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Introduction: Calculation of oxygen fugacity in high pressure and temperature experiments in metal-silicate systems is usually approximated by the ratio of Fe in the metal and FeO in the silicate melt: ΔIW = 2log(XFe/XFeO), where IW is the iron-wüstite reference oxygen buffer. Although this is a quick and easy calculation to make, it has been applied to a huge variety of metallic (Fe-Ni-S-C-O-Si) systems and silicate liquids (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, TiO₂, FeO, MgO, CaO, Na₂O, K₂O systems). This approach has surely led to values that have little meaning, yet are applied with great confidence, for example, to a terrestrial mantle at "IW-2". Although FO₂ can be circumvented in some cases by consideration of Fe-M distribution coefficient, these do not eliminate the effects of alloy or silicate liquid compositional variation, or the specific chemical effects of S in the silicate liquid, for example. In order to address the issue of what the actual value of FO₂ is in any given experiment, we have calculated FO₂ from the equilibria 2Fe (metal) + SiO₂ (liq) + O₂ = Fe₂SiO₄ (liq).

Calculations: Modeling this equilibria at high PT conditions requires satisfactory a-x relations and thermodynamic or equation of state (EOS) data. We utilize activities of Fe in the FeNi alloy [1,2] and in the FeNiS system [3], and activities of SiO₂ and Fe₂SiO₄ in the silicate melt [4]. EOS data for FeNi alloy [5] up to 30 GPa are used, as is the EOS for silicate melt [4]. The position of the IW buffer also changes with P and T, and is calculated from recent work [6].

Calculations along hypothetical adiabats and for specific experiments: To illustrate the potential differences between a basaltic melt and a peridotite melt, we can first calculate FO₂ for two hypothetical melt compositions along an adiabat (0.3 K/km gradient) between 1 bar and 50 GPa. Calculations have been carried out for a few specific experiments from the literature chosen to represent certain extremes of composition or PT conditions. For example, high T runs from [7] and [8] are chosen to investigate the effect of very high T (2873-3000 K at 25-26 GPa). Sulfur-rich melts of [9] and [10] were chosen at very low and very high pressures (0.8 vs. 25 GPa). And several high PT experiments from [11,12] were chosen for additional comparisons.

Results: We can compare the actual calculated values to those calculated using the ratio approximation approach. In general, the calculated values are 1 to 2 log FO₂ units higher than those using the ratio approximation. The difference becomes higher at high pressures (>25 GPa) for the peridotite (Fig. 1). The difference stays relatively low for the basalt, but the EOS for basalt is more uncertain at higher pressures due to the role of alkalis. These results suggest that calculated FO₂ from the ratio approximation could be too low, and the offset becomes even higher at the high PT conditions estimated for core formation. As a result this should be considered in detailed modeling efforts for a complete understanding of metal-silicate equilibria.

Fig.1: Calculated ΔIW (at P and T) vs. pressure for peridotite and basalt (blue curves), and individual experiments from the references shown in bracketed numbers. Numbers in parentheses are ΔIW values calculated using the ratio approximation. Experiments of [9] and [10] involve FeNiS melts and the difference between the ratio approach and new calculations can be close to 5 log FO₂ units. Similarly, the high PT experiments of [11] utilizing MgO-rich melts and FeNi metal are 1-2 logFO₂ units different. Values for other experiments at higher temperatures [7,8], or low pressures [12], are closer, but still ~1 logFO₂ unit different.