Arc Second Alignment of International X-Ray Observatory Mirror Segments in a Fixed Structure
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Abstract—The optics for the International X-Ray Observatory (IXO) require alignment and integration of about fourteen thousand thin mirror segments to achieve the mission goal of 3.0 square meters of effective area at 1.25 keV with an angular resolution of five arc seconds. These mirror segments are 0.4 mm thick, and 200 to 400 mm in size, which makes it hard not to impart distortion at the sub-arc second level. This paper outlines the precise alignment, verification testing, and permanent bonding techniques developed at NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC). These techniques are used to overcome the challenge of transferring thin mirror segments from a temporary mount to a fixed structure with arc second alignment and minimal figure distortion. Recent advances in technology development in addition to the automation of several processes have produced significant results. This paper will highlight the recent advances in alignment, testing, and permanent bonding techniques as well as the results they have produced.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Aligning thin glass segments used for the optics of the International X-Ray Observatory (IXO) poses an interesting challenge. IXO is a pre-Phase A project designed at building upon the success of previous x-ray missions such as Chandra and XMM Newton. (For an IXO mission background see [1]). It will have a much larger effective area than any previous x-ray mission of 3.0 square meters at 1.25 keV with an angular resolution of five arc seconds. A Wolter-I type telescope design was selected to enable the mirror segments to be nested in order to increase the effective area. In the Wolter-I type design, the incoming x-ray photons graze off of a primary mirror and a secondary mirror at a very small angle to get to the detector. The nested mirror segments were selected to be 0.4 mm thin to conserve mass and maximize collecting area. Meeting the angular resolution requirement of five arc seconds with such thin glass segments presents a challenge.

To accommodate all of the mirrors for the telescope, a modular design was conceived. The Flight Mirror Assembly (FMA) will support 60 modules arranged in three rings, 12 inner, 24 middle, and 24 outer [2]. There will be 200 to 280 mirror segments per module for a total of about fourteen thousand mirror segments. The primary and secondary mirrors must be aligned to each other to meet the strict angular resolution requirement. In addition, all of the mirror pairs must focus to essentially the same point. Because of the thin nature of the mirror segments being used, they are easily distorted.

There are currently three approaches being developed to solve the challenge of aligning and mounting the mirror segments into a permanent structure. In the first approach, the mirror is adjusted with small high resolution linear actuators to correct for axial and figure errors. This method is being pursued by a team at the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) [3]. The second method involves forcing the mirror segment into a prescribed geometry. This approach is being investigated at the European Space Agency (ESA) and associated industries [4]. The third method is to preserve the fabricated state of the mirror and not introduce any distortion or figure error throughout the alignment and mounting processes. This third method is being developed at NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) and will be discussed in this paper.

2. MIRROR SEGMENTS

Mirror Segment Background

In order to meet the large effective area requirement and minimize weight, about fourteen thousand glass mirror segments that are 0.4 mm thick are used in a nested shell pattern. The mirror segments are slumped from D263 glass onto polished mandrels [5]. The mirror segments are 200 mm long in the axial direction and have a
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circumferential span of up to 360mm. This makes each mirror about the size of a standard sheet of paper.

The mirrors are grouped into three rings of modules with 12 to 24 modules in each ring, with an average of 240 mirror segments in each module. The combined group of mirror segments, modules, and support structure is called the Flight Mirror Assembly (FMA). The total mass of the FMA is about 1300kg [2].

Table 1. X-Ray mission angular resolution and mass to area comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mission</th>
<th>CHANDRA</th>
<th>XMM-Newton</th>
<th>IXO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angular Resolution (arcsec)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass per square meter (Kg / m²)</td>
<td>18500</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 helps give a reference from optics of previous x-ray missions. IXO has a much lower mass to area ratio than previous x-ray missions. This is accomplished by using many thin mirror segments to maximize the collecting area while minimizing the weight. This comes at a trade-off however, as the thin nature of the segments equals a low stiffness which makes them easy to deform or distort.

Preliminary Budget of Error Contributions

As shown in Error! Not a valid bookmark self-reference. Table 2, a total of 1.26 arcsec of error is budgeted to be introduced to the mirror during the temporary mount and permanent bond procedures. This can be further broken down into 0.89 arcsec for the temporary mount and 0.89 arcsec for the permanent bond. This feeds into the plan to have a final error of 4.14 arcsec at the FMA stage. (Note that to calculate the addition of errors, the square root is taken of the sum of the squares.)

The value of 0.89 arcsec for the permanent bond procedure is further broken down in Figure 1. These values are measured using interferomic metrology, Hartmann tests, and some are not yet able to be measured. The Hartmann test mainly focuses on cone angle variation, which is the largest contributor at this time.

Table 2. Error budget from fabrication to flight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Running Sum (arcsec)</th>
<th>Individual Process Contribution (arcsec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forming Mandrel</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirror Fabrication</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Mount</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMA</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 - Error budget for permanent bond (values are preliminary and may change)

The radius variation, cone angle variation, average sag, and
sag variation are all measured with an interferometer and null lens. Spherical, planar, and conic one angle variation and radius variation are measured by the Hartmann test.

3. TEMPORARY MOUNT

The temporary mount method being used at NASA’s Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC) is the suspension mount [6]. The idea behind the suspension mount is to preserve the optical figure of the mirror during alignment and bonding into a permanent structure. First, the mirror is hung using four strings to minimize the gravity distortion on the mirror as shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2 – Four string suspension mount](image)

Once the mirror is hanging vertically, it is captured by temporary mount hardware called a strongback. The strongback has a set of pins protruding from its front surface. These pins are set in near-frictionless air bearings so that they apply minimal force when making contact with the mirror. The pins are bonded to the back of the mirror as shown in Figure 3, but are still able to float freely to compensate for the mirror swaying or moving. When the mirror settles into its relaxed state, the back of the pins are bonded to strongback, to freeze them in place. This essentially freezes the mirror in its hanging state where the distortion is minimized.

![Figure 3 – Pins in air bearings bonded to mirror](image)

Once the mirror is on the strongback, it can be transported and tilted into alignment.

4. MIRROR ALIGNMENT

Each mirror segment is aligned using a six degree of freedom hexapod. The hexapod has a repeatability of ±0.5 μm in the linear X, Y, and Z directions. The controller outputs the absolute position of the hexapod in X, Y, Z coordinates in mm to four decimal places. The rotational position of the hexapod in U, V, and W coordinates is reported in degrees to four decimal places. Knowing the absolute position of the mirror to this level of accuracy enables calculations to be performed to determine the necessary adjustments for optimizing the image.

![Figure 4 – Hexapod coordinate system](image)

The alignment is mainly adjusted by titling the mirror in the V direction, and by titling the mirror in the W direction. The final way to obtain a better image is to adjust the focal distance by moving the CCD camera at the end of the beam.
There are three main focal distances that are used for the specific mirror segment being tested right now. Different sized mirror segments will have different individual focal distances, but the combined focal distance of 8.4 meters will remain the same.

### Table 3. Focal distances of various 485 type segments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Segment</th>
<th>Focal Distance (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>17.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>5.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary and Secondary</td>
<td>8.400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To achieve this long of a focal distance when the mirror is in a vertical position, a light source is positioned above the mirrors, shone downwards, and then bent 90 degrees so that it is parallel with the optical bench surface. It is then bounced back and forth using fold mirrors to achieve the necessary focal length. The light source is a red beam assumed to have a wavelength of 633 nm, which is in the visible light spectrum.

The mirror reflection focuses smaller and smaller until the arc focuses to a small hourglass shape as shown in Figure 5. Past the focus, the arc becomes inverted, and grows in size. Where this focus is located determines part of the alignment. The shape of the hourglass itself determines the rest of the alignment. The shape of the hourglass is determined by performing a Hartmann test.

![Figure 5 – Image of mirror reflection at focus](image)

In regards to the hourglass shaped focused image, when only a thin segment of the reflection arc is allowed to pass through the Hartmann mask, a line is displayed. When the lines formed by each stripe of the mirror are put together, they form the hourglass shape as shown in Figure 7.

![Figure 7 – Combined image explanation (only five images shown to simplify diagram)](image)

5. **Verification Testing**

Test Fundamentals

A modified Hartmann test is used to test the alignment of the mirror. To perform the test, a mask is used to cover the reflection light coming off of the mirror (see Figure 6). Only a specific slit of light is allowed to pass through the mask. The mask is then rotated to allow light from different strips of the mirror to be analyzed independently.

![Figure 6 – Hartmann mask](image)
A CCD camera is used to capture an image of each line recording the brightness value of each pixel. The theoretical centroid of the brightness values should be in the center of the hourglass. Therefore the alignment error can be determined from the deviation between the centroids of each of the separate images.

The final outcome of the test is a plot showing the deviation of each centroid location from the average location as shown in Figure 8. Motorized linear stages and rotational motor have been utilized to automate this entire test.

**Data Analysis**

The data that is output after the Hartmann test is a set of images of single lines that when combine would form the "hourglass shape" shown in Figure 7. Each image is analyzed independently to find the angle of a line that passes through the sliver of light. This line is represented by a dashed line in Figure 9.

**Figure 9 - Analyzed single image from Hartmann test**

Once this line has been found, the points along the line are analyzed to compare the brightness of each pixel. The light intensity as a function of focal plane coordinate is shown in Figure 10. The centroid of the area under this curve is calculated to determine image's centroid. This centroid represents where the center of the hourglass is for that specific image. By comparing the centroids of all of the images, the error rating of the mirror can be determined as shown in Figure 8. The result obtained in Figure 9 closely resembles Figure 12 showing that the diffraction effect does indeed play a large role when using visible light. For this reason, the final test of the mirror alignment is done using x-rays in a vacuum chamber. X-rays have a much shorter wavelength, so the diffraction effect is much smaller.

**Figure 10 - Light intensity curve along sliver of light**

The mirror segment alignment parameters are labeled on the graph to track settings used to achieve the image. This helps to understand what changed between trials to improve or degrade the image. The parameters are listed in five major categories. The mirror number is reported to show which mirror is being used. The test number reports the date and time (24 hour format) that the test was performed. The hexapod position shows the coordinates that the hexapod was programmed to in order to translate and tilt the mirror to the alignment used during the test. The focal length reports the distance between a fixed point PS and the CCD camera. The point PS is a point located 24mm above the top of the secondary mirror or 26 mm below the bottom of the primary mirror in the permanently mounted configuration. The HPD and RMS ratings give a value of the spread of the centroids which is used to rate the mirror. The HPD rating of the mirror stands for "half power diameter". It is the diameter of the circle around the average centroid that would contain half of the points. It is signified by the magenta circle in Figure 8. The blue cross signifies the first data point taken, which helps illustrate the shape of the mirror by tracking the individual points with the order they were taken in. The red x indicates the average of all the centroids.
A repeatability test was performed to check the equipment by performing ten consecutive Hartmann tests at the same mirror alignment position and focal distance within a span of 30 minutes to minimize environmental changes. It was found that each individual centroid was repeatable to ± 0.6 arcsec, and ±0.17 arcsec for the overall RMS mirror rating value.

6. PERMANENT BONDING

Once a mirror segment has been properly aligned, it is permanently bonded into a mock-up of the spacecraft module. For testing purposes, a Mirror Housing Simulator (MHS) is being used to emulate bond locations similar to the final module design. The MHS is capable of supporting three mirror pairs of different radii. The MHS is constructed of a Ti-15Mo alloy to closely match the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of the D263 glass mirror segments.

There are twelve rails, six on each side to hold the primary and secondary mirrors. For current testing purposes only the rails at the four corners of each mirror are being used as shown in Figure 13. Small flat tabs slide along the rails into position behind the mirror segment as shown in Figure 14. Once in position, the tabs are glued to the rail using Optocast 3408 UV cure epoxy.

The epoxy injection process has been automated by using a robotic arm to rapidly position the syringe of epoxy behind each tab. Optocast 3408 UV cure epoxy is injected to bond the mirror to the tab. Once the mirror has been bonded to all four tabs, the temporary bonds are broken by twisting the pins, and the strongback is removed. It has been demonstrated that breaking the temporary bonds does not damage the mirror.
error budget scenario for preserving the shape of the mirror for acceptable optical quality.

Figure 15 — Epoxy injector mounted to linear actuator

To achieve the submicron mirror displacement due to applying epoxy a zero-displacement bond method is being developed. A small high resolution linear actuator with a resolution of 30nm is used to move the syringe. The actuator is wired into a closed loop system utilizing a laser displacement sensor with a resolution of 0.01µm. The actuator oscillates the syringe tip in and out of the tab to move the mirror using the viscous forces from the liquid epoxy. The syringe is oscillated until the mirror has reached the desired offset position. This offset is determined by how much epoxy shrinkage will occur during the cure using the UV light. The epoxy is then cured, bringing the final displacement to zero. The setup for this process is depicted in Figure 15.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The mission requirements for IXO of large effective area and high angular resolution do not leave much room for error in the alignment and mounting of thin mirror segments. However, this has driven the design of new hardware and procedures to accommodate these challenges. The automation of the Hartmann test and on-site data-analysis has made it possible to develop an iterative process to optimize the alignment of the mirror. In addition, the automation of the bonding process has led to advances in deformation control to the sub-micron level. Given the strict error budget allowed in the alignment and bonding of a mirror segment to its permanent housing, these advances are significant. Because of the modular design of the FMA this work should apply directly to the other segments to help make this mission a reality.

Future work includes bonding a secondary mirror with less than one arc second change in Hartmann test results before and after bonding. The same procedure will be repeated for a primary mirror, and then for a primary/secondary mirror pair. Once this is achieved, the co-alignment of nested mirror pairs will be tested.
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