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**Motivation**

- Heat and moisture exchange between ocean surface and atmosphere plays integral role in short-term, regional NWP
- Current SST products lack both spatial and temporal resolution to accurately capture small-scale features that affect heat and moisture flux
- NASA satellite used to produce high spatial and temporal resolution SST analysis using an OI technique

**Background**

- NASA SPoRT has developed compositing techniques for producing four-times daily, 1 km SST products
- Techniques include only temporal weighting, leading to pixel-to-pixel variations in composites, often appearing as unrealistic or false gradients
- Data assimilation is promising technique because of ability to spatially spread information

**Methods**

- OI technique with Gaussian covariance function implemented for simplicity (Cummings, 2006)

\[ Cov = (1 + s_h) e^{-s_h} \]

\[ x_{\delta} = x_i + P_b (H P_b H^T + R)^{-1} (y - H x_i) \]

- \( \delta \) is distance between points, normalized by correlation length scale, \( x_i \) is the analysis field, \( P_b \) is the background error covariance, \( R \) is the observation error, \( y - H x_i \) is the observation increment, and \( H \) is an operator to transform model space to observation space
- MODIS and AMSR-E data used as observations

**Results**

- Validation against both drifting and fixed buoys to compare analysis to in situ observations
- OI technique compared favorably with SST products that use a compositing technique in both bias and RMSE
- Validation against drifting buoys (not shown) show slightly higher bias (~0.12 K) and RMSE (~0.17 K) than compositing techniques, but still compares favorably because of uncertainties in validation due to sparse in situ data
- OI reduces small scale “artifacts” in areas with higher data latency
- Tuning length scale to longer lengths produces consistently smoother analysis product, but has yet to be validated
- OI schemes with shorter correlation length scales struggle in large cumulus fields due to lower number of observations, often producing a speckled appearance

**Summary**

- OI analysis used to produce high spatial and temporal resolution SST analysis
- Variable length scale used based on number of available observations in predetermined radius
- Technique reduces artifacts in areas of high latency and validates favorably against SST compositing techniques
- Future work will include continued tuning of parameters and extension of technique to other domains and seasons