A two-fluid accumulator has been designed, built, and demonstrated to provide an acceptably close approximation to constant differential static pressure between two fluids over the full ranges of (1) accumulator stroke, (2) rates of flow of the fluids, and (3) common static pressure applied to the fluids. Prior differential-pressure two-fluid accumulators are generally not capable of maintaining acceptably close approximations to constant differential pressures.

The inadequacies of a typical prior differential-pressure two-fluid accumulator can be summarized as follows: The static differential pressure is governed by the intrinsic spring rate (essentially, the stiffness) of an accumulator tank. The spring rate can be tailored through selection of the tank-wall thickness, selection of the number and/or shape of accumulator convolutions, and/or selection of accumulator material(s). Reliance on the intrinsic spring rate of the tank results in three severe limitations:

1. The spring rate and the expulsion efficiency tend to be inversely proportional to each other: that is to say, as the stiffness (and thus the differential pressure) is increased, the range of motion of the accumulator is reduced.

2. As the applied common static pressure increases, the differential pressure tends to decrease. An additional disadvantage, which may or may not be considered limiting, depending on the specific application, is that an increase in stiffness entails an increase in weight.

3. The additional weight required by a low expulsion efficiency accumulator eliminates the advantage given to such gas storage systems. The high expulsion efficiency provided by this two-fluid accumulator allows for a lightweight, tightly packaged system, which can be used in conjunction with a fuel-cell-based system.

The design of the present two-fluid accumulator does not rely on the intrinsic spring rate of an accumulator tank to establish the differential pressure. Instead, the accumulator tank is a relatively rigid cylinder, within which is placed a bellows that separates the two fluids. A constant-force spring pulls on the end plate of the bellows, as though the end plate were a piston. This spring action, applied over the area of the end plate, results in the desired differential pressure. The differential pressure can closely approximate a constant value because the constant spring force is at least an order of magnitude greater than the spring rate of the bellows. As a result, for challenging differential pressures below 5 psi (34.5 kPa), this new design can achieve a stroke that permits greater than 75 percent expulsion efficiency with an absolute operating pressure of only 150 psig (≈1.14 MPa).
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