Title: A 16-year comparison of fine particle and aerosol strong acidity at the interface zone (1,540 m) and within (452 m) the planetary boundary layer of the Great Gulf and Presidential-Dry River Class I Wildernesses on the Presidential Range, New Hampshire USA

Article Type: Research Paper

Keywords: Fine particulates; aerosol acidity; planetary boundary layer; Class 1 areas; methodology comparison
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Dr. H.B. Singh  
North American Editor  
Atmospheric Environment  
E-mail: Editor@AEnorthamerica.com

Dr. Singh,  
August 27th, 2008

Please accept the manuscript entitled: A 16-year comparison of fine particle and aerosol strong acidity at the interface zone (1,540 m) and within (452 m) the planetary boundary layer of the Great Gulf and Presidential-Dry River Class I Wildernesses on the Presidential Range, New Hampshire USA submitted on line for consideration to be published in the journal Atmospheric Environment. I will be the corresponding author and have obtained agreement from all co-authors to submit this manuscript on their behalf. Please contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Georgia Murray  
Staff Scientist  
e-mail: gmurray@outdoors.org

Appalachian Mountain Club  
361 Route 16  
PO Box 298  
Gorham, NH 03581

Tele: 603-466-2721 x111  
Fax: 603-466-2822
1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1977 amendments to the Clean Air Act (CAA), the US Congress designated existing National Parks greater than 6,000 acres and federal Wilderness and wildlife refuge lands greater than 5,000 acres as “Class I areas” (Section 162 (a)) and provided protections against degradation of air quality under the prevention of significant deterioration (PSD) provisions. The PSD program has a permit process for major new and modified emission sources to determine if they will cause an "adverse impact" on the air quality related values (AQRV) of Class I areas. Those values are established by the federal land manager and can include scenic, cultural, biological, and recreational resources. Visibility, an AQRV, was also singled out by Congress not only to prevent future degradation through the PSD program but to improve conditions back to natural conditions.

The Great Gulf (2,247 ha) and Presidential Range-Dry River (8,094 ha) Wildernesses (Figure 1) on the Presidential Range, NH in the White Mountain National Forest (WMNF) are such designated Class I areas. The AQRV’s for these areas include visibility, vegetation health, and water and soil chemistry as it relates to watershed health. The WMNF is one of the most visited of federal lands in the US, seeing more than 6 million visitors annually. The Great Gulf and Presidential Range - Dry River Wildernesses also comprise part of the largest alpine ecosystem in the eastern US (Kimball and Weihrauch, 2000).

Suspended fine particulates, ≤2.5 micrometers in size (PM$_{2.5}$), cause visibility degradation by absorbing and scattering light (Malm et al., 2004). Additionally, they are a regulated air pollutant under the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) provisions of the CAA (Section 109) for human health and welfare protections. Adverse human health effects of PM$_{2.5}$ include difficulty breathing,
decreased lung function, aggravated asthma and even premature death in people with heart or lung
disease (EPA, 2005). Ozone, PM$_{2.5}$ and strong aerosol acidity exposure were associated with declines in
lung function in vigorously exercising hikers in a study on the Presidential Range (Korrick et al., 1999).
Korrick et al. (1999) found that the effects of PM$_{2.5}$ and strong aerosol acidity persisted after adjustment
for ozone and were of the same magnitude as the ozone related impacts. PM$_{2.5}$, when deposited on
vegetation and other surfaces, contributes to acid loading of ecosystems, resulting in loss of important
nutrients and mobilization of toxins such as aluminum (Driscoll et al, 2001).

Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) compliance monitoring for
visibility protections in Class I areas in the northeast occurs at lower elevations well within the planetary
boundary layer (BL), due to sampling and electrical power infrastructure needs. Unlike the four other
designated Class I areas in the northeastern US, the Great Gulf and Presidential Range-Dry River
Wilderness areas occupy much higher topography, from 268 to 1,770 m, putting them within and above
the regional BL. Summer mixed-layer height of the atmosphere in the northeast typically ranges from
1,100 to 1,500 m (Freedman et al. 2001). Our monitoring program for PM$_{2.5}$ using the MST Area
sampler$^2$ (herein referred to as the “Harvard Impactor”) at two elevations, which bracket the elevational
range of the Great Gulf and Presidential Range-Dry River Wilderness areas respectively, was initiated in
1988. In 1995 IMPROVE installed a sampler at our low elevation sampling site, considering it a
representative proxy of conditions in the two Wilderness areas.

It has been well established that air pollutant concentrations can differ with altitude, using airborne
observations, and that above versus within the BL air masses can have different origins, composition and
chemistry (Tanner et al., 1984, Taubman et al., 2004a, Peltier et al., 2007). However, little long-term
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$^1$ Presidential Range - Dry River Wilderness area was expanded in 1984 to 11,080 ha but the new additional area is
designated Class II.
work has been done at mountainous land-based locations that are at the interface of the BL and
influenced by the lower free troposphere (FT). Previous work has shown that ozone concentrations at
land sites on the Presidential Range, NH exhibit considerably different trends at the base and summit of
the mountain, with strong diurnal changes at the former and weak diurnal changes and higher nighttime
concentrations at the latter (Hill and Allen, 1994, Fischer et al., 2004). These differences in diurnal
ozone patterns are influenced by long-range transport, anabatic processes, the strength of nocturnal
temperature inversions in the region, and the consequent mixing downward of air masses aloft as such
inversions breakup during the daytime. Here, we examine how fine particulate aerosol mass and
chemistry, at land-based sample sites on complex terrain, vary with elevation and compare these results
with data obtained from altitudinal transects from aircraft.

The objectives of this study are (1) to compare summertime total PM$_{2.5}$ mass and aerosol chemistry in
samples from within the BL and at the BL/FT interface of the BL on the Presidential Range over a 16
year period; (2) to compare co-located Harvard Impactor and IMPROVE results; (3) to understand how
much of the aerosol acidic SO$_4^{2-}$ is neutralized (by surface level sources of ambient ammonia) in these
Wilderness airsheds; and (4) to test how measured hydrogen ion associated with aerosols compares to
the inferred hydrogen ion calculations used in other studies (Malm et al., 1994, Ziemba et al. 2007). Our
study also benefits from and builds on the extensive meteorological measurements from the Mount
Washington Observatory and the recent analysis of how seasonal cycles and regional-scale meteorology
influence bulk aerosol chemistry on the summit of Mount Washington (Fischer et al. 2007).

2. METHODS

2.1 Site Location

The high elevation monitoring site is located 377 m below and approximately 1.7 km SW of the summit cone of Mount Washington, NH (44° 16'N, 71° 18'W, 1,914 m ASL) and ~ 100 m E of the Appalachian Mountain Club's Lakes of the Clouds (LOC) Hut at an elevation of 1,540 m (Figure 1). This remote site does not have electrical grid service, which limits the scope of the monitoring to instruments operated by batteries with solar panels. It is, however, more distant from tourist amenity pollutant sources on the summit of Mount Washington. The lower elevation site (Camp Dodge) is at the base of Mount Washington and 9.8 km northeast of the LOC site at 452 m elevation. Mount Washington is ~250 km north-northeast of the metropolitan Boston area and about 125-175 km northeast of the New Hampshire cities of Nashua, Manchester and Concord.

The regional, summertime BL typically is 1,100 – 1,500 m above msl (Freedman et al., 2001) and on Mount Washington it exhibits diurnal and vertical migration that is influenced by daily solar heating, changing weather fronts, and the complex terrain of the surrounding mountain region. Above this mixing-layer is the “free troposphere” where the approaching winds to the Presidential Range are more geostrophic. The LOC sample site at 1,540 m is located in the alpine zone on the mountain, more isolated from potential local pollution sources, and at the regional BL/FT interface. The Camp Dodge site is located in a northern hardwood ecosystem and is more representative of atmospheric and pollutant conditions within the BL.

---

3 This site was temporarily located ~0.5 km from Camp Dodge in 1990 and 1991 at the Base of the Mount Washington Auto Road.
2.2 Sampling Regime

Fine particulate mass and acid aerosol sampling began at Camp Dodge (452 m), in 1988 with day-time 10-hour (7am-5pm, EST) sampling intervals to relate PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations with visibility conditions. A midnight to midnight (EST) sampling interval was established for a small number of samples in 1995 and 1997, and then for all samples beginning in 1998, to be consistent with the Federal Reference Method (FRM) and IMPROVE methodologies. The total number of days sampled at Camp Dodge varied by year and ranged from 15 to 93 days. IMPROVE monitoring began in 1995 at Camp Dodge with sampling every Wednesday and Saturday until late August 2000, when sampling switched to an every third day schedule.

The LOC site (1,540 m) day-time 10-hour sampling began in 1990 and continued through 2003. In 1998 24-hour samples were also collected at LOC but due to electric power limitations 10-hour and 24-hour samples were collected on alternate days with no overlap. Total number of samples collected at LOC varied by year and ranged from 14 to 59 days. In 2002 and 2003 sampling was biased towards hazy days to capture and document the acidity level of haze events that the IMPROVE method might miss due to the every third day schedule. The number of high and low PM$_{2.5}$ samples (above and below 10 PM$_{2.5}$ µg m$^{-3}$) are well distributed in these years compared to others; therefore while this bias must be recognized when interpreting the data it may not dramatically skew the dataset. In 2004 both sites switched to sampling 24-hour intervals every third day to match sample schedule with the IMPROVE network.

2.3 Harvard Impactor (HI) PM$_{2.5}$ Method

The Harvard Impactor (HI) monitoring system (Koutrakis et al., 1988; Marple et al., 1988; Turner et al., 2000) is an inertial impactor based device operated in combination with a 10 liter per minute (lpm) pump and used to sample aerosol particles ≤2.5 µm in diameter. The HI sampler, as used for acidic
sampling, consists of three parts: an aluminum honeycomb denuder inlet, a 2.5 µm diameter cut
impactor stage with an oiled porous stainless steel plate, and a base which holds a 37 mm diameter
Teflon filter. Particles ≤2.5 µm in diameter pass down into the base where they are collected on the
teflon filter. The honeycomb denuder is coated with citric acid, which removes ~93% of ambient
ammonia gas that might neutralize the acid aerosol on the sample filter (Koutrakis et al., 1988). Due to
this efficiency and other small negative artifacts, all particle strong acidity measurements should be
considered minimum estimates. Pump flows were checked and adjusted daily using rotameters
calibrated for each of the two elevations. Field blanks were set out throughout the season and treated the
same as a sample but without drawing flow through the impactor unit. Filters were handled exclusively
within a glove box containing citric acid-coated cellulose paper to remove any ammonia and were
shipped to the Harvard School of Public Health laboratory enclosed in sealed plastic bags with fresh
citric acid-coated paper.

The mass of each filter was measured before and after sampling on an electronic microbalance in an
ammonium-free environment and a temperature and relative humidity-controlled laboratory set at
40%±5% RH. Uncertainties in RH measurements reported with each set of data were estimated to be
±3% for the equilibration of the filters prior to mass measurement. Following gravimetric analysis,
select filters were subjected to an aqueous extraction. Hydrogen ion (H¹), sulfate ion (SO₄²⁻), and
ammonium ion (NH₄⁺) were analyzed using a pH meter for H¹ and ion chromatography for SO₄²⁻ and
NH₄⁺ (Koutrakis et al., 1988). Filters were wetted with a small amount of ethanol, then extracted in
water with 10⁻⁴N perchloric acid. The acid was added to dissolve the water soluble particle species.
This extract was tested for pH, with the addition of KCl that increases the ionic strength to stabilize the
pH electrode. The pH of the perchloric acid extraction solution is determined after the pH of each
sample extract is measured. The net amount of hydrogen ion is calculated using the log relation between
pH and concentration, based on a standard curve determined by adding known amounts of sulfuric acid to the extraction solution. This method limits the measurements to strong acidity, i.e. weak organic acids are not measured with this approach. The remaining extract was analyzed by ion chromatography for $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{NH}_4^+$ ions. Lab blanks were subtracted from the reported values.

All data were corrected to standard temperature and pressure, to adjust for differences due to elevation, and reported in micrograms per meter cubed ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$). Average field blanks, when collected, were subtracted from values. Finally, results were reviewed using quality assurance criteria to validate data relative to sample run time and flow consistency. Voided samples were removed from the data set using the following criteria: 1) on or off flows were $\pm$ 8% of 10 lpm, 2) run time for either 10 or 24-hours $\pm$ 2 hrs, or if 3) there was apparent filter contamination or the filter was processed incorrectly.

Other published studies on Mount Washington are compared with our results; methods are summarized in Table 1. The IMPROVE methodology is described further in Malm et al., 2004 and the Atmospheric Investigation, Regional Modeling, Analysis and Prediction (AIRMAP) method is described in Fischer et al., 2007. All IMPROVE data is reported at local temperature and pressure, therefore it was corrected to STP by multiplying the flow rate using a constant pressure correction for the site, based on elevation, and daily ambient average temperature values provided by IMPROVE staff. For example fine mass $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ STP corrected = $\text{PM}_{2.5} \times (\text{Flow Rate}/(\text{Flow Rate} \times 1/1.052 \times 293/273 + T_{\text{average ambient in Celsius}})).$

IMPROVE data that had flow rates $>$ than $\pm$8% of the target of 22.8 L/min were excluded. [TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE]

2.4 Meteorology Measurements

Wind speed and direction on Mount Washington were measured using several instruments and recording devices by trained observers. All instruments are mounted atop the Mount Washington Observatory's
tower (approximately 20 m above local topography) on the northwest section of the summit of Mount
Washington (Grant et al., 2005). The majority of wind speed measurements were made using a custom
built pitot static anemometer mounted on a vane connected to a differential pressure measuring device
(Thoren, 2001). When the station experienced above freezing temperatures and light winds (<32 km
hr⁻¹) a standard NWS F420C 3-cup anemometer was used in conjunction with a 4305-C strip chart.
Hourly average wind speed was determined by manual interpretation of the paper trace. Wind direction
was measured using a custom built all-weather wind vane. Prevailing wind direction for each hour was
determined by manual inspection of wind direction displays and recorders and recorded at the end of
each hour.

Twenty-four hour and 10-hour resultant wind direction was calculated using vector averaging where
mean wind direction was weighted by wind speed. These data were paired with concurrent LOC samples
only to represent regional air flows at the high elevation site.

2.5 Statistics
Statistical calculations were done with either SYSTAT 11.0 or MS Excel. The variables are log
normally distributed and therefore were log transformed before standard t-tests were run. Regression
analyses were done and resultant linear equations reported for general discussion and comparison with
other work. Outliers were removed before statistical analysis was conducted but are noted in scatter plot
captions.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1 Within and at the interface zone of the planetary boundary layer comparisons

3.1.1 Summary statistics

Summary statistics (Tables 2 and 3) for all years of monitoring are presented by length of sampling interval (10 and 24-hours) and by site (Camp Dodge and Lakes of the Clouds). Total PM$_{2.5}$ mass and aerosol SO$_4^{2-}$ mass values are reported in µg m$^{-3}$ and chemical concentrations for aerosol SO$_4^{2-}$, NH$_4^+$ and H$^+$ ions are in nmol m$^{-3}$ (nmol m$^{-3}$ = µg m$^{-3}$/10.4). Data are corrected to STP. All parameters are log normally distributed and median values are reported, however, mean and standard deviation values are provided and discussed in comparison with other published work. Direct comparisons of statistics to others work should be done with the recognition that the number of samples varied year-to-year and there was a slight bias towards our sampling more polluted days in 2002 and 2003 as described in Section 2.2. [TABLE 2 AND 3 ABOUT HERE]

For all samples, median summertime PM$_{2.5}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ mass ranged from 4.8 to 12.6 µg m$^{-3}$ and 1.6 to 2.3 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Median PM$_{2.5}$ was greatest at the low elevation site and 10-hour intervals were greater than 24-hour samples at both sites. Statistical comparisons of the 2 intervals are discussed in section 3.1.2 for overlapping sample years. Mean PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations reported in this study are similar, but slightly lower than, mean summertime values from a 2001-2002 study at two rural New York (NY) mid-elevation sites, Whiteface Mountain lodge (600 m) and Pinnacles State Park (515 m) that ranged from 10-18 µg m$^{-3}$ (Schwab et al, 2004). New York is closer to a large eastern US source region of sulfur dioxide emissions, the main precursor to aerosol SO$_4^{2-}$. Tanner et al. (2005) at a rural southern Appalachian mountain site, Look Rock Great Smoky National Park, TN, (810 m) report PM$_{2.5}$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ mass mean summertime values ranging from 16-21 µg m$^{-3}$ and 7.6-9.5 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively,
both of which are higher than observed in our study. This is expected as the TN site is closer to a
number of large sulfur dioxide emitting sources, such as coal-burning power plants.

The range of mean values for aerosol SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations at both locations of this study, 31-
41 and 43-64 nmol m$^{-3}$ respectively, are within the range of means for other northeastern US studies
summarized in Fischer et al., 2007 (between 17-50 and 29-72 nmol m$^{-3}$ for aerosol SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$,
respectively). That study, conducted as part of AIRMAP using methods reported in Table 1, monitored
aerosol chemistry on the summit of Mount Washington (1,917 m) during a 6 year period from
1999-2004 (Fischer et al., 2007). Median SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations in 24-hour samples reported
from the LOC site (1,540 m), 16.7 and 59.4 nmol m$^{-3}$ respectively, were higher than values reported in
the AIRMAP study, 8.1 and 18 nmol m$^{-3}$ respectively (Fischer et al., 2007). While this study and that of
Fischer et al. (2007) include similar temporal spans and are located on the same mountain approximately
370 m elevation difference, our study had a much lower sample size for 24-hour samples, especially for
aerosol NH$_4^+$. AIRMAP 24-hour samples were collected from approximately 7 AM to 7 AM EST so
direct paired sample analysis was not done.

Fischer et al. (2007) states that the summit of Mount Washington is thought to be only in the BL on the
hottest of summer days. Taubman et al. (2004a) discuss a daytime partitioning between the BL and the
Lower Free Troposphere (LFT) where the former often has more particles (smaller in size) while the
latter has fewer particles but that they are well aged, larger, and scatter and absorb light more efficiently.
If chemistry at LOC is more often influenced by the BL than the summit during summer, then its aerosol
concentrations at LOC would be expected to be higher on average. Large altitudinal differences in
aerosols were also observed during an aircraft flight near our mountain site (see Figure 2, methods
described in Taubman et al., 2004a and Taubman et al., 2004b). During this “instantaneous” altitudinal
profile by aircraft, between 9 and 10 AM on August 13\textsuperscript{th}, 2002, the summit of Mount Washington was actually within the BL. The data do not reflect the dynamic diurnal patterns but do show an example of lower light scattering (Mm\textsuperscript{-1} at 550 nm wavelength) and particle counts at higher elevations (Figure 2). This vertical pattern is due to dilution by the LFT airmass aloft with increasing levels at lower altitudes where downward mixing of overnight transport shows a mid-elevation peak of different air pollution parameters. This profile was taken during a regional pollution event (Taubman et al., 2004a). [FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE]

Camp Dodge 24-hour SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} and NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} median concentrations of 24.0 and 45.8 nmol m\textsuperscript{-3}, respectively, agree well with a study by Ziemba et al. (2007) who reported summertime median values of 23.7 and 41.3 nmol m\textsuperscript{-3} respectively, at a coastal NH rural low elevation site in Durham, NH (24 m ASL) over a similar time frame. Ziemba et al. (2007) also calculated inferred acidity and found a summertime median value of 6.6 nmol m\textsuperscript{-3}. Sulfate aerosol associated strong acidity was measured in this study, as aqueous hydrogen ion concentrations, ranging from 8.9-13.0 nmol m\textsuperscript{-3} with higher median values at the low elevation site, Camp Dodge (452 m).

### 3.1.2 Ten hour versus 24-hour sampling intervals

A comparison of the full dataset (all years) shows significant differences between 10 and 24-hour PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass samples (p<0.001) at both sites, with the 10-hour samples having a higher median value (Tables 2 and 3). This could be in part due to the disparity in the years sampled rather than solely due to differences in sample intervals. National and regional concentrations of direct and precursor emissions of PM\textsubscript{2.5} have decreased since 1990 (EPA, 2008; EPA, 2007). Because of this temporal trend, comparisons between 10-hour and 24-hour datasets at the same location were made by examining only a subset of data with overlapping years. Samples are not paired however (with the exception of a set from
Camp Dodge in 1997), therefore this comparison is not direct but does reduce the influence of the general trend in decreasing pollution from 1990 to 2004.

The median PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration in 10-hour samples at Camp Dodge was 11.9 µg m$^{-3}$ (n=124) and was found to be significantly greater than the median concentration for 24-hour samples, 10.1 µg m$^{-3}$ (n=32), for the overlapping years dataset, p=0.04 (includes data from 1995 and 1997 only). Comparison of the chemical parameters, SO$_4^{2-}$, NH$_4^+$, and H$^+$, resulted in no significant differences at Camp Dodge (although there is some suggestion for H$^+$ being greater in 24-hour samples, p=0.08). In contrast to this are the results from the paired measurements in 1997 which include n=17 for PM and n=12 for chemistry. Ten-hour values were well correlated with 24-hour values of PM$_{2.5}$ mass and chemistry with regression slopes near 1 (with the exception of H$^+$ which had a slope of 0.93) but with positive y-intercepts. This suggests that 10-hour samples were generally higher in mass concentration, and SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations. In both of the t-test and regression comparisons, n was small for 24-hour samples and this could be contributing to the conflicting results.

LOC overlapping years datasets (2000-2003) showed 10-hour median values to be significantly greater than 24-hour samples for PM$_{2.5}$ mass (10-hour: 5.3 µg m$^{-3}$ with n=115; 24-hour: 1.3 µg m$^{-3}$ with n=103), SO$_4^{2-}$ (10-hour: 35.4 nmol m$^{-3}$ with n=29; 24-hour: 13.0 nmol m$^{-3}$ with n=70) and H$^+$ ion (10-hour: 23 nmol m$^{-3}$ with n=29; 24-hour: 7.0 nmol m$^{-3}$ with n=64). There was insufficient NH$_4^+$ data from this location to make a comparison. Sulfate aerosols have been shown to have a weak diurnal pattern, with mid-day peaks, on the summit of Mount Washington (G. Allen, unpublished data) and at a rural mid elevation site in the Great Smoky Mountain National Park Look Rock, TN (Tanner et al., 2005). Greater daytime concentrations of aerosol SO$_4^{2-}$ and strong acidity have been observed at a semi-rural site in Pennsylvania that is near significant SO$_2$ emission sources (Liu et al., 1996). Daytime SO$_4^{2-}$ maxima
could be caused by conversion of sulfur dioxide and water to sulfuric acid in condensed phases or from
photochemical transformation involving gas phase oxidation by the hydroxyl radical. Furthermore, fresh
aerosol sulfate formation is likely to be more acidic, due to limited time for neutralization by ammonia at
these locations. This is supported by the greater concentrations of H⁺ in 10-hour compared to 24-hour
samples. Meteorological conditions could arise, with rapid transport from emission source areas, that
bring fresh SO₂ to higher elevations at night that are transformed during the photochemically active
daytime. The August 13th 2002 altitude profile (Figure 2) shows an example of mid-elevation pollution
(ozone and SO₂) maxima at about 1,000 m between 9:00 and 10:00 EST. It is likely that this pollution
was transported to this rural location aloft overnight, due to a nocturnal temperature inversion, and then
mixed downward due to the break-up of the inversion with daytime heating. Further evidence of
downward mixing was the 10:00 EST ozone concentration peak at Camp Dodge, whereas typical diurnal
peaks are between 12:00 and 13:00 EST (data not shown).

Local SO₂ sources are expected to be limited at this rural location. However possible influences on LOC
include the diesel-powered electricity generators at the summit of Mount Washington and the soft-coal
burning cog railway locomotives which emits mostly large particulates that fall out of the atmosphere
quickly. More extensive concurrent measurements at high and low elevations are necessary to determine
if the differences observed between daytime and 24-hour samples are characteristic and what
meteorological conditions enhance these differences.

3.1.3 Lakes of the Clouds vs. Camp Dodge

Comparisons of paired samples showed that LOC and Camp Dodge have statistically different PM₂.₅
mass concentrations (STP corrected) with the latter being greater. This is also reflected in the regression
results for PM₂.₅ mass at the high and low elevation sites shown in scatter plots, Figure 3a, (24-hour and
10-hour samples are combined in the regression analysis because there is little difference if split. The weak $r^2$ and scatter plots suggest that the two sites are positively correlated—they can have unlinked PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations. Relatively lower PM$_{2.5}$ mass levels at higher elevations have been seen in the southern Appalachians in the cooler months relative to low elevation urban areas. The mountains there are often above the mixing layer and stay cleaner from lack of source pollution while urban areas have local emissions, largely organic aerosols that contribute to higher PM$_{2.5}$ mass levels. Organic aerosols comprise a significant portion of the fine mass at this rural northeastern location (IMPROVE database) with likely sources including a mix of anthropogenic and local biogenic emission sources (terpenes, natural and anthropogenic wood smoke, etc.). Local biogenic emissions would be more represented within the BL while above this layer more regional sources, including from downwind urban areas, would contribute. The Camp Dodge site in this study is usually within the mixing layer in the summer while the higher elevation site is in and out of the mixing layer. Therefore there are likely differences in meteorology and air mass history between the two sites. As noted earlier, this divergence has been observed for ozone concentrations, where the Mount Washington summit (1,917 m) and base have different background concentrations, diurnal patterns, and peak concentrations during pollution events (Fischer et al., 2004). [FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE]

High and low elevation SO$_4^{2-}$ mass concentrations (µg m$^{-3}$, STP corrected, show a slightly tighter regression than PM$_{2.5}$, that includes both 24-hour and 10-hour samples. The $r^2 = 0.70$ and slope = 0.81 (See Figure 3b) suggests 20% less SO$_4^{2-}$ mass concentration at the high elevation site. This indicates that, while SO$_4^{2-}$ does explain some of the variation in total PM$_{2.5}$ mass, another component, e.g. local emissions of organics or crustal materials, is contributing to the elevation differences. This also indicates that while the higher elevation site has lower total PM$_{2.5}$ mass it has proportionally more SO$_4^{2-}$ than the lower elevation site. [TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE]
3.2 Comparison of Harvard Impactor and IMPROVE samplers

The 24-hour summary statistics from this study’s HI impactor and the co-located IMPROVE sampler at Camp Dodge (452 m) are shown in Table 4. A regression between co-located PM$_{2.5}$ mass methods show that the IMPROVE sampler method results in approximately 34% lower PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentration (Teflon filter from Module A, see Table 1) compared to the HI levels (Figure 4a). Yet, a comparison of the SO$_4^{2-}$ mass concentrations from the IMPROVE sampler (Nylon filter from Module B and analyzed by Ion Chromatography) and HI show a 1:1 agreement, slope =1.01 (Figure 4b). [FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE]

The cause of disagreement between co-located IMPROVE and Harvard Impactor total PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations at Camp Dodge is difficult to pinpoint and likely multifaceted. There are differences in sample processing that may contribute minimally to water associated mass differences. IMPROVE weighs filters within a range of approximately 30 to 40% relative humidity, with approximately 10 minutes equilibration time. The HI method calls for a 48 hour equilibration at a higher RH of 40%-5%. If IMPROVE samples are often weighed at 35% after a short equilibration, while HI is weighted at 40% after a longer equilibration, then there may be more water associated with the particulates from the latter method especially if there is significant amounts of acidic SO$_4^{2-}$ present, which is considerably more hygroscopic than neutralized SO$_4^{2-}$ (Koutrakis et al., 1989). However, the IMPROVE program is not designed to quantify acidity and takes no precautions to protect samples against neutralization from ammonia (such as shipping with citric acid paper). Therefore samples that may have been acidic in the ambient atmosphere may not be as acidic when they reach the laboratory. Overall it is expected that difference due to sample processing would be minimal and not be of the magnitude of the disparity observed. The IMPROVE program has had good general agreement of comparisons of PM$_{2.5}$ mass with
EPA methods in audits conducted by the EPA National Air and Radiation Environmental Laboratory (C. McDade, pers. communications).

Teflon filters have been found to lose NH$_4$NO$_3$ through its conversion to ammonia and nitric acids with changes in temperature and RH contributing to this process (Yu et al., 2006). The two methods both use Teflon filters for mass measurements, however, differ in that the IMPROVE filters are not stabilized for up to a week, from fluctuating temperature and RH conditions, while HI filters are consistently collected and stabilized by the next morning (Table 1). This may result in the IMPROVE Teflon Channel A samples experiencing more loss of nitrate aerosol than the HI setup. However, differences in nitrate aerosol loss may only be a small contributor to the total mass disagreement. It has been estimated that in summertime aerosol nitrate loss is on average 2% of the total fine mass at the Great Gulf Camp Dodge site using the IMPROVE method (Ashbaugh and Eldred, 2004). Further, rural inland northeastern sites have been shown to have little aerosol NO$_3^-$ and nitric acid present even on the most polluted days (Lefer and Talbot, 2001; Hill and Allen, unpublished data). On the summit of Mount Washington the summertime 95th percentile aerosol nitrate concentration was found to be 8.2 nmol m$^{-3}$ from 1999-2004 (Fischer et al., 2007). Further examination is required to verify the differences in methodologies and to assess the ramifications toward reconstruction of mass and the visibility metric.

3.3 Percent of SO$_4^{2-}$ in total fine particulates and its acidity

3.3.1 Sulfate vs. PM$_{2.5}$

Sulfate plotted against fine mass (µg m$^{-3}$) showed positive significant regressions at both sites and both sample intervals (Figure 5 a and b). As discussed earlier, sulfate at LOC constitutes a greater percentage of PM$_{2.5}$. This is supported when the slopes of the regressions for LOC and Camp Dodge were
compared and found to be significantly different for the 24-hour dataset (0.42 and 0.35 respectively).

Comparison of the slopes for the 10-hour dataset showed no significant difference between sites. There does appear to be a subset of data, especially prominent in the 10-hour data sets, where SO$_4^{2-}$ remains low (<5 μg m$^{-3}$) and PM$_{2.5}$ increases to moderate levels (20 μg m$^{-3}$ or greater). This subset pulls the slope of the regressions downward and is contributing to the lower r$^2$ values for 10-hour dataset regressions and likely the lack of a difference between sites. Local sources of organic or crustal aerosols could result in elevated PM$_{2.5}$ levels with relatively less SO$_4^{2-}$. Organic aerosols and/or local source dust and soot could contribute more to daytime total PM$_{2.5}$, especially at lower elevations, but this study lacks the measurements to verify this hypothesis. [FIGURE 5 ABOUT HERE]

3.3.2 Sulfate acidity

All strong acid aerosol particles are SO$_4^{2-}$, therefore the stoichiometric ratio of the NH$_4^+$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ species would be 2:1 for fully neutralized sulfate ((NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$) and 1:1 for acidic ammonium bisulfate (NH$_4$HSO$_4$). Figures 6 a and b show scatter plots of Camp Dodge NH$_4^+$ vs. SO$_4^{2-}$ and H$^+$ vs. SO$_4^{2-}$ for 24-hour and 10-hour samples. Figures 7 a, b are similar graphs for the LOC site. Both sites show that the SO$_4^{2-}$ aerosol is more acidic than neutralized, i.e. the slopes are closer to 1:1 ratio for NH$_4^+$:SO$_4^{2-}$ (Figures 5a and 6a). LOC aerosol is statistically more acidic than that measured at Camp Dodge based on 24-hour sampling periods when the slopes were compared (0.97 and 1.3 respectively, p<0.01). [FIGURE 6 ABOUT HERE] The slopes for H$^+$ vs. SO$_4^{2-}$ for LOC and Camp Dodge were significantly different in the 24-hour dataset (0.89 and 0.71 respectively, p<0.01) again providing evidence that LOC aerosol is more acidic in 24-hour samples. There was no difference detected between the slopes in the 10-hour data at a p-value of 0.01 or less (Figures 6-7). Regressions of NH$_4^+$ vs. SO$_4^{2-}$ have positive y-intercepts due to low SO$_4^{2-}$ values (<50 nmol m$^{-3}$) having higher associated NH$_4^+$ concentrations, i.e. more neutralized, while higher SO$_4^{2-}$ values are more acidic. Fischer et al. (2007) found this to be true for the Mount Washington summit and suggest that in summertime there is enough ammonia to
neutralize up to 15 nmol m\(^{-3}\) of SO\(_4^{2-}\) in air masses reaching that high elevation location. That study reported the slopes of NH\(_4^+\) vs. SO\(_4^{2-}\) regressions to be 1.3 and 2.6 when data were parsed into the 95\(^{th}\) and 5\(^{th}\) percentile SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentrations respectively. The present study shows a much higher neutralization point of approximately 35 nmol m\(^{-3}\) of SO\(_4^{2-}\) based on inspection of the NH\(_4^+\) to SO\(_4^{2-}\) scatter plot. Measured H\(^+\) concentrations plotted against SO\(_4^{2-}\) also reflected this phenomenon, where the y-intercept is negative as low SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentrations have less associated hydrogen and higher SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentrations have more. Ziemba et al. (2007) found a NH\(_4^+\) to SO\(_4^{2-}\) ratio at Durham, NH, about 15 km from the Atlantic Ocean, to be 1.6 but this included year round data. As stated previously this study’s low elevation site and that of Ziemba et al. (2007) had similar summertime NH\(_4^+\) concentrations.

3.4 Hydrogen ion; measured vs. inferred

Few studies measure hydrogen ion associated with aerosols in rural settings (Keeler et al., 1991, Lui et al., 1996). Hydrogen ion is often calculated, or “inferred” from other parameters. This study has a significant amount of hydrogen ion data, therefore we tested the rudimentary method used to calculate inferred hydrogen ion (Ziemba et al., 2007) for the Camp Dodge site. The equation to calculate inferred hydrogen concentration is: 
\[ \text{[H}^+\text{]} = 2\text{[SO}_4^{2-}\text{]} + \text{[NO}_3^-\text{]} - \text{[NH}_4^+\text{]} \]. 
Nitrate values were obtained from the co-located IMPROVE dataset as we did not measure it in our study. All other parameters were from the Harvard Impactor dataset. A linear regression showed a 1:1 relationship with an \( r^2 \) of 0.95 (\( r^2 = 0.88 \) with one influential outlier removed, data not shown) indicating that the crude method of inferring hydrogen ion is appropriate at this rural northeast site.

It should be noted that the IMPROVE program does not measure sample acidity but does measures total elemental hydrogen which includes hydrogen associated with largely aerosol sulfur and organic matter.
While estimates of aerosol acidity have been made using the IMPROVE dataset (Malm, et al., 1994) IMPROVE samples are not protected from ammonia during collection and transport, and likely do experience aerosol neutralization. For the purposed of the Regional Haze requirements IMPROVE program assumes that all aerosol sulfate is neutralized. This effectively lead to total $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ associated mass being overestimated while the associated visibility degradation would be underestimated as acidic $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ is more hygroscopic than neutralized $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$. The hygroscopic growth curves are similar for ammonium sulfate and ammonium bisulfate up to an RH of about 70%. Above this RH the curves diverge such that a bisulfate aerosol is approximately 1.2 times greater than a neutralized sulfate aerosol of the same dry size. The aerodynamic diameters of sulfuric acid droplets are consistently greater than the diameters of ammonium bisulfate and ammonium sulfate aerosols at all RH values (Koutrakis et al., 1989, Hand and Malm, 2006). While this is offset somewhat by the mass scattering efficiencies of the various sulfate compounds the differences could be significant under certain conditions.

### 3.5 Wind direction and associated mass and acidity

Lakes of the Clouds 10 and 24-hour $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, $\text{H}^+$, and $\text{NH}_4^+$ concentrations, from 1990-2004, were grouped into 7 vector averaged wind directions (N-ESE, S-SE, SW-SSW, WSW, W, WNW, and NW). Results showed that median values of all parameters were greatest from regional westerly and southwesterly flows as compared to other wind directions. Maximum median values were 13.8 $\mu g \, m^{-3}$ for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, 41.3 nmol m$^{-3}$ $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$, 17.4 nmol m$^{-3}$ $\text{H}^+$, and 59.4 nmol m$^{-3}$ $\text{NH}_4^+$ (n varied for each vector group). Wind speed and direction data from the summit of Mount Washington were used as data from the LOC site are strongly influenced by the local topography. This study’s results agree with the more in-depth back trajectory analysis on bulk aerosol chemistry from the summit of Mount Washington from 1999 to 2004 by Fischer et al. (2007) that showed relatively higher $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ concentrations coming from the southwesterly quarter in the summertime. Another study by Slater et al. (2002), conducted at a
nearby mid-elevation site on Cranmore Mountain (508 m), found higher aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$ concentrations from the W/SW flows as compared to winds from the N/NE.

4.0 CONCLUSIONS

Results from this long-term record of summertime PM$_{2.5}$ mass and aerosol chemistry at two rural northeast sites, adjacent to two Class I Wilderness areas, demonstrates that haze-causing pollution aerosol can vary dramatically with elevation. The higher elevation site often experiences lower PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations but it is comprised of relatively more $SO_4^{2-}$ aerosol that can be more acidic (24-hour samples). Results highlight the need for altitudinal evaluations of aerosol pollution distributions in mountainous areas but do show that, while land-based sites are influenced by the complex terrain and anabatic flows etc., the general patterns are consistent with the limited information available on vertical profiles of aerosols concentrations and associated parameters.

Comparison of the Harvard Impactor method with a co-located IMPROVE sampler at the low elevation site revealed a disparity in PM$_{2.5}$ mass, especially for the higher values, yet results show a 1:1 relationship for aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$ measurements. This divergence may not drastically impact the IMPROVE visibility metric calculations necessary for establishing baseline and reasonable progress trajectories as part of the EPA Regional Haze requirements, as that method calculates reconstructed ambient light extinction based on the speciated values of aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$ and other individual ions. However, if the total mass is underestimated then other speciated chemistry measure with the Module A filter, elements and hydrogen, may also be underestimated. Furthermore, IMPROVE reconstruction of fine mass assumes all aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$ is neutralized as ammonium sulfate; while this study shows that much of the $SO_4^{2-}$ reaching this location is acidic. Acidic aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$ absorbs more water and hence has a higher light scattering efficiency relative to neutralized aerosol $SO_4^{2-}$.
We confirm that estimating aerosol strong acidity (as hydrogen ion) using a rudimentary mass balance equation is a sufficient method for this rural location by comparing it with actual measured acidity. Further we demonstrate that the highest aerosol mass and acidic SO\(_4\)\(^2\) concentrations are from flows from the south and west-southwest where the largest source regions exist. This work is one of the longest records of strong aerosol acidity at a rural mountain location and it contributes significant information on summertime air pollution conditions at two highly visited Northeast Class I areas, valued for their scenic views and unique alpine ecosystems. Finally, our work underscores the need for continued state and federal efforts to reduce interstate transport of sulfur and nitrogen emissions that affect the higher elevations of the region.
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TABLE CAPTIONS

Table 1. Method of aerosol PM$_{2.5}$ and chemistry measurements near 2 Class I areas, Mount Washington, NH

Table 2. Camp Dodge (452 m) 10-hour and 24-hour summertime statistics. All corrected to STP

Table 3. Lakes of the Clouds (1,540 m) 10-hour and 24-hour summertime statistics. All corrected to STP

Table 4. Summary statistics for co-located HI and IMPROVE methods at Camp Dodge between 1995-2004, June, July, August data only. Percent SO$_4^{2-}$ of total mass statistics are also shown. All corrected to STP.
FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Location of the aerosol PM$_{2.5}$ and chemistry sample sites and two NH Class I areas.

Figure 2. Airborne observations of total particle counts (cm$^3$/100), Bscat 550 nm (Mm$^{-1}$ *10$^5$), RH (%), ozone (ppbv), SO$_2$ (ppbv*10) and temperature (*C) during a altitude transect near Mount Washington, NH on August 13th, 2002 from 09:19-09:43 EST. Methods are described in Taubman et al., 2004a and Taubman, et. al., 2004b.

Figure 3. Scatter plots of (a) PM$_{2.5}$ mass, n=302 and (b) SO$_4^{2-}$ mass, n=206 for Lakes of the Clouds (1,540 m) vs. Camp Dodge (452 m). Includes data from summertime 1990-2004. Regressions (solid line) include 24-hour and 10-hour samples. All data are corrected to STP.

Figure 4 Comparison of IMPROVE vs. Harvard Impactor methods for (a) PM$_{2.5}$ mass, n=130 (b) and SO$_4^{2-}$ mass, n=98 at Camp Dodge (452 m). IMPROVE PM$_{2.5}$ are from Module A and SO$_4^{2-}$ data are from Module B, see Table 1 for more details. Data include summertime 1995 through 2004. All data are corrected to STP.

Figure 5. Scatter plot of (a) Sulfate vs. PM$_{2.5}$ (µg m$^{-3}$) for Camp Dodge 10 and 24-hour datasets (4 outliers removed) and (b) Sulfate vs. PM$_{2.5}$ (µg m$^{-3}$) for LOC 10 and 24-hour datasets (2 outliers removed). Regression equations are shown for 10, 24 and 10+24-hour datasets but a regression line is shown only for the latter. Data include summertime 1990 through 2004. All data are corrected to STP.

Figure 6 a and b. Scatter plot of NH$_4^+$ vs. SO$_4^{2-}$ (nmol m$^{-3}$) for (a) Camp Dodge and (b) LOC for 10 and 24-hour samples. Regression equations are shown for 10, 24 and 10+24-hour datasets but a regression line is shown only for the latter. Data include summertime 1991 through 2004. All data are corrected to STP.

Figure 7 a and b. Scatter plot of H$^+$ vs. SO$_4^{2-}$ (nmol m$^{-3}$) for (a) Camp Dodge and (b) LOC for 10 and 24-hour samples. Regression equations are shown for 10, 24 and 10+24-hour datasets but a regression line is shown only for the latter. Data include summertime 1991 through 2004. All data are corrected to STP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method parameter</th>
<th>AMC Harvard Impactor</th>
<th>IMPROVE Module A</th>
<th>IMPROVE Module B</th>
<th>UNH AIRMAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Location</td>
<td>Camp Dodge (452 m)</td>
<td>Camp Dodge (452 m)</td>
<td>Camp Dodge (452 m)</td>
<td>Summit of Mount Washington (1,917 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(elevation ASL)</td>
<td>LOC (1,540 m)</td>
<td>1988-2007</td>
<td>1995-present</td>
<td>2001-present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample History</td>
<td>Impactor 2.5 cut with citric acid denuder</td>
<td>Cyclone 2.5 cut</td>
<td>Cyclone 2.5 cut with carbonate denuder</td>
<td>Bulk Aerosol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampler Type</td>
<td>25-mm Nylon before 37-mm Teflon</td>
<td>25-mm Teflon</td>
<td>5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
<td>90-mm Teflon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Type</td>
<td>37-mm Teflon</td>
<td>24-hour: 5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
<td>24-hour: 5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
<td>24-hour: 5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration &amp; time of day sampled</td>
<td>10-hour: 7am-5pm EST</td>
<td>24-hour: 5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
<td>24-hour: 5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
<td>24-hour: 5/24/00, 37-mm Nylon beginning 5/24/00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post sampling time filter was collected &amp; stabilized</td>
<td>6-8 hrs</td>
<td>1-6 days</td>
<td>1-6 days</td>
<td>2-5 hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target flow rate (valid flows are target ± 8%)</td>
<td>10 L/min</td>
<td>22.8 L/min</td>
<td>22.8 L/min</td>
<td>undefined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurements</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, NH$_4^+$, H$^+$</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$, S and other elements</td>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$ and other ions (no NH$_4^+$ or H$^+$)</td>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$, NH$_4^+$ plus other major cations and anions (no H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass methods</td>
<td>48 hour equilibration period in RH and temperature controlled environment. RH 40%±5%</td>
<td>~10 minute equilibration period in RH and temperature controlled environment. RH within a range of 30 to 40%</td>
<td>~10 minute equilibration period in RH and temperature controlled environment. RH within a range of 30 to 40%</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citations</td>
<td>Malin et al., 2004 &amp; Charles McDade (pers. Comm.)</td>
<td>Malin et al., 2004 &amp; Charles McDade (pers. Comm.)</td>
<td>Malin et al., 2004 &amp; Charles McDade (pers. Comm.)</td>
<td>Fischer et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>H$^+$</td>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th %</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th %</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>30.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th %</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th %</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>140.1</td>
<td>160.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StdDev.</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Click here to download Table: Table2.doc
## Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10-hour (µg m⁻³)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>10-hour (nmol m⁻³)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>NH₄⁺</td>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5ᵗʰ ℓ</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25ᵗʰ ℓ</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75ᵗʰ ℓ</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>58.9</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95ᵗʰ ℓ</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>152.5</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StdDev.</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24-hour (µg m⁻³)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24-hour (µg m⁻³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Camp Dodge</td>
<td>IMPROVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM₂.₅, SO₄²⁻, % SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>PM₂.₅, SO₄²⁻, % SO₄²⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th %</td>
<td>2.2, 0.4, 7.3</td>
<td>2.3, 0.3, 7.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25th %</td>
<td>4.7, 1.1, 16.2</td>
<td>3.9, 0.6, 16.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>8.2, 2.3, 23.5</td>
<td>6.9, 1.3, 25.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75th %</td>
<td>14.2, 5.1, 30.7</td>
<td>12.2, 3.8, 37.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95th %</td>
<td>32.4, 10.8, 39.6</td>
<td>26.0, 10.8, 49.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11.2, 3.6, 23.4</td>
<td>9.3, 3.0, 26.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>9.7, 3.7, 9.4</td>
<td>7.5, 3.7, 13.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>473, 328, 322</td>
<td>225, 219, 188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1
Click here to download high resolution image

Figure showing the locations of Great Gulf Wilderness, Lakes of the Clouds, Presidential Range - Dry River Wilderness, Mount Washington, and Camp Dodge.
Figure 3

(a) LOC PM$_{2.5}$ mass (µg m$^{-3}$) vs. Camp Dodge PM$_{2.5}$ mass (µg m$^{-3}$)

- 10 hour
- 24 hour
- 10 + 24 hour

$y = 0.66x + 2.1$
$R^2 = 0.54$

(b) LOC SO$_4^{2-}$ mass (µg m$^{-3}$) vs. Camp Dodge SO$_4^{2-}$ mass (µg m$^{-3}$)

- 10 hour
- 24 hour
- 10 + 24 hour

$y = 0.81x + 0.04$
$R^2 = 0.70$
Figure 6
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