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Hmm…don’t think I’ll mention this to Mission Control… maybe he’ll just go away…
Culture Clash: Engineering and Life Sciences
NASA Version of Culture Clash
Vision for Space Exploration envisions “permanent human presence” on the moon, first by establishing an “outpost” capable of supporting seven-day missions in 2020, then incrementally extending mission duration to as long as six months…

Six reasons for returning to the moon

Reason 1: “Human Civilization: Extend Human Presence to the Moon to Enable Eventual Settlement”
## First Lunar VSE Mission Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lunar Elapsed Time</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0:21:36:21</td>
<td>Exceed Apollo 11 Lunar Surface Time (LST)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:01:55:12</td>
<td>Exceed Average LST of Entire Apollo Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:02:59:40</td>
<td>Exceed Apollo 17 LST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:04:48:00</td>
<td>First Lunar VSE Crew Exceeds Cumulative Apollo LST in Total Man-Hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VSE: Assessing Potential Biomedical Threats

1. Are there biomedical ‘showstoppers’ that could potentially threaten the VSE or the current CONOPS?

2. What have science and our operational space experiences taught us that could better qualify or quantify potential threats?

3. What are the implications of the above on the viability and eventual success of the VSE or of permanent human lunar settlement itself?
Potential VSE Biomedical Showstoppers

• Lunar Dust Hazards
• Radiation
• Hypogravity
• Synergistic Effects
'Dust was a pain in the #@&% ......we found it everywhere...coatings, seals, gaskets, filters, switches, windows, lens...it got into our nose, eyes and lungs.'
Inhalation Risks
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Agglutinate
Lunar Grain Surface

“Etching” by the solar wind generates high density of crystal dislocations which have high biological reactivity.
Vapor-Deposited Nanophase Fe\(^0\) on Plagioclase

Keller et al. (1999)
Particle Deposition in Alveolar Lung Compartment

- Particle generated ROS
- AM/lung epithelial cells
  - inflammatory cytokines
  - PMNs
  - ROS/RNS
    - lung damage
  - fibrogenic factors
    - fibroblast proliferation

- ROS/RNS
- lung damage

Lung Disease
Inhalation Toxicity Studies

Lunar Dust = Activated Simulant

TiO$_2$

Toxicity Scale

Quartz

TLV = 10 mg/m$^3$

TLV = 0.025 mg/m$^3$
Ionizing Radiation

Transfer of linear energy from solar wind and GCR into biological molecules resulting in damage (direct or indirect)
Studies indicate radiation effects on human beings are worse than expected.

Increasing Relative Biological Effectiveness
[LEO: “Q Factor” ~ 1.3 in 1989; 2.5 in 2000]

Large uncertainties in risk estimates for heavy ions and neutrons due to lack of human and animal data to assess risks.

Permissible Exposure Limits (PEL) keep decreasing over time.
Radiation Exposure Limits

Career PEL for 45 y.o. radiation worker was **135 rem** in 1989 but only **45 rem** by 2000

Radiation worker exposures have plummeted (annual limit of 5 rems [50mSv/yr]) (transcontinental pilots ~ 0.5 rem/yr [5 mSv/yr])

In 1976 40,000 workers received average annual dose of 0.82 rem a year [350 received more than 5 rem]

By 1999 108,000 workers received average annual dose of 0.29 rem [none received a dose > 5 rem]
Risk of Exposure Induced Death

“REID” is a statistical approach pegged to a single radiation effect: DEATH from cancer directly attributable to the exposure

In 1989 NASA accepted National Committee on Radiation Protection (NCRP) recommendation of career dose limits corresponding to a lifetime increase of 3% in cancer mortality

In 2000, NCRP kept that same 3% recommendation but also reduced (almost by half) the dose expected to reach the 3% lifetime risk.

45 y.o. male astronaut’s 10 year 3% career limit went from 325 rem in 1989 to 150 rem in 2000

35 y.o. female astronaut’s 10 year 3% career limit went from 175 rem in 1989 to 60 rem in 2000

This is NOT being more conservative, this is a realization that radiation is more harmful than predicted
From “Apollo Experience Report – Protection Against Radiation” NASA TN D-7080 (1973)

Figure 1. - Radiation-dose estimates for particle events between June 1968 and December 1969.
Radiation Exposures on ISS

ISS is beneath protective magnetosphere of the earth

If you are a 35 year old female when you begin your first six month ISS mission and 40 when you begin your second six month ISS mission (i.e. 5 years between missions), you will have an estimated **2% increased risk** of a fatal cancer

If you are a male (same age and flight schedule), you have a **1% increased risk** of a fatal cancer

**Average risk for non-smoker cancer death in the general population is 21% and ~ 41% for a smoker**
REID Lunar Mission Profile Variables

Solar Cycle:
- Solar Max
- Solar Min

Duration:
- 2 weeks (6 days ‘deep space’ + 8 surface days)
- 3 months (6 days ‘deep space’ + 84 surface days)
- 6 months (6 days ‘deep space’ + 174 surface days)
- 9 months (6 days ‘deep space’ + 264 surface days)

Shielding:
- 5 g/cm²
- 20 g/cm²*

Gender:
- Male
- Female

* Can’t fly 20 g/cm²
REID Categories of Mission Profile Variables

All Data Are Preliminary!!

“We Have a Problem”

“Hmmmmm…”

“Raise an Eyebrow”

“No Problem”

Radiation Standard 3% increase in REID

REID Probability
REID Category Examples

No Problem:

All sortie missions

Raise an Eyebrow:

Female, Solar Min, 9 months, 20 g/cm2
Female, Solar Max, 9 months, 5g/cm2
Female, Solar Max, 9 months, 20 g/cm2
Male, Solar Min, 9 months, 5 g/cm2
Male, Solar Max, 3 months, 5 g/cm2

Hmmmm…:

Female, Solar Max, 6 months, 5 g/cm2
Female, Solar Max, 3 months, 5 g/cm2
Male, Solar Max, 6 months, 5 g/cm2

Houston We Have a Problem:

Female, Solar Max, 9 months, 5 g/cm2
Male, Solar Max, 9 months, 5 g/cm2

* No EVA included!
Hypogravity
Gravity: It’s the Law!

What’s the Gravity Prescription?

Dose?
Frequency?
Side Effects?
Synergistic Effects?

Absolutely!
Are There Biomedical Showstoppers?

It depends …

…on the definition of…

Long Duration?
Outpost?
Settlement?
Frontier?
Civilization?
## Potential Lunar Long Duration Showstoppers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sortie</th>
<th>Outpost</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
<th>Frontier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Dust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistic Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>Sortie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Dust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistic Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Potential Lunar Long Duration Showstoppers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sortie</th>
<th>Outpost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Dust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistic Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Radiation: EVA, Hab

*Element sorts for Outpost include: Hypogravity, Synergistic Effects.*
## Potential Lunar Long Duration Showstoppers*

* Assuming current technology and goal of “civilization”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sortie</th>
<th>Outpost</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Dust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wild Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>EVA</td>
<td>Hab</td>
<td>Surface (EVA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonstarter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistic Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assumption: This categorization might not apply to the actual scenario.
## Potential Lunar Long Duration Showstoppers

* Assuming current technology and goal of “civilization”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Sortie</th>
<th>Outpost</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
<th>Frontier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lunar Dust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wild Card</td>
<td>Wild Card</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>EVA</td>
<td>Hab</td>
<td>Surface (EVA)</td>
<td>Depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nonstarter</td>
<td>Nonstarter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synergistic Effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implications

• Moon *may* only be a sortie site in near term*
• Long-duration habitats will likely be shielded
• Repetitive lunar EVA *may be* constrained
• Optimal combination of robotic and human capabilities to be defined
• Robotic precursor missions advisable for reconnaissance and local site preparation
• Strategic *value* of human lunar activities should be prospectively defined (if “civilization” is goal)

*At least not without significant investment in enabling research & technology*
Questions?