Surface Emissivity Retrieved with Satellite Ultraspectral IR Measurements for Monitoring Global Change
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ABSTRACT

Surface and atmospheric thermodynamic parameters retrieved with advanced ultraspectral remote sensors aboard Earth observing satellites are critical to general atmospheric and Earth science research, climate monitoring, and weather prediction. Ultraspectral resolution infrared radiance obtained from nadir observations provide atmospheric, surface, and cloud information. Presented here is the global surface IR emissivity retrieved from Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) measurements under “clear-sky” conditions. Fast radiative transfer models, applied to the cloud-free (or clouded) atmosphere, are used for atmospheric profile and surface parameter (or cloud parameter) retrieval. The inversion scheme, dealing with cloudy as well as cloud-free radiances observed with ultraspectral infrared sounders, has been developed to simultaneously retrieve atmospheric thermodynamic and surface (or cloud microphysical) parameters. Rapidly produced surface emissivity is initially evaluated through quality control checks on the retrievals of other impacted atmospheric and surface parameters. Surface emissivity and surface skin temperature from the current and future operational satellites can and will reveal critical information on the Earth’s ecosystem and land surface type properties, which can be utilized as part of long-term monitoring for the Earth’s environment and global climate change.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Surface emissivity and surface skin temperature from the current and future operational satellites can and will reveal critical information on the Earth’s ecosystem and land surface type properties. The Earth Observing System (EOS) Aqua satellite with the Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS) on board was launched on 4 May 2002. Satellite ultraspectral data (such as from AIRS) has been shown to be significant to atmospheric research and monitoring the Earth’s environment1. The Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI)2, on the Metop-A satellite3, launched on 19 October 2006, is the first of the advanced ultra-spectral resolution temperature, humidity, and trace gas sounding instruments being flown as part of the Initial Joint Polar System (IJS) of POES (U.S. Polar Orbiting Environmental Satellites) and EPS (EUMETAT Polar System) programs, the precursor of the Joint Polar System (JPS) of National Polar-orbiting Operational Environmental Satellite System (NPOESS) and EPS operational satellites for the purpose of improved weather, climate, and air quality observation and forecasting. Future satellite systems, such as the IASI on the European Metop-B and -C satellites and the Cross-track Infrared Sounder (CrIS) on the NPOESS Preparatory Project and the continuing series of NPOESS satellites, will continue to provide ultraspectral IR radiance observations that will be used for long-term monitoring for the Earth’s environment and global climate change. Long-term and large-scale observations needed for global change monitoring and other research can only be supplied by remote sensing4. Surface emissivity retrieved from satellite ultraspectral IR measurements can be greatly beneficial but not limit the assimilation of hyperspectral resolution IR radiances in numerical weather prediction models, the climate simulation, and the surface temperature retrieval from other satellite broad-band measurements. In this paper, a retrieval algorithm is briefly
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described with samples demonstrating surface emissivity spectra retrieved from different surface types. Global surface emissivity with a spatial resolution of 0.5x0.5 degrees of latitude-longitude are produced with temporal variation to indicate seasonal diversity of global land surface emissivity. We demonstrate the emissivities rapidly retrieved with IASI satellite global measurements in conjunction with some initial retrieval analyses. IASI is a Michelson interferometer with spectral coverage between 3.6 and 15.5 μm. At nadir, the instrument samples data at intervals of 25 km along and a cross track, each sample having a maximum diameter of about 12 km. We are to demonstrate that surface emissivity from satellite measurements can be used in assistance of monitoring global change.

2. RETRIEVAL ALGORITHMS AND PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The retrieval algorithm described herein only uses IR ultraspectral data; no other data from satellite or surface-based instruments nor from numerical weather analysis/prediction models is utilized in assisting or constraining the retrieval products. The emissivity retrieval algorithm was initially developed with the NPOESS Airborne Sounder Testbed Interferometer (NAST-I) to retrieve emissivity EOF (empirical orthogonal function) coefficients using regression retrieval6,7. The concept of retrieving emissivity EOF coefficients was later applied to the AIRS data with EOF regression8,9, followed by a physical retrieval10,11. Global emissivity retrieval has been demonstrated previously by AIRS data. As the regression retrieval is at least 50 times faster than channel based physical retrieval; it is worthwhile to re-evaluate emissivity retrievals to understand whether or not their accuracy is satisfactory in accurately producing other impacted geophysical parameters.

The IASI fast transmittance model used herein is a combination of the Stand-alone AIRS Radiative Transfer Algorithm (SARTA)12 Version 1.07 and the physically-based cloud radiative transfer model based on the DIScrete Ordinate Radiative Transfer (DISORT)13 calculations performed for a wide variety of cloud microphysical properties14. The retrieval algorithm used for IASI is based on that first developed and demonstrated with NAST-I using an EOF regression-training database that consists of 15,150 profiles obtained from the SeeBor database15. The surface emissivity spectrum used with each training profile is randomly selected from a set of laboratory measured emissivity spectra for a wide variety of surface types16. The cloud microphysical properties are also simulated. A random number generator is used to specify cloud visible optical depth equally distributed within a pre-specified range. A parameterization based on the balloon and aircraft cloud microphysical database17 is used to specify cloud effective particle diameter (Dₚ) from the cloud optical depth (τₒₖ). An iterative one-dimensional variational (1-d var.) multi-variable inversion using the minimum-information regularization method18 is used for obtaining the final retrieval in this system with emissivity obtained from the regression retrieval. An all-season global EOF regression database is used to obtain the initial profile for the 1-d var. physical retrieval. The physical iterative retrieval can effectively, but not fully, account for non-linearity and further improves retrieval accuracy from the initial profile produced by EOF linear regression. The regression coefficients are still classified with respect to cloud-free and cloudy conditions. The algorithm and other details are found elsewhere18,19. The weighting functions (i.e., Jacobians) can be calculated by an analytical scheme or a numerical perturbation method. The channels in these spectral regions can be used for temperature and water vapor profile retrieval18. Detailed IASI retrieval algorithms including both regression and physical retrieval with case validation have been published recently elsewhere20.

The emissivity is produced by the regression retrieval only and the rest of the retrieved parameters are further improved with a 1-d var. physical retrieval. Minimal cross talks between parameters to be retrieved are inevitable even in physical retrieval (e.g., between the emissivity and the skin temperature as well as boundary layer temperature/moisture). Since the emissivity is nearly linear, we chose to use retrieved emissivity from linear EOF regression, not further retrieved in physical iteration, in order to reduce the number of variables in the physical retrieval and to effectively finalize other non-linear parameters. Based on our retrieval analyses over IASI global measurements, an improved regression algorithm has been introduced. Several major modifications made to our previous algorithm are listed herein. It is noted that some of them are made from our empirical experiences through retrieval simulation analyses and real data retrieval validations. It involves more channels in the window regions, however the shortwave channels beyond 2220 cm⁻¹ are not used to avoid solar effect that currently cannot be modeled accurately, especially for occasional sun-gleams into the sensor. The solar zenith angle is included in the training and retrieval process to take care of the solar radiation which still has small but significant impact on the selected channel radiances for emissivity retrieval. Figure 1 shows the retrieval channels currently used for regression and physical retrieval.
Fig. 1. Retrieval channels indicated over IASI spectrum: (a) 5410 channels used in the regression retrieval (i.e., regression channel: RC); (b) 1766 channels used in the physical retrieval (i.e., physical channel: PC).

 Retrieval analysis has been performed to assess the retrieval accuracy including surface parameters using SeeBor database for a dependent simulation. Land surface parameters’ retrieval accuracies are improved with a more diverse contrast of surface skin and air temperature, especially over extreme hot and/or cold (e.g., desert and/or polar) regions. Land surface skin temperature in the SeeBor training database has been modified by

$$T_s = T_a + (T_s - T_a) \times 1.8,$$

where $T_a$ and $T_s$ are surface air and skin temperature, respectively.

A surface emissivity function is used instead of emissivity in the retrieval in order to constrain retrieved emissivity spectrum ($\varepsilon$) within a boundary between $\varepsilon_{\text{min}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$. We introduce an emissivity function

$$F(\varepsilon) = \log[\log(\varepsilon_{\text{min}}) - \log(\varepsilon_{\text{max}} - \varepsilon)]$$

(2)

to be used in the training and retrieval algorithm. The $\varepsilon_{\text{min}}$ (i.e., 0.5) and $\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ (i.e., 0.995) are preset to the best of our knowledge for surface emissivities. Eigenvectors of $F(\varepsilon)$ and associated amplitudes are generated and used in the regression equation. The emissivity is computed later from the emissivity function $F(\varepsilon)$ that is calculated from retrieved 10 EOF amplitudes of $F(\varepsilon)$. It is worth mentioning that the emissivity is retrieved rapidly since the cloud detection and emissivity retrieval are done within the same EOF regression; the data volume is small since the emissivity is compressed in the EOF domain.

Cloud detection criteria in the regression are re-investigated in order to provide accurate “cloud-free” single field-of-view (SFOV) measurements. Multi-stage regression retrievals are performed. The first-stage involves mixed (i.e., clear and cloudy) regression. The second-stage (e.g., either clear or cloudy) depends on the cloud detection criteria that are based on first-stage retrieved cloud parameters (i.e., $H_c$ is cloud top height relative to surface, $\phi$ is cloud phase, and $\tau_{\text{cl}}$ is cloud visible optical depth). The second-stage will use clear regression coefficients when the first retrieval satisfies these criteria

1. $H_c \leq 2.5$ km, and
2. $\phi \leq 0.5$, and
3. $\tau_{\text{cl}} \leq 0.005$,
where $\phi = 0, 1,$ and 2 are for clear conditions, ice cloud, and water clouds, respectively. Otherwise, the second-stage will use cloudy regression coefficients. When the second-stage is using cloudy regression, an additional clear regression will be performed if one of three following criteria is met from the second-stage cloudy retrieval,

1. $\tau_{\text{cld}} \leq 0.1$,
2. $H_c \leq 2.0 \text{ km}$ and $\tau_{\text{cld}} \leq 0.2$, and
3. $H_c \leq 2.5 \text{ km}$ and $\tau_{\text{cld}} \leq 0.3$.

Actually, it should be explained that so called “clear-sky” cases from this analysis are “cloud undetected.” They can be either real clear or contain a minimal cloud fraction almost not interfering with the retrieval.

Surface emissivity ($\varepsilon$) is often used as a constant ($\varepsilon \approx 1$), especially over the water, in the retrieval process for satellite measurements due to lack of emissivity knowledge. However, the emissivity is a function of wavelength (or wavenumber) and it deviates significantly from one over the land, especially over a desert or barren surface. Research on the emissivity (or reflectivity) and measurements over different types of material samples commonly existing over the Earth’s surface have been conducted\textsuperscript{16}. Satellite measurements concur that surface emissivity contributes significantly to the total transmittance measured by the satellite instruments. Samples shown in Figure 2 are for both day and night observations over the Sahara Desert. Simulated spectral radiances from the retrieved parameters (i.e., atmospheric profiles, surface skin temperature and emissivity) are plotted in Figures 2a and 2c (red curves) in comparison with the measurements (blue curves). Retrieved surface emissivity spectra are plotted in Figures 2b and 2d with IASI day and night observations, respectively. If retrieved emissivity is replaced with a unity emissivity, the simulated spectra (green curves) in the window regions significantly deviate from the measurements. This indicates that surface emissivity information is evident in the observations and it has to be taken into an account in order to accurately retrieve other surface and atmospheric parameters.

**Fig. 2.** Samples of surface emissivity retrieved over Sahara Desert from both day and night. Radiance simulations with retrievals are compared with the measurements (see text).

It is worth mentioning that, in the radiative transfer equation under clear-sky conditions, channel radiance $I_\nu$ at a certain wavenumber is computed by

$$I_\nu = \int B_\nu(T(z)) \cdot \frac{\dd^2 \tau_\nu(z, z')}{\dd z^2} \cdot \dd z + \rho_\nu \cdot \tau_\nu(0, z') \cdot \int_{\infty}^{0} B_\nu(T(z)) \frac{\dd^2 \tau_\nu(z, z')}{\dd z^2} \cdot \dd z$$

$$+ \varepsilon_\nu \cdot B_\nu(T_s) \cdot \tau_\nu(0, z') + \rho_\nu^{\text{solar}} \cdot H \cdot \tau_\nu^b(0, z', \theta) \cdot \cos(\theta),$$

where $I_\nu$, $\varepsilon_\nu$, $B_\nu$, $T_\nu$, $\tau_\nu(z_1, z_2)$, $z'$, $T(z)$, $\rho_\nu$, $\rho_\nu^{\text{solar}}$, $H$, $\theta$, $\tau_\nu^b$ are observed spectral radiance, spectral emissivity, spectral Planck function, the surface temperature, spectral transmittance from altitude $z_1$ to $z_2$, sensor altitude, spectral surface reflectivity, spectral solar reflectivity, solar irradiance, solar zenith angle, and two-path transmittance from the Sun to the surface to the satellite respectively. Here, diffused reflection is assumed; the spectral solar reflectivity and the
Global land surface emissivities are retrieved from IASI measurements. The retrievals, limited to IASI FOV, are effective surface emissivities due to non-homogeneous scenes. In other words, the effective surface emissivity can be a combination of all possible materials within the FOV. Due to cloud coverage, not every measurement can provide surface parameters; however, the surface parameters can be retrieved under optically thin clouds but with relatively poor accuracy in comparison with that retrieved under clear-sky conditions\(^{18}\). The composition of the surface emissivity can be assembled over a period of time and area. A set of retrievals is used to generate a convoluted surface emissivity. Single retrievals within a spatial grid (area) meeting the following criteria will be taken to generate a convoluted emissivity. These criteria are
1. \( \tau_{clw} < 0.5 \), and
2. \( (T_s - \sigma_t) < T_s < (T_s + \sigma_t) \), and
3. \( (A_{F1} - \sigma_{F1}) < A_{F1} < (A_{F1} + \sigma_{F1}) \), and
4. \( N > 4 \),

where \( T_s \), \( \sigma_t \), \( A_{F1} \), \( \sigma_{F1} \), and \( N \) are \( T_s \) mean, \( T_s \) STD, first EOF amplitude of \( F(\varepsilon) \), \( A_{F1} \) mean, \( A_{F1} \) STD, and the number of SFOV measurements satisfying criteria 1-3, respectively.

With a spatial resolution of 0.5×0.5 degrees of latitude-longitude, monthly-convoluted land surface skin temperature and emissivity are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. As the emissivity is a function of wavenumber (or wavelength), two selected frequencies at 950 cm\(^{-1}\) (or 10.5 \( \mu \)m) and 1250 cm\(^{-1}\) (or 8.0 \( \mu \)m) are plotted in Figures 5a and 5b, respectively.

Fig. 3. (a) The mean surface emissivity spectrum for a set of laboratory measured emissivity spectra for a wide variety of surface types suitable for global geographical location. The vertical bars show the STD of the emissivity for this dataset. (b) Estimated surface emissivity retrieval accuracy through IASI simulation with a global database; the curve is the mean difference and the vertical bars are the STDE of the retrieved emissivity against the truth (see text).

Fig. 4. Monthly-convoluted (July 2007) land surface skin temperature from IASI retrievals. Notice that white areas were covered with clouds.
The surface emissivities reflect the Earth’s types and environment including ecosystem health that plays an important role in understanding the impacts of climate changes and human activities on altered degradation, biodiversity, and ecosystem sustainability. Principal deserts, such as Sahara (Northern Africa), Kalahari and Namib (Botswana, South Africa, Namibia, and Angola), Taklamakan and Gobi (China and Mongolia), Great Basin and Mojave (US), Sonoran (US and Mexico), Atacama (Chile), and Patagonian (Argentina), and Australian deserts, are identified on the emissivity map shown in Figure 5. The world’s desert can be divided into four categories. Subtropical deserts are the hottest, with parched terrain and rapid evaporation. Although cool coastal deserts are located within the same latitudes as subtropical deserts, the average temperature is much cooler because of frigid offshore ocean currents. Cold winter deserts are marked by stark temperature differences from season to season, ranging from ~311°K (100°F) in the summer to ~261°K (10°F) in the winter. Polar regions are also considered to be deserts because nearly all moisture in these areas is locked up in the form of ice. Satellite derived effective land surface emissivity can be used to assist monitoring global change over interested regions such as deserts which generally receive less than ten inches of precipitation a year or the potential evaporation rate is twice as great as the precipitation. Other regions can also be interesting, such as the regions covered by sea ice and glacier.

To demonstrate emissivity temporal variation, 2 months worth of data (July and August 2007) are used to produce 10-day convoluted emissivity maps; samples at 10.5 μm and 8.0 μm are shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively. The American continent is focused on for clarity; the changes of surface emissivity can be seen. These changes, through a 2-month period, are mainly due to seasonal variation and weather conditions (e.g., temperature and rainfall).
Semi-annual variation is also shown in Figure 8 in order to demonstrate the emissivity contrast between summer and winter. The North American continent is focused on for clarity; Figure 8 shows the monthly-convoluted emissivities retrieved from July 2007, January 2008, and their differences between these 2 months at a selected frequency. Relatively speaking, the smaller or larger effective emissivity denotes more or less barren land during the winter or summer. As shown in Figure 8c, a higher or lower emissivity over the Great Basin or the Great Plains is expected because of the snow/ice or barren land (i.e., soil) during the winter season. This implies that global land surface emissivities derived from satellite IR ultraspectral data can be used to capture the change of land surface property, thus having great value in monitoring of the Earth’s environment and global change.

It should be mentioned that the random error of convoluted emissivity over a certain period of time and area is smaller than that from a SFOV (shown in Figure 3). This depends on the number of SFOV emissivity spectra used for one convoluted emissivity spectrum. For example, there could be up to a few hundred SFOV measurements for one month over an area of 0.5×0.5 degrees of latitude-longitude if all these measurements are under “clear-sky” conditions. The convoluted emissivity has its random error reduced by the square root of the number of “clear” measurements, depending on the cloudiness over that period of time and area. However, detailed error estimation needs to be investigated along with validation.

4. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

A state-of-the-art retrieval algorithm, dealing with all-weather conditions, has been developed and applied to IASI radiance measurements. Surface emissivity is rapidly retrieved using the multi-stage linear EOF regression. The
seasonal variation of global land surface emissivity derived from satellite IR ultraspectral data is evident. This retrieval process is so fast that it can provide near-real-time result that is desired by the numerical weather prediction (NWP) model analysis using IR hyperspectral simulations. Results from IASI retrievals indicate that surface emissivity is retrieved with satellite IR ultraspectral data to capture different land surface type properties that contain useful information on the terrestrial ecosystem health and reflect on the biosphere’s response to proximal climatic factors (such as temperature and rainfall) and human activities. Operational global satellite data, such as that from IASI and CrIS, are and will be available for deriving this kind of product. In conjunction with other satellite products, these can provide information for monitoring the Earth’s environment and global change as well as the study of ecosystem health that plays an important role in understanding the impact of climate change and human activity on altered degradation, biodiversity, and ecosystem sustainability. Global land emissivity data compressed in EOF domain can be generated for science community research and other uses. Retrieved emissivity validation will be focused on for providing more-definitive accuracy of the emissivity products. The training database will be improved to include more diverse profiles for cloudy conditions. Criteria for cloud detection will be further enhanced through analysis and validation. Algorithm improvements, along with its product validation, will be made and applied to current and future satellite instruments to provide data for long-term monitoring of the Earth’s environment and global change.
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