids Analysis Workshop sponsored by the NASA AMES Research Center and San Jose State University
San Jose, California, August 18–22, 2008

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
Glenn Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

January 2009
Acknowledgments

The first author would like to thank Rafik Barsoum and Mark Fields at Lockheed Martin (LM) for their valuable discussion and input to this work. This work is supported under crew exploration vehicle (CEV) service module (SM) passive thermal control system (PTCS) of the Orion project.

This report contains preliminary findings, subject to revision as analysis proceeds.

Level of Review: This material has been technically reviewed by technical management.

Available from

NASA Center for Aerospace Information
7115 Standard Drive
Hanover, MD 21076–1320

National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161

Available electronically at http://gltrs.grc.nasa.gov
Abstract

When the crew exploration vehicle (CEV) is launched, the spacecraft adaptor (SA) fairings that cover the CEV service module (SM) are exposed to aero heating. Thermal analysis is performed to compute the fairing temperatures and to investigate whether the temperatures are within the material limits for nominal ascent aero heating case. Heating rates from Thermal Environment 3 (TE3) aero heating analysis computed by engineers at Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) are used in the thermal analysis. Both MSC Patran 2007r1b/Pthermal and C&R Thermal Desktop 5.1/Sinda models are built to validate each other. The numerical results are also compared with those reported by Lockheed Martin (LM) and show a reasonably good agreement.

Introduction

The ascent heating on the crew exploration vehicle (CEV) is analyzed by using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and engineering codes at MSFC. Thermal Environment 3 (TE3) heating data is used in this work. One of the major concerns is with the SA fairings covering the CEV service module (SM) and the SM/crew launch vehicle (CLV) flange interface. The TE3 heating rate is a function of time, wall temperature, and the spatial locations. Two commercial software packages, Thermal Desktop (TD) 5.1 and MSC Patran 2007r1b, are used in this analysis. TD/Sinda has been widely used for spacecraft-related thermal analysis including orbital heating. TD is the pre- and post-processor for Sinda, which is a finite-difference-based solver. In TD, the geometry is built and meshed, the boundary conditions are defined, and then Sinda is used to compute temperatures. To define the BCs, a conductor can be defined using the node-to-node, node-to-nodes, or node-to-surface options. A contactor can be defined using the surface-to-surface or edge-to-surface options. Heat loads can be defined on nodes, surfaces or solids. MSC Pthermal is a finite element-based thermal solver. MSC Patran is the pre- and post-processor for Pthermal. It can import geometry from ProE parts or assembly files, IGES files, and step files. Regarding the BCs, the convection, contact resistance, and heat load can be imposed in different ways. Orbital heating can not be modeled in MSC Pthermal.

The TE3 heating rate will be presented first and the simplification of the data representation is described. Then the thermal models and results obtained by using TD/Sinda, and MSC Patran/Pthermal are presented. The temperature results are compared; finally, the conclusion is given.

The TE3 Ascent Heating Rate on SA

The ALAS-11 TE3 ascent heating rates are gathered at certain body points (BPs) on CEV and CLV. On the section of the SA, there are three BPs along the axial direction, denoted as BP 016x03, 016x05, 016x09, and are shown in figure 1(a). On the SM/CLV flange interface, the BPs are denoted as 021x20, 021x21, 021x22, 021x23, and 021x24 and are shown in figure 1(b), where x = 0,1,2,…7 for eight points uniformly distributed along circumferential direction. In figure 1(c), the geometry of the SM/CLV interface is shown and each part name will be used in the following discussion.
As an example, the heating rates at all BPs on the SA are plotted in figure 2 for the cold wall case. The heating rate on the SA is nearly uniform along the axial and circumferential directions. To simplify the thermal analysis, the heating rate at BP 016703 (x = 802 in., θ = 315°) has the highest heating rate for most of the time, and will be used over the entire surface of the outer face sheet (OFS) of the SA fairings. For the BPs on the SM/CLV interface, the detailed heating rate is referred to (ref. 1) and will not be plotted here. The heating rate at θ = 0° is used for all different axial locations. The heating rates provided in TE3 data include gas convection, gas radiation, particle convection, and particle kinetic energy heat transfer. For the ascent heating on the SA, only the gas convection contributes to the heating rate. Therefore, the total heating rate can be defined as

\[
\dot{q}_{\text{total}} = h_c \left( H_{\text{rec}} - H_{\text{wall}} \right)
\]

where \( h_c \) is the enthalpy-based heat transfer coefficient, \( H_{\text{rec}} \) is the gas recovery enthalpy, \( H_{\text{wall}} \) is the gaseous wall enthalpy and defined as

\[
H_{\text{wall}} = 0.2345 T_{\text{wall}} + 9.786 \times 10^{-6} T_{\text{wall}}^2 + 943.6/T_{\text{wall}} - 1.57
\]
with \( T_{\text{wall}} \) being the wall temperature in Rankine. The given time history of \( h_c \) changes slightly when the wall temperature changes from 0 to 2000 °F. It is obvious that \( \dot{q}_{\text{total}} \) is not a linear function of \( T_{\text{wall}} \). With the given ascent heating data, \( \dot{q}_{\text{total}} \) is plotted as the function of \( T_{\text{wall}} \) in figure 3. It can be seen that \( \dot{q}_{\text{total}} \) is almost a linear function of \( T_{\text{wall}} \) when \( T_{\text{wall}} < 760 \) °F. Since the material temperature limit on the SA is far below 760 °F, it can be assumed that

\[
H_{\text{wall}} = 0.2345 T_{\text{wall}} \quad (3)
\]

Based on this assumption, the enthalpy-based heat transfer coefficient \( (h_c) \) can be easily converted into the temperature-based heat transfer coefficient \( (h_t) \) as follows:

\[
h_t = c_p h_c, T_{\text{rec}} = H_{\text{rec}} / c_p, T_{\text{wall}} = H_{\text{wall}} / c_p \quad (4)
\]

where \( c_p = 0.2345 \) Btu/lbm-°F for air, and \( T_{\text{rec}} \) is the air recovery temperature. The so-obtained \( h_t \) and \( T_{\text{rec}} \) are plotted in figure 4. It shows that \( h_t \) changes when the wall temperature is different. The heat rates computed based on \( h_t \) and \( h_c \) are plotted in figure 5, which shows that \( h_t \) gives slightly higher heat flux than \( h_c \). The convection BC on the fairing surface is only time dependent when using \( h_t \). Thermal analysis presented here is on the conservative side. It is the worst case for the temperatures on the SA fairings.
Figure 3.—The heating rate versus the wall temperature at BP 016709 at $t = 100$ s.

Figure 4.—The computed temperature-base $h$ and air recovery temperature.

Figure 5.—The comparison of the enthalpy-based and temperature-based heating rate.
Thermal Model in Thermal Desktop

In the TD model, the ACIS file is imported to build TD surfaces and solids. The SA fairings are formed by an OFS, a Honeycomb (H/C) core, and an inner face sheet (IFS). Each has three pieces along the circumferential direction. The OFS and IFS are 0.0424-in.-thick composite, and H/C core is 1.5-in.-thick aluminum. Each piece is modeled as a cylindrical surface with a defined thickness.

The convection BCs are defined using the node-to-surface option. The air recovery temperature is defined at the node, and \( h_t \) is defined on the OFS of SA fairing and SM/CLV interface. The contact resistance between the inner surface of the OFS to H/C core, and the outer surface of the IFS to H/C core is \( h = 0.694 \text{ Btu/hr-in}^{-2} \text{-\degree F} \) for low resistance. The IFS to SM ring (edge to surface) is \( h = 0.0014 \text{ Btu/hr-in}^{-2} \text{-\degree F} \), assuming that a minimum heat transfers to the ring from IFS and the overlap between IFS and ring is 2.0 in. The SM ring to back cone (edge to surface) is \( h = 14 \text{ Btu/hr-in}^{-2} \text{-\degree F} \) for low resistance. The flange to ring on CLV, flange to ring on SM, and CLV flange to SM flange (surface to surface) is \( h = 6.94 \text{ Btu/hr-in}^{-2} \text{-\degree F} \) for low resistance. The outer surface of the SA fairing radiates to the ambient air at \( T = 50 \text{ \degree F} \), and the inner surface of the fairing radiates to the radiator at \( T = 70 \text{ \degree F} \).

The SA fairings jettison at \( t = 150 \text{ s} \). The temperature contour at \( t = 100.8 \text{ s} \) is plotted in figure 6 for the OFS, H/C core, and IFS, when the fairings reach the maximum temperature. Figure 7 shows the corresponding contour for the SM/CLV flange interface at \( t = 172.8 \text{ s} \). The time history of the temperature on OFS, H/C core, IFS, and SM/CLV interface is plotted in figure 8, showing that the temperature could reach 275 \text{ \degree F} \) on the OFS and 225 \text{ \degree F} \) on the SM ring. Note that no heat load is defined on the hinge (fig. 7). Since the hinge is a protuberance above the SM ring, the local area next to the hinge could have a higher heating rate.

![Figure 6.—TD results of OFS, H/C core, and IFS.](image1)

![Figure 7.—TD result of SM ring and SM/CLV flange interface.](image2)

![Figure 8.—Time history of the temperature on OFS, H/C, IFS, SM ring, and SM/CLV flange interface (TD results).](image3)
Thermal Model in MSC Patran/Pthermal

In the MSC Patran model, there are 116,552 nodes and 290,249 elements. The BCs are the same as those used in the TD model. The Pthermal temperature contour on the SA fairings, IFS, H/C core, and OFS at \( t = 100 \) s are plotted in figure 9. The MSC Patran model has more accurate modeling of the actual geometry of OFS, H/C core, and IFS. It shows more temperature variations across each part since it has different thicknesses even with the same ascent heating rates are applied. The temperature time history on the OFS, H/C core, and IFS are plotted in figure 10. It shows that the maximum temperature on the fairings reaches 300 °F at \( t = 100 \) s. The corresponding results for the SM/CLV interface structures are plotted in figures 11 and 12, showing that the maximum temperature there reaches 230 °F at \( t = 170 \) s.

![Figure 9. MSC Patran result of OFS, H/C, and IFS at \( t = 100 \) s.](image)
The detailed temperature comparison between the TD results, MSC Patran results, and LM reported results (ref. 2) are shown in Table 1, showing a reasonable agreement. The maximum allowable temperature is also listed. For the SA fairings, the temperature is within the limit. However, the temperatures on SM/CLV flanges and SM ring are close to or above the temperature limit. Although the analysis presented here used a conservative heating rate, the hinge effect is not included. The hinge is a large protuberance on the SA fairing and will result in higher heat transfer. Necessary thermal protections will be considered at the local area on the SM/CLV flanges and SM ring close to the hinge. Further analysis on the thermal protection is necessary.
TABLE I.—COMPARISON OF MAXIMUM TEMPERATURE ON SA FAIRING AND SM/CLV FLANGE INTERFACE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Max temperature, °F</th>
<th>Max allowed temperature, °F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LM result</td>
<td>Current TD result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairing (OFS)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM/CLV flange</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM ring</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*No hinge localized heating (with margin of 1.35 included in TE3 data)*

Conclusions

The ascent heating thermal analysis on SA fairings and SM/CLV flange interface are performed using MSC Patran and C&R TD. Both TD and MSC Patran results agree reasonably well with those reported by LM on fairings and SM/CLV flange interface. Both results predict higher temperature than LM reported because of the use of temperature-based heat transfer coefficient in the analysis, which gives higher heat flux. The SA fairing reaches maximum temperature (280 °F) at \( t = 100 \) s. The hottest spots are next to hinges, and the areas that are not covered by honeycomb (as shown in MSC Patran model, 320 °F). The SM flange and ring and LSC reach maximum temperature at \( t = 170 \) s. The temperature at the corner of the ring could reach 253 °F. With the hinge effects, the fairing temperature under the hinges could get higher than predicted here. Necessary thermal protection is needed for the areas on the SM/CLV flanges and SM ring that are next to the hinge.
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