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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a detailed study of chromospheric evaporation using the EUV Imaging Spectrometer (EIS) onboard Hinode in conjunction with HXR observations from RHESSI. The advanced capabilities of EIS were used to measure Doppler shifts in 15 emission lines covering the temperature range T=0.05–16 MK during the impulsive phase of a C-class flare on 2007 December 14. Blueshifts indicative of the evaporated material were observed in six emission lines from Fe XIV–XXIV (2–16 MK). Upflow velocity was found to scale with temperature as \( v_{\text{up}} \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) \( \approx 5–17 \ T \) (MK). Although the hottest emission lines, Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV, exhibited upflows of >200 km s\(^{-1}\), their line profiles were found to be dominated by a stationary component in stark contrast to the predictions of the standard flare model. Emission from O VI–Fe XIII lines (0.5–1.5 MK) was found to be redshifted by \( v_{\text{down}} \) (km s\(^{-1}\)) \( \approx 60–17 \ T \) (MK) and was interpreted as the downward-moving ‘plug’ characteristic of explosive evaporation. These downflows occur at temperatures significantly higher than previously expected. Both upflows and downflows were spatially and temporally correlated with HXR emission observed by RHESSI that provided the properties of the electron beam deemed to be the driver of the evaporation. The energy contained in the electron beam was found to be \( \geq 10^{11} \) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) consistent with the value required to drive explosive chromospheric evaporation from hydrodynamic simulations.

Subject headings: Sun: activity – Sun: corona – Sun: flares – Sun: UV radiation – Sun: X-rays, gamma rays

1. INTRODUCTION

Chromospheric evaporation is largely accepted to be the process by which solar flares produce their high-temperature, high-density plasma. The standard flare model states that electrons are accelerated at or near a magnetic reconnection site in the corona and then propagate along newly reconnected magnetic field lines towards the chromosphere. Here they are decelerated by the increasingly dense atmosphere and typically lose their energy by one of two mechanisms. An encounter with a proton or ion will result in the emission of a Hard X-Ray (HXR) photon through the bremsstrahlung process. Coulomb collisions with ambient electrons, on the other hand, result in an overall heating, and by consequence, expansion of the chromospheric material. The ratio of bremsstrahlung to collisional losses is of the order of 100.

The rate of expansion (or evaporation velocity) has traditionally been detected through Doppler measurements of Extreme Ultra-Violet (EUV) and Soft X-Ray (SXR) emission lines. Antonucci & Dennis (1983), Zarro & Lemen (1988), Canfield et al. (1987), Acton et al. (1982), and Doschek & Warren (2005) consistently measured blueshifts of 300–400 km s\(^{-1}\) in the Ca XIX line (3.1–3.2 Å, 25 MK) using the Bent and Bragg Crystal Spectrometers (BCS) onboard SMM (Acton et al. 1980) and Yohkoh (Culhane et al. 1991), respectively. In each case the Ca XIX line profile was generally dominated by a component with a centroid position comparable to that of the rest wavelength while the upflows were indicated by a blue-wing enhancement. As BCS spectra were spatially integrated over the entire solar disk, Doschek & Warren (2005) concluded that the stationary emission emanated from the top of the flaring loop while the blueshifted emission came from the footpoints. Similar studies using data from the Coronal Diagnostic Spectrometer (CDS; Harrison et al. 1995) on SOHO revealed upflow velocities of 150–300 km s\(^{-1}\) in the Fe XIX line (592.23 Å, 8 MK; Brosius & Phillips 2004, Teriaca et al. 2003, Milligan et al. 2006a,b). In these instances, the rastering capability of CDS showed that the emission known to come from loop footpoints was often completely blueshifted, in agreement with the interpretation of Doschek & Warren (2005). Ca XIX and Fe XIX are formed at distinctly different temperatures and consistently exhibit different upflow velocities. This suggests a direct relationship between the temperature and the expansion velocity of evaporated material. Indeed, most evaporation models predict this to be the case (Fisher et al. 1985a,b;; Mariska et al. 1989; Allred et al. 2005).

The velocity at which the evaporated material rises is dependent on the total energy flux of accelerated electrons (in ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) that reach the footpoints. Combining observations from CDS and the Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (RHESSI; Lin et al. 2002), Milligan et al. (2006b) showed that for two flares with electron beam fluxes that differed by an order of magnitude, upflow velocities in the Fe XIX line differed by a factor of two. If the incoming flux of electrons is above a given threshold (i.e. the heating rate is greater than the hydrodynamic expansion time scale), then the pressure of the hot, rising material becomes greater than that of the ambient chromosphere resulting in a ‘recoil’ of the denser layers, required to conserve
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momentum (Canfield et al. 1987). Fisher et al. (1985c) termed this as 'explosive' (as opposed to 'gentle') evaporation and deduced that the electron flux required be \( \geq 3 \times 10^{10} \) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). Observational signatures of such downward motions (known as chromospheric condensation) are redshifts in chromospheric and transition region line profiles, formed below the electron energy deposition site (e.g. Milligan et al. 2006a; Del Zanna et al. 2006). These flows are distinctly different from similar downflows observed in coronal lines during the decay phase of the flare when the evaporated plasma eventually cools and precipitates back down the loop (Brosius 2003; Raftery et al. 2009).

With the launch of Hinode (Kosugi et al. 2007) in September 2006, it is now possible to investigate the process of chromospheric evaporation by observing several high-temperature lines simultaneously with the EUV Imaging Spectrometer (EIS; Culhane et al. 2007). EIS provides the capability to determine the spatial location of both the shifted and unshifted components at high spatial, spectral, and temporal resolution. Coincident HXR observations from RHESSI establish the location and the parameters of the driving electron beam that are needed to test the consistency with the model predictions.

This study focuses on diagnosing the evaporating plasma during the impulsive phase of a C-class flare. A general description of the flare is given in § 2. The EIS observations, emission line fitting procedures, and velocity analysis are described in § 3. § 4 describes the RHESSI data analysis procedures while § 5 provides interpretations of the results in the context of the standard flare model. § 6 presents some suggestions for future work.

2. 2007 DECEMBER 14

The GOES C1.1 class flare under study occurred in NOAA AR 10978 on 2007 December 14 at 14:12 UT. Figure 1 shows an image of the active region taken by the Transition Region and Coronal Explorer (TRACE; Handy et al. 1999) in the 171 Å passband. Two bright EUV footpoints are visible in the northern end of the box in Figure 1 which denotes the EIS field of view. The inset in the top left corner of the figure shows a close-up of the footpoints with overlaid contours of the 20–25 keV emission observed by RHESSI. The two HXR sources align well with the EUV footpoints seen by TRACE. Figure 2 shows the RHESSI and GOES lightcurves of the event.

By January 2008 Hinode had lost the use of its X-band transmitter. As such, the amount of data being telemetered to the ground was severely reduced. It is therefore unlikely that EIS will ever be able to run an observing study as comprehensive as the one presented here. Also, in November 2007, the detectors on RHESSI had been successfully annealed after 5 years of radiation damage, bringing them back to specifications comparable to early 2005. Therefore, December 2007 marks a unique timeframe when both Hinode and RHESSI datasets were near optimal.

3. EIS OBSERVATIONS

The observing study that EIS was running when the flare occurred (CAM.ARTB.RHESSI.b.2) was originally designed to search for active region and transition region brightenings in conjunction with RHESSI. Using the 2" slit, EIS rastered across a region of the Sun from west to east covering an area of 40′′×143′′, denoted by the rectangular box in Figure 1. Each slit position had an exposure time of 10 s resulting in an effective raster cadence of \( \sim 3.5 \) minutes. These fast-raster studies are preferred for studying temporal variations of flare parameters while preserving the spatial information. Equally important though, is the large number of emission lines which cover a broad temperature range. The observing study used 21 spectral windows, some
FIG. 3.—Top three rows: Intensity maps in each of the 15 lines used in this study, ranging from 0.05–16 MK. Two footpoints are clearly visible, with the southeastern one being the brighter of the two. Overlaid are the 20–25 keV emission contours (at 60% and 80% of the maximum) as observed by RHESSI from 14:14:28–14:15:00 UT. The pixel marked with an ‘x’ within the HXR contour was the focus of a more detailed spectral analysis. Bottom three rows: The corresponding velocity maps for each of the above intensity maps. Red pixels denote material moving away from the observer, while blue pixels represent material moving towards the observer. The same RHESSI 20–25 keV contours are overlaid. All velocity maps are scaled to ±150 km s$^{-1}$. 
of which contain several individual emission lines. The work presented here focuses on 15 emission lines spanning the temperature range 0.05–16 MK. Details of the lines, their wavelengths and peak formation temperatures are given in Table 1 (c.f. Young et al. 2007) along with their measured Doppler velocities. The majority of these lines are well resolved and do not contain blends, thereby reducing ambiguities in the interpretation of their analysis. The top three rows of Figure 3 show the portion of the EIS raster containing the two footpoints in each of these 15 lines during the impulsive phase of the flare. Overlaid on each image are the contours of the 20–25 keV emission observed by RHESSI. Looking at the brighter southeastern footpoint there are no significant differences between images formed at temperatures lower than ~4 MK. Images in the two hottest lines (Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV) however, show an overlying loop structure which had begun to fill with hot plasma.

TABLE 1
IONS, WAVELENGTHS, PEAK FORMATION TEMPERATURES, AND DERIVED DOPPLER VELOCITIES OF EMISSION LINES USED IN THIS WORK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>λ(Å)</th>
<th>T (MK)</th>
<th>v (km s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>He II</td>
<td>256.32</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>21±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O VI</td>
<td>184.12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>60±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg VI</td>
<td>268.99</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>51±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg VII</td>
<td>280.75</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>53±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe VIII</td>
<td>185.21</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>33±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe X</td>
<td>184.54</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>35±16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XI</td>
<td>188.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>43±15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XII</td>
<td>195.12</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>28±17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XIII</td>
<td>202.04</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>39±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XIV</td>
<td>274.20</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-22±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XV</td>
<td>284.16</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-32±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XVI</td>
<td>262.98</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-69±18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XVII</td>
<td>269.17</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>-29±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe XXIII</td>
<td>263.76</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>-257±15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1. Emission Line Fitting and Velocity Analysis

To determine the magnitude, velocity, and spatial distribution of the evaporating plasma, a measurement of a reliable rest wavelength is critical for each line under study. Following from previous work (Milligan 2008), the rest wavelength of each emission line of interest was established from the corresponding spectrum summed over the bottom half of the EIS raster shown in Figure 1. This area encompassed both quiet-Sun and quiescent active region emission. Enough counts were accumulated in even the Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV lines to detect a rest profile. Subsequently, the centroid of each line in each pixel within the raster was calculated by fitting a Gaussian profile plus constant background to the data. Doppler velocities for each line were then measured relative to their respective rest wavelengths. Uncertainties in velocity were determined from the 1σ widths of the rest and shifted components added in quadrature. This is an over-estimate of the uncertainty since the Gaussian peaks can be located more accurately. Corrections were performed for variations in the centroid position along the EIS slit. Orbital variations due to temperature fluctuations in the instrument were considered negligible due to the short raster duration relative to the spacecraft orbital period (~3%).

The bottom three rows in Figure 3 show the corresponding velocity maps created using the above method with contours of the HXR emission observed by RHESSI overlaid on each panel. For ions He II–Fe VIII (0.05–1.5 MK), footpoint emission is clearly blueshifted, while material at Fe XIV-XXIV temperatures (2–16 MK) appears blueshifted. The Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV velocity maps also show strong blueshifted emission from the overlying loop structure to the southeast, which is later observed in TRACE images as it cools. As all velocity maps were created using single Gaussian fits to the line profiles, the Fe XXIII map differs considerably from that of the Fe XXIV due to a possible blend with Ar XV (4 MK), which becomes problematic in regions where cooler emission begins to dominate (Del Zanna 2008).

Figure 4 shows the 15 emission line profiles taken from the same spatial pixel within the HXR footpoint in each of the panels in Figure 3 (marked with an ‘×’). All the lines formed at temperatures less than 4 MK show a symmetric Gaussian profile completely shifted with respect to their rest wavelengths. In some panels, other Gaussian components from neighboring lines in the passband can also be seen, although, for clarity, only fits to the lines of interest are shown. The two highest temperature line profiles, Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV, in contrast, show large blue-wing asymmetries and were therefore each fitted with two Gaussian components. The dominant components were each found to have centroid positions consistent with their rest wavelengths indicative of stationary material. The Gaussian component fitted to the blue-wing was indicative of weaker, high-velocity upflows. In the case of the Fe XXIV line profile, the spectral window was not large enough to accommodate such a large blueshift of the line, so the derived Doppler velocity of −257 km s⁻¹ should be considered a lower limit.

Figure 5 shows the derived line-of-sight velocities from this pixel as a function of the peak formation temperature for each of the lines. The velocities are listed in Table 1. Assuming a linear relationship between velocity and temperature, a least squares fit was applied to the both blueshifted and redshifted data points and their associated uncertainties (excluding He II). (Note that Figure 5 is on a log-normal scale.) All emission from O VI to Fe XIV (0.6–1.5 MK) was redshifted by \(v_{\text{down}}\) (km s⁻¹) \(\approx 60–17 T\) (MK). The He II line was found to be redshifted by \(21\pm12\) km s⁻¹ which is slightly lower than the other redshifted values and is possibly due to the fact that the He II is optically thick and hence the line of sight velocities are underestimated. These redshifts are interpreted as evidence for the downward-moving ‘plug’ generated by the overpressure of the rising material above. The evaporated material itself is only observed in emission lines formed at temperatures higher than 2 MK (Fe XIV). Upflow velocity was found to scale as \(v_{\text{up}}\) (km s⁻¹) \(\approx 5–17 T\) (MK). The direction of the derived flow velocities also changes sharply between Fe XIII and Fe XIV. This corresponds to a temperature range of ~0.5 MK which is
narrower than the width of the associated contribution functions of either of these two lines.

4. RHESSI IMAGING AND SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

In order to diagnose the driving electron beam responsible for the evaporation observed by EIS, RHESSI spectra were formed over the time range 14:14:28-14:15:00 UT for detectors 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 9 individually. This time interval corresponds to when the EIS slit rastered over the southeastern footpoint and before RHESSI’s thin attenuators came into place over the detectors (see Figure 2). Detectors 2 and 7 are known to be poorly sensitive to emission below 20 keV and were therefore not included. During this phase of the event, data from detector 8 was also unavailable due to interference while RHESSI’s antenna was transmitting. Compiling spectra for each detector individually allows the most up-to-date corrections for pulse pileup and gain offset available in the OSPEX software package to be used. The data were fitted with the sum of an isothermal component that dominated at low energies and a thick-target model dominating at higher energies. Figure 6 shows the photon spectrum for detector 4 with the associated fits and residuals while Figure 7 shows the fit parameters for each of the six detectors used. Detector 5 was found to give consistently higher values for \( \chi^2 \) as the calibration does not appear to be well known at this time, and was therefore omitted from any further calculations. Taking the mean and standard deviation of each fit parameter for each component across the 5 individual detectors currently provides the best estimate of the parameter and its uncertainties. The isothermal fits yielded a temperature \( T \) and emission measure \( EM \) of 17±1 MK and \( 7\pm2\times10^{46} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), respectively. The low-energy cutoff to the assumed power-law electron spectrum was found to be \( E_c \leq 13\pm2 \text{ keV} \) with a spectral index, \( \delta \), of 7.6±0.7. Knowing that the highest energy emission was predominantly nonthermal, HXR images were formed over the same time range as the spectrum using the CLEAN algorithm, from 20-25 keV and using detectors 1-6. Two HXR sources were identified which aligned with the footpoint emission detected by TRACE (shown in the inset of Figure 1) and EIS (Figure 3). The combination of high-resolution images and spectra from RHESSI allows a measurement of the flux of nonthermal electrons responsible for driving chromospheric evaporation to be determined. Given the values of the low-energy cutoff and the spectral index of the electron beam distribution, the total power contained in the electron beam can be calculated using:

\[
P_{nth}(E \geq E_c) = \int_{E_c}^{\infty} E F(E) dE \quad \text{ergs s}^{-1},
\]

where \( F(E) = C E^{-\delta} \) electrons s\(^{-1}\) keV\(^{-1}\), and \( C \) is a normalization constant proportional to the total integrated electron flux, \( A \). Using the above values \( P_{nth} \geq 8\pm3\times10^{47} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \). In order to compare observations with the predictions of theory the total energy flux (in ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) of nonthermal electrons must be established. As an 60% contour of the 20–25 keV CLEAN images, yielded a footpoint area of \( \sim 3\times10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \). It is known that CLEAN can overestimate source sizes by as much as a factor of 10 compared to other image reconstruction algorithms (Schmahl et al. 2007), thereby placing a lower limit on the value of the electron flux, assuming a filling factor of unity. Dennis & Pernak (2009) have compiled a detailed comparison of how each available algorithm can be optimized to provide reliable estimates of source sizes. Using the CLEAN algorithm, for example, the authors compute the moments for individual CLEAN components as functions of the azimuthal angle about the source. The moments then define the parameters of the equivalent elliptical Gaussian, and are used to determine the area within \( \sigma \) of the centroid. Applying this technique to the HXR images for this event resulted in an area of \( 5\times10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2} \), almost an order of magnitude smaller than the above approximation. A similar value was found using the Pixon algorithm. Therefore, dividing \( F_{nth} \) by this footpoint area gives a flux value of \( \Phi_{nth} \geq 10^{45} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \), which is comfortably above the limit that Fisher et al. (1985a) stated is needed to drive explosive chromospheric evaporation.

5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The observations presented here represent the first detailed study of chromospheric evaporation carried out using data from Hinode/EIS during the impulsive phase of a C-class flare. Previous studies (Antonucci 1983; Zarro & Lemen 1988; Canfield et al. 1987; Acton et al. 1982; Brosius & Phillips 2004; Tereia et al. 2003; Milligan et al. 2006a,b) were limited by the detection of blueshifted emission in a single high temperature line, and often without any spatial information or knowledge of the driving electron beam. EIS is now able to overcome these limitations, and coordinated observations with RHESSI provides a measure of the electron beam energetics. The observations presented here indicate a clear case of explosive chromospheric evaporation. High-temperature upflows and lower-temperature downflows measured by EIS were found to be both spatially and temporally correlated with HXR emission observed by RHESSI.

The key findings of this study can be summarized as follows: (1) All emission lines within a footpoint pixel exhibit a complete symmetric shift of their respective line profiles with the exception of Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV. These two hottest lines each show a dominant stationary component with a large blue-wing enhancement indicative of upflow velocities \( >200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). (2) Upflow velocity was found to be strongly dependent on temperature \( v_{up} \approx 5 - 17 \text{ T MK} \). (3) The velocity of the downward-moving plug also shows a linear dependence upon temperatures \( v_{down} \approx 60 - 17 \text{ T MK} \). (4) Downflows are detected at much higher temperatures (up to 1.5 MK) than previously observed or predicted by any current chromospheric evaporation model (with the exception of Liu (2008). See below.). (5) The division between the temperature of upflowing and downflowing material occurs over a very narrow range (<0.5 MK).

The most significant finding presented here is the discovery of dominant stationary, high-temperature emission (Fe XXIII and Fe XXIV; >12 MK) at a footpoint during the impulsive phase. The stationary component of the Ca XIX line from spatially integrated BCS spec-
vere discrepancy with the standard flare model. Any chromospheric material that is subjected to heating during the footpoint at the flare onset in this case, emission levels had risen sufficiently, the flare loops had the presence of any stationary, high-temperature plasma for keeping the bulk of the hottest material at rest. The deposing or expanding due to the pressure gradient along the overlying loop. It is therefore unclear at present which mechanism could be responsible for the localized pressure enhancement in the coronal loop. The expected dependence of velocity on temperature of evaporating material has been predicted by Fisher et al. (1985a). Under the assumption that preflares were in static equilibrium, chromospheric material heated to different temperatures will be subject to different pressure gradients relative to the overlying corona and therefore rise at different rates.

The downward-moving plug is more difficult to interpret. In this case, redshifts are detected in emission lines formed at much higher temperatures than previously expected or reported (up to 1.5 MK). Milligan et al. (2006a) detected this plug at He I and O V temperatures (0.03 and 0.25 MK, respectively) during the impulsive phase of an M-class flare using CDS data in agreement with the model of Fisher et al. (1985c). Redshifted Fe XV (2 MK) emission was also detected at a footpoint during a B-class flare by Milligan (2008) using EIS data. In this instance the nature of such high-temperature downflows was unclear. Coordinated RHESSI observations implied that there was no detectable nonthermal component to the spectrum indicative of the electron beam required to generate the localized pressure enhancement in the chromosphere. The assumption was therefore made that a syphon flow may have been in place. The similarity between these flows and the ones presented here suggest that such high-temperature downflows may be a common characteristic of the impulsive phase of solar flares.

There are two possible explanations for material up to 1.5 MK to be redshifted as the electrons deposit their energy. Either the electrons lose their energy in the upper transition region rather than the chromosphere, or the chromosphere was being heated as it recoils. Although the soft spectral index measured by RHESSI ($\delta = 7.6$) might imply that the bulk of the electrons would be stopped at higher altitudes, it is unlikely that the preflare densities at this height would be high enough. Following from Fisher et al. (1985a), Liu (2008) has developed an updated model of the chromospheric response to explosive evaporation. This new model is now able to explain both the high-temperature downflows and the rate of recoil. The earlier Fisher et al. (1985a) model only considered the chromospheric response to a single burst of energetic electrons, whereas Liu (2008) showed that as energy deposition continues throughout the impulsive phase, the depositions and expected or reported (up to 1.5 MK). Milligan et al. (2006a) detected this plug at He I and O V temperatures (0.03 and 0.25 MK, respectively) during the impulsive phase of an M-class flare using CDS data in agreement with the model of Fisher et al. (1985c). Redshifted Fe XV (2 MK) emission was also detected at a footpoint during a B-class flare by Milligan (2008) using EIS data. In this instance the nature of such high-temperature downflows was unclear. Coordinated RHESSI observations implied that there was no detectable nonthermal component to the spectrum indicative of the electron beam required to generate the localized pressure enhancement in the chromosphere. The assumption was therefore made that a syphon flow may have been in place. The similarity between these flows and the ones presented here suggest that such high-temperature downflows may be a common characteristic of the impulsive phase of solar flares.

There are two possible explanations for material up to 1.5 MK to be redshifted as the electrons deposit their energy. Either the electrons lose their energy in the upper transition region rather than the chromosphere, or the chromosphere was being heated as it recoils. Although the soft spectral index measured by RHESSI ($\delta = 7.6$) might imply that the bulk of the electrons would be stopped at higher altitudes, it is unlikely that the preflare densities at this height would be high enough. Following from Fisher et al. (1985a), Liu (2008) has developed an updated model of the chromospheric response to explosive evaporation. This new model is now able to explain both the high-temperature downflows and the rate of recoil. The earlier Fisher et al. (1985a) model only considered the chromospheric response to a single burst of energetic electrons, whereas Liu (2008) showed that as energy deposition continues throughout the impulsive phase, the
underlying chromosphere is also heated to temperatures approaching 2 MK, in agreement with the observations presented here. The temperature at which the sign of the Doppler velocity changes between positive and negative may not necessarily be an indicator of the depth at which the bulk of the electron energy is deposited.

6. FUTURE WORK

This paper has focused primarily on measuring Doppler shifts of EUV emission lines during the impulsive phase of this event. However, thanks to the high cadence of the observing study and the extensive list of emission lines, line intensities, and thermal and nonthermal broadening, can be studied in addition to the line shifts, as a function of time throughout the event. The multiplet of emission lines could also allow for a more accurate evaluation of momentum balance between the evaporating and condensing material. This study also contains several pairs of density-sensitive line ratios which could be used to investigate density enhancements and variations throughout the flare. Therefore, by operating EIS in ‘fast-raster’ mode with a moderate field of view and carefully chosen list of emission lines, not only increases the probability of successfully observing a flare, but also enables the study of a wide range of fundamental flare physics. Continued observations between EIS and RHESSI allow for a more comprehensive analysis of the dynamics and energetics of solar flares during the rise of Cycle 24.
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