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Abstract:
System studies have shown a significant advantage to reusing the hydrogen and oxygen left in these tanks after landing on the Moon in fuel cells to generate power and water for surface systems. However in the current lander concepts, the helium used to pressurize the oxygen tank can substantially degrade fuel cell power and water output by covering the reacting surface with inert gas. This presentation documents an experimental investigation of methods to remove the helium pressurant while minimizing the amount of the oxygen lost. This investigation demonstrated that significant quantities of Helium (>90% mole fraction) remain in the tank after draining. Although a single vent cycle reduced the helium quantity, large amounts of helium remained. Cyclic venting appeared to be more effective. Three vent cycles were sufficient to reduce the helium to small (<0.2%) quantities. Two vent cycles may be sufficient since once the tank has been brought up to pressure after the second vent cycle the helium concentration has been reduced to the less than 0.2% level. The re-pressurization process seemed to contribute to diluting helium. This is as expected since in order to raise the pressure liquid oxygen must be evaporated. Estimated liquid oxygen loss is on the order of 82 pounds (assuming the third vent cycle is not required).
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Introduction:

- Helium used to pressurize the oxygen tank since this produces significantly lighter gas residuals at stage burn-out.
- Helium substantially degrade fuel cell power and water output
- This experiment investigates methods to remove maximum amount of helium pressurant while retaining the maximum amount of the oxygen residuals.
Objectives

- Understand the amount of residual helium in LOX tanks after landing
- Demonstrate the ability to remove the majority of the helium via venting without losing significant quantities of oxygen
- Measure the oxygen/helium content of the remaining gases as they would be sent over time to the fuel cell system
Approach

- Thermodynamic analysis of the system processes will be performed to predict expected helium concentrations before and after various venting scenarios.
- Ground testing will be conducted to validate the analysis predictions and correct the analysis. Tests will be conducted at SMiRF at GRC.
Test Tank in Handling Fixture
## Temperature Diode Location

### Table 1 Broad Range Diode Rake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Fill Volume of Total Tank*</th>
<th>Height from bottom of tank (in)</th>
<th>Distance from datum* (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>61.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>57.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>52.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>21.03</td>
<td>46.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>26.75</td>
<td>40.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>32.47</td>
<td>35.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>38.19</td>
<td>29.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>43.91</td>
<td>23.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>49.63</td>
<td>17.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>55.51</td>
<td>12.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>59.41</td>
<td>8.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Close Rang Diode Rake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Fill Volume of Total Tank*</th>
<th>Mean distance from datum (in)</th>
<th>Height from Tank Bottom (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>21.5625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.3125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>21.0625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.8125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.5625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.3125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gas Sample System

Table 1 Sample Tube Locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Relative Fill (% Volume of total Tank)</th>
<th>distance from tank bottom (in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49.5625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>32.5625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>22.4375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Tests Completed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test ID Number</th>
<th>Starting Pressure (psia)</th>
<th>Ending Pressure (psia)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Liquid Nitrogen Checkout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Gas sample data not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Cyclic vent Three vents total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Direct vent, No gas sample holds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Test Results for Vents from 50 psia
Test Comparison for Vents from 50 psia and 150 psia

Heating (mole %)

Height from tank bottom

Test 27 Start
Test 27 End
Test 21 Start
Test 21 End
Test Results for Vents from 50 psia

- Helium (mole %)
- Height from tank bottom

Graph showing test results for vents from 50 psia with markers for different tests and cycles.
Test Results for Three Cycle Vent Test

- Start Cycle 1
- End Cycle 1
- Start Cycle 2
- End Cycle 2
- Start Cycle 3
- End Cycle 3

Hehelium Concentration (Mole %)

Height from Tank Bottom (in)
Temperature Profiles During Three Cycle Vent

- Start of Cycle 1
- End of Cycle 1
- Start of Cycle 2
- End of Cycle 2
- Start of Cycle 3
- End of Cycle 3

Height from Tank Bottom (in) vs. Temperature (°R)
Time History of Three Cycle Vent

- He Top
- He Middle
- He Bottom
- Gas Analyzer

Pressure history
Preliminary Results

- Significant (>90%) Helium is found in the tank after draining
- Although a single vent cycle reduces the helium large quantities remain
- Three vent cycles are sufficient to reduce the helium to small (<0.2%) quantities
- Repressurization seems to contribute to diluting helium
- Propellant loss estimated at 82 lbm assuming third vent cycle is not required