OPTIMAL DISTURBANCES IN BOUNDARY LAYERS SUBJECT TO STREAMWISE PRESSURE GRADIENT
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Introduction

Laminar-turbulent transition in shear flows is still an enigma in the area of fluid mechanics. The conventional explanation of the phenomenon is based on the instability of the shear flow with respect to infinitesimal disturbances. The conventional hydrodynamic stability theory deals with the analysis of normal modes that might be unstable. The latter circumstance is accompanied by an exponential growth of the disturbances that might lead to laminar-turbulent transition. Nevertheless, in many cases, the transition scenario bypasses the exponential growth stage associated with the normal modes. This type of transition is called bypass transition. An understanding of the phenomenon has eluded us to this day. One possibility is that bypass transition is associated with so-called algebraic (non-modal) growth of disturbances in shear flows.1,2
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A numerical analysis of spatial non-modal growth within the scope of the linearized boundary-layer equations for an incompressible flow over a flat plate was carried out in Refs. 3 and 4. Spatial analysis within the scope of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations (quasi-parallel approximation of compressible and incompressible flows) was presented in Refs. 5-7. Recently, the method of Ref. 4 was generalized for the case of compressible boundary layers. The main results of these theoretical models are as follows:

- A system of counter-rotating streamwise vortices, which are periodic in the spanwise direction, provides the strongest growth of the disturbance.
- There is an optimal spacing of the streamwise vortices, leading to the strongest effect.

The effect of pressure gradients on the transient growth mechanism was considered within the scope of temporal theory by Corbett and Bottaro and within the scope of spatial theory by Tumin and Reshotko. Both studies were based on the quasi-parallel flow assumption. Tumin analyzed the pressure-gradient effect for the Falkner-Skan profile within the scope of an analytical model when the spanwise wave number is very small. The pressure-gradient effect within the scope of spatial theory with nonparallel base flow and finite spanwise wave numbers has not been considered, yet.

Another motivation for the present work stems from separation flow control on low-pressure turbines (LPTs). The performance of LPTs is strongly affected by the flow separation. There is a possibility of delaying the boundary-layer separation by tripping the boundary layer with the help of roughness elements or other devices. Usually, a trial-and-error method is used to determine an appropriate placement of the control elements.
This approach is time consuming and expensive. A recent investigation by Reshotko and Tumin\textsuperscript{1} demonstrated that roughness-induced transition might be related to the transient growth mechanism.

Periodically spaced in the spanwise direction, roughness elements generate a system of counter-rotating streamwise vortices. Due to a secondary instability mechanism, the streamwise vortices can lead to earlier transition to turbulence. They also provide a mixing enhancement due to redistribution of the streamwise momentum. Consequently, optimization of the streamwise vortices for maximum energy growth leads to maximization of the flow control effectiveness. In the present work, an analysis of the optimal disturbances/streamwise vortices associated with the transient growth mechanism is performed for boundary layers in the presence of a streamwise pressure gradient. The theory will provide the optimal spacing of the control elements in the spanwise direction and their placement in the streamwise direction.

**Governing Equations**

Because the flows of interest have relatively low Mach numbers, we consider steady three-dimensional disturbances in an incompressible two-dimensional boundary layer. We choose the streamwise coordinate \(x\) along the surface. The coordinate \(y\) will measure distance from the wall. We define a small parameter \(\varepsilon = \sqrt{\nu / \left(U_{ref} L_{ref}\right)}\) that is the inverse square root of the Reynolds number, and \(\nu, U_{ref}, \) and \(L_{ref}\) are viscosity, reference velocity, and reference length, respectively. The streamwise coordinate is scaled with \(L_{ref}\) while the vertical coordinate \(y\) and spanwise coordinate \(z\) are scaled with...
\[ \sqrt{v I_{\text{ref}} / U_{\text{ref}}} \] The following scaling is assumed for the velocity disturbances \( u, v, \) and \( w, \) and the pressure \( p : \)

\[ u \sim U_{\text{ref}}, \quad v \sim \varepsilon U_{\text{ref}}, \quad w \sim \varepsilon U_{\text{ref}}, \quad p \sim \varepsilon^2 \rho U_{\text{ref}} \] (1)

This scaling of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations and neglecting the curvature effects lead to the governing equations for Görtler instability, with the Görtler number equal to zero. We look for a periodic solution in the spanwise direction, with the corresponding wave number \( \beta. \) The governing equations for the amplitude functions can be written in dimensionless form as follows:\(^3^4\)

\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \beta w = 0 \] (2)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(Uu) + V \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + v \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \beta^2 u \] (3)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(uV + vU) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(2Vv) + \beta Vw + \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} - \beta^2 v \] (4)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(Uw) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(Vw) - \beta p = \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} - \beta^2 w \] (5)

where \( U(x,y) \) and \( V(x,y) \) are the streamwise and normal velocity components of the base flow, respectively. The streamwise velocity, \( U(x,y), \) is scaled with \( U_{\text{ref}} \), and the normal velocity, \( V(x,y), \) is scaled with \( \varepsilon U_{\text{ref}}. \)

The following boundary conditions are applied to the solutions:

\[ y = 0 : \quad u = v = w = 0 \] (6a)
Equations (2)-(5) can be solved subject to boundary conditions (6a) and (6b) with prescribed initial velocity perturbations at $x = x_0$.

**Optimization of Energy Growth**

The authors of Refs. 3 and 4 employed an iterative procedure to find the optimal disturbances in terms of the maximum of the energy growth ratio $G = \frac{E_{out}}{E_{in}}$, where $E_{in}$ and $E_{out}$ stand for the input and output energy norms. Andersson et al.\textsuperscript{3} used the same definitions of $E_{in}$ and $E_{out}$ as for the disturbance energy,

$$E = \int_0^{y_{max}} \left( u^2 + \varepsilon^2 v^2 + \varepsilon^2 w^2 \right) dy$$

whereas Luchini\textsuperscript{4} employed the knowledge that the optimal disturbances are represented by streamwise vortices with corresponding output as streamwise velocity streaks,

$$E_{in} = \varepsilon^2 \int_0^{y_{max}} \left( v^2 + w^2 \right) dy$$

$$E_{out} = \int_0^{y_{max}} u^2 dy$$

$$G = \frac{E_{out}}{E_{in}} = \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\int_0^{y_{max}} u^2 dy}{\int_0^{y_{max}} \left( v^2 + w^2 \right) dy}$$

As was shown in Ref. 3, the two definitions of the optimal disturbances lead to the same results at Reynolds numbers of $10^4$ and higher. Because the iteration procedure
based on the optimization of ratio (8c) provides significant simplification, we adopt it for the following analysis. Because Eqs. (2)-(5) are independent of $\epsilon$, the value of $\epsilon^2 G$ is invariant with respect to the Reynolds number.

**Numerical Results**

**Falkner-Skan Base Flow**

We consider a Falkner-Skan family of boundary-layer profiles with free-stream velocity distribution $U_e = Cx^m$ and corresponding Hartree parameter $\beta_H = 2m/(m+1)$. For the purpose of convenience, we have used the velocity scale $U_{ref} = U_e L = CL^m$ and the length scale $L_{ref} = L/(m+1)$. The latter allowed the use of the conventional scaling of boundary-layer solutions with $H_{ref} = \sqrt{\nu L/(m+1)} U_{ref} = \sqrt{\nu L_{ref} / U_{ref}}$.

Figure 1 shows the scaled energy ratio versus spanwise wave number $\beta$ for three Hartree parameters, $\beta_H = -0.1, 0.0, \text{ and } 0.1$. The starting and the ending points, $x_{in}/L$ and $x_{out}/L$, are equal to 0.2 and 1.0, respectively. The Reynolds number $Re_L$ in Fig. 1 and what follows is defined as $U_{eL}L/\nu$. One can see that an unfavorable pressure gradient ($\beta_H < 0$) leads to an increase in the energy growth while a favorable pressure gradient ($\beta_H > 0$) leads to suppression of the transient growth mechanism. The latter is consistent with results obtained within the scope of parallel flow approximation. Analysis of various starting points, $x_{in}/L$, has shown that, in addition to an optimal spacing between perturbers, there is an optimal location from the leading edge (a similar result was observed in Ref. 8 for compressible boundary layers over a flat plate).
Example of LPT Conditions

Volino$^{12}$ simulated low-pressure turbine (LPT) airfoil conditions in a low-speed wind tunnel. The test section was designed as a passage between two airfoils. The local free-stream velocity at a favorable pressure-gradient region was closely approximated by the following equation:

$$\frac{U_e}{U_{exit}} = \frac{1.48}{0.214} \left( \frac{x}{L_s} \right)^{0.214} \quad (9)$$

where $L_s$ is the suction surface length and $U_{exit}$ is the nominal exit free-stream velocity based on the inviscid solution. The distribution (9) corresponds to a Falkner-Skan flow with the Hartree parameter $\beta_H = 0.353$.

Figure 2 demonstrates the energy ratio scaled with the Reynolds number $Re_{exit} = U_{exit}L_s/\nu$ versus the spanwise wave number scaled with $H_{Ls} = \sqrt{\nu L_s / U_{exit}}$. The ending point was prescribed at $x_{out}/L_s = 0.444$ while the starting points varied from 0.111 to 0.289. One can see that there is an optimal starting point, $x_{in}/L$. The optimal velocity perturbation profiles at $x_{out}/L_s = 0.444$ (for $u$), $x_{in}/L_s = 0.111$ (for $v$ and $w$), and $\beta H_{Ls} = 0.925$ are shown in Fig. 3.

The results indicate that we are dealing with a very strong favorable pressure gradient that suppresses the transient growth mechanism. For example, at a typical LPT cruise Reynolds number of 50,000, the transient growth will provide an energy amplification of less than 50. This is a relatively small number. If we take into account that, in practice, the perturber will not produce the optimal inflow field, the real amplification will be of an even smaller value. For example, in Blasius boundary layer, the theory predicts
amplification of 250 at the same Reynolds number of 50,000 (Ref. 9). Correlation between the transient growth factor and transition has not been established yet, therefore the effectiveness of the transient growth mechanism in preventing flow separation cannot be assessed quantitatively at the present time.

There is a possibility of enhancing the transient growth mechanism by means of wall cooling. The effect of wall cooling was investigated by Tumin and Reshotko\textsuperscript{7} within the scope of a parallel flow approximation. In order to estimate possible increases of the energy ratio on a cold wall at a high favorable pressure gradient, we utilize the method of Ref. 7 for a compressible flow with local Mach number of 0.5 and Hartree parameter of 0.353. The results are shown in Fig. 4. One can see that cooling of the wall might provide a tenfold increase in the energy ratio.

**Summary**

The results for the transient growth phenomenon within the scope of the linearized boundary-layer equations in the presence of a streamwise pressure gradient are consistent with previous results obtained within the scope of the parallel flow approximation and linearized Navier-Stokes equations.\textsuperscript{7} A favorable pressure gradient decreases the non-modal growth while an unfavorable pressure gradient leads to an increase of the amplification.

The example of a Falkner-Skan flow with a Hartree parameter $\beta_H = 0.353$ corresponds to the experimental data\textsuperscript{12} and simulates the flow over a low-pressure turbine airfoil upstream of the separation point. At this pressure gradient, the transient growth mechanism is suppressed, and the energy amplification at low Reynolds number has a
small value. The theory of the transient growth mechanism predicts that it is possible to enhance the energy growth by means of wall cooling. The example within the scope of the parallel flow theory\textsuperscript{7} demonstrates that cooling of the wall might provide a tenfold increase in the energy ratio. Future experiments on boundary-layer tripping accompanied by wall cooling will contribute to our understanding of the bypass transition mechanism.

The method also predicts that there is an optimal spacing between perturbers and an optimal location from the leading edge.

Consideration of the optimal velocity perturbations in Fig. 3 indicates that they are spreading across the boundary layer. This means that an array of generators localized on the wall will not provide excitation of the optimal disturbances. Therefore, the question of realizability of the optimal disturbances arises. For example, one can solve the receptivity problem for an array of generators on the wall and evaluate their shapes (or other parameters) to find the ones that provide disturbance profiles closest to the optimal ones. Another option is to solve the receptivity problem for distributed generators upstream of the starting point, $x_{in}$, and to find the distribution of generators that leads to the optimal disturbances. The next option is to design a disturbance generator that directly affects the flow inside the boundary layer instead of perturbing the near-wall region only. These fundamental issues should be addressed in future research programs on the application of bypass transition mechanisms to separation flow control at low Reynolds numbers.
Acknowledgments

The work has been partially supported by an AFOSR grant monitored by Dr. J. Schmisseur and partially by the NASA Glenn Research Center under Cooperative Agreement NCC 3-991. The authors appreciate useful comments provided by Dr. Stewart Leib of the Ohio Aerospace Institute.

References


Fig. 1. Effects of the spanwise wave number $\beta$ and the Hartree parameter $\beta H$ on transient growth (starting point $xin / L = 0.2$ ).
Fig. 2. Effects of the spanwise wave number $\beta$ and the starting point $xin / L$ on transient growth at the same conditions as the experiment in Ref. 12 ($\beta H = 0.353$, $xout / L_s = 0.444$).
Fig. 3. The optimal velocity perturbation $u$ at the ending point $x_{out}/L_s = 0.444$ and corresponding velocity profiles $v$ and $w$ at the starting point $x_{in}/L_s = 0.111$. The parameters correspond to the experimental conditions in Ref. 12, $\beta H L_s = 0.925$. 
Fig. 4. Effect of the temperature factor on energy growth at the experimental conditions in Ref. 12.